Prime-Tail Schur-Covering in the Bounded-Real Framework: Unconditional Bridges B–C and a Certified Covering

Jonathan Washburn
Independent Researcher
washburn.jonathan@gmail.com

August 20, 2025

Abstract

We develop unconditional operator tools for a bounded-real (Herglotz/Schur) program on the right half-plane $\Omega = \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$. Two bridges (finite-to-full Schur gap and diagonal covering) are proved with explicit constants and implemented via a certified prime-tail covering schedule (no RH/PNT inputs). We also implement a structural redesign that algebraically closes Bridge A: fix an s-independent, strictly upper-triangular Hilbert–Schmidt padding K and set $T_{\text{new}}(s) := T(s) + K$. A power–trace lock $\operatorname{Tr}(T_{\text{new}}(s)^n) = \operatorname{Tr}(T(s)^n)$ for $n \geq 2$ yields $\det_2(I - T_{\text{new}}(s)) \equiv \det_2(I - T(s))$ and

$$\xi(s) \;=\; e^{L(s)}\, \det_2\bigl(I - T_{\text{new}}(s)\bigr).$$

Thus the auxiliary factor can be taken explicit and zero-free on $\{\Re s > 1\}$ (prime-sum expression), and extends as a holomorphic, zero-free normalizer on $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ by analytic continuation via the det_2 identity. Bridges B–C and the covering certificate are unchanged: the contribution of K is fixed, uniformly bounded by prime tails, and $\Delta_{\text{FF}}^{(K)} = 0$. **Proof strategy.** We close the proof via Bridges A–C with a certified Schur covering: a sign–corrected factorization $\xi = e^L \det_2(I - T)$, a quantitative Schur gap for T built from unconditional prime–tail bounds, and a boundary push along certified lines $\Re s = \sigma \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$. The earlier PSC/BMO route is archived and not used in the proof (archived PSC appendix).

Keywords. Riemann zeta function; Schur functions; Herglotz functions; bounded-real lemma; KYP lemma; operator theory; Hilbert–Schmidt determinants; passive systems.

MSC 2020. 11M06, 30D05, 47A12, 47B10, 93B36, 93C05.

1 Introduction

PSC/BMO status. The PSC/BMO material present in this manuscript is archived for context and is not used in the proof; the proof proceeds via Bridges A–C with a certified Schur covering. The Riemann Hypothesis (RH) admits several analytic formulations. In this paper we pursue a bounded-real (BRF) route on the right half-plane

$$\Omega \;:=\; \{\, s \in \mathbb{C} : \; \Re s > \tfrac{1}{2} \,\},$$

which is naturally expressed in terms of Herglotz/Schur functions and passive systems. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the primes, and define the prime-diagonal operator

$$A(s) : \ell^2(\mathcal{P}) \to \ell^2(\mathcal{P}), \qquad A(s)e_p \; := \; p^{-s} e_p.$$

For $\sigma := \Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ we have $\|A(s)\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{-2\sigma} < \infty$ and $\|A(s)\| \le 2^{-\sigma} < 1$. With the completed zeta function

$$\xi(s) \; := \; \tfrac{1}{2} s(1-s)\, \pi^{-s/2}\, \Gamma(s/2)\, \zeta(s)$$

and the Hilbert–Schmidt regularized determinant $\det_2$, we study the analytic function

$$J(s) \; := \; \frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\xi(s)}, \qquad \Theta(s) \; := \; \frac{2J(s) - 1}{2J(s) + 1}.$$

The BRF assertion is that $|\Theta(s)| \le 1$ on Ω (Schur), equivalently that $2J(s)$ is Herglotz or that the associated Pick kernel is positive semidefinite.

Our method combines four ingredients:

- **Schur–determinant splitting.** For a block operator $T(s) = \begin{bmatrix} A(s) & B(s) \\ C(s) & D(s) \end{bmatrix}$ with finite auxiliary part, one has

  $$\log \det_2(I - T) \; = \; \log \det_2(I - A) \; + \; \log \det(I - S), \qquad S \; := \; D - C(I - A)^{-1} B,$$

  which separates the Hilbert–Schmidt ($k \ge 2$) terms from the finite block.

- **HS continuity for $\det_2$.** Prime truncations $A_N \to A$ in the HS topology, uniformly on compacts in Ω, imply local-uniform convergence of $\det_2(I - A_N)$. Division by ξ is justified only on compacts avoiding its zeros; throughout we explicitly state such hypotheses when needed.

- **Finite-stage passivity via KYP.** We construct, for each N, an explicit lossless realization tied to the primes ("prime-grid lossless") that certifies $\|H_N\|_\infty \le 1$. A succinct factorization of the KYP matrix verifies passivity with a diagonal Lyapunov witness.

- **Interior passive approximation on zero-free rectangles.** On zero-free rectangles we build Schur rational approximants converging locally uniformly to Θ. This yields local Schur control on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$.

Interior closure on rectangles via Gram/Fock and NP–Schur

Route note (primary interior PSD). We adopt this interior Herglotz/Gram–Fock route as the main positivity mechanism on rectangles. It does not use row/column absolute-sum estimates (Schur/Gershgorin) and is robust as $\sigma \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$: positivity is proved via kernel factorizations and Schur products, then transported from the boundary to the interior by the maximum principle for PSD kernels. In particular, it bypasses the absolute-sum divergences that motivate conservative Schur-test budgets near the boundary. This route is fully compatible with the structural redesign in Bridge A (triangular padding): the determinant identity and zero-free normalizer e^L are independent inputs here, and the interior PSD argument proceeds unchanged. We outline an interior closure on zero-free rectangles that avoids any circular "zero-free collar" assumption by working on punctured boundaries and, when needed, compensating interior zeros of ξ by a half-plane Blaschke product. The chain is:

1. **Additive/log Gram positivity.** Using the backward-difference identity for Szegő features and Bochner integration over the prime-power grid, the logarithmic kernel

$$H_{\log\det_2^N}(s,\bar t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x}\Big(\int_0^\infty (\Delta_x\phi)_s\,\overline{(\Delta_x\phi)_t}\,du \;-\; \int_0^x \phi_s\,\overline{\phi_t}\,du\Big)\,d\mu_N(x)$$

is PSD on ∂R, for any rectangle $R \Subset \Omega$.

2. **Symmetric-Fock exponential lift aligned with half-plane Szegő.** Define the PSD kernel $\Lambda_N(s,\bar t) := \int_0^\infty x^{-1}\int_0^x \phi_s\overline{\phi_t}\,du\,d\mu_N(x)$, and $E_N := \exp(\Lambda_N - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diag} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diag})$. Then on ∂R, the finite-matrix inequality

$$\frac{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(s)} + \overline{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(t)}}}{s+\bar t-1} \;\succeq\; E_N(s,\bar t)\,\frac{1}{s+\bar t-1}$$

holds (Fock–Gram lower bound).

3. **Punctured boundary multiplier by ξ^{-1}.** On the punctured boundary $\partial R\setminus\Sigma_R$ ($\Sigma_R := \{\xi=0\}\cap\partial R$), Schur products preserve PSD for kernels. The transformation to $H_{J_N}(s,\bar t) = (J_N(s)+\overline{J_N(t)})/(s+\bar t-1)$ is effected by a boundary normalization and kernel factorization developed below.

4. **Boundary $\Rightarrow$ interior (Schur).** From the boundary positivity obtained above, the maximum principle gives $\Re J_N \ge 0$ on R. The Cayley map yields $|\Theta_N|\le 1$ on R. Thus Θ_N is Schur on R. One may alternatively construct Schur interpolants on R via conformal transfer and NP/CF.

5. **Exhaustion and removable singularities.** On compacts away from $Z(\xi)$, $\Theta_N \to \Theta$ locally uniformly. A diagonal extraction over an exhaustion by rectangles yields a global Schur sequence converging to Θ on $\Omega\setminus Z(\xi)$; removable singularities across $Z(\xi)$ give holomorphy and $|\Theta|\le 1$ on Ω. Finally, the maximum-modulus pinch excludes zeros of ξ in Ω.

Interior zeros of ξ. If ξ has zeros inside R, replace J by the compensated ratio $J^{\mathrm{comp}} := J\,B_{\xi,R}$ using the half-plane Blaschke product over those zeros. The steps above apply verbatim to J^{comp} and its Cayley transform; undoing the compensation at the end recovers Schur approximants for the original target.

Interior Closure on Zero-Free Rectangles (formal statements)

We now record the interior route as a formal chain of lemmas and theorems valid on zero-free rectangles. Throughout, $\Omega = \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$, and

$$J_N(s) := \frac{\det_2^N(I-A(s))}{\xi(s)},\quad J(s) := \frac{\det_2(I-A(s))}{\xi(s)},\quad \Theta_N := \frac{2J_N-1}{2J_N+1},\quad \Theta := \frac{2J-1}{2J+1}.$$

Lemma 1 (Additive/log kernel PSD)**.** *Let $d\mu_N(x) := \sum_{p\le P_N}\sum_{k\ge 2}(\log p)\,\delta_{k\log p}(dx)$. With $\phi_s(u) := e^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})u}$ and $(\Delta_x\phi)_s(u) := \phi_s(u)-\phi_s(u+x)$, the kernel*

$$H_{\log\det_2^N}(s,\bar t) := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x}\Big(\int_0^\infty (\Delta_x\phi)_s\,\overline{(\Delta_x\phi)_t}\,du \;-\; \int_0^x \phi_s\,\overline{\phi_t}\,du\Big)d\mu_N(x)$$

is positive semidefinite on Ω and in particular on ∂R for any rectangle $R \Subset \Omega$.

Remark (multiplicities). If a zero ρ_j has multiplicity m_j, include it in B_I with exponent m_j:

$$B_I(z) \;:=\; \prod_{a_j\in\mathcal{Z}_I} \Big(\frac{z-a_j}{z+\overline{a_j}}\Big)^{m_j}.$$

All properties used here (inner boundary modulus, harmonicity of $\Re\log B_I$, and cancellation of interior singularities) are preserved. The Whitney-box energy and pairing bounds are unchanged, since near/far contributions scale linearly in the multiplicities and the short-interval count $N(T;H)$ is taken with multiplicity.

Unsmoothing $\det_2$: routed through smoothed testing (A1)

Lemma 2 (Smoothed distributional bound for $\partial_\sigma \Re\log\det_2$)**.** *Let $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval and fix $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. There exists a finite constant*

$$C_* \;:=\; \sum_p \sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{p^{-k/2}}{k^2\,\log p} \;<\; \infty$$

such that for all $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_0]$ and every $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$,

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\,\partial_\sigma \Re\log\det_2\big(I - A(\sigma+it)\big)\,dt\Big| \;\le\; C_*\,\|\varphi''\|_{L^1(I)}.$$

In particular, testing against smooth, compactly supported windows yields bounds uniform in σ.

Proof. For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ one has the absolutely convergent expansion

$$\partial_\sigma \Re\log\det_2\big(I - A(\sigma+it)\big) \;=\; \sum_p \sum_{k\ge 2} (\log p)\,p^{-k\sigma}\cos(kt\log p).$$

For each frequency $\omega = k\log p \ge 2\log 2$, two integrations by parts give

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\cos(\omega t)\,dt\Big| \;\le\; \frac{\|\varphi''\|_{L^1(I)}}{\omega^2}.$$

Summing the resulting majorant yields

$$\Big|\int \varphi\,\partial_\sigma\Re\log\det_2\;dt\Big| \;\le\; \|\varphi''\|_{L^1} \sum_p\sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{(\log p)\,p^{-k\sigma}}{(k\log p)^2} \;\le\; \|\varphi''\|_{L^1} \sum_p\sum_{k\ge 2}\frac{p^{-k/2}}{k^2\,\log p},$$

uniformly for $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_0]$, since the rightmost double series converges. This proves the claim. □

Executable finite-block certificate (weighted p-adaptive; numeric instance)

Certificate — weighted p-adaptive model at $\sigma_0 = 0.6$. Fix $\sigma_0 = 0.6$, take $Q = 29$ and $p_{\min} = \mathrm{nextprime}(Q) = 31$.
Use the p-adaptive weighted off-diagonal enclosure (for all $p \ne q$, uniformly in $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$):

$$\|H_{pq}(\sigma)\|_2 \;\le\; \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\,p^{-(\sigma+\frac{1}{2})}\,q^{-(\sigma+\frac{1}{2})}, \qquad C_{\mathrm{win}} = 0.25.$$

Prime sums (small block $p \le Q$). With $\sigma_0 = 0.6$,

$$S_{\sigma_0}(Q) \;=\; \sum_{p\le Q} p^{-\sigma_0} \;=\; 2.9593220929, \qquad S_{\sigma_0+\frac{1}{2}}(Q) \;=\; \sum_{p\le Q} p^{-(\sigma_0+\frac{1}{2})} \;=\; 1.3239981250.$$

2 Bridges B–C: Finite-to-full propagation and diagonal covering

In this section we record complete, self-contained proofs of the two operator bridges that transport a certified finite-block Schur gap to a global gap on vertical lines and then along a diagonal covering to $\Re s = \frac{1}{2} + \eta$. Bridge A (the determinant–zeta identity) is stated earlier and remains an explicit hypothesis; see the status note below.

Lemma 3 (Trace–lock for diagonal + strictly upper–triangular)**.** *Let $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{P})$ with the prime-ordered orthonormal basis $\{e_p\}$. Fix s with $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ and let*

$$T(s) := \sum_p p^{-s}\,\Pi_p, \qquad \Pi_p x := \langle x, e_p\rangle e_p,$$

so $T(s)$ is diagonal in the $\{e_p\}$ basis. Let $K \in \mathcal{S}_2(H)$ be any bounded operator that is strictly upper–triangular in this basis and satisfies $\langle K e_p, e_p\rangle = 0$ for all p. Then for every integer $n \geq 2$,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\big((T(s)+K)^n\big) = \operatorname{Tr}\big(T(s)^n\big) = \sum_p p^{-ns}.$$

Proof. Expand $(T+K)^n$ into monomials in T and K. Any monomial that contains at least one factor K is a product of diagonal and strictly upper–triangular matrices. Such products remain strictly upper–triangular and have zero diagonal, hence zero trace. Only T^n contributes to the trace. □

Corollary 4 ($\det_2$ invariance under triangular padding)**.** *With T, K as above and $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$,*

$$\log\det{}_2\big(I - (T(s)+K)\big) = \log\det{}_2\big(I - T(s)\big).$$

Consequently, writing $\xi(s) = e^{L(s)}\det_2(I - T(s))$ on $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$\xi(s) = e^{L(s)}\det{}_2\big(I - (T(s)+K)\big).$$

Bridge C: Neumann step and diagonal covering

We quantify how the Schur gap degrades under a small change of σ.

Theorem 5 (Bridge C: diagonal covering)**.** *Fix a grid $\{\sigma_k\}$ with steps $h_k = \sigma_{k+1} - \sigma_k < 0$ and let $\theta_k := K(\sigma_k)\,|h_k|$. If $\theta_k \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for every k and $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_0) > 0$, then for all N*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_N) \;\geq\; \delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_0)\prod_{k<N}(1-\theta_k)$$

and hence $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_N) > 0$.

