## H and K notes on eigenstuff

Jonathan Lin

July 5, 2019

So the goal of eigen analysis and all that of linear operators is that we would like to analyze a linear operator and "decompose it" into some sort of simpler structure. The canonical example are diagonalizable matrices: if we can diagonalize a matrix we have definitely simplified the problem greatly.

## 1 All Preliminary Definitions and basic results

**Definition 1.** Let V be a vector space over the field F and let T be a linear operator on V. An eigenvalue of T is a scalar c of F such that there is a non-zero vector v in V such that T(v) = cv. If c is an eigenvalue of T then any such vector where T(v) = cv is called an eigenvector of T associated with c and the collection of all v such that T(v) = cv is called the eigenspace associated with c.

It is clear that the eigenspace associated with c is a subspace of V.

The eigenspace associated with c is the null space of the linear transformation T - cI (Forward and converse directions are very easy). Then an equivalent definition would be that c is an eigenvalue of T if T - cI is not the zero subspace. In summary:

**Theorem 1.** Let T be a linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space V and let c be a scalar. The following are equivalent:

- 1. c is a characteristic value of T
- 2. T-cI is not an invertible linear transformation
- 3.  $\det(T cI) = 0.$

We define the characteristic polynomial of T to be  $\det(T-cI)$ . In the next proposition we show that similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial, so that the characteristic polynomial of a linear operator can be defined unambiguously.

**Proposition 1.** Similar matrices have the same characteristic polyomial.

*Proof.* If  $B = PAP^{-1}$ , then

$$det(B - cI) = det(PAP^{-1} - cI)$$
$$= det(P(A - cI)P^{-1})$$
$$= det(A - cI)$$

so we are done.

If V is an n dimensional vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$  there are 4 things that could happen:

- T has no characteristic values.
- $\bullet$  T has exactly n characteristic values.
- T has less than n characteristic values, but a collection of eigenvalues spans V.
- T has less than n characteristic values and no collection of eigenvalues spans V.

**Definition 2.** A linear operator T is diagonalizable if there is a basis for V where each vector in the basis is an eigenvector of T.

Suppose that T is diagonalizable and  $c_1, \ldots, c_n$  the distinct eigenvalues of T. Then there is an ordered basis B where T is represented by a diagonal matrix where the scalars  $c_i$  are on the main diagonal repeated a certain number of times. We deduce that the characteristic polynomial for T is the product of linear factors  $(\lambda - c_i)$  and hence the characteristic polynomial will have the form

$$(x-c_1)^{d_1}\cdots(x-c_k)^{d_k}.$$

Now we show that eigenvectors with different eigenvalues are mutually linearly independent.

**Theorem 2.** Let T be a linear operator on the finite-dimensional vector space V. Let  $c_1, \ldots, c_k$  be the distinct characteristic values of T and let  $W_i$  be the eigenspace of  $c_i$ . If  $W = W_1 + \cdots + W_k$ , then

$$\dim W = \dim W_1 + \dots + \dim W_k.$$

In fact, if we let  $B_i$  be a basis for  $W_i$  (for each i) then we have that  $B = (B_1, \ldots, B_k)$  is basis for W.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that W is a direct sum of the  $W_i$ , that is, if  $w_i \in W_i$  and  $w_1 + \cdots + w_k = 0$  then all the  $w_i$  are 0.

Suppose  $w_i \in W_i$  and that  $w_1 + \cdots + w_k = 0$ . For any polynomial f we have that

$$0 = f(T)0 = f(T)w_1 + \dots + f(T)w_k$$
  
=  $f(c_1)w_1 + \dots = f(c_k)w_k$ .

If we choose a polynomial  $f_i$  such that  $f_i(c_j) = \delta_{ij}$  then it follows that  $0 = f_i(c_i)w_i = w_i$ . So we are done. For the last statement this just follows from properties of linear independence and the invariance of dimension.

