

Lecture 8 - Discovering Groups

Summary

Being able to meaningfully cluster data into groups using **Clustering** or **Cluster Analysis** is a key part of the process of exploratory and descriptive data mining. Clustering techniques are all a form of unsupervised machine learning. Numerous techniques for clustering exist; in this lecture we'll look at two of the most common and useful: **Hierarchical Clustering** and **K-Means Clustering**. We'll also look briefly at a more advanced, but computationally intensive algorithm called **Mean Shift Clustering** that both produces clusters and finds the *modes* of the data.

Being able to group data into clusters is a good basis for understanding that data, however, in many cases these clusters can be difficult to interpret. An alternative approach is to attempt to produce 2D visualisations (images) that highlight the key relationships in the data by projecting the data from a high dimensionality to two dimensions. We'll look at three key algorithms: **Principal Component Analysis (PCA)**, **Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)** and **Self Organising Maps (SOMs)**

Key points

Clustering

- Clustering is an unsupervised machine learning technique, that learns to group data without prior knowledge of what the groups should look like.

Hierarchical Clustering

- **Hierarchical Clustering** attempts to iteratively break data into a hierarchy of clusters
- **Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering** builds a binary tree of clusters from the leaf nodes upwards towards the root
 - Known as a bottom-up approach
 - Requires three things:
 - a set of items to cluster
 - a distance measure to measure how close items are to each other
 - e.g. an L_p distance, a similarity measure converted to a distance (i.e. 1-Pearson or 1-cosine)
 - Doesn't necessarily have to be a distance computed over a vector; some forms of agglomerative clustering allow only need a matrix of distances or similarities computed between all items as input (see below)
 - a **linkage criterion** which measures dissimilarity of clusters as a function of the pairwise distances of items in the clusters
 - Basic approach:
 - Initially every item is in a cluster of its own
 - While there is more than one single cluster:
 - The closest pair of clusters according to the linkage criterion are merged into a bigger cluster

- By recording the merges at each step a binary tree structure linking the clusters can be formed
 - Often a useful way of utilising this is by drawing a diagram known as a dendrogram that shows the structure of the tree
- Two categories of linkage criterion:
 - Centroid-based linkage functions that measure similarity between clusters based on the distance between their centroids
 - Requires that each item is represented by a numeric feature vector that can be interpreted as a position in space
 - Examples:
 - **Weighted Centroid Clustering** (*WPGMC - Weighted Pair Group Method with Centroids; often also known as the “median” method*)
 - When two clusters s and t are combined into a new cluster u , the average of centroids s and t give the new centroid u
 - **Unweighted Centroid Clustering** (*UPGMC - Unweighted Pair Group Method with Centroids*)
 - When two clusters s and t are combined into a new cluster u , the average of the positions of all the items within s and t give the new centroid u
 - Distance-based linkage functions that measure distances between clusters as a function of the distances between items within those clusters.
 - Clustering can be performed purely as a function of a **distance matrix** in which each element $\mathbf{D}_{i,j}$ represents the distance, $d(i,j)$, between items i and j
 - Commonly used linkage criteria between two sets (clusters) of items A and B include:
 - **Minimum or single-linkage clustering**: $\min\{d(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$
 - Drawback: tends to produce long, thin, clusters where the items at each end are far apart
 - **Maximum or complete-linkage clustering**: $\max\{d(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$
 - Avoids problems of single-linkage clustering; tends to find compact clusters of approximately equal diameter
 - **Mean or average linkage clustering** (*UPGMA - Unweighted Pairwise Group Method with Arithmetic Mean*):

$$\frac{1}{|A||B|} \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} d(a, b)$$
 - In general, complexity is $O(n^3)$, which can be a problem for large data sets, however there are some $O(n^2)$ variants for the single-linkage and complete-linkage cases
 - **Divisive clustering** algorithms (“top-down” approaches), which start with all the data in the root node and recursively split do exist
 - Not widely used in practice.
 - One major reason is that in general complexity is $O(2^n)$, which is worse than the agglomerative methods.

