

# GENERATING SYNTHETIC DATA IS COMPLICATED: KNOW YOUR DATA AND KNOW YOUR GENERATOR

Wiesbaden, 21. März, 2024

Jonathan Latner, PhD Dr. Marcel Neuenhoeffer Prof. Dr. Jörg Drechsler





#### **OVERVIEW**

- Common perception that making synthetic data is easy
- We to show that its complicated
  - You need to know your data
    - Missing values, messy data, etc.
  - You need to know your synthetic data generator (SDG)
    - Compare 3 SDGs: DataSynthesizer, CTGAN, Synthpop
    - How does it deal with missing values?
    - How computationally efficient is it (in terms of duration in time)?
    - How does it meet privacy standards? (but not today)
- Conclusion Every SDG has advantages/disadvantages (no one, correct solution)
  - Synthpop is good, but has problem with dimensionality
  - DataSynthesizer is not as good, but can set  $\epsilon$ -DP
  - CTGAN is bad, but maybe the problem is CTGAN, not GANs in general

#### THE GOOD NEWS – MAKING SYNTHETIC DATA IS EASY

- Gretel.ai: The synthetic data platform for developers. Generate artificial datasets with the same characteristics as real data, so you can develop and test AI models without compromising privacy.
- Mostly.ai: Synthetic Data. Better than real. Still struggling with real data? Use existing data for synthetic data generation. Synthetic data is more accessible, more flexible, and simply...smarter.
- Statice.ai: Generating synthetic data comes down to learning the joint probability distribution in an original, real dataset to generate a new dataset with the same distribution. The more complex the real dataset, the more difficult it is to map dependencies correctly. Deep learning models such as generative adversarial networks (GAN) and variational autoencoders (VAE) are well suited for synthetic data generation.
- hazy.com: Synthetic data does not contain any real data points so can be shared freely. Say goodbye to lengthy governance processes associated with real data. Specifically, Hazy data is designed to preserve all the patterns. statistical properties and correlations in the source data, so that it can be used as a drop-in replacement for it.
- DataSynthesizer: The distinguishing feature of DataSynthesizer is its usability the data owner does not have to specify any parameters to start generating and sharing data safely and effectively.

#### THE BAD NEWS – MAKING SYNTHETIC DATA IS HARD

- According to the Alan Turing Institute (Jordan et al., 2022)
- Synthetic data is not a replacement for real data. It is a distorted version of the real data.
  - Why are we creating synthetic data?
  - Agreeing on the goal will help us make decisions (synthesizer, measures, etc.)
- How does the synthesizer work? from complete black box to complete user choice
- How different should it be? How do we measure the difference (utility and fidelity)?
  - Utility and fidelity are sometimes called general/broad or specific/narrow measures within the single concept of utility (Snoke et al., 2018: Drechsler and Reiter, 2009).
- Computationally efficiency (i.e. duration in time) is important and often ignored. The algorithm should scale well with the dimension of the data space in a relational way, not exponential way.
- How do we evaluate privacy? (not today)

### OUR GOAL IS TO ILLUSTRATE THE CHALLENGES

- Know your data (1 dataset)
  - Social Diagnosis 2011 (SD2011) Cleaning/pre-processing (most evaluations use clean data)
- Know your generator
  - Evaluate 3 synthetic data generators (SDG): DataSynthesizer, CTGAN, Synthpop
    - How do they actually work? (only briefly described here)
- 4 utility measures
  - Propensity score mean-squared error (pMSE) Append the original and synthetic datasets. Create an indicator variable for original/synthetic datasets. The probability of being in the synthetic dataset is computed for each record in the combined dataset (n); this is the propensity score (p). Lower scores are better. (pMSE =  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\hat{p}_i - c]^2$ )
  - Ratio of counts/estimates (ROC/ROE) Calculate the ratio of each value in a given variable for both synthetic/original datasets. Then, calculate the ratio of each value for each dataset, and divide the smaller of these two estimates by the larger one. Higher scores are better.  $(ROE = \frac{min(y_{orig}^1, y_{synth}^1)}{max(y_{orig}^1, y_{synth}^1)})$
  - Confidence interval overlap from 2 regression models (OLS, GLM)
  - Computationally efficient with respect to duration in time



#### REAL DATA

- Social Diagnosis 2011 (SD2011)
- Loads with Synthpop
  - http://www.diagnoza.com/index-en.html
  - Not entirely clear how original data is created or cleaned to create data in Synthpop
    - No
- Like real data, has 'quirks' or unusual values/variables
  - Includes missings
    - Informative (i.e. for never worked abroad, wkabdur is missing)
    - Non-informative
  - Includes 'errors'
    - smoke Does smoke is NO, but nociga 20/22 cigarettes per day
    - bmi = 451, but height(cm) = 149 and weight(kg) = NA (999)
  - Includes generated variables (Can be problematic for SDGs)
    - bmi.agegr

## **DATA (SD2011)**

Number	Variable	Description	Туре	Observations	Unique.Values	Missings	Negative.values	Generated	Messy
1	sex	Sex	factor	5000	2	0	0		
2	age	Age of person, 2011	numeric	5000	79	0	0		
3	agegr	Age group, 2011	factor	5000	7	4	0	Yes	Yes
4	placesize	Category of the place of residence	factor	5000	6	0	0		
5	region	Region (voivodeship)	factor	5000	16	0	0		
6	edu	Highest educational qualification, 2011	factor	5000	5	7	0		
7	eduspec	Discipline of completed qualification	factor	5000	28	20	0		
10	income	Personal monthly net income	numeric	5000	407	683	603		
11	marital	Marital status	factor	5000	7	9	0		
12	mmarr	Month of marriage	numeric	5000	13	1350	0		
14	msepdiv	Month of separation/divorce	numeric	5000	13	4300	0		
15	ysepdiv	Year of separation/divorce	numeric	5000	51	4275	0		
16	ls	Perception of life as a whole	factor	5000	8	8	0		
17	depress	Depression symptoms indicator	numeric	5000	23	89	0		
24	nociga	Number of cigarettes smoked per day	numeric	5000	30	0	3737		Yes
25	alcabuse	Drinking too much alcohol	factor	5000	3	7	0		
26	alcsol	Starting to use alcohol to cope with troubles	factor	5000	3	82	0		
27	workab	Working abroad in 2007-2011 (in months)	factor	5000	3	438	0		
28	wkabdur	Total time spent on working abroad	numeric	5000	33	0	4875		Yes
29	wkabint	Plans to go abroad to work in the next two years	factor	5000	4	36	0		
30	wkabintdur	Intended duration of working abroad	factor	5000	6	4697	0		
31	emcc	Intended destination country	factor	5000	18	4714	0		