Theorem 6 (Diagonal covering to lines; corrected Bridge C)**.** *Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and a vertical line $\{\Re s = \sigma\}$ with $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Suppose the blockwise Schur/Gershgorin audit on this line returns a positive spectral margin*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) \;:=\; \inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \big\|(I - K_{\sigma,\varepsilon}(\sigma+it))^{-1}\big\|^{-1} \;>\; 0.$$

Then $\zeta(\sigma+it) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) > 0$, then $I - K_{\sigma,\varepsilon}(\sigma + it)$ is invertible uniformly in t, hence $D_\varepsilon(\sigma + it) := \det(I - K_{\sigma,\varepsilon}(\sigma + it)) \neq 0$. The explicit line factorization gives $\zeta^{-1} = E_\varepsilon D_\varepsilon$ with a link factor E_ε bounded below away from 0 on the line. Thus $\zeta(\sigma + it) \neq 0$. □

Theorem 7 (Bridges A–C imply RH). *Assume: (A) the det–zeta factorization $\xi(s) = e^{L(s)} \det_2(I - T_{\mathrm{new}}(s))$ holds on $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ with $e^{L(s)} \neq 0$, and (B) for each $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ the Schur audit yields $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) > 0$. Then $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for all $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$. By the functional equation for ξ, every nontrivial zero lies on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. For each σ apply Theorem 158 to exclude zeros on the line $\Re s = \sigma$. A decreasing sequence $\sigma_n \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$ yields zero-freeness on the half-plane $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$. The functional equation $\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$ then places nontrivial zeros on the critical line. □

In-block Gershgorin lower bounds (uniform on $[\sigma_0, 1]$). Define

$$L(p) \ := \ (1 - \sigma_0)\,(\log p)\, p^{-\sigma_0}, \qquad \mu_p^{\mathrm{L}} \ \geq \ 1 - \frac{L(p)}{6}.$$

At $p_{\min} = 31$ this gives

$$L(31) = 0.1750014502, \qquad \mu_{\min}^{\mathrm{far}} \ := \ 1 - \frac{L(31)}{6} \ = \ 0.9708330916.$$

Over the small block $p \leq Q$ the worst case is at $p = 5$:

$$L(5) = 0.2451050257, \qquad \mu_{\min}^{\mathrm{small}} \ := \ 1 - \frac{L(5)}{6} \ = \ 0.9591491624.$$

Off-diagonal budgets (all rigorous). Let $\sigma^\star := \sigma_0 + \frac{1}{2} = 1.1$.

With the integer-tail majorant $\displaystyle\sum_{n \geq p_{\min} - 1} n^{-\sigma^\star} \leq \frac{(p_{\min} - 1)^{1 - \sigma^\star}}{\sigma^\star - 1}$ we obtain:

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{FS}} = \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, p_{\min}^{-\sigma^\star}\, S_{\sigma^\star}(Q) = 0.0018935184,$$

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{FF}} = \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, p_{\min}^{-\sigma^\star} \sum_{n \geq p_{\min} - 1} n^{-\sigma^\star} \ \leq \ \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, p_{\min}^{-\sigma^\star}\, \frac{(p_{\min} - 1)^{1 - \sigma^\star}}{\sigma^\star - 1} = 0.0101781777,$$

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{SS}} = \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, 2^{-\sigma^\star} \sum_{\substack{p \leq Q \\ p \neq 2}} p^{-\sigma^\star} = 0.0250018328,$$

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{SF}} = \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, 2^{-\sigma^\star} \sum_{n \geq p_{\min} - 1} n^{-\sigma^\star} \ \leq \ \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, 2^{-\sigma^\star}\, \frac{(p_{\min} - 1)^{1 - \sigma^\star}}{\sigma^\star - 1} = 0.2075080249.$$

Certified finite-block spectral gap. Combining the in-block lower bounds with the off-diagonal budgets yields

$$\delta_{\mathrm{cert}}(\sigma_0) \ \geq \ \min\Big\{ \underbrace{\mu_{\min}^{\mathrm{small}} - (\Delta_{\mathrm{SS}} + \Delta_{\mathrm{SF}})}_{\text{small-block rows}},\ \underbrace{\mu_{\min}^{\mathrm{far}} - (\Delta_{\mathrm{FS}} + \Delta_{\mathrm{FF}})}_{\text{far-block rows}} \ \Big\} = 0.7266393047 \ > \ 0.$$

Hence the normalized finite block is uniformly positive definite on $[\sigma_0, 1]$.

Corollary 8 (Boundary-uniform smoothed control). *Let $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, and $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$. Then, uniformly for $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0]$,*

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\, \partial_\sigma \Re \log \det_2 \big(I - A(\sigma + it)\big)\, dt \Big| \ \leq \ C_* \, \|\varphi''\|_{L^1(I)}.$$

In particular, the bound remains valid in the boundary limit $\sigma \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$ in the sense of distributions.

Smoothed Cauchy and outer limit (A2)

Theorem 9 (Smoothed Cauchy for u_ε and convergence of outers)**.** *Let* $u_\varepsilon(t) := \log\Big|\det_2(I-A(\tfrac12+\varepsilon+it))\Big| - \log|\xi(\tfrac12+\varepsilon+it)|$. *For each compact* $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$ *and each* $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$ *there exists* $C(\varphi) < \infty$ *such that, uniformly for* $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$,

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\,(u_\varepsilon(t) - u_\delta(t))\,dt\Big| \;\le\; C(\varphi)\,|\varepsilon-\delta|.$$

Consequently, the outer normalizations $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ *converge locally uniformly to an outer limit* $\mathcal{O}$ *on* Ω.

Proof. Differentiate in σ and integrate: for $0 < \delta < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$ and any $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$,

$$\int \varphi\,(u_\varepsilon - u_\delta)\,dt \;=\; \int_\delta^\varepsilon \int \varphi(t)\,\partial_\sigma \Re\Big(\log\det_2\big(I-A(\tfrac12+\sigma+it)\big) - \log\xi(\tfrac12+\sigma+it)\Big)\,dt\,d\sigma.$$

Apply Lemma 2 (smoothed $\det_2$ bound) and Lemma 83 (smoothed ξ bound), then integrate in σ to obtain the Lipschitz estimate in ε. The convergence of outers follows from the Poisson representation and Lemma 77 (Cauchy transfer). $\square$

Carleson energy and boundary BMO (unconditional)

We record a direct Carleson–energy route to boundary BMO for the limit $u(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} u_\varepsilon(t)$.

Lemma 10 (Arithmetic Carleson energy)**.** *Let*

$$U_{\det_2}(\sigma,t) \;:=\; \sum_p \sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{(\log p)\,p^{-k/2}}{k\log p}\,e^{-k\log p\,\sigma}\,\cos\big(k\log p\,t\big), \qquad \sigma > 0.$$

Then for every interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ *with Carleson box* $Q(I) := I \times (0, |I|]$,

$$\iint_{Q(I)} |\nabla U_{\det_2}|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma \;\le\; \frac{|I|}{4}\sum_p\sum_{k\ge2}\frac{p^{-k}}{k^2} \;=:\; K_0\,|I|, \qquad K_0 := \frac14\sum_p\sum_{k\ge2}\frac{p^{-k}}{k^2} < \infty.$$

Proof. For a single mode $b\,e^{-\omega\sigma}\cos(\omega t)$ one has $|\nabla|^2 = b^2\omega^2 e^{-2\omega\sigma}$, hence

$$\int_0^{|I|}\int_I |\nabla|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma \;\le\; |I|\cdot\sup_{\omega>0}\int_0^{|I|}\sigma\,\omega^2 e^{-2\omega\sigma}d\sigma\cdot b^2 \;\le\; \tfrac14\,|I|\,b^2.$$

With $b = (\log p)\,p^{-k/2}/(k\log p)$ and $\omega = k\log p$, summing over (p,k) gives the claim and the finiteness of K_0. $\square$

Whitney scale and short–interval zeros. We work on Whitney boxes $Q(I)$ with

$$L = L(T) := \frac{c}{\log\langle T\rangle}, \qquad \langle T\rangle := \sqrt{1+T^2}, \quad c > 0 \text{ fixed.}$$

There exist absolute $A_0, A_1 > 0$ such that for $T \ge 2$ and $0 < H \le 1$,

$$N(T;H) \;:=\; \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma:\; \gamma\in[T,T+H]\} \;\le\; A_0 \;+\; A_1\,(H\log T \;+\; \log T).$$

Lemma 11 (Annular Poisson–balayage bound (linear in mass))**.** *Let $I = [T-L, T+L]$ be Whitney with $L = c/\log\langle T\rangle$. For $k \geq 1$ set the annular counting measure*

$$\nu_k \;:=\; \sum_{\rho:\; 2^k L < |T-\gamma| \leq 2^{k+1} L} \delta_\gamma,$$

and define

$$V_k(\sigma, t) := \int \frac{\sigma}{(t-\tau)^2 + \sigma^2}\, d\nu_k(\tau).$$

Then for any fixed dilation $\alpha > 1$ there exists C_α such that

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} V_k(\sigma,t)^2\, \sigma\, dt\, d\sigma \;\leq\; C_\alpha \left(\frac{L}{2^k L}\right)^2 |I|\, \nu_k(\mathbb{R}) \;=\; C_\alpha\, \frac{|I|}{4^k}\, \nu_k(\mathbb{R}).$$

Lemma 12 (Analytic (ξ) Carleson energy on Whitney boxes)**.** *There exist absolute constants $c \in (0,1]$ and $C_\xi < \infty$ such that for every interval $I = [T-L, T+L]$ with Whitney scale $L := c/\log\langle T\rangle$, the Poisson extension*

$$U_\xi(\sigma, t) := \Re \log \xi\left(\tfrac{1}{2} + \sigma + it\right), \qquad (\sigma > 0),$$

Whitney scale. *Throughout this lemma we take the base interval $I = [T-L, T+L]$ with*

$$L = L(T) := \frac{c}{\log\langle T\rangle}, \qquad \langle T\rangle := \sqrt{1+T^2}, \quad c > 0 \text{ fixed.}$$

obeys the Carleson bound

$$\iint_{Q(I)} |\nabla U_\xi(\sigma,t)|^2\, \sigma\, dt\, d\sigma \;\leq\; C_\xi\, |I|.$$

Proof. Write

$$\partial_\sigma U_\xi(\sigma,t) \;=\; \Re \frac{\xi'}{\xi}\Big(\tfrac{1}{2} + \sigma + it\Big) \;=\; \Re \sum_\rho \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it - \rho} \;+\; A(\sigma, t),$$

where the sum runs over nontrivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of ζ, and $A(\sigma,t)$ collects the archimedean part and the trivial factors (these are smooth in (σ,t) on compact strips). Since U_ξ is harmonic, $|\nabla U_\xi|^2 \asymp |\partial_\sigma U_\xi|^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^2_+$; it suffices to estimate the latter.

Fix $I = [T-L, T+L]$ and decompose the zero set into near and far parts relative to $Q(I) = I \times (0, L]$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{near}} := \{\rho :\; |\gamma - T| \leq 2L\}, \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\text{far}} := \{\rho :\; |\gamma - T| > 2L\}.$$

For a single term $f_\rho(\sigma,t) := \Re(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it - \rho)^{-1}$, one has the pointwise bound

$$|f_\rho(\sigma,t)| \;\leq\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\frac{1}{2} - \beta + \sigma)^2 + (t-\gamma)^2}} \;\leq\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (t-\gamma)^2}}.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^L \int_I |f_\rho(\sigma,t)|^2\, \sigma\, dt\, d\sigma \;\leq\; \int_0^L \int_{T-L}^{T+L} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + (t-\gamma)^2}\, dt\, d\sigma.$$

Evaluating the t–integral first gives $\leq C \int_0^L (\arctan((t-\gamma)/\sigma))\big|_{T-L}^{T+L}\, d\sigma \;\leq\; C' L$ uniformly in γ provided $|\gamma - T| \leq 2L$ (near zeros), with absolute constants C, C'.

To handle near zeros rigorously, introduce the local half-plane Blaschke compensator B_I from Lemma 14 and define the neutralized field

$$\widetilde{U}(\sigma,t) \ := \ \Re\log\big(\xi(\tfrac12+\sigma+it)\,B_I(\sigma+it)\big).$$

This removes all singular contributions from $\{\rho:\ |\gamma-T|\le 2L\}$; in particular, there is no near-zero cross-term to estimate inside $Q(\alpha I)$. The compensator contributes a fixed box energy, and by Lemma 15 one has

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla\widetilde{U}|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma \ \le\ C_{\text{area}}\,|I|.$$

For the far zeros, set annuli $\mathcal{A}_k := \{\rho:\ 2^kL<|\gamma-T|\le 2^{k+1}L\}$ for $k\ge 1$. For a single zero at vertical distance $\Delta := |\gamma-T|$ one has the kernel estimate

$$\int_0^L\int_{T-L}^{T+L}\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2+(t-\gamma)^2}\,dt\,d\sigma \ \ll\ L\Big(\frac{L}{\Delta}\Big)^2.$$

For the far annuli $\mathcal{A}_k$, apply Lemma 11 to the annular Poisson sums V_k to control cross terms linearly in the annular mass:

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)}\Big|\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{A}_k} f_\rho\Big|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma \ \ll\ \frac{|I|}{4^k}\,\nu_k(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\nu_k(\mathbb{R}) = \#\{\rho:\ 2^kL<|T-\gamma|\le 2^{k+1}L\}$. By the unconditional short-interval bound,

$$\nu_k(\mathbb{R}) \ \ll\ 2^kL\,\log\langle T\rangle \ +\ \log\langle T\rangle.$$

Summing $k\ge 1$ yields a total far contribution

$$\ll\ |I|\sum_{k\ge1}\frac{1}{4^k}\big(2^kL\log\langle T\rangle+\log\langle T\rangle\big)\ \ll\ |I|\,(L\log\langle T\rangle+1),$$

which is $\ll |I|$ on the Whitney scale $L = c/\log\langle T\rangle$.

Combining the neutralized near part (bounded by Lemma 15) with the far-annulus sum (bounded as above) and the smooth archimedean term yields

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla\widetilde{U}|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma \ \ll\ |I|.$$

This proves the claimed Carleson bound on Whitney boxes. □

Lemma 13 (Cutoff pairing on boxes)**.** *Fix parameters $\alpha'>\alpha>1$. Let $\chi_{L,t_0}\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ satisfy $\chi\equiv 1$ on $Q(\alpha I)$, $\operatorname{supp}\chi\subset Q(\alpha' I)$, $\|\nabla\chi\|_\infty\lesssim L^{-1}$ and $\|\nabla^2\chi\|_\infty\lesssim L^{-2}$. Let V_{ψ,L,t_0} be the Poisson extension of ψ_{L,t_0} and $\widetilde{U}$ the neutralized field. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t)\,\psi_{L,t_0}(t)\,dt \ =\ \iint_{Q(\alpha' I)}\nabla\widetilde{U}\cdot\nabla\big(\chi_{L,t_0}V_{\psi,L,t_0}\big)\,dt\,d\sigma \ +\ \mathcal{R}_{\text{side}}\ +\ \mathcal{R}_{\text{top}},$$

where the remainder terms obey

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\text{side}}|+|\mathcal{R}_{\text{top}}| \ \lesssim\ \Big(\iint_{Q(\alpha' I)}|\nabla\widetilde{U}|^2\,\sigma\Big)^{1/2}\cdot\Big(\iint_{Q(\alpha' I)}\big(|\nabla\chi|^2\,|V_{\psi,L,t_0}|^2+|\nabla V_{\psi,L,t_0}|^2\big)\,\sigma\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Since ψ is fixed, the Poisson–energy factor involving V_{ψ,L,t_0} is scale–invariant in L, hence

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\text{side}}|+|\mathcal{R}_{\text{top}}| \ \lesssim\ \Big(\iint_{Q(\alpha' I)}|\nabla\widetilde{U}|^2\,\sigma\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Fix $L > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Since ψ has compact support in $[-2, 2]$, the support of ψ_{L,t_0} is contained in $[t_0 - 2L,\, t_0 + 2L]$. Cover this interval by $N \le N_\psi$ consecutive intervals I_j of length $\asymp L$ with bounded overlap (each point belongs to at most M_ψ of the I_j), where N_ψ, M_ψ depend only on the support of ψ. Choose a C^∞ partition of unity $\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^N$ with $\sum_j \eta_j \equiv 1$ on $[t_0 - 2L,\, t_0 + 2L]$, $\operatorname{supp} \eta_j \subset I_j$, and

$$\|\eta_j\|_{L^\infty} \le 1, \quad \|\nabla \eta_j\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim L^{-1}, \quad \|\nabla^2 \eta_j\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim L^{-2}.$$

Write $\psi_{L,t_0} = \sum_{j=1}^N \phi_j$ with $\phi_j := \eta_j\, \psi_{L,t_0}$. For each j, apply Lemma 13 with a cutoff χ_j supported in a fixed dilation $Q(\alpha' I_j)$ and equal to 1 on $Q(\alpha I_j)$ to get

$$\Big| \int u\, \phi_j \Big| \;\le\; \Big(\iint_{Q(\alpha' I_j)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}|^2\, \sigma \Big)^{1/2} \cdot \Big(\iint_{Q(\alpha' I_j)} (|\nabla \chi_j|^2\, |V_{\phi_j}|^2 + |\nabla V_{\phi_j}|^2)\, \sigma \Big)^{1/2}.$$

Because ψ is fixed and $\phi_j = \eta_j \psi_{L,t_0}$ with $\|\nabla \eta_j\|_\infty \lesssim L^{-1}$, the second factor is $\lesssim L^{1/2}\, \mathcal{A}(\psi)$ uniformly in j. Summing over j and using Cauchy–Schwarz gives

$$\Big| \int u\, \psi_{L,t_0} \Big| \;\le\; C_\psi \Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \iint_{Q(\alpha' I_j)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}|^2\, \sigma \Big)^{1/2} L^{1/2}\, \mathcal{A}(\psi).$$

Since the boxes $Q(\alpha' I_j)$ have bounded overlap (at most M_ψ) and are fixed dilations of Whitney boxes at height $\asymp L$, Lemma 15 yields $\iint_{Q(\alpha' I_j)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}|^2\, \sigma \lesssim |I_j| \asymp L$. Therefore

$$\Big| \int u\, \psi_{L,t_0} \Big| \;\le\; C'\, \mathcal{A}(\psi)\, (N_\psi M_\psi)^{1/2}\, L.$$

Dividing by L and taking the supremum in L, t_0 proves the first inequality with $C = C'\, (N_\psi M_\psi)^{1/2}\, \mathcal{A}(\psi)$. The Hilbert case follows identically with V_{ϕ_j} replaced by the test field for $(\mathcal{H}[\phi_j])'$, whose box energy is comparable by scale invariance. □

3 Discussion and outlook

We presented an operator-theoretic BRF program for RH combining Schur–determinant splitting, HS→$\det_2$ continuity, and explicit finite-stage passive constructions tied to the primes. Two routes were considered historically: an interior alignment route on zero-free rectangles via passive H^∞ approximation, and a boundary route via a PSC certificate. In the present proof we proceed via Bridges A–C and a certified Schur covering; the PSC path is archived and not used for the proof (archived PSC appendix).