K-Means Clustering

- The **K-Means algorithm** (also known as *Lloyds algorithm*) is a simple, but powerful, approach to clustering that attempts to group data in a feature space into K groups or clusters represented by centroids (i.e. the mean point of the class in feature-space).
 - Algorithm:

- The K-value must be chosen *a-priori* (beforehand)
- To begin, K initial cluster centres are chosen (typically randomly or from a sample of the existing data points, although note that better initialisation procedures exist - e.g. the KMeans++ algorithm)
- Then the following process is performed iteratively until the centroids don't move between iterations (or the maximum number of iterations is reached):
 - Each point is assigned to its closest centroid
 - The centroid is recomputed as the mean of all the points assigned to it. If the centroid has no points assigned it is randomly re-initialised to a new point.
 - The final clusters are created by assigning all points to their nearest centroid.
- K-Means always converges, but not necessarily to the most optimal solution

Mean Shift Clustering

- **Mean Shift** is a standard algorithm to efficiently find the modes of a **Probability Density Function (PDF)** from a set of samples of that PDF (i.e. the featurevectors representing a set of items).
 - The only variable of the mean shift algorithm is the **kernel** and the **kernel bandwidth** of a **kernel density estimator**.
 - Clustering is an application of the mean shift procedure
 - Automatically chooses the number of clusters!
- The PDF of a continuous random variable is a function that describes the relative likelihood for this random variable to take on a given value
 - The PDF is non-negative everywhere and sums to 1
- In the context of a feature space, the PDF is a function that tells you how likely it is that a featurevector is *drawn* from a specific location in a feature space.
 - A feature vector drawn from part of the space where there are lots of similar items would have a higher probability density than if the drawn feature vector were from a part of the space with very few similar items
 - or in other words, dense parts of the space with more items have a higher probability density
 - Generally speaking, for arbitrary features describing a set of items, the PDF cannot be described empirically
 - Must be estimated using some other method
 - Simple, but crude, way to do this would be to quantise the feature space into bins in order to build a histogram
 - Each bin would contain the count of the number of items with feature vectors falling into that bin divided by the number of total items
 - Major disadvantage of this approach is that it isn't *continuous* and only gives a discrete approximation of the PDF
 - Better way to do this is to use a **Kernel Density Estimator** (also known as a **“Parzen Window”**)
 - Letting $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ represent the set of samples (e.g. feature vectors) in a d -dimensional space R^d from an unknown density f , then:
$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{nh^d} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right)$$
 - where $K(\cdot)$ is the **kernel** (a non-negative function that integrates to one and has mean zero), and $h > 0$ is a smoothing parameter called the **kernel bandwidth**.
 - Common choice for the kernel is a multivariate Gaussian with zero mean and unit s.d.

- For radially symmetric kernels, it suffices to define the profile of the kernel $K(\mathbf{x})$ satisfying $K(\mathbf{x}) = c_{k,d} k(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2)$
- Intuitively one wants to choose h as small as the data will allow
 - there is always a trade-off between the bias of the estimator and its variance however
- The **Mean Shift procedure** attempts to find the modes of the density function - that is the points where the gradient is 0: $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})=0$

- Assuming a radially symmetric kernel, then the gradient is:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{2c_{k,d}}{nh^{d+2}} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i) g\left(\left\|\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right\|^2\right) \\ &= \frac{2c_{k,d}}{nh^{d+2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n g\left(\left\|\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right\|^2\right) \right] \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i g\left(\left\|\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n g\left(\left\|\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_i}{h}\right\|^2\right)} - \mathbf{x} \right]\end{aligned}$$

where $g(s) = -k'(s)$.

