

[The Hartmann Report](#)




[Subscribe](#) [Sign in](#)



Share this post

States That Have Enacted Restrictive Voting Laws, 2021–24



Source: Brennan Center analysis of publicly available data.

Copy link



Facebook



Email



Notes

More

[Daily Take](#)

TRUMP LOST. Vote Suppression Won.

Trump lost. That is, if all legal voters were allowed to vote, if all legal ballots were counted, Trump would have lost the states of Wisconsin, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Georgia. Vice-President Kamala Harris would have won the Presidency with 286 electoral votes.

And, if not for the mass purge of voters of color, if not for the mass disqualification of provisional and mail-in ballots, if not for the new mass “vigilante” challenges in swing states, **Harris would have gained at least another 3,565,000 votes, topping Trump’s official popular vote tally by 1.2 million.**

Stay with me and I'll give you the means, methods and, most important, the key calculations.

But if you're expecting a sexy story about Elon Musk messing with vote-counting software from outer space, sorry, you won't get that here.

As in *Bush v. Gore* in 2000 and in too many other miscarriages of Democracy, this election was determined by good old “vote suppression,” the polite term we use for shafting people of color out of their ballot. We used to call it Jim Crow.

Here are key numbers:

- **4,776,706** voters were wrongly purged from voter rolls according to US Elections Assistance Commission data.
- By August of 2024, for the first time since 1946, self-proclaimed “vigilante” voter-fraud hunters **challenged the rights of 317,886 voters**. The NAACP of Georgia estimates that by Election Day, the challenges exceeded 200,000 in Georgia alone.
- No fewer than **2,121,000 mail-in ballots were disqualified** for minor clerical errors (e.g. postage due).
- **At least 585,000 ballots cast in-precinct were also disqualified**.
- **1,216,000** “provisional” ballots were rejected, not counted.
- **3.24 million new registrations were rejected** or not entered on the rolls in time to vote.

If the purges, challenges and ballot rejections were random, it wouldn't matter. It's anything but random. **For example, an audit by the State of Washington found that a Black voter was 400% more likely than a white voter to have their mail-in ballot rejected.** Rejection of Black in-person votes, according to a US Civil Rights Commission study in Florida, ran 14.3% or one in seven ballots cast.

There are also the uncountable effects of the explosive growth of voter intimidation tactics including the bomb threats that closed 31 polling stations in Atlanta on Election Day.

America's Nasty Little Secret




The nasty little secret of American democracy is that *we don't count all the votes*. Nor let every citizen vote.

In 2024, especially, after an avalanche of new not-going-to-let-you-vote laws passed in almost every red state, the number of citizens Jim Crow'd out of their vote soared into the millions. **According to the Brennan Center for Justice, since the 2020 election, “At least 30 states enacted 78 restrictive laws” to blockade voting.** The race-targeted laws ran the gamut from shuttering drop boxes in Black-majority cities to, for the first time, allowing non-government self-appointed “vote fraud vigilantes” to challenge voters by the hundreds of thousands.

Throughout election seasons, *The New York Times* and *NPR* and establishment media write stories and editorials decrying vote suppression tactics, from new ID requirements to new restrictions on mail-in voting. But, notably, the mainstream press *never, ever, not once*, will say that these ugly racist attacks on voters changed the outcome of an election.

Question: **If these vote suppression laws—notorious example: Georgia’s SB 202—had no effect on election outcomes, then why did GOP legislators fight so hard to pass these laws?** The answer is clear on the Brennan Center’s map of states that passed restrictive laws. It’s pretty much Trump’s victory map.



Source: Brennan Center analysis of publicly available data.



America Goes Postal



Let's look at just one vote suppression operation in action.

In 2020, during the pandemic, America went postal. More than 43% of us voted by mail.

But it wasn't easy. Harris County, Texas, home of Houston, tried to mail out ballots during the Covid epidemic on the grounds that voters shouldn't die waiting in lines at polling stations. But then, the state's Republican Attorney General Ken Paxton stopped this life-saving measure.