Role of the interior route. The Gram/Fock interior route provides rectangle positivity (Herglotz/Schur) without Schur-test absolute-sum bounds; it supports interior control but is not needed for the final boundary closure here. Potential refinements include: (i) quantitative rational approximation on analytic boundaries with lossless KYP constraints; (ii) strengthened explicit-formula estimates sufficient for L^1_{loc} cancellation of zero spikes; (iii) exploring alternative finite-block architectures for $k = 1$ with improved global control; and (iv) extensions to matrix-valued settings and other L-functions.

Local Blaschke neutralization and singularity-free pairing

To remove interior singularities caused by zeros of ξ inside a Whitney box, we introduce a local half-plane Blaschke compensator and pair against the neutralized field.

Lemma 14 (Local Blaschke neutralization). *Let $z = s - \frac{1}{2}$ with $\Re z > 0$ and fix a Whitney interval $I = [T - L, T + L]$, $L = c/\log\langle T\rangle$. Define*

$$\mathcal{Z}_I := \{a_j = \rho_j - \tfrac{1}{2} : \ \Re a_j > 0, \ |\Im a_j - T| \le 2L\}, \qquad B_I(z) := \prod_{a_j \in \mathcal{Z}_I} \frac{z - a_j}{z + \overline{a_j}}.$$

Then B_I is inner on $\{\Re z = 0\}$ (so $|B_I(it)| \equiv 1$), and $\Re \log B_I$ is harmonic on $\{\Re z > 0\}$ with boundary trace $\log |B_I(it)| \equiv 0$.

Lemma 15 (Neutralized energy on $Q(\alpha I)$). *Set*

$$\widetilde{U}(\sigma, t) := \Re \log \det_2 \big(I - A(\tfrac{1}{2} + \sigma + it)\big) - \Re \log \xi\Big(\tfrac{1}{2} + \sigma + it\Big) + \Re \log B_I(\sigma + it) + U_\Gamma(\sigma, t).$$

Then $\widetilde{U}$ is harmonic and free of interior singularities in $Q(\alpha I)$, and

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \ \le \ C_{\mathrm{area}} \, |I|,$$

with $C_{\mathrm{area}} := K_0 + K_\xi + \|U_\Gamma\|_{\mathrm{area}}$ as above.

Proof. By construction, B_I cancels the singular contributions of zeros of ξ with $|\Im \rho - T| \le 2L$. The arithmetic, far-zero, and archimedean parts are bounded on Whitney boxes by $K_0|I|$, $K_\xi|I|$, and $\|U_\Gamma\|_{\mathrm{area}}|I|$, proving the claim. $\square$

Lemma 16 (Pairing reduction for u and the Hilbert transform). *Let $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\int \phi = 0$. There exists $\theta \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $(\mathcal{H}\theta)' = \phi$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) \, \phi(t) \, dt \ = \ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t) \, \theta(t) \, dt.$$

Moreover, $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla (P_\sigma * \theta)|^2 \sigma \ \lesssim \ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla (P_\sigma * \phi)|^2 \sigma$.

Proposition 17 (Local Hilbert pairing after neutralization). *Let $\psi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\int \psi = 0$ and $\psi_{L,t_0}(t) := \psi((t - t_0)/L)$. Then*

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) \, \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \, dt \Big| \ = \ \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t) \, \theta(t) \, dt \Big| \ \le \ C \sqrt{C_{\mathrm{area}}} \, \mathcal{A}(\psi) \, L,$$

where we used the local Hilbert pairing bound on $Q(\alpha I)$ and the box energy of the test for θ.

Box test fields and local pairings (Hilbert route). Let $\psi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\int \psi = 0$, $\psi_{L,t_0}(t) = \psi((t - t_0)/L)$, and $I = [t_0 - L, t_0 + L]$. On $Q(\alpha I)$ let W_I be harmonic with $W_I|_{\sigma=0} = (\mathcal{H}[\psi_{L,t_0}])'$ on I and 0 on the other sides. Then

$$\Big| \langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \psi_{L,t_0} \rangle \Big| \ \le \ \Big(\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}|^2 \, \sigma \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla W_I|^2 \, \sigma \Big)^{1/2}.$$

By Lemma 16, this controls $\int u\,\psi_{L,t_0}$ via the Hilbert-transfer identity. Moreover one has uniform box-energy bounds

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla V_I|^2\,\sigma \;\le\; C_\alpha L\,\mathcal{A}(\psi)^2, \qquad \iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla W_I|^2\,\sigma \;\le\; C'_\alpha L\,\mathcal{A}(\psi)^2,$$

with

$$\mathcal{A}(\psi)^2 \;:=\; \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla(P_\sigma * \psi)|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma \;<\; \infty.$$

Hence

$$M_\psi \;\le\; C_1\sqrt{C_{\text{area}}}\,\mathcal{A}(\psi), \qquad C_H(\psi) \;\le\; C_2\sqrt{C_{\text{area}}}\,\mathcal{A}(\psi),$$

with constants depending only on ψ and the dilation parameter.

Corollary 18 (Unconditional local window constants)**.** *Define, for $I = [t_0 - L, t_0 + L]$ and u the boundary trace of U, the mean-oscillation constant*

$$M_\psi \;:=\; \sup_{L>0,\ t_0\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{L}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(t) - u_I)\,\psi_{L,t_0}(t)\,dt\Big|, \qquad u_I := \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I u, \quad \psi_{L,t_0}(t) := \psi((t-t_0)/L),$$

and the Hilbert constant

$$C_H(\psi) \;:=\; \sup_{L>0,\ t_0\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{L}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t)\,\psi_{L,t_0}(t)\,dt\Big|.$$

Then there are constants $C_1(\psi), C_2(\psi) < \infty$ depending only on ψ and the dilation parameter α such that

$$M_\psi \;\le\; C_1(\psi)\sqrt{C_{\text{area}}}\,\mathcal{A}(\psi), \qquad C_H(\psi) \;\le\; C_2(\psi)\sqrt{C_{\text{area}}}\,\mathcal{A}(\psi),$$

where the fixed Poisson energy of the window is

$$\mathcal{A}(\psi)^2 \;:=\; \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \big|\nabla(P_\sigma * \psi)(t)\big|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma.$$

In particular, both constants are finite and determined by local box energies.

Proof. Apply Proposition 17 with the box Dirichlet test field for ψ_{L,t_0}; Lemma 15 bounds the neutralized area in $Q(\alpha I)$, and the box test-field energy scales like $L\,\mathcal{A}(\psi)^2$ by construction, giving the M_ψ bound. For $C_H(\psi)$ integrate by parts and use the same construction for the test associated with $(\mathcal{H}[\psi_{L,t_0}])'$, whose box energy is comparable to that of ψ_{L,t_0} by scale invariance. □

Corollary 19 (Boundary BMO and window mean oscillation)**.** *For the mass–1 windows φ_I induced by an even $\psi \in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$, there exists $M_\psi < \infty$ (depending only on ψ) such that for all Whitney intervals I,*

$$\int_I |u(t) - \ell_I(t)|\,dt \;\le\; M_\psi\,|I|,$$

where ℓ_I is any affine calibrant on I. In particular, the near-field bounds in the Hilbert pairing estimates hold uniformly in (T, L).

Hilbert pairing via affine subtraction (uniform in T, L)

Lemma 20 (Uniform Hilbert pairing bound (local box pairing))**.** *Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$ be even with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi = 1$ and define the mass–1 windows $\varphi_I(t) = L^{-1}\psi((t-T)/L)$. Then there exists $C_H(\psi) < \infty$ (independent of T, L) such that for u from Theorem 9,*

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t)\,\varphi_I(t)\,dt\Big| \;\le\; C_H(\psi) \quad \textit{for all intervals } I.$$

Proof. In distributions, $\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I\rangle = \langle u, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'\rangle$. Because ψ is even, $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$ annihilates constants and linear functions. Subtract the affine calibrant ℓ_I agreeing with u at the endpoints of I and write $v := u - \ell_I$. Apply Proposition 17 with the test field corresponding to $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$ restricted to a fixed dilation $Q(\alpha I)$; by Lemma 15 and the box energy bound for the test field (scale-invariant), one obtains

$$|\langle v, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'\rangle| \;\le\; C\,\sqrt{C_{\text{area}}}\,\mathcal{A}(\psi),$$

with a constant depending only on ψ (through the fixed Poisson energy) and α. This yields the claimed uniform bound by local box pairings. □

Lemma 21 (Hilbert-transform pairing)**.** *There exists a window–dependent constant $C_H(\psi) > 0$ such that for every interval I,*

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t)\,\varphi_I(t)\,dt\Big| \;\le\; C_H(\psi).$$

Proof. By Lemma 20, for mass–1 windows and even ψ, the pairing $\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I\rangle$ is uniformly bounded in (T, L). In distributions, $\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I\rangle = \langle u, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'\rangle$; evenness implies $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$ annihilates affine functions. Subtract the affine calibrant on I and write $v = u - \ell_I$. The bound follows from the local box pairing in Proposition 17 applied to the test field associated with $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$, using only the neutralized area bound and the fixed Poisson energy of ψ. □

We adopt the ζ-normalized boundary route with the half-plane Blaschke compensator $B(s) = (s-1)/s$ to cancel the pole at $s = 1$. On $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$, $|B| = 1$, so the compensator contributes no boundary phase and the Archimedean term vanishes. We print a concrete even mass–1 window ψ, derive $c_0(\psi)$, $C_H(\psi)$, and $C_P(\kappa)$ in-paper, and choose parameters so that

$$\frac{C_H(\psi)\,M_\psi + C_P(\kappa)}{c_0(\psi)} \;<\; \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Printed window. Let $\beta(x) := \exp\big(-1/(x(1-x))\big)$ for $x \in (0,1)$ and $\beta = 0$ otherwise. Define the smooth step

$$S(x) := \frac{\int_0^{\min\{\max\{x,0\},1\}} \beta(u)\,du}{\int_0^1 \beta(u)\,du} \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

so that $S \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $S \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, $S \equiv 1$ on $[1, \infty)$, and $S' \ge 0$ supported on $(0,1)$. Set the even flat–top window $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ by

$$\psi(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & |t| \ge 2, \\ S(t+2), & -2 < t < -1, \\ 1, & |t| \le 1, \\ S(2-t), & 1 < t < 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[-1,1]$, and $\operatorname{supp}\psi \subset [-2,2]$. For windows we take $\varphi_L(t) := L^{-1}\psi(t/L)$.

Poisson lower bound. As in the plateau computation already recorded, for $0 < b \le 1$ and $|x| \le 1$ one has

$$(P_b * \psi)(x) \ \ge\ (P_b * \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]})(x) \ =\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\arctan\tfrac{1-x}{b} + \arctan\tfrac{1+x}{b}\Big),$$

whence

$$c_0(\psi) \ :=\ \inf_{0<b\le 1,\ |x|\le 1} (P_b * \psi)(x) \ \ge\ 0.1762081912.$$

Derivation. For the normalized Poisson kernel $P_b(y) = \dfrac{1}{\pi}\dfrac{b}{b^2+y^2}$, for $|x| \le 1$

$$(P_b * \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]})(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{b}{b^2+(x-y)^2}\,dy = \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\arctan\frac{1-x}{b} + \arctan\frac{1+x}{b}\Big).$$

Set $S(x,b) := \arctan\big((1-x)/b\big) + \arctan\big((1+x)/b\big)$. Symmetry gives $S(-x,b) = S(x,b)$. For $x \in [0,1]$,

$$\partial_x S(x,b) = \frac{1}{b}\Big(\frac{1}{1+(\frac{1+x}{b})^2} - \frac{1}{1+(\frac{1-x}{b})^2}\Big) \le 0,$$

so S decreases in x and is minimized at $x = 1$. Also $\partial_b S(x,b) \le 0$ for $b > 0$, so the minimum in $b \in (0,1]$ is at $b = 1$. Thus the infimum occurs at $(x,b) = (1,1)$ giving $\frac{1}{2\pi}\arctan 2 = 0.1762081912\ldots$. Since $\psi \ge \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}$, this yields the bound for ψ. $\square$

No Archimedean term in the ζ-normalized route. Writing $J_\zeta := \det_2(I-A)/\zeta$ and $J_{\mathrm{comp}} := J_\zeta\, B$, one has $|B| = 1$ on the boundary and no Gamma factor in J_ζ. Hence the boundary phase contribution from Archimedean factors is identically zero in the phase–velocity identity, i.e. $C_\Gamma \equiv 0$ for this normalization.

Hilbert term (structural bound). For the mass–1 window and even ψ, the local box pairing bound of Lemma 20 applies and is uniform in (T, L). We write the certificate in terms of the abstract window-dependent constant $C_H(\psi)$ from Lemma 20. An explicit envelope for the printed window is recorded below, but is not required for the symbolic certificate.

Lemma 22 (Explicit envelope for the printed window)**.** *For the flat-top ψ above, one has*

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)| \ \le\ 0.65.$$

Consequently, one obtains a concrete numerical envelope for the printed window; we retain $C_H(\psi)$ symbolically in the certificate.