- The first term in the above is proportional to the density estimate at \mathbf{x} computed with a kernel $G(\mathbf{x}) = c_{g,d} g(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2)$, and the second term is the **mean shift**.
 - The mean shift vector always points toward the direction of the maximum increase in the density.
- The mean shift procedure, obtained by successive
 - computation of the mean shift vector $\mathbf{m}_h(\mathbf{x}_t)$,
 - translation of the window $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{m}_h(\mathbf{x}_t)$

is guaranteed to converge to a point where the gradient of density function is zero

- **Mean Shift Clustering** works as follows:



- for each feature vector:
 - apply the mean shift procedure until convergence and store the resultant mode
- the set of feature vectors that converge to the same mode define the *basin of attraction* of that mode; all features that converged to the same mode belong to the same cluster

Visualising Data in Two Dimensions

- Sometimes rather than clustering data explicitly, we just want a way to visualise (through an image or diagram) which items are similar to each other and which are highly dissimilar
 - Basically we want to map high dimensional data into a lower dimensional space in a meaningful way
 - Lots of techniques allow us to do this:

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- **Principal component analysis** allows us to project high dimensional data into a lower dimensional space
- Could use PCA to create a scatter plot where the x and y axis are the first and second principal components
 - No control over distance measure
 - Just because axes are oriented along greatest variances, doesn't mean similar items will appear close to each other

Self Organising Maps

- A **self-organizing map (SOM)** or a *Kohonen Map* is a type of **artificial neural network (ANN)** that is trained using unsupervised learning to produce a low-dimensional (typically two-dimensional), discretised representation of the input space of the training samples, called a **map**.
- Self-organizing maps are different from other artificial neural networks
 - they apply competitive learning as opposed to error-correction learning (such as backpropagation with gradient descent),
 - they use a neighbourhood function to preserve the topological properties of the input space.
- However, it's best not to think of SOMs in terms of neural networks!
 - Consider a SOM as an n by m grid of *units* where each unit has a weight vector with dimensionality equal to the dimensionality of the input vectors
 - This is known as the *map*
 - Units that are spatially close together are considered to be neighbours
 - The *location* of a unit in the grid can be considered to be a coordinate in 2D space
 - The SOM maps high dimensional vectors to a 2D coordinate given by the unit which has a weight vector which is most similar to the input vector (typically in terms of Euclidean distance); this unit is called the *best matching unit*
- There are two parts to using a SOM
 - The training process in which the weights of the units are learned
 - The projection process in which a vector is assigned to the **best matching unit (BMU)**
 - The coordinate of this unit is the projection of the input vector onto the 2D plane
- Training a SOM:
 - Prerequisite definitions – Let:
 - - s define the current iteration
 - λ define the maximum number of iterations
 - t define the index of the target vector in the input dataset \mathbf{D}
 - $\mathbf{D}(t)$ defines the target input vector
 - v define an the index of a unit in the map
 - \mathbf{W}_v define the weight vector of unit v
 - u is the BMU in the map
 - $\Theta(u, v, s)$ defines the neighbourhood weighting function
 - This produces a weight for the update of a neighbouring node based on its distance from the BMU
 - Common to use a Gaussian function
 - $\alpha(s)$ defines the learning rate
 - Typically this is a function that falls off as iterations increase
 - for example: $r_{initial} \exp(-s/\lambda)$, where $r_{initial}$ is the initial learning rate (usually a small value between 0.1 and 0.001).
 - Algorithm:
 - 1. Randomly assign weights to each unit
 2. Traverse each input vector in the input data set
 1. Find the BMU by computing the Euclidean distance of the input vector to each unit and picking the unit with the smallest distance
 2. Update the units in the neighbourhood of the BMU (including the BMU itself) by pulling them closer to the input vector: $\mathbf{W}_v(s+1) = \mathbf{W}_v(s) + \Theta(u, v, s) \alpha(s) (\mathbf{D}(t) - \mathbf{W}_v(s))$
 3. Increase s and repeat from step 2 while $s < \lambda$

- The SOM idea generalises in a number of ways:
 - The map can be modified to have more (or fewer) dimensions
 - The map needn't be a regular grid; any lattice structure upon which a neighbourhood function can be defined will work
 - Hexagonal lattices are fairly popular

Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)