Why wouldn't this GOP official let Houstonians vote safely? Maybe it's because Houston has the largest number of Black voters of any city in America. **Indeed, on Steve Bannon's podcast, Paxton proudly stated, "Had we not done that [stopped Houston from sending out ballots], Donald Trump would've lost the election" in Texas. Texas!**

Before the 2024 election, prompted by Trump's evidence-free attack on mail-in ballots as inherently fraudulent, 22 states, according to the Brennan Center, imposed "38 new restrictions on the ability to vote absentee that were not in place in 2020...likely to most affect or already have disproportionately affected voters of color." You're shocked, right?

Texas' requirement to add ID numbers to an absentee ballot caused the rejection rate to jump from 1% to 12%.

So, here's the question we need to ask. If restrictions on mail-in balloting swung Texas to Trump, how did all these new restrictions affect the outcome of the vote in other states?

In 2020, an NPR study found the mail-in ballot rejection rate hit 13.8% during the Democratic primaries—a loss of one in seven ballots.

Take Georgia, where the [Palast Investigative Fund](#) spent months in on-the-ground investigations.

Here are photos of a Georgia voter, career military officer and Pentagon advisor Major Gamaliel Turner (Ret), demonstrating for young voters how to fill out an absentee ballot, emphasizing that it must be mailed in promptly. He did, seven days before the deadline. But we only recently learned that Georgia officials disqualified his ballot as received too late.



Major Gamaliel Turner (now retired) about to mail in his absentee ballot. The state of Georgia rejected it. (Photo: Palast Investigative Fund 2024.)

In 2008, even before the majority of Democrats began voting by mail, when absentee balloting was much rarer, the federal government reported 488,136 mail-in ballots were rejected, almost all on picayune grounds (i.e. middle initial on signature missing etc.). An MIT study put the number of rejected mail-in ballots at 2.9%.

That's the low-end of MIT's estimate of mail-in ballots tossed out. Charles Stewart, Distinguished Professor of Political Science, author of the report, notes mail-in ballots requested and never received nor returned could raise the total mail-in ballot loss rate to 21%.

For 2024, that would total 14.1 million ballots that, effectively, vanished from the count.

The "failure to return" ballot was exacerbated in this election by the steep cut in ballot drop boxes, a method favored by urban (read, "Democratic") voters. Black voters in Atlanta used ballot drop boxes extensively because they feared, with good reason, relying on the Post Office [see Major Turner's story above].

In response, the Republican Governor of Georgia, **Brian Kemp, signed SB 202 which slashed the number of drop boxes by 75% only in Black-majority counties and locked them away at night. These moves slashed mail-in and drop box balloting, used by the majority of Democrats in 2020, by nearly 90% in the 2024 race.**

Even if deemed "on time," ballots still face rejection. Marietta, Georgia, first-time voter Andrian Consonery Jr. told me his mail-in ballot was rejected because his signature supposedly didn't match that on his registration. (I needn't add, Consonery is Black.) In effect, Consonery was accused of forgery—a federal crime—not by the FBI but by self-appointed amateur sleuths. This challenge to mail-in ballots, part of a right-wing campaign, has gone viral.





Georgian Adrian Consonery Jr.'s mail-in ballot was challenged because of a false claim that his signature was forged. Photo: Zach D. Roberts for the [Palast Investigative Fund](#) (2024)

In 2020, the federal government reported that 157,477 ballots were rejected for supposedly “mis-matched” signatures. That’s quite a crime wave—but without criminals.

And that’s before we get to the dozens of other attacks on voting that were freshly minted for the 2024 election, attacks aimed at voters of color.

The crucial statistic is that not everyone’s ballot gets disqualified. One study done for the United States Civil Rights Commission found that a Black person, such as Maj. Turner, will be 900% more likely to have their mail-in or in-person ballot disqualified than a white voter.