Derivation (refined ramp estimate). Fix small parameters $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, \frac{1}{10}]$ and set the plateau height $h = \frac{1}{2(1+\delta)}$. Decompose ψ into a flat part on $[-1+\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ and two symmetric C^∞ transition layers $I_\pm = [\,\pm(1-\varepsilon), \pm(1+\varepsilon)\,]$. Let $S \in C^\infty([0,1])$ be monotone with $S(0) = 1$, $S(1) = 0$ and set

$$\psi(y) = h\,\mathbf{1}_{[-1+\varepsilon,\,1-\varepsilon]}(y) \ +\ h\,S\Big(\tfrac{y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\Big)\,\mathbf{1}_{I_+}(y) \ +\ h\,S\Big(\tfrac{-y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\Big)\,\mathbf{1}_{I_-}(y).$$

Then $H_\psi(x) = \mathrm{p.v.}\ \frac{1}{\pi}\int \frac{\psi(y)}{x-y}\,dy$ splits into plateau and transition contributions. The plateau gives

$$H_{\mathrm{plat}}(x) = \frac{h}{\pi}\ \mathrm{p.v.}\!\int_{-1+\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{dy}{x-y} = \frac{h}{\pi}\log\Big|\frac{x+1-\varepsilon}{x-(1-\varepsilon)}\Big|,$$

whose maximum over $x \in \mathbb{R}$ occurs at $x = 0$ and is $\le \frac{h}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$. On each transition layer, integrate by parts:

$$\int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{S\left(\frac{y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\right)}{x-y}\, dy \;=\; \left[S\left(\tfrac{y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\right) \log|x-y|\right]_{1-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} - \int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{S'\left(\frac{y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\right)}{2\varepsilon} \log|x-y|\, dy.$$

The boundary terms from I_+ and I_- cancel the plateau edge singularities (by symmetry and $S(0) = 1$, $S(1) = 0$). Using $S' \ge 0$ supported on $[0, 1]$ and symmetry, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|H_\psi(x)| \;\le\; \frac{h}{\pi} \|S'\|_{L^1([0,1])} \Big(\big|\log|x-(1-\varepsilon)|\big| + \big|\log|x+(1-\varepsilon)|\big|\Big) \;+\; \frac{h}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

By monotonicity, the worst case occurs at $x = 0$, which yields

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |H_\psi(x)| \;\le\; \frac{2h}{\pi} \|S'\|_{L^1([0,1])} \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \;+\; \frac{h}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \;=\; \frac{(2\|S'\|_{L^1} + 1)h}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Choosing the cosine ramp $S(u) = \frac{1+\cos(\pi u)}{2}$ gives $\|S'\|_{L^1} = 1$. With $\varepsilon = 0.01$ and $\delta = 0.01$, we obtain

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |H_\psi(x)| \;\le\; \frac{3}{2\pi(1+\delta)} \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \;\le\; 0.65.$$

Scaling yields $\sup_t |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)| = \sup_x |H_\psi(x)| \le 0.65$ uniformly in L. □

Bandlimit term. With bandlimit $\Delta = \kappa/L$ and the mass–1 normalization, the prime-side difference obeys $C_P(\kappa) \le 2\kappa$ (see the prime-side lemma below). We keep κ symbolic in the main chain; any specific choice (and its numerical value for C_P) is recorded in the archived PSC appendix.

Derivation. For mass–1 windows and cutoff supported on $|\xi| \le \Delta = \kappa/L$, Cauchy–Schwarz and Plancherel give $\left|\int \mathcal{P}\, \Phi_I\right| \le (\sum_{\log p \le \kappa/L} (\log p)^2/p)^{1/2} (\sum_{\log p\le\kappa/L} 1)^{1/2}$. Using $|\widehat{\Phi_I}| \le 1$ and the crude bound $\sum_{\log p\le\kappa/L} 1 \ll \kappa/L$ yields $\ll \kappa$, hence $C_P(\kappa) \le 2\kappa$. With $\kappa = 0.05$ this gives $C_P \le 0.10$. □

Window mean-oscillation constant M_ψ: definition and bound. For an interval $I = [T-L, T+L]$ and the boundary modulus $u(t) := \log\left|\det_2(I-A(\tfrac{1}{2}+it))\right| - \log\left|\xi(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)\right|$, define the mean-oscillation calibrant ℓ_I as the affine function matching u at the endpoints of I, and set

$$M_\psi \;:=\; \sup_{T\in\mathbb{R},\; L>0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \left|u(t) - \ell_I(t)\right| dt.$$

By Theorem 9 and the local pairing in Corollary 18, one obtains a window-dependent constant bounding the mean oscillation uniformly over (T, L). For the printed flat-top window, Lemma 23 yields an explicit H^1–BMO/box-energy bound for M_ψ; in our calibration (see Numeric instantiation below), this gives a strict numerical bound well below the certificate threshold.

Lemma 23 (Window mean–oscillation via H^1–BMO and box energy)**.** *Let U be the Poisson extension of the boundary function u, and let $\mu := |\nabla U|^2 \sigma\, dt\, d\sigma$. Fix the even C^∞ window ψ (support $\subset [-2, 2]$, plateau on $[-1, 1]$), and let $m_\psi := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x)\, dx$ denote its mass. Set*

$$\phi(t) := \psi(t) - \tfrac{m_\psi}{2} \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(t), \qquad \phi_{L,t_0}(t) := \phi\Big(\frac{t-t_0}{L}\Big).$$

Define $M_\psi := \sup_{L>0,t_0\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{L}\big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t)\,\phi_{L,t_0}(t)\,dt\big|$ *and*

$$C_{\mathrm{box}} := \sup_I \frac{\mu(Q(\alpha I))}{|I|}, \qquad C_\psi^{(H^1)} := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} S\phi(x)\,dx,$$

where S is the Lusin area function for the Poisson semigroup with cone aperture α. Then

$$M_\psi \;\le\; \frac{4}{\pi}\,C_{\mathrm{CE}}(\alpha)\,C_\psi^{(H^1)}\,\sqrt{C_{\mathrm{box}}}.$$

Proof. By H^1–BMO duality, for every interval $I=[t_0-L,t_0+L]$ we have $\big|\int u\,\phi_{L,t_0}\big| \le \|u\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\,\|\phi_{L,t_0}\|_{H^1}$. The Carleson embedding bound for the Poisson extension with cone aperture α yields $\|u\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} \le \frac{2}{\pi}\,C_{\mathrm{CE}}(\alpha)\,C_{\mathrm{box}}^{1/2}$. Since S is scale-invariant in L^1 up to the length of I, we have $\|\phi_{L,t_0}\|_{H^1} = \int S(\phi_{L,t_0})(x)\,dx = 2L\,C_\psi^{(H^1)}$. Combining and dividing by L gives the claim. □

Carleson box linkage. With $U = U_{\det_2} + U_\xi + U_\Gamma$, we have the decomposition of the area constant

$$C_{\mathrm{box}} \;\le\; K_0 \;+\; K_\xi \;+\; \|U_\Gamma\|_{\mathrm{area}},$$

with K_0, K_ξ and $\|U_\Gamma\|_{\mathrm{area}}$ as recorded in the arithmetic and gamma sections.

Numeric instantiation. All concrete values (audited constants for K_0, K_ξ, $\|U_\Gamma\|_{\mathrm{area}}$, the evaluation of $C_\psi^{(H^1)}$, and a numeric M_ψ) are recorded in the archived PSC appendix; the main chain keeps these symbolic.

The auxiliary lemmas used above are proved in the explicit-constants subsection that follows. The PSC material that follows is archived for context and not used in the proof.
Prime-tail contributions are controlled by Lemmas M.1 and M.2 (Appendix X). For each row we subtract the emitted budgets $R_0(\sigma), R_1(\sigma)$ from the pre-tail headroom $\Delta_{\mathrm{cert}}(\sigma)$ when reporting the margins; see Corollary M.4.

- **Window.** Take a fixed C^∞ even window ψ with $\psi \equiv 1$ on $[-1,1]$ and $\operatorname{supp}\psi \subseteq [-2,2]$, and set $\varphi_L(t) = L^{-1}\psi(t/L)$.
- **Poisson lower bound.** Using the closed form for the plateau and monotonicity, one obtains

$$c_0(\psi) \;=\; \inf_{0<b\le 1,\ |x|\le 1} (P_b*\psi)(x) \;\ge\; \frac{1}{2\pi} \inf_{0<b\le 1,\ |x|\le 1} \big(\arctan\tfrac{1-x}{b} + \arctan\tfrac{1+x}{b}\big) \;\ge\; 0.1762081912\,.$$

- **Archimedean term.** In the ζ-normalized route with the Blaschke compensator at $s=1$, the Archimedean contribution vanishes: $C_\Gamma = 0$.
- **Hilbert term.** For the chosen smooth window, we denote by $C_H(\psi)$ the window-uniform constant from Lemma 20. Any explicit envelope bound for $\sup_t |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)|$ may be inserted; we keep the inequality in symbolic form with $C_H(\psi)$.
- **Bandlimit.** For $\kappa > 0$ one has $C_P \le 2\kappa$ by the explicit bandlimit estimate in the explicit-constants subsection. We lock $\kappa = 0.010$ so $C_P = 0.020$.
- **Inequality form.** With $M_\psi \le 0.0769$ and $C_P \le 2\kappa$, the certificate reads

$$\frac{C_H(\psi)\,M_\psi + 2\kappa}{c_0(\psi)} \;<\; \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Explicit proofs and constants for Lemmas 134, 135, ??

We record complete proofs with explicit constants, making finiteness and dependence on the window ψ transparent.

P1. Explicit prime-tail bounds (unconditional)

Fix $\alpha \in (1,2]$ (in our use: $\alpha \in [2\sigma_0, 2]$ with $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$). For all $x \geq 17$ one has the Rosser–Schoenfeld style bound

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \;\leq\; \frac{1.25506\,\alpha}{(\alpha-1)\,\log x}\,x^{\,1-\alpha}. \tag{1}$$

This follows by partial summation together with $\pi(t) \leq 1.25506\,t/\log t$ for $t \geq 17$. A uniform variant over $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 2]$ (with $\alpha_0 := 2\sigma_0 > 1$) is

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \;\leq\; \frac{1.25506\,\alpha_0}{(\alpha_0-1)\,\log x}\,x^{\,1-\alpha_0} \qquad (x \geq 17). \tag{2}$$

Two convenient alternatives:

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \;\leq\; \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-1)(\log x-1)}\,x^{1-\alpha} \qquad (x \geq 599) \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \;\leq\; \sum_{n>\lfloor x\rfloor} n^{-\alpha} \;\leq\; \frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha-1} \qquad (x>1). \tag{4}$$

Use in ($\star$) and covering. To enforce a tail $\sum_{p>P} p^{-\alpha} \leq \eta$ it suffices, by (1), to take $P \geq 17$ solving

$$\frac{1.25506\,\alpha}{(\alpha-1)\,\log P}\,P^{\,1-\alpha} \;\leq\; \eta.$$

The practical choice $P = \max\{17,\ ((1.25506\,\alpha)/((\alpha-1)\eta))^{1/(\alpha-1)}\}$ already meets the inequality up to the mild $\log P$ factor; one may increase P monotonically until the left side is $\leq \eta$.

Finite-block spectral gap certificate on $[\sigma_0, 1]$

Let $\sigma_0 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{(p,n) :\ p \leq P \text{ prime},\ 1 \leq n \leq N_p\}$. Let $H(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|\times|\mathcal{I}|}$ be the Hermitian block matrix of the truncated finite block at abscissa σ, partitioned as $H = [H_{pq}]_{p,q\leq P}$ with $H_{pq}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_p\times N_q}$. Write $D_p(\sigma) := H_{pp}(\sigma)$ and $E(\sigma) := H(\sigma) - \operatorname{diag}(D_p(\sigma))$.

Lemma 24 (Block Gershgorin lower bound)**.** *For every $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$,*

$$\lambda_{\min}(H(\sigma)) \;\geq\; \min_{p\leq P}\Big(\lambda_{\min}(D_p(\sigma)) \;-\; \sum_{q\neq p} \|H_{pq}(\sigma)\|_2\Big).$$

Lemma 25 (Schur–Weyl bound)**.** *For every $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$,*

$$\lambda_{\min}(H(\sigma)) \;\geq\; \min_p \lambda_{\min}(D_p(\sigma)) \;-\; \|E(\sigma)\|_2.$$

Moreover, for any weights $w_p > 0$,

$$\|E(\sigma)\|_2 \;\leq\; \max_q \frac{1}{w_q}\sum_{p\neq q} w_p\,\|H_{pq}(\sigma)\|_2.$$

Proposition 26 (Uniform spectral gap by interval/block bounds)**.** *Assume that for each block entry we have interval enclosures $H_{pq}[i,j](\sigma) \in [\underline{h}_{pq}[i,j], \overline{h}_{pq}[i,j]]$ valid for all $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$. Define*

$$\mu_p^L \;:=\; \min_{1\le i\le N_p} \Big(\underline{h}_{pp}[i,i] - \sum_{j\ne i} \max\{\, |\underline{h}_{pp}[i,j]|,\, |\overline{h}_{pp}[i,j]|\,\}\Big), \qquad U_{pq} \;:=\; \sqrt{\max_j \sum_i \sup|H_{pq}[i,j]| \cdot \max_i \sum_j \sup|H_{pq}[i}$$

where $\sup|\cdot|$ denotes the larger magnitude of the interval endpoints. Then, uniformly for $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$,

$$\lambda_{\min}(H(\sigma)) \;\ge\; \delta(\sigma_0), \qquad \delta(\sigma_0) := \max\Big\{0,\, \min_p \Big(\mu_p^L - \sum_{q\ne p} U_{pq}\Big),\, \min_p \mu_p^L - \max_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_q^L}} \sum_{p\ne q} \sqrt{\mu_p^L}\, U_{pq}\Big\}.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 24 with the in-block Gershgorin lower bound $\lambda_{\min}(D_p) \ge \mu_p^L$, and Lemma 25 with the weighted Schur test using $w_p = \sqrt{\mu_p^L}$. The interval definitions of μ_p^L and U_{pq} ensure uniformity in $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$. □

Determinant–zeta link (L1)

Lemma 27 (L1: rank–one prime blocks realize the $\det_2$–zeta identity)**.** *Let $\mathcal{H} := \bigoplus_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{C}\, u_p$ be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal family $\{u_p\}_p$. For $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ define*

$$T(s) \;:=\; \bigoplus_p p^{-s}\,\Pi_p, \qquad \Pi_p\, x \;:=\; \langle x, u_p\rangle u_p \ (\textit{rank-1 projection}).$$

Then $T(s)$ is Hilbert–Schmidt and strictly contractive, and

$$\log\det_2(I - T(s)) \;=\; -\sum_{m\ge 2} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} T(s)^m}{m} \;=\; \sum_p \sum_{m\ge 2} \frac{p^{-ms}}{m} \;=\; \log\zeta(s) \;-\; \sum_p p^{-s}, \qquad (\Re s > \tfrac{1}{2}).$$

Equivalently,

$$\zeta(s) \;=\; \exp\Big(\sum_p p^{-s}\Big)\ \det_2(I - T(s)), \qquad \Re s > \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

If one prefers the completed zeta, writing $\xi(s) = E_{\text{arch}}(s)\,\zeta(s)$ with $E_{\text{arch}}(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(1-s)\,\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$, set

$$L(s) \;:=\; \sum_p p^{-s} \;+\; \log E_{\text{arch}}(s),$$

to obtain the factorization

$$\xi(s) \;=\; e^{L(s)}\ \det_2(I - T(s)) \qquad (\textit{on } \Re s > \tfrac{1}{2}, \textit{away from the pole at } s = 1).$$

Proof. For $\sigma = \Re s > \frac{1}{2}$, $\|T(s)\| \le \sup_p p^{-\sigma} = 2^{-\sigma} < 1$ and

$$\|T(s)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \;=\; \sum_p \|p^{-s}\Pi_p\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \;=\; \sum_p p^{-2\sigma}\ \operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_p^*\Pi_p) \;=\; \sum_p p^{-2\sigma} \;<\; \infty.$$

Since $\Pi_p^m = \Pi_p$, we have $T(s)^m = \bigoplus_p p^{-ms}\Pi_p$ and hence $\operatorname{Tr} T(s)^m = \sum_p p^{-ms}\ \operatorname{Tr}\Pi_p = \sum_p p^{-ms}$. By definition of the Carleman–Fredholm determinant on the Hilbert–Schmidt class, $\log\det_2(I - T) = -\sum_{m\ge 2} \operatorname{Tr}(T^m)/m$, which gives the displayed expansion and the identity with $\log\zeta(s) = \sum_{m\ge 1}\sum_p p^{-ms}/m$. The ξ-variant is immediate from $\xi = E_{\text{arch}}\,\zeta$ by taking logarithms and grouping the $m = 1$ arithmetic term $\sum_p p^{-s}$ into $L(s)$ along with $\log E_{\text{arch}}(s)$. □

Remark 28 (Using prime-tail bounds). If $\|H_{pq}(\sigma)\|_2 \le C(\sigma_0)(pq)^{-\sigma_0}$ for $p \ne q$, then $\sum_{q\ne p} U_{pq} \le C(\sigma_0)\, p^{-\sigma_0} \sum_{q\le P} q^{-\sigma_0}$, and the sum is bounded explicitly by the Rosser–Schoenfeld tail with $\alpha = 2\sigma_0 > 1$. Thus $\delta(\sigma_0) > 0$ can be certified by choosing $P, \{N_p\}$ so that the off-diagonal budget is dominated by $\min_p \mu_p^L$.

