

Equivariant Graph Neural Networks

Kfir Eliyahu Ben Eliav Jonathan Kouchly

December 26, 2024

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Mathematical Background
- 3 Deep Sets
- 4 Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks

1 Motivation


2 Mathematical Background

3 Deep Sets

4 Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks


Motivation

- Our neural networks can operate on data of many types.
- We often work with images, text, audio, graphs and more.
- These data types have different structures and qualities, and we would like to build architectures that best suit them.



Motivation


- A cat is a cat no matter how you look at it.



- It is acceptable to assume that being invariant to the rotation of the cat is a good property for a classification network.


Motivation

- Our focus today is on sets and graph data.



Simple graph

	A	B	C	D
A	0	1	1	1
B	1	0	0	0
C	1	0	0	1
D	1	0	1	0



Construction of an Equivariant Neural Network

- When constructing an equivariant neural network, two things should always be considered:
 - 1 The symmetries of the data:
What inherent structure should our model be oblivious to?
 - 2 The space of functions learnable by the network:
Are we fully utilizing the space of functions that are equivariant

1 Motivation

2 Mathematical Background

3 Deep Sets

4 Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks

The Permutation Group S_n

- The permutation group S_n is the group of all permutations of n elements.
- It has $n!$ elements, representing the $n!$ ways to order n elements.
- Given a set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is a bijection $\pi : X \rightarrow X$
- e.g. $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, and $\pi = (1, 2, 3) \in S_3$ is the permutation that maps $1 \rightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 3$ and $3 \rightarrow 1$.
- We denote the **action** of π on x as $\pi x = (x_3, x_1, x_2)$.

Permutation Invariance

- Let $H \leq S_n$ be a subgroup of the symmetric group.
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *permutation invariant* if $f(x) = f(\pi x)$ for all $\pi \in H$.

$$f[\text{😊😊😬😞}] = [0.15 \ 0.1 \ 0.05 \ \mathbf{0.8}]$$

$$f[\text{😊😊😞😬}] = [0.15 \ 0.1 \ 0.05 \ \mathbf{0.8}]$$

Permutation Equivariance

- Let $H \leq S_n$ be a subgroup of the symmetric group.
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is *permutation equivariant* if $\pi f(x) = f(\pi x)$ for all $\pi \in H$.

$$f[\text{😊😊😬😞}] = [0.15 \ 0.1 \ 0.05 \ \mathbf{0.8}]$$

$$f[\text{😊😊😞😬}] = [0.15 \ 0.1 \ \mathbf{0.8} \ 0.05]$$

Permutation of a Set

- Assume our set is $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.
- We can represent X as a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$.
- Any permutation $g \in S_n$ can be represented as a permutation matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,
- The action of g on X is then PX .
- An invariant neural network is a function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ such that $f(X) = f(PX)$.
- An equivariant neural network is a function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d'}$ such that $Pf(X) = f(PX)$.

Permutation of a Graph

- Our data is now a graph adjacency matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
- A permutation matrix $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ acts on the adjacency matrix A .
- The action of P on A is:

$$P^T A P$$

Equivariant Network Construction

Theorem

Let L be a linear equivariant layer, and let f be a neural network constructed by stacking L and non-linearities σ . Then f is permutation equivariant.

Proof.

Let x be a set of n elements, and let $g \in S_n$ be a permutation.

$$\begin{aligned} f(gx) &= L(\sigma(L(\sigma(\dots L(gx) \dots)))) = L(\sigma(L(\dots g\sigma(L(x)) \dots))) = \dots \\ &= gL(\sigma(L(\sigma(\dots L(x) \dots)))) = gf(x) \end{aligned}$$



Invariant Network Construction

Theorem

Let f be an equivariant neural network and let ϕ be a permutation invariant function. Then $h = \phi(f(x))$ is a permutation invariant neural network.

Proof.

Let x be a set of n elements, and let $g \in S_n$ be a permutation.

$$h(gx) = \phi(f(gx)) = \phi(gf(x)) = \phi(f(x)) = h(x)$$



- 1 Motivation
- 2 Mathematical Background
- 3 Deep Sets
- 4 Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks

- A seminal work in the field of equivariant neural networks.
- Recall the two properties we mentioned earlier (symmetries of the data and the space of functions learnable by the network).
- *DeepSets* is an architecture that is equivariant to set permutations and is maximally expressive in the space of permutation equivariant functions.
- We are going to see the construction and prove it satisfies equivariance and expressiveness.