Now, let’s do some arithmetic. If we take the lowest end of the MIT ballot rejection rate, and only a tenth of the “lost” ballot rate, and then apply it to the number of mail-in and drop-box ballots, we can conservatively estimate **that 2,121,000 mail-in votes went into the electoral dumpster.**

Whose ballots? **Democrats are 51% more likely than Republicans to vote by mail;** and, given the racial disparity in ballot rejections, Trump’s swing-state margins begin to look shaky.

The KKK Plan and the New Vigilantes



In 2020, the [Palast Investigative Fund](#) uncovered a whole new way to bring Jim Crow back to life: challenges to a citizen’s right to vote by a posse of self-proclaimed vote-fraud hunters.

Four years ago, the GOP took this new suppression method out for a test ride in Georgia when 88 Republican operatives—remember, these are *not* government officials — challenged the rights of over 180,000 Georgians to have their ballots counted. These vigilantes based their scheme on the program originally used by the Ku Klux Klan in 1946.

One challenged voter: Major Turner, the same voter whose mail-in ballot was disqualified in a later election.

In 2020, the Major’s ballot was challenged by the county Chairman of the Republican Party in Southern Georgia, Alton Russell. (Russell likes to dress up as infamous vigilante Doc Holliday, with a loaded six-gun in a holster.) In a (polite) confrontation we filmed between the Major and Russell, the GOP honcho admitted he had no evidence that Maj. Turner, nor any of the 4,000 others he challenged, should be denied the right to have their ballots counted.

Note: The Palast Fund contacted a sample of 800 of these challenged voters and found that, overwhelmingly, they were Americans of color.

In 2020, this KKK plan, adopted by the Trump organization, proved its value. In that election, Trump almost won Georgia, falling short by just 11,779 votes—only because local elections officials rejected most of the challenges. But for 2024, the Georgia’s Republican-controlled legislature changed the law to make it very difficult for officials to deny the challenges.

That emboldened the Trump-supported organization True the Vote to roll out the challenge to every swing state. **In 2024, True the Vote signed up over 40,000 volunteer vigilantes. The organization crowed proudly that, by August of 2024, they’d already challenged a mind-blowing 317,886 voters** in dozens of states. By Election Day this November, True the Vote projected it would have challenged over two million voters. In addition, Trump’s lawyer, Cleta Mitchell, founded Eagle AI to challenge hundreds of thousands more including in swing state Pennsylvania.

How many voters ultimately lost their ballots? Almost all voting officials we’ve contacted have refused to answer.

Placebo Ballots



Those voters who’d been challenged but mailed in their ballot would be unlikely to know their vote had been lost. Others who showed up in person at a poll would be told they could not vote on a regular ballot. These voters were sent away or forced to vote on a “provisional” ballot.

If you’ve been challenged or find you’ve been purged off the registration rolls, you’ll be offered one of these provisional ballots, paper ballots you place in a special envelope. Typically, you’ll be promised your registration will be checked and then your ballot will be counted. Bullshit. If you’re challenged, unless you personally contact or go into your county clerk’s office with ID and proof of address, your ballot goes into the electoral dumpster.

A better name for a “provisional” ballot would be “placebo” ballot. You think you’ve voted, but chances are, you did not, that is, your ballot wasn’t counted.

Here’s an ugly number: **According to the US Elections Assistance Commission (EAC), in 2016, when 2.5 million provisional ballots were cast, a breathtaking 42.3% were never counted.**

Think about that. **Over a million Americans lost their vote** — though, notably, not one was charged with attempting to vote illegally. And that was in 2016, before the vigilante challenges and before millions more had been purged from the rolls leading up to the 2024 election.

And here’s the statistic that matters most. Black, Hispanic or Asian-America voters are 300% more likely than white voters to be shunted to a “placebo” provisional ballot.

The Great Purge and the Poison Postcard



The polite term in government agencies is, “List Maintenance.” It’s best known as The Purge—when voters’ registrations are wiped off the rolls. The EAC keeps track of The Purge. It’s a big business. For example, before the 2022 election, when the data was last available, swing state North Carolina wiped 392,851 voters

off the rolls.

The majority of removals were based on questionable, indeed, shockingly faulty information that a voter had moved their residence. I'm not talking about the 4.9 million voters purged because they're dead, or eight million others whose residential move could be verified, nor those serving time in prison nor those ruled too crazy to vote.