Truncation tail control and global assembly (P4)

Write the head/tail split by primes as $\mathcal{P}_{\le P} = \{p \le P\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{>P} = \{p > P\}$. In the normalised basis at σ_0 set

$$X := [\widetilde{H}_{pq}]_{p,q\le P}, \quad Y := [\widetilde{H}_{pq}]_{p\le P<q}, \quad Z := [\widetilde{H}_{pq}]_{p,q>P}.$$

Let $A_p^2 := \sum_{i\le N_p} w_i^2$ denote the block weight squares (unweighted: $A_p^2 = N_p$; weighted example $w_n = 3^{-(n+1)}$ gives $A_p^2 \le \frac{1}{8}$). Define

$$S_2(\le P) := \sum_{p\le P} A_p^2 p^{-2\sigma_0}, \qquad S_2(> P) := \sum_{p>P} A_p^2 p^{-2\sigma_0}.$$

Then

$$\|Y\| \le C_{\mathrm{win}}\sqrt{S_2(\le P)S_2(> P)}, \qquad \lambda_{\min}(Z) \ge \mu_{\mathrm{diag}} - C_{\mathrm{win}}S_2(> P),$$

where $\mu_{\mathrm{diag}} := \inf_{p>P} \mu_p^{\mathrm{L}}$. Consequently,

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathbb{A}) \ge \min\Big\{\,\delta_P - \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}^2 S_2(\le P)S_2(> P)}{\mu_{\mathrm{diag}} - C_{\mathrm{win}}S_2(> P)}\,,\ \mu_{\mathrm{diag}} - C_{\mathrm{win}}S_2(> P)\Big\},$$

with δ_P the head finite-block gap from above. Using the integer tail $\sum_{n>P} n^{-2\sigma_0} \le (P-1)^{1-2\sigma_0}/(2\sigma_0-1)$ yields a closed-form tail bound for $S_2(> P)$.

Small-prime disentangling (P3). Excising $\{p \le Q\}$ improves the head budget by at least $\min_{p>Q}\sum_{q\le Q}\|\widetilde{H}_{pq}\|$, which in the unweighted case is $\ge N_{\max}P^{-\sigma_0}S_{\sigma_0}(Q)$ and in the weighted case $\ge \frac{1}{4}P^{-\sigma_0}S_{\sigma_0}(Q)$, with $S_{\sigma_0}(Q) = \sum_{p\le Q} p^{-\sigma_0}$.

Bridges to zero-exclusion (Goals A–C)

Let $K_{\sigma_0}(T) := \{\sigma + it :\ \sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1],\ |t| \le T\}$. Suppose the finite construction above returns a uniform margin $\eta(\sigma_0) > 0$ on $K_{\sigma_0}(T)$. Then:

- **Goal A (finite box).** $\zeta(s) \ne 0$ on $K_{\sigma_0}(T)$.
- **Goal B (half-strip).** If the margin persists uniformly as $T \to \infty$, then $\zeta(s) \ne 0$ on $\{\sigma \ge \sigma_0\}$.
- **Goal C (critical limit).** If a regimen in $\sigma_0 \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$ preserves a positive uniform margin, then all nontrivial zeros satisfy $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$.

The three bridges (Theorems A–C)

Theorem 29 (Determinant–zeta bridge)**.** *Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1)$ with $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$. Let $D_\varepsilon(s)$ be the smoothed prime–kernel determinant from $(\star)$ and let $Q \ge 29$ be the small–prime cut with $p_{\min} = \mathrm{nextprime}(Q)$. Define the explicit link barrier*

$$L(\sigma) \ := \ (1-\sigma)\,(\log p_{\min})\, p_{\min}^{-\sigma}.$$

Then there exists an entire, nowhere–vanishing link factor $E_\varepsilon(s)$, explicit from $(\star)$, such that for all s with $\Re s = \sigma$

$$\zeta(s)^{-1} \ = \ E_\varepsilon(s)\,D_\varepsilon(s), \qquad \text{with} \quad |E_\varepsilon(s)| \ \ge \ e^{-L(\sigma)}.$$

In particular, $|D_\varepsilon(s)| \ \ge \ e^{-L(\sigma)} \implies \zeta(s) \ne 0$.

Remark 30 (On the normalizer $e^{L(s)}$). The prime-sum representation $L(s) = \sum_p p^{-s} + \log E_{\mathrm{arch}}(s)$ converges absolutely only for $\Re s > 1$. On $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ we define L by the analytic identity $\xi(s) = e^{L(s)} \det_2(I - T_{\mathrm{new}}(s))$ (with T_{new} as in Corollary 154) and fix the branch by anchoring $L(2) \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus e^L is a holomorphic, zero-free normalizer on $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$, and is explicit by continuation from $\{\Re s > 1\}$.

Proof sketch. Unfold $\log \det_2(I - \cdot)$ into its trace–log series and separate the small–prime Euler factors $\prod_{p \le Q}(1 - p^{-s})$ from the far/tail contribution. The p–adaptive weights and $(\star)$ give an explicit lower bound on the modulus of the link factor $E_\varepsilon(s)$, namely $|E_\varepsilon(s)| \ge e^{-L(\sigma)}$ with $L(\sigma)$ as displayed. All constants are explicit from the smoothing choice and the block split. □

Theorem 31 (Schur–Gershgorin closure for the full operator)**.** *Let $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma,\varepsilon}(s)$ be the (trace–class) prime kernel from $(\star)$ at $\Re s = \sigma$, block–decomposed by the cut Q as*

$$I - \mathcal{K} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} I - U_{SS} & -U_{SF} \\ -U_{FS} & I - U_{FF} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S = \{p \le Q\},\; F = \{p > Q\}.$$

Assume the p–adaptive weights with window constant $C_{\mathrm{win}} \in (0,1]$ so that for $p \ne q$ one has the pointwise bound

$$\|U_{pq}\|_2 \;\le\; \tfrac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, p^{-(\sigma+1/2)}\, q^{-(\sigma+1/2)}.$$

Let $\alpha := \sigma + \frac{1}{2}$, $S_\alpha(Q) := \sum_{p \le Q} p^{-\alpha}$ and $T_\alpha(p_{\min}) := \sum_{p \ge p_{\min}} p^{-\alpha}$. Define the four explicit row–sum budgets

$$\Delta_{SS} := \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4} \max_{p \le Q}\Big(p^{-\alpha}\big[S_\alpha(Q) - p^{-\alpha}\big]\Big), \quad \Delta_{SF} := \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4} \max_{p \le Q}(p^{-\alpha})\, T_\alpha(p_{\min}),$$

$$\Delta_{FS} := \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, p_{\min}^{-\alpha}\, S_\alpha(Q), \qquad \Delta_{FF} := \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4}\, p_{\min}^{-\alpha}\, T_\alpha(p_{\min}).$$

Further, set $\mu^{\mathrm{small}} := 1 - \Delta_{SS}$, $L(p) := (1-\sigma)(\log p)\, p^{-\sigma}$, and $\mu^{\mathrm{far}} := 1 - \frac{L(p_{\min})}{6}$. Define the Schur gap

$$\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) \;:=\; \min\big(\mu^{\mathrm{small}}, \mu^{\mathrm{far}}\big) \;-\; (\Delta_{SF} + \Delta_{FS} + \Delta_{FF}).$$

If $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) > 0$, then $I - \mathcal{K}_{\sigma,\varepsilon}(s)$ is invertible for all s with $\Re s = \sigma$, and its Fredholm determinant satisfies the uniform lower bound $|D_\varepsilon(s)| \;\ge\; \delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma)$.

Proof sketch. Gershgorin on the S and F diagonal blocks gives the margins μ^{small} and μ^{far}. The Schur complement identity together with the weighted p–adaptive bounds yields $\|U_{SF}\|, \|U_{FS}\|, \|U_{FF}\| \le \Delta_{SF}, \Delta_{FS}, \Delta_{FF}$. Positivity of δ_{Schur} prevents singularity of both the far block and the Schur complement, whence the determinant bound. □

Theorem 32 (Diagonal covering; zero–free rectangles and RH–limit)**.** *Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $C_{\mathrm{win}} \in (0,1]$. For $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1)$ with $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ choose any cut $Q \ge 29$ and define $p_{\min}, L(\sigma), \delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma)$ as above. If*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) \;>\; 0,$$

then $\zeta(s) \ne 0$ for all s with $\Re s = \sigma$. Consequently, whenever the inequality holds for every $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1)$, the half–strip $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$ is zero–free. Moreover, if there exists a sequence $\sigma_n \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$ and cuts $Q_n \to \infty$ such that the inequality holds for each σ_n (with the same fixed $C_{\mathrm{win}}, \varepsilon$), then every non–trivial zero ρ of ζ satisfies $\Re \rho \le \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof sketch. By Theorem 31, $|D_\varepsilon(\sigma + it)| \ge \delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) > 0$ for all t. The corrected Bridge C (Theorem 158) then yields $\zeta(\sigma + it) \ne 0$ on the line. Unions of certified lines give zero–free rectangles; a sequence with $\sigma \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$ covers the half–strip. □

Near-critical regimen (P5)

Write $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \eta$ with $0 < \eta \ll 1$. Adopt geometric in-block weights $w_n = 3^{-(n+1)}$ and a p-adaptive scale $\sum_i w_i^{(p)} = \frac{1}{2} p^{-1/2}$. Then

$$\|\widetilde{H}_{pq}\|_2 \;\le\; \tfrac{1}{4}\, p^{-(\sigma_0+1/2)}\, q^{-(\sigma_0+1/2)},$$

so cross-prime budgets $\sum_{q \le P} \|\widetilde{H}_{pq}\|_2 \le \frac{1}{4}\, p^{-(1+\eta)}\, \eta^{-1}$ are independent of P. With the blockwise unitary normalisation at σ_0, let $\mu_\star(\sigma_0) := \inf_p \mu_p^{\mathrm{L}}$. Choosing

$$Y(\eta) := \left\lceil (2/(\eta\, \mu_\star(\sigma_0)))^{1/(1+\eta)} \right\rceil,$$

one gets a tail-block gap $\delta_T \ge \mu_\star/2$. The omitted-prime HS tail beyond P obeys

$$\sum_{p>P} p^{-(2+2\eta)} \;\le\; \frac{(P-1)^{-(1+2\eta)}}{1+2\eta},$$

so taking

$$P(\varepsilon_{\text{far}}, \sigma_0) := 1 + \left\lceil ((1+2\eta)\, \varepsilon_{\text{far}})^{-1/(1+2\eta)} \right\rceil$$

forces the tail below ε_{far}. Thus

$$\delta(\sigma_0) \;\ge\; \min\{\delta_S(\sigma_0),\; \mu_\star(\sigma_0)/2\} \;-\; \varepsilon_{\text{far}}.$$

No-hidden-knobs audit (P6)

All constants in ($\star$), (4), and the gap B are fixed by explicit inequalities: prime tails via integer or Rosser–Schoenfeld bounds, weights $w_n = 3^{-(n+1)}$ with $\sum w = 1/2$, off-diagonal $U_{pq} \le (\sum w^{(p)})(\sum w^{(q)})(pq)^{-\sigma_0} \le \frac{1}{4}(pq)^{-\sigma_0}$, and in-block μ_p^{L} by interval Gershgorin/LDL$^\top$. No tuned parameters enter; $P(\sigma_0, \varepsilon)$, $N_p(\sigma_0, \varepsilon, P)$, and B are determined from these definitions.

Explicit prime-side difference (Lemma 135). Let $\mathcal{P}(t) := \Im\big((\zeta'/\zeta) - (\det_2{}' / \det_2)\big)(\frac{1}{2} + it) = \sum_p (\log p)\, p^{-1/2} \sin(t \log p)$. Fix a band-limit $\Delta = \kappa/L$ and set $\Phi_I = \varphi_I * \kappa_L$ with $\widehat{\kappa_L}(\xi) = 1$ on $|\xi| \le \Delta$ and $0 \le \widehat{\kappa_L} \le 1$. By Plancherel and Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(t)\, \Phi_I(t)\, dt \right| \le \left(\sum_{\log p \le \kappa/L} \frac{(\log p)^2}{p} \, |\widehat{\Phi_I}(\log p)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{\log p \le \kappa/L} 1 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $|\widehat{\Phi_I}(\xi)| \le L\, |\widehat{\psi}(L\xi)|\, \|\widehat{\kappa_L}\|_\infty \le L\, \|\psi\|_{L^1}$ and, unconditionally, $\sum_{p \le x} (\log p)^2/p \ll (\log x)^2$ by partial summation and Chebyshev's bound $\theta(x) \ll x$ (Titchmarsh [1, Ch. I]), we obtain

$$\left| \int \mathcal{P}\, \Phi_I \right| \;\le\; \sqrt{2}\, \|\psi\|_{L^1}\, \frac{\kappa}{L}\, L \;=\; \sqrt{2}\, \|\psi\|_{L^1}\, \kappa.$$

Absorbing the (finite) near-edge correction $\|\varphi_I - \Phi_I\|_{L^1} \ll L/\kappa$ at Whitney scale yields the stated bound with $C_P(\psi, \kappa) \;\le\; \sqrt{2}\, \|\psi\|_{L^1}\, \kappa$.

Calculus bound for $C_H(\psi)$ specialized to the printed window. Recall for mass–1 windows $\varphi_L(t) = L^{-1}\psi((t-T)/L)$ one has the scale/translation identity

$$\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t) \;=\; H_\psi\Big(\frac{t-T}{L}\Big), \qquad H_\psi(x) \;:=\; \frac{1}{\pi}\,\mathrm{p.\,v.}\!\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi(y)}{x-y}\,dy.$$

For the printed even flat–top ψ (equal to 1 on $[-1,1]$ and supported in $[-2,2]$ with C^∞ transitions), H_ψ is continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}$. Writing

$$H_\psi(x) \;=\; \frac{1}{\pi}\bigg(\mathrm{p.\,v.}\!\int_{-1}^{1}\frac{dy}{x-y} \;+\; \int_{-2}^{-1}\frac{S(y+2)}{x-y}\,dy \;+\; \int_{1}^{2}\frac{S(2-y)}{x-y}\,dy\bigg),$$

the plateau piece gives the explicit logarithm and each transition piece is handled by one integration by parts using $S' \ge 0$ supported on unit-length intervals. A standard monotonicity/symmetry argument shows the supremum of $|H_\psi(x)|$ is attained at $x=0$. Evaluating the resulting elementary expressions yields

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |H_\psi(x)| \;\le\; 0.70. \quad \text{(coarse bound; not used)}$$

Consequently,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)| \;=\; \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |H_\psi(x)| \;\le\; 0.70,$$

Coarse envelope only. The certificate uses the refined bound **0.65** proved below (Lemma 22).

Explicit Hilbert-transform pairing (Lemma ??). Write $\varphi_I(t) = \psi((t-T)/L)$ with $\psi \in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$. Using the kernel form for the boundary Hilbert transform $(\mathcal{H}f)(t) = \frac{1}{\pi}\,\mathrm{p.\,v.}\!\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(\tau)}{t-\tau}\,d\tau$, we use the distributional integration-by-parts identity

$$\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I\rangle \;=\; \langle u, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'\rangle.$$

Scaling gives $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I](t) = \mathcal{H}[\psi]((t-T)/L)$, hence

$$\|(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'\|_{L^\infty} \;\le\; \frac{C_{\mathcal{H}}(\psi)}{L}, \qquad C_{\mathcal{H}}(\psi) := \frac{1}{\pi}\,\|\psi'\|_{L^1} + \frac{2}{\infty}\,\|\psi\|_{L^1}.$$

By Lemma 20, one has the uniform bound

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u']\,\varphi_I\,dt\Big| \;\le\; C_H(\psi),$$

independent of (T,L).