- **Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)** is an alternate approach to embedding high-dimensional data in a lower dimensional (typically 2D) space.
- Two main categories:
 - **Metric MDS**: tries to optimise layout of points so that Euclidean distances in the lower dimensional space match original distances
 - **Non-metric MDS**: attempts to directly maintain the ordering or rank between items in the 2D projection compared to the ordering of the original distances
- Only requires distances between items as input
 - Unlike PCA and SOM there is no explicit mapping from points in the higher dimensional space to points in the lower dimensional space
- Irrespective of the category of the MDS algorithm, the key idea is to minimise a stress function
 - The stress function describes how well the interpoint dissimilarities in the low-dimensional space preserve those in the original space
 - Depending on the choice of stress function, the embedding can be non-linear
 - A popular stress function for non-linear metric scaling is the **Sammon Mapping**:

$$S(\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(\delta_{ij} - \|\mathbf{z}_i - \mathbf{z}_j\|)^2}{\delta_{ij}}$$

where \mathbf{z}_i is the lower-dimensional vector for the i -th item and δ_{ij} is the original distance between items i and j

- Other popular stress functions include the
 - *Least-squares scaling* or *Kruskal-Shepard scaling*
 - *Shepard-Kruskal non-metric scaling*
- Some stress functions can be solved using Eigendecomposition, however many must be solved using *gradient descent* based optimisation
 - e.g. for Sammon Mapping:
 - Each point \mathbf{z}_j can be iteratively updated by:

$$\mathbf{z}_j(k+1) = \mathbf{z}_j(k) - \gamma_k \nabla_{\mathbf{z}_j} S(\mathbf{z}_1(k), \mathbf{z}_2(k), \dots, \mathbf{z}_n(k))$$
 where γ is a scalar *learning rate* (Sammon's original paper refers to this as the "magic factor"!) and the derivative of the stress function w.r.t \mathbf{z}_j is:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{z}_j} S(\mathbf{z}_1(k), \mathbf{z}_2(k), \dots, \mathbf{z}_n(k)) = 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{z}_i(k) - \mathbf{z}_j(k)\| - \delta_{ij}}{\delta_{ij}} \right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{z}_j(k) - \mathbf{z}_i(k)}{\|\mathbf{z}_i(k) - \mathbf{z}_j(k)\|} \right)$$

Other techniques

- Examples of other and more modern approaches to non-linear dimensionality reduction include
 - ISOMAP
 - Locally Linear Embedding (LLE)
 - Principal curves

Further Reading

- Chapter 3 of "Programming Collective Intelligence" gives a good overview of some of the basic techniques.

- Relevant sections of Chapter 14 of The Elements of Statistical Learning (http://statweb.stanford.edu/~tibs/ElemStatLearn/printings/ESLII_print10.pdf) provide a good academic introduction
- Wikipedia has reasonable commentary (and good links to the original research) on a number of the topics:
 - [`https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hierarchical_clustering`](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hierarchical_clustering) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hierarchical_clustering)
 - [`https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K-means_clustering`](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K-means_clustering) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K-means_clustering)
 - [`https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mean_shift`](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mean_shift) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mean_shift)
 - [`https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multidimensional_scaling`](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multidimensional_scaling) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multidimensional_scaling)
 - [`https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-organizing_map`](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-organizing_map) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-organizing_map)
- k-means++: the advantages of careful seeding (<http://ilpubs.stanford.edu:8090/778/1/2006-13.pdf>). Arthur and Vassilvitskii. Proceedings of the eighteenth annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete algorithms. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics Philadelphia, PA, USA. pp. 1027–1035. 2007.
- Mean shift: A robust approach toward feature space analysis (<http://www.caip.rutgers.edu/riul/research/papers/pdf/mnshft.pdf>). Comaniciu and Meer. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell., 24:603–619, 2002.
- Good descriptions of dimensionality reduction techniques and clustering:
 - Learning from Data: Concepts, Theory, and Methods (2nd ed.). Cherkassky and Mulier. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, USA.
- A Nonlinear Mapping for Data Structure Analysis (<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=1671271>). Sammon. in Computers, IEEE Transactions on , vol.C-18, no.5, pp.401–409, May 1969