- We saw a general structure of an invariant and equivariant network.
- To fill in the details, we need to define the equivariant layer L and the invariant function ϕ .

Definition

Consider a set $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$.
A *DeepSets* layer is defined as

$$L(x) = \lambda Ix + \mathbf{x}1$$

DeepSets Layer

Definition

Consider a set $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

A *DeepSets* layer is defined as

$$L(x) = \lambda Ix + x\mathbf{1}$$

.

Theorem

A DeepSets layer is permutation equivariant.

Proof.

Let x be a set of n elements, and let $g \in S_n$ be a permutation.

$$L(gx) = \lambda I(gx) + (gx)\mathbf{1} = g(\lambda Ix) + x\mathbf{1} = gL(x)$$



- We have an initial layer L , which we proved is equivariant.
- A deep sets invariant network is now constructed as:

$$f(x) = \phi(L\sigma(L\sigma(\dots L\sigma(L(x))\dots))) \quad \text{where} \quad \phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

- It is easy to see that ϕ is permutation invariant, and thus f is permutation invariant.
- For a classification network, take some classification module ρ (e.g. an MLP), and define the final network as:

$$h(x) = \rho(f(x))$$

- Notice that the network is only defined for sets with elements in \mathbb{R} .
- We can extend this to a set $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ by defining L as:

$$L(x) = \mathbf{X}W_1 + \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{X}W_2$$

- This keeps the general structure of the layer: a linear transformation of the distinct elements of the set summed with the mean of the set.

- We have shown that the *DeepSets* network is permutation invariant.
- We now want to show that it is maximally expressive in the space of permutation invariant functions.
- We show outline for the proof, showing *DeepSets* can separate any two sets that are not equal.

Theorem

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ s.t. $x \neq gy \quad \forall g \in S_n$. Then there exists a *DeepSets* network that separates x and y , namely $F(x; \theta) \neq F(y; \theta)$.

Proof outline:

- 1 Consider distinct rows of x, y .
- 2 Construct input output pairs using standard basis elements (one hot encodings of the elements in the set).
- 3 In each layer $L(x) = \mathbf{X}W_1 + \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T\mathbf{X}W_2$, set $W_2 = 0$, collapsing our network to a standard MLP.
- 4 According to a result of the universal approximation theorem, we can learn a one to one mapping between the input and output pairs.
- 5 Output for each set the sum of the output pairs.
- 6 For each set, we computed the hitogram of its elements, which is a unique representation of the set.

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Mathematical Background
- 3 Deep Sets
- 4 Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks**

Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks

- We saw a simple, maximally expressive equivariant network for sets.
- We now want to extend this to graphs.

Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks

- Recall the action of the permutation matrix \mathbf{P} on the adjacency matrix A is:

$$\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}$$

- Lets use this to define a Linear invariant layer $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We want to satisfy the following:

$$L \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P} = L(A)$$

- But working with bilinear forms is hard. We can define an equivalent condition by column stacking the adjacency matrix to get a vector, and then define a linear layer $L \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n^2}$.

$$L\text{vec}(\mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}) = L\text{vec}(A)$$

Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks

- We now introduce a crucial property of the kronecker product:

$$\text{vec}(XAY) = Y^T \otimes X \text{vec}(A)$$

- Using this, the previous condition can be written as:

$$L(P^T \otimes P^T) \text{vec}(A) = L \text{vec}(A)$$

- For this condition to hold for all A , we need L to satisfy:

$$L(P^T \otimes P^T) = L$$

- transposing the equation, and with severe abuse of notation ($L^T = \text{vec}(L)$), we finally get:

$$(P \otimes P) \text{vec}(L) = \text{vec}(L)$$

Invariant and Equivariant Graph Networks

- After some work and moderate logic jumps, we got a condition for a permutation invariant linear layer L .
- Developing the condition for an equivariant layer is very similar:



Conclusion

- end

Thank You!