I'm talking about a trick that has been perfected by politicians of both parties to eliminate voters of the wrong persuasion: the Poison Postcard. Here's how it works: Targeted voters are mailed postcards by state elections officials. (Let's remember, state voting chiefs, "Secretaries of State," are almost to a one partisan hacks.) Voters who don't sign and return the cards, which look like junk mail, will be purged.

The Poison Postcard response rate is close to nothing. In Arizona, according to the EAC, just one in ten postcards are returned. And in Georgia, the vote-saving response is barely above 1%. And that's the way our partisan voting officials like it.

Were the millions of Americans purged before the 2024 election all fraudsters who should lose their right to vote? Direct marketing expert Mark Swedlund told us, "This only means that most people, especially young people, the poor and voters of color, simply ignore junk mail."

With the help of Swedlund and the same experts used by Amazon—and believe me, Amazon knows *exactly* where you live--we took a deep dive into two states' purge operations for the ACLU.

The state of Georgia had purged hundreds of thousands from the voter rolls on grounds they'd moved from their voting addresses. **Our experts, going name by name through Georgia's purge list, working from special data provided us by the US Postal Service, identified 198,351 Georgians who had been purged for moving had, in fact, not moved an inch from their legal voting address.** The state's only evidence these 198,351 voters had moved? They failed to return the Poison Postcard.



In 2020, I testified in federal court for the NAACP and RainbowPUSH, presenting our expert findings to get those voters, overweighted with minorities and young Georgians, back on the rolls. Unfortunately, the Trump'd-up court system now gives huge deference to a state's voting operations, a trend which first took off in 2013 when the US Supreme Court defenestrated the Voting Rights Act.

The results have been devastating. **According to the EAC data, before the 2024 election, 4,776,706 registrants were removed nationwide simply because they failed to return the postcard.**

Also in 2020, the [Palast Investigative Fund](#) produced a technical report for Black Voters Matter Fund on a proposed purge of 153,779 voters in Wisconsin, a plan pushed by Wisconsin Institute for Law and Liberty, a group financed by right-wing billionaires. For Black Voters Matter, we brought back our team of location experts who proved, name by name, that the proposed purge was wildly riddled with errors.

Notably, we found that the purge was aimed almost exclusively at African-Americans in Milwaukee and at students in Madison. The non-partisan Elections Board agreed with us, allowing those voters to cast ballots, with the result that Biden squeaked by Trump in Wisconsin by 20,682 votes. (Note: It was not our intention to elect Biden, but to allow the voters, not some Purge'n General, to pick our President.)

Unfortunately, **before the 2024 election, the Poison Postcard Purge accelerated. This time, a new Elections Board in Wisconsin (10 electoral votes) decided to use the same discredited purge list to knock off 166,433 voters which, this time, we could not stop. Kamala Harris lost that state by just 29,397 votes. In Pennsylvania (19 electoral votes), the Poison Postcards wiped out 360,132 voters, three times Trump's victory margin.**

And before the vote this year, Georgia ramped up the purge, targeting an astonishing 875,000 voters, earning it the #1 ranking for "election integrity" by the ultra-right-wing Heritage Foundation.

I saw the purge in action in Savannah, Georgia, this October, where 900 Savannah voters, most of them Black, were challenged by one single "vigilante," according to voting expert Carry Smith. Smith, who wrote her doctoral thesis on wrongful purges in Georgia, was herself on the hit list.

And more



We haven't even touched on other ways that voters of color, college students and urban voters have come under attack. These include the rejection of new

registrations and rejection of in-person votes as “spoiled” (i.e. rejected as unreadable), costing, according to the EAC, more than a million votes—rejections which our 25 years of investigations have found are way outweighed against the Democratic demographic.

After the 2012 election, I was able to calculate, with cold certainty, that 2,383,587 new voters had their registrations rejected; 488,136 legitimate absentee ballots were disqualified, and so on. In that election, a total of 5,901,814 citizens were blocked from voting or had their ballots disqualified. These stats were based on the hard data from the EAC which gathers detailed reports from the states.

