

1. (2pts) What is the intent of the Singleton?
2. Consider the following implementation of the Singleton:

Singleton.h

```
class Singleton {  
private:  
    static Singleton* _instance;  
    int _data;  
protected:  
    Singleton();  
public:  
    static Singleton* getInstance();  
    int getData();  
    void setData(int);  
};
```

Singleton.cpp

```
#include "Singleton.h"  
  
Singleton::Singleton() {}  
  
Singleton* Singleton::_instance = nullptr;  
  
Singleton* Singleton::getInstance() {  
    if(_instance == nullptr) {  
        _instance = new Singleton();  
    }  
    return _instance;  
}  
//data getter and setter below...
```

- (a) (3pts) Draw the class diagram for the program.
- (b) (3pts) Assume we are working within a cpp file which has `#include "Singleton.h"`. Which of the following lines of code will produce a compile time error? Why?

`Singleton s1;`

`Singleton* s2 = new Singleton();`

`Singleton* s3 = Singleton::getInstance();`
- (c) (2pts) Why is the data member `_instance` declared static?

(d) (4pts) How many times can the constructor of the Singleton class be executed in a program? Why?

(e) (4pts) What will the following program print to the terminal?

Main.cpp

```
#include "Singleton.h"
#include <iostream>

int main() {
    Singleton* s = Singleton::getInstance();
    s->setData(7);
    std::cout << s->getData() << "\n";
    Singleton* t = Singleton::getInstance();
    t->setData(12);
    std::cout << t->getData() << "\n";
    std::cout << s->getData() << "\n";
}
```

3. Is the Singleton an *Anti-pattern*?

(a) (2pts) What is an anti-pattern?

(b) (2pts) Why do many people consider the Singleton to be an anti-pattern?

(c) (6pts) List as many reasons as you can think of (or find in any resource) as to why global states/variables are evil. Include something about testing software.