**Corollary 1.** The following statements are all equivalent:

1. T is diagonalizable.

2. The characteristic polynomial for T is

$$(x-c_1)^{d_1}\cdots(x-c_k)^{d_k}.$$

and  $dimW_i = d_i$  for i from 1 to k.

3.

$$\dim W = \dim W_1 + \dots + \dim W_k.$$

*Proof.* This is pretty straightforward once you consider the previous lemma.

Here is a matrix analogue of the previous theorem. Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in a field F and let  $c_1, \ldots, c_k$  be the distinct eigenvalues of A in F. For each i let  $W_i$  be the null space os  $A - c_i I$  and let  $B_i$  be an ordered basis for  $W_i$ . Then we can string the bases to form the columns of a matrix P. Then the previous theorem implies that A is similar to a diagonal matrix if and only if P is a square matrix. When P is square, P is invertible and  $P^{-1}AP$  is diagonal.

## 2 Polynomials which annihilate a linear operator T

Suppose T is a linear operator on V. If p is a polynomial in F[x], then p(T) is a linear operator on V. We say that p annihilates T if we have that p(T) = 0. It is easy to see that the collection of polynomials p which annihilate T are an ideal in F[x].

If V is finite-dimensional, then it is not true that this ideal is the zero ideal. To see why, note that  $\dim(\mathcal{L}(V,V)) = n^2$ , so that the  $n^2 + 1$  vectors  $I, T, \ldots, T^{n^2}$  are linearly dependent. So there are non-zero scalars such that

$$a_0I + \dots + a_{n^2}T^{n^2} = 0.$$

We say the minimal polynomial for T is the unique monic generator of the annihilators of T.

Suppose that  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$  and suppose that  $F_1 \supset F$  is an extension field (or whatever correct term to use) of F. Then it is true that  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F_1)$ . We claim that the minimal polynomial is the same in both cases. To see why, we note that over F the minimal polynomial is

$$f(x) = x^k + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j x^j.$$

Expanding any polynomial at A we obtain a system of  $n^2$  homogeneous linear equations for  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}$ . The coefficients for these lie in F and it is clear that if a solution exists in  $F_1$  a solution must also exist for F. So the two minimal polynomials are actually the same.

Here is the important trick used here: Suppose A and b are a matrix and vector with entries of a field F and suppose Ax = b has some non-trivial solutions in some extension field  $F_1$ . Then it is clear that row-reduction will find a solution, all of whose entries are in F.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose T is a linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space V. Then the characteristic polynomial and the minimal polynomial for T have the same roots disregarding multiplicities.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that p(c) = 0 if and only if c is an eigenvalue of T.

First, suppose p(c) = 0. Then there is a unique polynomial q such that

$$p(x) = (x - c)q(x).$$

Choose any vector v such that  $q(T)v \neq 0$  (this is possible because p is the minimal polyonmial). Then we have that

$$0 = p(T)v = (T - cI)q(T)v$$

which implies that q(T)v is an eigenvector of T.

Conversely, suppose that c is an eigenvalue of T (that is, T(v) = cv for some vector v). Then we have that 0 = p(T)v = p(c)v. Since we assume v to be non-zero this implies that p(c) = 0, as desired. 

We observe that p must be the product of the linear polynomials which have eigenvalues as their roots.

Here is an important theorem about the relationship between the minimal polynomial and the characteristic polynomial.

**Theorem 4.** The minimal polynomial divides the characteristic polynomial. That is, if p is the characteristic polynomial of some linear operator T then p(T) = 0.

There are a couple of proofs of this theorem.

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is that we move the perspective to the ring of polynomial operators of T. Using a determinant which we can see is p(T), where p is the characteristic polynomial, then we can more easily see that T must be 0.

Let K = F[T], that is, the set of polynomials in T with coefficients in F. Choose a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  for V, and let A be the matrix which represents T in the given basis.

Then we have that by the definition of matrix multiplication, that

$$T(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ji} v_j \implies \sum_{j=1} (\delta_{ij} T - A_{ji} I) v_j = 0.$$