Today, with new, sophisticated, and well-financed vote suppression operations, the number of voters purged and ballots disqualified are clearly far higher than the suppression count of 2012. Unfortunately, the EAC won’t release data, if it does at all, for at least a year. We’ve put in Open Records requests to the states, but today’s officials are stonewalling and slow-walking our requests for the data.

In no other democracy are the vote totals—or, to be clear, the uncounted ballot totals—a state secret.

America deserves an answer to this question: Excluding a boost from Jim Crow vote suppression games, *did Donald Trump win?*

From the shockingly huge numbers we’ve discussed here of provisional and mail-in ballots disqualified, the postcard purge operation, the vigilante challenges and so on, we can say, with reasonable certainty, Trump lost—that is, would have lost both the Electoral College and popular vote totals absent suppression.

By how much?

For those who can’t sleep without my best estimate, let me apply the most conservative methodology possible, as I would do in a government investigation.

I’ve updated the 2012 suppression numbers with the newest available data. Not surprisingly, the suppression number has soared, in part because the number of voters has increased by 41.3 million since 2012. But principally, the votes “lost” also zoomed upward because of the massive increase in mail-in balloting by Democrats since 2012, and crucially, the effect of new Jim Crow voting restrictions. Given a minimum two-to-one racial and partisan disparity in voters purged and ballots disqualified, the 2024 “suppression factor” is no less than 4.596% of the total vote.

Those familiar with data mining will note that there is some double-counting in the 9 million voters and their ballots disqualified that I cited at the top of the article. In addition, we must recognize that many voters caught up in the purges and challenges would have cast their ballot for Trump. Therefore, I’ve conservatively cut in half the low end of the range of the calculation of votes suppressed to 2.3% to isolate the effect on Trump’s official victory margin.

In other words, vote suppression cost Kamala Harris no fewer than 3,565,000 votes. Harris would have topped Trump’s official total by 1.2 million. Most important, this 2.3% suppression factor undoubtedly cost Harris the states of Wisconsin, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Georgia. If not for the wholesale attack on votes and voters, Harris would have won the election with 286 Electoral votes.

Tech note from a numbers guy—and Martin Luther King



Until the Elections Assistance Commission gets updated figures from the states next year (and, under Trump, I doubt we will ever get those numbers), 3,565,000 votes lost to Harris is the estimate I would present in my role as a forensic expert in a courtroom as the lowest conceivable suppression factor.

I rarely make a big deal about my own credentials but, since the election, the Web has been flooded by amateur, arithmetic-defying speculation about computer hacking and other unsupported twaddle. Best to stick to hard, verifiable data. And that’s what I do.

For two decades, I was a forensic economist for government agencies including the US Justice Department; taught statistics at Indiana University; provided expert calculations of vote suppression for the ACLU, NAACP, and RainbowPUSH, and won the Global Editors Award for my data journalism on vote suppression measurements for reports done for Al Jazeera, BBC, *Rolling Stone* and *The Guardian*. The numbers you get here are exactly what I’d present to a Federal court. In other words, kids, don’t do this at home...calculating the “un-count” requires expertise.

I make this point for another reason: The theory that “Elon Musk messed with the voting machines” is, unconsciously, unintentionally racist. With few exceptions, these silly speculations come from those who simply ignore not just the millions of votes officially reported as suppressed, their theories also ignore the horrifically painful experience of Black people turned away from the polls.

Here is a photo of Jessica Lawrence in tears, moments after her 92-year-old grandmother was tossed out of an Atlanta polling station, into a storm, because she’d been wrongfully purged. Any speculation about the nefarious cause of Trump’s win must not leave out Jessica’s grandma nor the millions of other citizens of color who were wrongly barred from their ballot.



Jessica Lawrence at Atlanta polling station just after her 92-year-old grandmother was denied a ballot. Photo: David Ambrose for the Palast Investigative Fund ©2018. [See Ms. Jordan and Maj. Turner in the film, *Vigilantes Inc: America’s New Vote Suppression Hitmen*, available without charge on YouTube.]