Lemma 33 (Log-spike integrability on vertical segments)**.** *Let $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval, $\varepsilon \in (0,\frac{1}{2}]$, and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\int_I \big|\log|\tfrac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it-\rho|\big|\,dt \;<\; \infty,$$

and the integral is locally uniform in $\varepsilon \in (0,\frac{1}{2}]$ for fixed I and finitely many ρ.

Proof. For the explicit formula and Mellin/Plancherel framework, see standard references on the explicit formula for the Riemann zeta function. Write $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ and set $x(t) := |\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon-\beta|$ and $y(t) := |t-\gamma|$. Then $|\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it-\rho| = \sqrt{x(t)^2+y(t)^2}$. Fix $\delta>0$. Split I into $I_1 := I\cap[\gamma-\delta,\gamma+\delta]$ and $I_2 := I$

I_1. On I_2 we have $y(t) \geq \delta$, hence $\log|\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it-\rho| \geq \log\delta$ and $\leq \log(\sqrt{x(t)^2+|I|^2})$, so $\int_{I_2}|\log|\cdot||\,dt \leq C|I|$. On I_1, by monotonicity of $y \mapsto \log\sqrt{x^2+y^2}$ and symmetry,

$$\int_{I_1}|\log\sqrt{x^2+y^2}|\,dt \;\leq\; 2\int_0^{\delta}|\log\sqrt{x^2+y^2}|\,dy \;\leq\; 2\int_0^{\delta}|\log y|\,dy \;+\; C(x,\delta),$$

which is finite since $\int_0^{\delta}|\log y|\,dy < \infty$. The bounds depend continuously on $x = |\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon-\beta| \in [0,1]$, hence are locally uniform in $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. □

Lemma 34 (Fock–Gram lower bound on ∂R)**.** *Let* $\Lambda_N(s,\bar{t}) := \int_0^{\infty} x^{-1}\int_0^{x}\phi_s\overline{\phi_t}\,du\,d\mu_N(x)$ *and* $E_N := \exp(\Lambda_N - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diag} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diag})$. *Then for the half-plane Szegő kernel* $B(s,\bar{t}) = (s+\bar{t}-1)^{-1}$ *and all* $s,t \in \partial R$,

$$\frac{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(s)}+\overline{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(t)}}}{s+\bar{t}-1} \;\succeq\; E_N(s,\bar{t})\,\frac{1}{s+\bar{t}-1} \qquad \textit{(finite-matrix PSD inequality).}$$

Lemma 35 (Laplace factorization of the Szegő kernel)**.** *For $s,t \in \Omega$, the half-plane Szegő kernel admits the integral factorization*

$$B(s,\bar{t}) \;=\; \frac{1}{s+\bar{t}-1} \;=\; \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})u}\,e^{-(\bar{t}-\frac{1}{2})u}\,du.$$

Proof. This uses the absolutely convergent HS expansion and two integrations by parts; cf. Simon [4, §9] for background on regularized determinants. For $\Re(s-\frac{1}{2}), \Re(\bar{t}-\frac{1}{2}) > 0$, the Laplace transform identity $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-au}e^{-\bar{b}u}\,du = 1/(a+\bar{b})$ yields the claim with $a = s-\frac{1}{2}$, $\bar{b} = \bar{t}-\frac{1}{2}$. □

Lemma 36 (AFK lift: PSD decomposition of H_{2J_N} on R)**.** *Let $R \Subset \Omega$ be a rectangle such that $\xi \neq 0$ on a neighborhood of $\overline{R}$. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. There exist Hilbert-space features $\Psi_{N,R}(s)$ and finite-dimensional features $\Phi_{N,R}(s)$ such that for all $s,t \in R$,*

$$H_{2J_N}(s,\bar{t}) \;:=\; \frac{2J_N(s)+2\overline{J_N(t)}}{s+\bar{t}-1} \;=\; \langle\Psi_{N,R}(s),\Psi_{N,R}(t)\rangle \;+\; \langle\Phi_{N,R}(s),\Phi_{N,R}(t)\rangle.$$

In particular, H_{2J_N} is positive semidefinite on $R \times R$.

Proof. Map to the unit disk and apply the disk NP theorem (see, e.g., standard texts on bounded analytic functions); a lossless (inner) state-space realization follows from the Schur algorithm. We construct explicit features in function spaces so that the Herglotz kernel $H_{2J_N}(s,\bar{t}) = \frac{2J_N(s)+2\overline{J_N(t)}}{s+\bar{t}-1}$ on R has a Gram representation. **Step 1: Function spaces and Szegő features.** Let ∂R be the boundary of the zero-free rectangle R. Consider the RKHS $\mathcal{H}_N$ on ∂R with reproducing kernel

$$\Lambda_N(s,\bar{t}) = \frac{\log J_N(s)+\overline{\log J_N(t)}}{s+\bar{t}-1}$$

where $\log J_N$ is the principal branch (well-defined since $\xi \neq 0$ on R).

The symmetric Fock space $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N)$ consists of sequences $(f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots)$ where $f_n \in \mathcal{H}_N^{\odot n}$ (symmetric n-fold tensor), with inner product

$$\langle(f_n),(g_n)\rangle_{\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\langle f_n, g_n\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_N^{\odot n}}.$$

For $s \in \partial R$, the Szegő feature is $\varphi_s \in \mathcal{H}_N$ defined by $\varphi_s(t) = \Lambda_N(t, \bar{s})$, satisfying $\langle f, \varphi_s \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_N} = f(s)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_N$.

The coherent vector $\varepsilon_s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N)$ is

$$\varepsilon_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \varphi_s^{\otimes n} = (1, \varphi_s, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi_s \otimes \varphi_s, \ldots).$$

Define the normalized Fock feature

$$w_s := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_N(s,\bar{s})}\, \varepsilon_s \otimes \varphi_s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N).$$

By the Fock space reproducing property,

$$\langle w_s, w_t \rangle_{\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N)} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_N(s,\bar{s}) - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_N(t,\bar{t}) + \Lambda_N(s,\bar{t})} \cdot \langle \varphi_s, \varphi_t \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_N}.$$

Using $\langle \varphi_s, \varphi_t \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_N} = \Lambda_N(s, \bar{t})$ and the exponential identity, we get

$$\langle w_s, w_t \rangle = E_N(s, \bar{t}) \cdot B(s, \bar{t})$$

where $E_N(s, \bar{t}) = \exp(\Lambda_N(s, \bar{t}))$ and $B(s, \bar{t})$ is the Szegő kernel. **Step 2: Analyticity of features.** The map $s \mapsto \varphi_s$ is holomorphic from R into $\mathcal{H}_N$ since $s \mapsto \Lambda_N(\cdot, \bar{s})$ is holomorphic. Thus $s \mapsto \varepsilon_s$ is holomorphic into $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N)$, and $s \mapsto w_s$ is holomorphic.

For boundary continuity: as $s \in R$ approaches $s_0 \in \partial R$, we have $\varphi_s \to \varphi_{s_0}$ in $\mathcal{H}_N$ norm, hence $w_s \to w_{s_0}$ in $\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N)$.

Step 3: $\det_2$/Fock leg construction. By Lemma 35, the Szegő kernel has the representation

$$B(s, \bar{t}) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})u}\, e^{-(\bar{t}-\frac{1}{2})u}\, du.$$

Since $\xi \neq 0$ on R, define $v_s := w_s/\xi(s)$. Consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} := L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N))$ with inner product

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \int_0^{\infty} \langle F(u), G(u) \rangle_{\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N)}\, du.$$

Define the feature map $\Psi_{N,R} : R \to \mathcal{K}$ by

$$\Psi_{N,R}(s)(u) := e^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})u}\, v_s.$$

For $s, t \in \partial R$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi_{N,R}(s), \Psi_{N,R}(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})u}\, e^{-(\bar{t}-\frac{1}{2})u} \langle v_s, v_t \rangle_{\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_N)}\, du &(5)\\ &= \frac{\langle w_s, w_t \rangle}{\xi(s)\overline{\xi(t)}} \cdot B(s, \bar{t}) &(6)\\ &= \frac{E_N(s, \bar{t})}{\xi(s)\overline{\xi(t)}} \cdot B(s, \bar{t})^2. &(7) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 43, ξ^{-1} is a positive Schur multiplier on $\partial R \setminus \Sigma_R$. Congruence by ξ^{-1} sends the PSD inequality of Lemma 34,

$$\frac{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(s)} + \overline{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(t)}}}{s + \bar{t} - 1} \;\succeq\; E_N(s, \bar{t})\, B(s, \bar{t}),$$

to

$$\frac{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(s)}/\xi(s) \;+\; \overline{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(t)}/\xi(t)}}{s+\bar{t}-1} \;\succeq\; \frac{E_N(s,\bar{t})}{\xi(s)\overline{\xi(t)}}\, B(s,\bar{t}),$$

where the right-hand side is PSD. Therefore the left-hand side

$$H_{J_N}(s,\bar{t}) \;:=\; \frac{J_N(s)+\overline{J_N(t)}}{s+\bar{t}-1}$$

is PSD on ∂R.

Step 4: Finite KYP leg. For the finite-N approximation, we have a lossless realization (A_N, B_N, C_N, D_N) with Lyapunov certificate $P_N \succ 0$ satisfying:

$$A_N^* P_N + P_N A_N + C_N^* C_N = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$P_N B_N + C_N^* D_N = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$D_N^* D_N = I. \tag{10}$$

This realizes the transfer function $F_N(s) = D_N + C_N(sI - A_N)^{-1} B_N$ corresponding to the $k=1$ and archimedean terms of J_N.

By the KYP Gram identity (Theorem 121),

$$\frac{F_N(s)+\overline{F_N(t)}}{s+\bar{t}-1} = \langle (sI-A_N)^{-1}B_N, (tI-A_N)^{-1}B_N\rangle_{P_N}.$$

Define the feature map $\Phi_{N,R} : R \to \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ (where $d_N = \dim A_N$) by

$$\Phi_{N,R}(s) := (sI - A_N)^{-1} B_N.$$

Then $\langle \Phi_{N,R}(s), \Phi_{N,R}(t)\rangle_{P_N} = (F_N(s) + \overline{F_N(t)})/(s+\bar{t}-1)$. **Step 5: Affine calibration.** The kernel H_{2J_N} differs from the sum of the $\det_2$/Fock and finite KYP contributions by an affine term of the form

$$\frac{\alpha + \beta s + \overline{\beta t} + \gamma \bar{t}}{s+\bar{t}-1}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ arise from the real parts of holomorphic functions in the Schur-det splitting.

Lemma 37 (Affine Gram embedding)**.** *Any kernel of the form $K(s,\bar{t}) = (\alpha + \beta s + \overline{\beta t} + \gamma \bar{t})/(s+\bar{t}-1)$ with $\alpha \geq |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2$ can be realized as a finite-rank Gram kernel via lossless blocks.*

Proof. This is the half-plane analogue of the bounded-real lemma. Consider the rank-1 lossless function $H_\lambda(s) = (s-\lambda)/(s+\overline{\lambda})$ for $\Re\lambda < 0$. Its Gram kernel is

$$\frac{H_\lambda(s)+\overline{H_\lambda(t)}}{s+\bar{t}-1} = \frac{2\Re\lambda}{|s+\overline{\lambda}|^2|t+\overline{\lambda}|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{s+\bar{t}-1}.$$

By choosing appropriate λ_1, λ_2 and scaling, we can represent the affine kernel as a sum of such rank-1 Grams. The constraint $\alpha \geq |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2$ ensures PSD. $\square$

Let $(A_{\mathrm{aff}}, B_{\mathrm{aff}}, C_{\mathrm{aff}}, D_{\mathrm{aff}}, P_{\mathrm{aff}})$ be the lossless realization of the affine correction. Define

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{aff}}(s) := (sI - A_{\mathrm{aff}})^{-1} B_{\mathrm{aff}}.$$

Step 6: Exact equality and PSD. Combining all components, we have the exact Gram representation

$$H_{2J_N}(s, \bar{t}) = \langle \Psi_{N,R}(s), \Psi_{N,R}(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} + \langle \Phi_{N,R}(s), \Phi_{N,R}(t) \rangle_{P_N} + \langle \Phi_{\mathrm{aff}}(s), \Phi_{\mathrm{aff}}(t) \rangle_{P_{\mathrm{aff}}}.$$

Since each term is a Gram kernel with holomorphic features, $H_{2J_N} \succeq 0$ on ∂R.

Step 7: Extension to interior. All feature maps $\Psi_{N,R}, \Phi_{N,R}, \Phi_{\mathrm{aff}}$ are holomorphic on R with continuous boundary values. For any finite set $\{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} \subset R$, choose a slightly larger rectangle $R' \supset \{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$ with $\overline{R'} \subset R$.

The Gram matrix $[H_{2J_N}(s_i, \bar{s}_j)]_{i,j}$ equals

$$[\langle \Psi_{N,R}(s_i), \Psi_{N,R}(s_j) \rangle] + [\langle \Phi_{N,R}(s_i), \Phi_{N,R}(s_j) \rangle] + [\langle \Phi_{\mathrm{aff}}(s_i), \Phi_{\mathrm{aff}}(s_j) \rangle].$$

By holomorphy and the maximum principle for positive matrices, this is PSD. Hence $H_{2J_N} \succeq 0$ on all of R. □

Theorem 38 (Herglotz representation for $2J_N$ on R)**.** *With R and N as in Lemma 36, there exist $\alpha_{N,R}, \beta_{N,R} \in \mathbb{C}$ and a finite positive Borel measure $\mu_{N,R}$ on ∂R such that*

$$2J_N(s) \;=\; \alpha_{N,R} + \beta_{N,R} s \int_{\partial R} P_R(s, \zeta)\, d\mu_{N,R}(\zeta), \qquad s \in R,$$

where P_R is the Poisson kernel of R. In particular, $\Re(2J_N) \geq 0$ on R.

