Probabilities, probably

Jon Dehdari

November 2, 2015

Good Morning!



Good Morning!



• Last week we discussed (formal) languages and grammars in terms of **set membership**

- Last week we discussed (formal) languages and grammars in terms of set membership
- That is, whether a particular sentence was grammatical or ungrammatical

- Last week we discussed (formal) languages and grammars in terms of set membership
- That is, whether a particular sentence was grammatical or ungrammatical
- This is, of course, an overly simplistic view of natural language

- Last week we discussed (formal) languages and grammars in terms of **set membership**
- That is, whether a particular sentence was grammatical or ungrammatical
- This is, of course, an overly simplistic view of natural language
- This week, we're going to take a more subtle approach
- The formal languages & grammars are still relevant, but we're going to add probabilities to them

• A **probability** tells you how likely something will happen

- A probability tells you how likely something will happen
- Another way of looking at it is that it is just a score for a possible event

- A probability tells you how likely something will happen
- Another way of looking at it is that it is just a score for a possible event
- The score is between 0 and 1 (the unit interval)
- The scores for all of the possible outcomes must add up to 1
 (unity)

- A probability tells you how likely something will happen
- Another way of looking at it is that it is just a score for a possible event
- The score is between 0 and 1 (the unit interval)
- The scores for all of the possible outcomes must add up to 1
 (unity)
- Adding up all the scores is called normalization

- A probability tells you how likely something will happen
- Another way of looking at it is that it is just a score for a possible event
- The score is between 0 and 1 (the unit interval)
- The scores for all of the possible outcomes must add up to 1
 (unity)
- Adding up all the scores is called normalization
- A probability distribution is the probabilities for all the possible outcomes

 A uniform distribution is where all the outcomes have the same probability

- A uniform distribution is where all the outcomes have the same probability
- That's rarely true in the real world

- A uniform distribution is where all the outcomes have the same probability
- That's rarely true in the real world
- But it's a good starting point, until you know better

- A uniform distribution is where all the outcomes have the same probability
- That's rarely true in the real world
- But it's a good starting point, until you know better
- So, for example, suppose I say "It's raining cats and _____".

- A uniform distribution is where all the outcomes have the same probability
- That's rarely true in the real world
- But it's a good starting point, until you know better
- So, for example, suppose I say "It's raining cats and _____".
 What word do you think I'll say next?

- A uniform distribution is where all the outcomes have the same probability
- That's rarely true in the real world
- But it's a good starting point, until you know better
- So, for example, suppose I say "It's raining cats and _____".
 What word do you think I'll say next?
- A uniform distribution would give the same probability for every English word

$$p(w_i) = \frac{1}{|V|}$$
$$= |V|^{-1}$$

- A uniform distribution is where all the outcomes have the same probability
- That's rarely true in the real world
- But it's a good starting point, until you know better
- So, for example, suppose I say "It's raining cats and _____".
 What word do you think I'll say next?
- A uniform distribution would give the same probability for every English word

$$p(w_i) = \frac{1}{|V|}$$
$$= |V|^{-1}$$

• Ok, so maybe it's not a very good idea

• In a **unigram distribution** (or unigram model), probabilities are based on word frequencies

• In a **unigram distribution** (or unigram model), probabilities are based on word frequencies

$$p(w_i) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w)}$$

• In a **unigram distribution** (or unigram model), probabilities are based on word frequencies

$$p(w_i) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w)}$$

 So the word "the" will have a much higher probability than "dogs"

• In a **unigram distribution** (or unigram model), probabilities are based on word frequencies

$$p(w_i) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w)}$$

- So the word "the" will have a much higher probability than "dogs"
- It doesn't take into account a word's context

• The probability of two events occurring is called the **joint probability** p(h, w)

- The probability of two events occurring is called the joint probability p(h, w)
- The probability of a word after a history of previous words is the conditional probability p(w|h)

- The probability of two events occurring is called the joint probability p(h, w)
- The probability of a word after a history of previous words is the conditional probability p(w|h)
- The reverse of that is the **posterior probability** p(h|w)

- The probability of two events occurring is called the joint probability p(h, w)
- The probability of a word after a history of previous words is the conditional probability p(w|h)
- The reverse of that is the **posterior probability** p(h|w)
- The prior probability tells us how probable the word is, in its own right p(w)

- The probability of two events occurring is called the joint probability p(h, w)
- The probability of a word after a history of previous words is the conditional probability p(w|h)
- The reverse of that is the **posterior probability** p(h|w)
- The prior probability tells us how probable the word is, in its own right p(w)
- Likelihood is the probability of the entire data, given our model.

- The probability of two events occurring is called the joint probability p(h, w)
- The probability of a word after a history of previous words is the conditional probability p(w|h)
- The reverse of that is the **posterior probability** p(h|w)
- The prior probability tells us how probable the word is, in its own right p(w)
- Likelihood is the probability of the entire data, given our model. The higher this is, the better it is at predicting the data...

• Likelihood is usually a really really small number. Why?

- Likelihood is usually a really really small number. Why?
- People often transform this really small number to a large negative number via the logarithm function (log₂)
- This is then called the log likelihood

- Likelihood is usually a really really small number. Why?
- People often transform this really small number to a large negative number via the logarithm function (log₂)
- This is then called the log likelihood
- Not content there, "people" then remove the negative sign, making it a positive number
- Then they'll divide that number by the number of words in the data. Why?

- Likelihood is usually a really really small number. Why?
- People often transform this really small number to a large negative number via the logarithm function (log₂)
- This is then called the log likelihood
- Not content there, "people" then remove the negative sign, making it a positive number
- Then they'll divide that number by the number of words in the data. Why?
- This gives us the average number of binary choices the model made to predict each outcome in the data, or cross entropy

• Cross entropy also tells us how different two distributions are, like the model and the data $H(\theta, \mathbf{x})$

- Cross entropy also tells us how different two distributions are, like the model and the data $H(\theta, \mathbf{x})$
- If we take the binary exponent of the cross entropy (2^H), we get perplexity

- Cross entropy also tells us how different two distributions are, like the model and the data H(θ,x)
- If we take the binary exponent of the cross entropy (2^H), we get perplexity
- This is how confused the model is, on average

- Cross entropy also tells us how different two distributions are, like the model and the data $H(\theta, \mathbf{x})$
- If we take the binary exponent of the cross entropy (2^H), we get perplexity
- This is how confused the model is, on average
- In other words, perplexity (PP or PPL) is the average number of words that the model guessed for each trial in the data

- Cross entropy also tells us how different two distributions are, like the model and the data $H(\theta, \mathbf{x})$
- If we take the binary exponent of the cross entropy (2^H), we get perplexity
- This is how confused the model is, on average
- In other words, perplexity (PP or PPL) is the average number of words that the model guessed for each trial in the data



Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) just uses counts/frequencies of seen events in the train data
- Why is this type of estimation called maximum likelihood?

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) just uses counts/frequencies of seen events in the train data
- Why is this type of estimation called *maximum likelihood*?
- Are there ever any unseen events in language data?

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) just uses counts/frequencies of seen events in the train data
- Why is this type of estimation called maximum likelihood?
- Are there ever any unseen events in language data?
- How could we handle unseen events (not seen before in the training set)?