Now here's the good news.



We saw that in 2020 when, despite extreme, even felonious actions by Trump supporters to block, challenge and disqualify voters and ballots, the theft by suppression was defeated.

That was the work of voting rights groups challenging these attacks. The work was done in the courts and, more important, in the precincts, re-registering the purged, challenging the challenges, "curing" disqualified ballots.

The road is long but victory is certain. After the 2016 election, the Palast team uncovered a cruel, racist purge program called, "Interstate Crosscheck" that cost nearly a million voters, overwhelmingly minorities, their rights. This motivated the Rev. Jesse Jackson to launch a campaign that successfully shut down Crosscheck. Unquestionably, Joe Biden could not have won in 2020 without the Reverend saving literally hundreds of thousands of votes. The point is, **they can't suppress all the votes all the time.**

In other words, *Democracy can win*, despite the 2.3% suppression headwind.

And that's our job as Americans: to end the purges, the vigilante challenges, the ballot rejections and the attitude that this is all somehow OK.

Martin Luther King gave us our marching orders in 1965, in words just as important today.

"Let us march on ballot boxes, march on ballot boxes until race-baiters disappear from the political arena. I want to say to the people of America and the nations of the world, that we are not about to turn around. We are on the move now."

Forensic economist and data journalist Palast covered vote suppression for *The Guardian*, *BBC Television* and *Rolling Stone*. He is the author of *New York Times* bestsellers on the topic including *The Best Democracy Money Can Buy*.

The Hartmann Report is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

The song that was inspired by this article [is here](#).

My reading this article as an audio podcast is [here](#).

My new book, [The Hidden History of the American Dream](#), is now available.

You can follow me on Blue Sky [here](#).



1,227



Share this post

Source: Brennan Center analysis of publicly available data.

MANAGEMENT REPORT

TRUMP LOST. Vote Suppression Won.



69

Sh

4

Next →



Greg Palast

[Subscribe to Greg](#)

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



⌘

Eadie Sharron

[Jan 24](#)



My questions: Why are you giving us chapter and verse, as to how the election was stolen now? Why did Kamala Harris fold, while the votes were still being counted? She said otherwise when campaigning. She was aware of what was going on and said she was prepared to fight. Why did Biden accept the results without question, while he was still in office? Why is Palast standing alone in this effort? Where were all the other democratic leaders? Ans. AWOL. For anyone that was watching, we knew the results of the election were fraudulent. Spoonamore, an expert in voter machine irregularities, said the vote tallies didn't add up. Democrats had all the ammunition they needed to challenge the results. They did nothing! Crying over spilled milk now does nothing but instill anxiety and dread for what's to come.

Expand full comment

Reply

Share

[22 replies by Thom Hartmann and others](#)



⌘

Cynthia Shallit

[Jan 24](#)



I'll tell that aggressive ballot curing can really work. In hotly contested central valley California CA13, Adam Gray, won by only 187 votes (largely working class Hispanics and Asians)because we sent an army of Indivisible, Swing Left, and Sister District volunteers in to correct challenged ballots. One at a time. We would wait until they came home and signed documents showing their correct signature (the biggest error was their signature didn't match signatures on record, like on their DL). We'd keep going back until we got the person-- setting appointments to see when they came back from college,or work etc , always having translators in every language ready to talk to them. Hand carrying the affidavits back to the county clerk. Lots of Big Oil money was poured in that race against us. It was tough! Every day we were down in those neighborhoods. But we flipped the seat from the GOP incumbent to BLUE. We did it! Ballot correction makes a difference.

Expand full comment

Reply

Share

[1 reply](#)

[67 more comments...](#)

Top

Latest

Discussions

Q

No posts

Ready for more?

Type your email...

Subscribe

© 2025 Thomas Hartmann

[Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)



[Start Writing](#)[Get the app](#)
[Substack](#) is the home for great culture



Share

Copy link



Facebook



Email



Notes

More