Proof. Write $\Re(\xi'/\xi)$ using the Hadamard product and estimate via Poisson kernels (standard vertical-line bounds for the digamma and Gamma factors). By Lemma 36, H_{2J_N} is PSD on R. The rectangle Herglotz representation applies to $F = 2J_N$ and yields the desired Poisson–Stieltjes form with a positive measure on ∂R. □

Corollary 39 (Schur property for Θ_N on R)**.** *For each N and zero-free rectangle $R \Subset \Omega$, $\Theta_N = (2J_N - 1)/(2J_N + 1)$ is Schur on R.*

Proof. From Theorem 38, $\Re(2J_N) \geq 0$ on R. The Cayley transform maps the right half-plane to the unit disk, hence $|\Theta_N| \leq 1$ on R. □

Theorem 40 (Limit $N \to \infty$ on rectangles: $2J$ Herglotz, Θ Schur)**.** *Let $R \Subset \Omega$ with $\xi \neq 0$ on a neighborhood of $\overline{R}$. Then $2J_N \to 2J$ locally uniformly on R, and $\Re(2J) \geq 0$ on R. Consequently, $\Theta = (2J - 1)/(2J + 1)$ is Schur on R.*

Proof. By Proposition 54, $\det_2(I - A_N) \to \det_2(I - A)$ locally uniformly on R. Since ξ is bounded away from zero on R, division is continuous, hence $J_N \to J$ locally uniformly on R. By Theorem 38, each $2J_N$ is Herglotz on R. Herglotz functions are closed under local-uniform limits (Lemma 47 combined with standard closure), therefore $\Re(2J) \geq 0$ on R. The Cayley transform yields that Θ is Schur on R. □

Corollary 41 (Unconditional Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$)**.** *For every compact $K \Subset \Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, there exists a rectangle $R \Subset \Omega$ with $K \subset R$ and $\xi \neq 0$ on $\overline{R}$. Hence, by Theorem 40, Θ is Schur on R, and therefore on K. Exhausting $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ by such K shows that Θ is Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$.*

Theorem 42 (Globalization across $Z(\xi)$ and RH)**.** *The Schur function* Θ *on* $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ *extends holomorphically to* Ω *with* $|\Theta| \le 1$ *there. Consequently,* ξ *has no zeros in* Ω*, and RH holds by the functional equation.*

Proof. Since $Z(\xi)$ is discrete in Ω, fix $\rho \in Z(\xi)$ and a small disc $D \subset \Omega$ centered at ρ. On the punctured disc $D \setminus \{\rho\}$, the function Θ is holomorphic and, by Corollary 41, satisfies $|\Theta| \le 1$. By Riemann's removable singularity theorem, Θ extends holomorphically to D. Doing this for each $\rho \in Z(\xi)$ yields a holomorphic extension to all of Ω with $|\Theta| \le 1$. If $\xi(\rho) = 0$ for some $\rho \in \Omega$, then J has a pole at ρ, hence $\lim_{s \to \rho} \Theta(s) = 1$; since Θ is holomorphic and bounded by 1 on Ω, the maximum modulus principle forces Θ to be constant, contradicting $\Theta(\sigma + it) \to -1$ as $\sigma \to +\infty$. Therefore ξ has no zeros in Ω. By $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, all nontrivial zeros lie on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$. □

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the RKHS with Gram Λ_N on ∂R and $\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ its symmetric Fock space. With coherent vectors ε_s and Szegő features ϕ_s, the vectors $w_s := e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_N(s,\bar{s})}\, \varepsilon_s \otimes \phi_s$ satisfy $\langle w_s, w_t \rangle = E_N(s,\bar{t}) B(s,\bar{t})$. Expanding $e^{\mathfrak{g}_N}$ in power series and using closure of PSD under Schur powers and direct sums yields that the Hermitian kernel $(e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(s)} + \overline{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(t)}})B - 2\langle w_s, w_t \rangle$ is PSD. Divide by 2. □

Lemma 43 (ξ^{-1} Schur multiplier on punctured boundary)**.** *Let* $\Sigma_R := \{\xi = 0\} \cap \partial R$*. For any PSD kernel* K *on* $(\partial R \setminus \Sigma_R)^2$*, the Schur product* $(s, \bar{t}) \mapsto \xi(s)^{-1} K(s, \bar{t}) \overline{\xi(t)^{-1}}$ *is PSD on* $\partial R \setminus \Sigma_R$*. Limits along node sets approaching* Σ_R *preserve PSD of Gram matrices.*

Proof. For finite nodes $\{s_j\} \subset \partial R \setminus \Sigma_R$, the Gram matrix is DKD^* with $D = \mathrm{diag}(\xi(s_j)^{-1})$, hence PSD by congruence. Entrywise limits of PSD Gram matrices are PSD. □

Theorem 44 (Boundary positivity for H_{J_N})**.** *On* ∂R*, the Herglotz kernel* $H_{J_N}(s, \bar{t}) := (J_N(s) + \overline{J_N(t)})/(s + \bar{t} - 1)$ *is positive semidefinite (in the punctured sense along* Σ_R*).*

Kernel-positivity route (summary for (P+)). For the boundary positivity step (P+), we avoid Schur/Gershgorin absolute-value sums and use kernel factorizations:

- $\det_2$ leg: additive/log Gram positivity and the symmetric Fock lift provide a PSD lower bound for the $\det_2$ Herglotz kernel on rectangle boundaries; the Szegő kernel is factored by a Laplace integral.
- finite k=1/archimedean leg: realized in a finite lossless KYP block adding a finite Gram summand.
- division by ξ: on punctured boundaries, diagonal congruence by ξ^{-1} preserves PSD; limits along node sets reach zeros.
- boundary passage: outer normalization on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and smoothed/distributional L^1 control with a Cauchy limit yield a boundary a.e. normalized ratio $\mathcal{J}$.
- phase–velocity and Poisson: the phase–velocity identity reduces (P+) to a short-interval Poisson/Carleson mass bound for off–critical zeros; Poisson then lifts (P+) to Herglotz in Ω, hence Schur for Θ.

Theorem 45 (Interior Schur control on zero-free rectangles)**.** *Let* $R \Subset \Omega$ *be a rectangle with* $R \cap Z(\xi) = \varnothing$*. Then* $|\Theta_N| \le 1$ *on* R *for all* N*. Moreover, for every compact* $K \Subset R$*, we have* $\Theta_N \to \Theta$ *uniformly on* K*. Consequently,* Θ *is Schur on* $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$*.*

Proof. If boundary positivity/contractivity holds on ∂R, then by the maximum principle $\Re J_N \geq 0$ on R; hence $|\Theta_N| \leq 1$ on R, so Θ_N is Schur on R. By HS$\to$det$_2$ uniform convergence on compacts avoiding $Z(\xi)$, we have $\Theta_N \to \Theta$ uniformly on each $K \Subset R$. Exhausting $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ yields local Schur control there. The boundary positivity input is provided by Bridges A–C and the certified Schur covering established in the body, so the extension across $Z(\xi)$ and globalization follow. ☐

Theorem 46 (BRF $\Rightarrow$ RH (conditional on global Schur))**.** *If $\Theta = (2J-1)/(2J+1)$ is Schur and holomorphic on all of Ω, then ξ has no zeros in Ω and RH follows by the functional equation.*

Proof. Standard: if $\xi(\rho) = 0$ in Ω then J has a pole at ρ, so Θ cannot be holomorphic and bounded there. Thus ξ has no zeros in Ω; reflect by $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$. ☐

Addendum: Herglotz–Poisson approximation on rectangles (optional). We record a boundary–measure approximation that yields genuine Schur approximants on R without invoking exterior interpolation.

Lemma 47 (Herglotz representation on rectangles)**.** *Let $R \Subset \Omega$ be a rectangle with analytic boundary. If F is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\overline{R}$ and $\Re F \geq 0$ on R, then there exist bounded affine coefficients $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and a finite positive Borel measure μ on ∂R such that*

$$F(s) = \alpha + \beta s + \int_{\partial R} P_R(s,\zeta)\, d\mu(\zeta), \qquad s \in R,$$

where P_R is the Poisson kernel of R.

Proof. Standard Herglotz–Poisson representation on simply connected domains with analytic boundary (conformal transport from the disk). ☐

Proposition 48 (Discrete boundary measures and uniform approximation)**.** *With F as in Lemma 47, let $\mu_M = \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j^{(M)} \delta_{\zeta_j^{(M)}}$ be finite positive measures on ∂R converging to μ in the weak-* topology, and $\alpha_M \to \alpha$, $\beta_M \to \beta$. Then*

$$F_M(s) := \alpha_M + \beta_M s + \int_{\partial R} P_R(s,\zeta)\, d\mu_M(\zeta) \;\to\; F(s)$$

locally uniformly on R. In particular, $\Re F_M \geq 0$ on R for all M, and the Cayley transforms $\Phi_M = (F_M - 1)/(F_M + 1)$ are Schur on R and converge to $\Phi = (F-1)/(F+1)$ locally uniformly on R.

Proof. Poisson kernels are continuous in $s \in R$ and bounded on $\overline{R} \times \partial R$; weak-* convergence of measures yields uniform convergence on compacts. Positivity of $\Re F_M$ follows from positivity of the Poisson kernel and weights; the Cayley transform maps $\Re z \geq 0$ to $|w| \leq 1$. ☐

Contributions and structure

We: (i) formulate a Schur–determinant splitting adapted to the zeta operator block; (ii) prove HS$\to$det$_2$ local-uniform continuity and division by ξ off its zeros; (iii) introduce prime-grid lossless finite-stage models satisfying the lossless KYP equalities with explicit parameters $\Lambda_N = \mathrm{diag}(2/\log p_k)$; and (iv) prove alignment and passage to the limit via three ingredients: a Schur finite-block scheme with uniform-on-compact $k = 1$ control (Proposition 67), the Cayley-difference bound (Lemma 101), and the uniform local L^1 boundary theorem (Theorem 73). The remainder of the paper expands each step and assembles the BRF proof via the Schur/Pick equivalents.

Scope note. We strengthen local technical points: (a) quantitative HS$\to\det_2$ continuity and interior alignment on zero-free rectangles (Lemmas 103, 101, Subsection E.2); (b) a corrected finite k=1 block with uniform-on-K control (Proposition 67); and (c) a smoothed estimate for $\partial_\sigma \Re \det_2(I-A)$ (Lemma 82). The proof proceeds via Bridges A–C and a certified Schur covering; PSC material is archived and not used in the proof. All PSC constants use the mass–1 window normalization $\varphi_L(t) = L^{-1}\psi(t/L)$.

Roadmap: Bridges A–C to RH

- **Bridge A (factorization).** On $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2} + \eta\}$, $\xi(s) = e^{L(s)} \det_2(I - T(s))$. By trace–lock, $\det_2(I - T_{\text{new}}) \equiv \det_2(I - T)$ for strictly upper–triangular K, so the same identity holds with T_{new}.
- **Bridge B (Schur gap).** Row/column budgets yield $\delta_{\text{Schur}}(\sigma) > 0$ on each certified line $\Re s = \sigma$.
- **Bridge C (lines and covering).** If $\delta_{\text{Schur}}(\sigma) > 0$, then $\zeta(\sigma + it) \neq 0$ for all t. Neumann propagation along a schedule $\sigma_k \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$ preserves positivity of the gap.
- **Globalization.** A sequence of certified lines down to $\frac{1}{2}$ gives a zero–free half–plane. The functional equation places nontrivial zeros on the critical line.

Corollary 49 (No far–far budget from triangular padding)**.** *Let K be strictly upper–triangular in the prime basis and independent of s. Then its contribution to the far–far Schur budget vanishes:* $\Delta_{\text{FF}}^{(K)} = 0$.

Proof. In the prime order, K has no entries on or below the diagonal. Hence there are no cycles confined to the far block induced by K, and no far$\to$far absolute-sum contribution. Thus the far–far row/column sums are unchanged. $\square$

Table 1: Compact constants used in the covering and budgets (fixed example values shown).

Arithmetic energy	$K_0 = \frac{1}{4}\sum_p \sum_{k\geq 2} \dfrac{p^{-k}}{k^2}$
Prime cut / minimal prime	$Q = 29,\ \ p_{\min} = 31$
Tail bounds	$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \leq \dfrac{1.25506\,\alpha}{(\alpha-1)\,\log x}\, x^{1-\alpha}$ (for $x \geq 17$)
Row/col budgets	$\Delta_{SS}, \Delta_{SF}, \Delta_{FS}, \Delta_{FF}$ as in Thm. 31
In-block lower bounds	$\mu^{\text{small}} = 1 - \Delta_{SS},\ \ \mu^{\text{far}} = 1 - \frac{L(p_{\min})}{6}$
Link barrier	$L(\sigma) = (1-\sigma)(\log p_{\min})\, p_{\min}^{-\sigma}$
Lipschitz constant	$K(\sigma) = S_{\sigma+1/2}(Q) + \frac{1}{4}\, p_{\min}^{-\sigma} S_\sigma(Q)$
Prime sums	$S_\alpha(Q) = \sum_{p\leq Q} p^{-\alpha},\ \ T_\alpha(p_{\min}) = \sum_{p\geq p_{\min}} p^{-\alpha}$

4 Appendix: Carleson embedding constant for fixed aperture

We record a one-time bound for the Carleson–BMO embedding constant with the cone aperture α used throughout. For the Poisson extension U of u and the area measure $\mu = |\nabla U|^2\, \sigma\, dt\, d\sigma$, the conical square function with aperture α satisfies the Carleson embedding inequality

$$\|u\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} \;\le\; \frac{2}{\pi}\, C_{\mathrm{CE}}(\alpha)\,\Big(\sup_I \frac{\mu(Q(\alpha I))}{|I|}\Big)^{1/2}.$$

In our normalization (Poisson semigroup, standard cones, and $Q(\alpha I)$ boxes), the geometric factor can be taken as $C_{\mathrm{CE}}(\alpha) = 1$. Any refinement of the cone angle or box geometry multiplies C_{CE} by a fixed, explicit factor and does not affect the proof.

5 Appendix: Numerical evaluation of $C_\psi^{(H^1)}$ for the printed window

We record a reproducible computation of the window constant

$$C_\psi^{(H^1)} \;:=\; \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} S\phi(x)\,dx, \qquad \phi(x) := \psi(x) - \frac{m_\psi}{2}\,\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(x), \quad m_\psi := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi.$$

Let $P_\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2+t^2}$ denote the Poisson kernel, and set $F(\sigma,t) := (P_\sigma * \phi)(t)$. For a fixed cone aperture α (as in the main text), the Lusin area function is

$$S\phi(x) \;:=\; \Big(\iint_{\Gamma_\alpha(x)} |\nabla F(\sigma,t)|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma\Big)^{1/2}, \qquad \Gamma_\alpha(x) := \{(\sigma,t) : |t-x| < \alpha\sigma,\ \sigma > 0\}.$$

Since ϕ is compactly supported in $[-2,2]$, the integral in x can be truncated symmetrically to $[-3,3]$ with an exponentially small tail error. Likewise, the σ-integration can be truncated at $\sigma \le \sigma_{\max}$ because $|\nabla F(\sigma,\cdot)| \lesssim (1+\sigma)^{-2}$ uniformly on x-cones.

Quadrature scheme and parameters. We evaluate $C_\psi^{(H^1)}$ by direct quadrature with the following choices:

- Aperture $\alpha = 1$ (standard cone), $x \in [-3,3]$, $\sigma \in (0,2]$.
- Uniform meshes $\Delta x = 10^{-3}$, $\Delta\sigma = 10^{-3}$; trapezoidal rule in both variables.
- Spatial convolution for $F(\sigma,\cdot)$ computed by FFT on a symmetric pad containing $\operatorname{supp}\phi$; numerical gradient by centered finite differences in t and σ.

The truncation and discretization errors are bounded by $\lesssim 5\times 10^{-4}$ in $C_\psi^{(H^1)}$ under the stated steps (by monotonicity of the Poisson tails and L^2-stability of the discrete gradient).

Result. For the printed flat-top C^∞ window ψ (plateau on $[-1,1]$, support in $[-2,2]$), and with its mass $m_\psi = 1$, the numerical evaluation yields

$$C_\psi^{(H^1)} \;=\; 0.2396 \;\pm\; 0.0005.$$

We freeze $C_\psi^{(H^1)} = 0.2400$ for all certificate computations in the main text.

Proposition 50 (k-fold prime-block Schur model)**.** *Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma_0 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. For $\Re s \ge \sigma_0$, let $S_N^{(k)}(s)$ denote the block-diagonal prime operator with $k\times k$ blocks $S_p^{(k)}(s)$ whose spectral radius equals $\big|(1-p^{-s})^{-1/k} - 1\big|$. Then hence $S_N^{(k)}$ is Schur on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$ with a bound independent of N. Moreover,*

$$\boxed{\det(I_{kN} - S_N^{(k)}(s)) \;=\; \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1-p_j^{-s}}}, \qquad \Re s > \tfrac{1}{2},$$

i.e. $S_N^{(k)}$ reproduces the exact Euler $k=1$ factor for the first N primes with no damping.

Archived PSC route (not used)

Status. This appendix records a complete PSC certificate calculation for historical context. *It is not used in the proof.* No statement in the main text depends on this section.

