

1. Instructions

1 point

For this assignment we will use data from Lalonde (1986), that aimed to evaluate the impact of National Supported Work (NSW) Demonstration, which is a labor training program, on post-intervention income levels. Interest is in estimating the causal effect of this training program on income.

First load the packages TableOne, Matching, ipw, and survey:

```
>install.packages("tableone")
```

```
>install.packages("Matching")
```

```
>install.packages("ipw")
```

```
>install.packages("survey")
```

```
>install.packages("MatchIt")
```

```
> library(tableone)
```

```
> library(Matching)
```

```
>library(ipw)
```

```
>library(survey)
```

Now load the lalonde data (which is in the MatchIt package):

```
>library(MatchIt)
```

```
> data(lalonde)
```

The data have n=614 subjects and 10 variables

age age in years.

educ years of schooling.

black indicator variable for blacks.

hispan indicator variable for Hispanics.

married indicator variable for marital status.

nodegree indicator variable for high school diploma.

re74 real earnings in 1974.

re75 real earnings in 1975.

re78 real earnings in 1978.

treat an indicator variable for treatment status.

The *outcome* is
re78 – post-intervention income.

The *treatment* is
treat – which is equal to 1 if the subject received the labor training and equal to 0 otherwise.

The potential *confounding variables* are: age, educ, black, hispan, married, nodegree, re74, re75.

Fit a propensity score model. Use a logistic regression model, where the outcome is treatment. Include the 8 confounding variables in the model as predictors, with no interaction terms or non-linear terms (such as squared terms). Obtain the propensity score for each subject. Next, obtain the inverse probability of treatment weights for each subject.

Question 1

What are the minimum and maximum weights?

☐ 0.44 and 955.8

☒ 1.01 and 40.1

- ☐ 0.009 and 0.85
- ☐ 0.20 and 178.2

2. Find the standardized differences for each confounder on the weighted (pseudo) population. What is the standardized difference for nodegree?

1 point

- ☒ 0.11
- ☐ 0.05
- ☐ 0.57
- ☐ 0.62

3. Using IPTW, find the estimate and 95% confidence interval for the average causal effect. This can be obtained from svyglm

1 point

- ☐ Est: -342.24 95% CI: (-719.04, 51.88)
- ☒ Est: 224.68 95% CI: (-1559.32, 2008.67)
- ☐ Est: 575.40 95% CI: (-201.23, 1339.74)
- ☐ Est: 984.11 95% CI: (151.87, 1582.49)

4. Instructions

1 point

Now truncate the weights at the 1st and 99th percentiles. This can be done with the trunc=0.01 option in svyglm.

Questions 4

Using IPTW with the truncated weights, find the estimate and 95% confidence interval for the average causal effect

- ☐ -105.56 (-909.32, 755.17)
- ☒ 486.93 (-1090.64, 2064.51)
- ☐ 291.62 (-898.13, 1202.79)
- ☐ 1040.87 (121.82, 2171.04)

Coursera Honor Code [Learn more](#)

☒ I, **Jonathan Masion Presto**, understand that submitting work that isn't my own may result in permanent failure of this course or deactivation of my Coursera account.