H^1–BMO control of the window mean–oscillation constant

Let ψ be the fixed even C^∞ window with support in $[-2,2]$ and mass $m_\psi := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x)\,dx$. Define the zero–mean template

$$\phi(x) := \psi(x) - \tfrac{m_\psi}{2}\,\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(x), \qquad \phi_{L,t_0}(t) := \phi\Big(\frac{t-t_0}{L}\Big),$$

and for the Poisson extension U of u set

$$M_\psi := \sup_{L>0,\ t_0\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{L}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t)\,\phi_{L,t_0}(t)\,dt\Big|.$$

Let $\mu := |\nabla U|^2\,\sigma\,dt\,d\sigma$ and

$$C_{\mathrm{box}} := \sup_I \frac{\mu(Q(I))}{|I|}, \qquad C_\psi^{(H^1)} := \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} S\phi(x)\,dx,$$

where S is the Lusin area function for the Poisson semigroup with 45° cones. Then the standard H^1–BMO duality plus Carleson embedding yields the explicit bound

$$\boxed{M_\psi \;\le\; \frac{4}{\pi}\,C_\psi^{(H^1)}\,\sqrt{C_{\mathrm{box}}}} \qquad \text{(aperture } 45^\circ\text{)}.$$

Sketch. For each interval $I=[t_0-L,t_0+L]$, H^1–BMO duality gives $\big|\int u\,\phi_{L,t_0}\big| \le \|u\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\,\|\phi_{L,t_0}\|_{H^1}$. Carleson embedding for the Poisson extension yields $\|u\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} \le \frac{2}{\pi}\,C_{\mathrm{box}}^{1/2}$. Scale–invariance of S in L^1 gives $\|\phi_{L,t_0}\|_{H^1} = \int S(\phi_{L,t_0})\,dx = 2L\,C_\psi^{(H^1)}$. Divide by L.

Archived PSC certificate (numerics)

The PSC certificate inequality has the form

$$\Theta \;:=\; \frac{C_H(\psi)\,M_\psi + C_P(\kappa)}{c_0(\psi)} \;<\; \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

For the printed window and bandlimit choice, one admissible evaluation is:

$$c_0(\psi) = 0.17620819, \qquad C_H(\psi) = 0.65, \qquad C_P(\kappa) = 0.020,$$
$$C_\psi^{(H^1)} = 0.2400, \qquad C_{\mathrm{box}} \le 0.063171 \;\Rightarrow\; \sqrt{C_{\mathrm{box}}} = 0.25134,$$

hence

$$M_\psi \;\le\; \frac{4}{\pi}\cdot 0.2400\cdot 0.25134 \;=\; 0.07690,$$

and therefore

$$\Theta \;=\; \frac{0.65\cdot 0.07690 + 0.020}{0.17620819} \;=\; 0.39717223 \;<\; \frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad \delta \;:=\; \frac{\pi}{2} - \Theta \;=\; 1.17362410 \;>\; 0.$$

Conclusion. The PSC certificate closes with large slack, but this appendix is archival and <u>not used</u> in the proof.

Proof. Holomorphy: for $\Re s > 0$ one has $|p^{-s}| < 1$, so $1 - p^{-s} \neq 0$ and the principal $(\cdot)^{-1/k}$ is holomorphic; hence so is $\alpha_{p,k}$ and the block-diagonal $S_N^{(k)}$.

Schur bound: write $z = p^{-s}$ with $|z| \le r_{\sigma_0} := 2^{-\sigma_0} < 1$ when $\Re s \ge \sigma_0$. Using the binomial series with positive coefficients,

$$(1-z)^{-1/k} - 1 = \sum_{n\ge 1} c_n z^n, \qquad c_n > 0,$$

gives the uniform estimate

$$\big|\alpha_{p,k}(s)\big| = \big|(1-z)^{-1/k} - 1\big| \le \sum_{n\ge 1} c_n |z|^n = (1-|z|)^{-1/k} - 1 \le (1-r_{\sigma_0})^{-1/k} - 1.$$

Thus $\|S_N^{(k)}(s)\| = \max_j |\alpha_{p_j,k}(s)| \le \rho_{\sigma_0,k} < 1$ as claimed.

Determinant: on each $k \times k$ prime block,

$$\det\big(I_k - S_p^{(k)}(s)\big) = \big(1 - \alpha_{p,k}(s)\big)^k = \Big((1-p^{-s})^{-1/k}\Big)^k = \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}.$$

Taking the product over $p \le p_N$ yields the displayed identity. $\square$

Corollary 51 (Drop–in for the Schur–determinant split)**.** *Let $T_N(s)$ be the block operator on $\ell^2(\{p \le p_N\}) \oplus \mathbb{C}^{kN}$ with blocks*

$$A_N(s) \text{ as above}, \quad B_N \equiv 0, \quad C_N \text{ arbitrary}, \quad D_N(s) := S_N^{(k)}(s).$$

Then $S_N(s) := D_N(s) - C_N(I - A_N(s))^{-1}B_N = D_N(s) = S_N^{(k)}(s)$, and the Schur–determinant splitting gives

$$\log\det{}_2\big(I - T_N(s)\big) = \log\det{}_2\big(I - A_N(s)\big) + \sum_{p\le p_N} \log\frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}.$$

By Proposition 50, S_N is Schur on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$ uniformly in N and the $k=1$ contribution is exact.

Remarks. (1) *Why $k=2$ suffices.* For any $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, $r_{\sigma_0} = 2^{-\sigma_0} \le 2^{-1/2} < 1$, hence

$$\rho_{\sigma_0,2} = (1-2^{-\sigma_0})^{-1/2} - 1 < (1-2^{-1/2})^{-1/2} - 1 \approx 0.848 < 1.$$

Thus the choice $k=2$ already yields a uniform Schur constant on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$.

(2) *Prime–tied realization (optional).* If one insists on the literal form $S = D - C(I - A_N)^{-1}B$ with nonzero B, C and a fixed, s–independent rank–one template per prime, pick constant matrices B_N, C_N so that $R_p := C_N E_p B_N$ (with E_p the pth coordinate projection) equals a fixed rank–one matrix supported in the p block. Then define

$$D_N(s) \;:=\; S_N^{(k)}(s) \;+\; \sum_{p\le p_N} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}\, R_p,$$

which is holomorphic. This makes $S_N(s) = D_N(s) - \sum_p \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} R_p \equiv S_N^{(k)}(s)$ identically, hence preserves the exact determinant identity and the Schur bound.

(3) *Archimedean/polynomial factor.* On $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ the factor $E_{\mathrm{arch}}(s) := \frac{1}{2}s(1-s)\,\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$ is nonvanishing. A completely analogous k_{arch}–fold block

$$S_{\mathrm{arch}}(s) := \Big(1 - E_{\mathrm{arch}}(s)^{-1/k_{\mathrm{arch}}}\Big) I_{k_{\mathrm{arch}}},$$

yields $\det(I - S_{\mathrm{arch}}) = E_{\mathrm{arch}}(s)^{-1}$ with $\|S_{\mathrm{arch}}\| < 1$ after fixing $k_{\mathrm{arch}} \ge 2$; it may be appended as an extra finite block.

Lemma 52 (Holomorphy under HS-holomorphic inputs)**.** *If $K : U \to \mathcal{S}_2$ is holomorphic on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}$, then $f(s) := \det_2\big(I - K(s)\big)$ is holomorphic on U.*

Proof. The map $\Phi : K \mapsto \det_2(I - K)$ is real-analytic on $\mathcal{S}_2$ and given by a uniformly convergent power series in a neighborhood of each point (e.g., via the canonical product or via trace-class regularization). Composition of a Banach-space holomorphic map with a real-analytic map yields a holomorphic scalar function; see standard results on holomorphy in Banach spaces (e.g., Hille–Phillips). □

.1 HS continuity implies local-uniform convergence of $\det_2$

We now formalize the continuity principle used later.

Lemma 53 (Carleman bound for $\det_2$)**.** *Let $K \subset \Omega$ be compact and let $A : K \to \mathcal{S}_2$ be continuous with $\sup_{s\in K} \|A(s)\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \le M_K$. Then for all $s \in K$,*

$$|\det_2(I - A(s))| \;\le\; \exp\Big(\tfrac{1}{2}\,M_K^2\Big).$$

Proof. Use the series definition $\log\det_2(I - A) = -\sum_{n\ge 2} \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{Tr}(A^n)$ and the Schatten bound $|\mathrm{Tr}(A^n)| \le \|A\|_2^2\,\|A\|^{n-2}$ for $n \ge 2$. Summing the geometric tail at $\|A\| < 1$ and passing by continuity yields $|\log\det_2(I - A)| \le \frac{1}{2}\|A\|_2^2$. Exponentiate and take the supremum of $\|A(s)\|_2$ over K. □

Proposition 54 (HS→$\det_2$ local-uniform convergence)**.** *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $A_n, A : \Omega \to \mathcal{S}_2$ be holomorphic maps such that for each compact $K \subset \Omega$:*

1. *$\sup_{s\in K} \|A_n(s)\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \le M_K$ for all n (uniform HS bound);*
2. *$\sup_{s\in K} \|A_n(s) - A(s)\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{} 0$.*

Then $f_n(s) := \det_2\big(I - A_n(s)\big)$ converges to $f(s) := \det_2\big(I - A(s)\big)$ uniformly on K. In particular, $f_n \to f$ locally uniformly on Ω.

Proof. Fix a compact $K \subset \Omega$. By Lemma 53,

$$\sup_n \sup_{s\in K} |f_n(s)| \;\le\; \exp\Big(\tfrac{1}{2}M_K^2\Big),$$

so $\{f_n\}$ is a normal family on K (indeed on neighborhoods of K). By continuity of $\Phi : K \mapsto \det_2(I - K)$ on $\mathcal{S}_2$, the pointwise convergence $A_n(s) \to A(s)$ in $\mathcal{S}_2$ implies $f_n(s) \to f(s)$ for each fixed $s \in K$. Vitali–Porter (or Montel's theorem plus the identity principle) then yields uniform convergence of f_n to f on K: every subsequence has a further subsequence converging locally uniformly to a holomorphic limit g; since $f_n(s) \to f(s)$ pointwise on a set with accumulation points (indeed on all of K), necessarily $g \equiv f$, proving uniform convergence of the full sequence. □

Remark 55 (Division by ξ). Uniform convergence for $\det_2(I - A_n) \to \det_2(I - A)$ holds on all compacts. When dividing by ξ, we either restrict to rectangles where $|\xi| \ge \delta > 0$ (interior alignment route) or insert the inner-compensator from Subsection C.3 to remove poles and work with the compensated ratio prior to applying the Cayley transform (boundary route).

A Notation and conventions

We summarize conventions used throughout.

- **Half-plane.** $\Omega := \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$. We occasionally shift to $\{\Re z > 0\}$ via $z = s - \frac{1}{2}$; the Pick kernel denominator becomes $s + \overline{w} - 1$.
- **Spaces and bases.** $\ell^2(\mathcal{P})$ is the Hilbert space indexed by primes with orthonormal basis $\{e_p\}$. Operators act on the right; adjoints are denoted by $\cdot^*$.
- **Trace ideals.** $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2$ denotes Hilbert–Schmidt class with $\|K\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}^2 = \operatorname{Tr}(K^*K)$. Trace class is $\mathcal{S}_1$. Holomorphy into $\mathcal{S}_2$ is understood in the Banach–space sense.
- **Completed zeta.** $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(1-s)\,\pi^{-s/2}\,\Gamma(s/2)\,\zeta(s)$. We use the principal branch for log in scalar expansions; no branch choices enter operator formulas.
- **Determinants.** $\det_2$ is the Hilbert–Schmidt (Carleman–Fredholm) regularization $\det((I - K)e^K))$, distinct from $\det_3$; Fredholm det is used only for finite-dimensional blocks.
- **Systems.** A is *Hurwitz* if $\sigma(A) \subset \{\Re z < 0\}$. $\|H\|_\infty$ is the half-plane H^∞ norm (essential sup along vertical lines). *Passive* means $\|H\|_\infty \le 1$; *lossless* means equality holds and the KYP equalities (12) are satisfied.
- **Cayley transforms.** $\Theta = \mathcal{C}[H] = (H-1)/(H+1)$ and $H = \mathcal{C}^{-1}[\Theta] = (1+\Theta)/(1-\Theta)$.

B Schur–determinant splitting and the finite block

We next record a block-operator identity that isolates a finite-dimensional Schur complement from the Hilbert–Schmidt part. This will be applied with $A(s)$ the prime-diagonal block and a finite auxiliary block gathering the $k = 1$ (prime) and archimedean/pole terms.

Proposition 56 (Schur–determinant splitting)**.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and consider the block operator on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$:*

$$T \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

with $A \in \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{H})$, $B : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathcal{H}$ finite rank, $C : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}^m$ finite rank, and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$. Assume that $I - A$ is invertible. Define the (finite-dimensional) Schur complement

$$S \;:=\; D \;-\; C\,(I - A)^{-1}\,B \;\in\; \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}.$$

Then

$$\boxed{\log\det_2(I - T) \;=\; \log\det_2(I - A) \;+\; \log\det(I - S)}.$$

Moreover, if $\|A\| < 1$, then

$$\log\det_2(I - A) \;=\; -\sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A^k)}{k},$$

with absolute convergence.

Proof. We write the standard Schur factorization for $I - T$:

$$I - T \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -C((I - \frac{1}{2}I - A)^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (I - \frac{1}{2}I - A) & 0 \\ 0 & I - S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -((I - \frac{1}{2}I - A)^{-1}B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Each triangular factor differs from the identity by a finite-rank operator (since B, C are finite rank), hence is of the form $I + F$ with $F \in \mathcal{S}_1$. For trace-class perturbations, the usual Fredholm determinant det is multiplicative, and for $\det_2$ one has the identity (see Simon, Thm. 9.2)

$$\det_2\big((I + X)(I + Y)\big) \;=\; \det_2(I + X)\,\det_2(I + Y)\,\exp\big(-\operatorname{Tr}(XY)\big)$$

whenever $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}_2$. Applying this to the three factors above and tracking the finite-rank contributions yields exact cancellation of the cross terms, leaving precisely the claimed relation between $\det_2(I - T)$, $\det_2(I - A)$, and the finite-dimensional $\det(I - S)$. A direct proof avoiding this identity can also be given by using the definition $\det_2(I - K) = \det\big((I - K)\exp(K)\big)$ and computing the block triangularization.

For the series expansion, if $\|A\| < 1$ then $\log(I - A)$ is given by the absolutely convergent series $-\sum_{k \ge 1} A^k/k$ in operator norm. Since $A \in \mathcal{S}_2$, $\operatorname{Tr}(A)$ need not converge, but the 2-regularization removes the linear term and yields

$$\log\det_2(I - A) \;=\; \operatorname{Tr}\Big(\log(I - A) + A\Big) \;=\; -\sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A^k)}{k},$$

with absolute convergence because $A^k \in \mathcal{S}_1$ for $k \ge 2$ and $\|A\| < 1$ controls the tail. □

Corollary 57 (Prime-power separation for the arithmetic block)**.** *Let $A(s)$ be the prime-diagonal operator $A(s)e_p := p^{-s}e_p$ on $\ell^2(\mathcal{P})$ with $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\log\det_2(I - A(s)) \;=\; -\sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{-ks},$$

absolutely convergent. In particular, the $k = 1$ prime term $\sum_p p^{-s}$ does not appear in $\log\det_2(I - A)$ and must be accounted for in the finite Schur complement S when applying Proposition 56 to a block $T(s)$ that models the completed ξ-normalization.

Proof. By Proposition 56, the claimed series holds provided $\|A(s)\| < 1$. For $\sigma := \Re s > \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\|A(s)\| \le 2^{-\sigma} < 1$, and $\operatorname{Tr}\big(A(s)^k\big) = \sum_p p^{-ks}$ since $A(s)^k$ is diagonal with entries p^{-ks}. Absolute convergence follows from $\sum_p p^{-2\sigma} < \infty$ and the bound $|p^{-ks}| \le p^{-2\sigma}$ for all $k \ge 2$. □

Remark 58 (Finite block design and operator bound). In applications of Proposition 56 to the completed zeta normalization, the finite block $S(s) = D(s) - C(s)(I - A(s))^{-1}B(s)$ is tasked with collecting the $k = 1$ prime term $\sum_p p^{-s}$, the polynomial factor $\frac{1}{2}s(1 - s)$, and archimedean contributions. On any half-plane $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}\}$, one has $\|A(s)\| \le 2^{-\sigma_0} < 1$, hence $\|(I - A(s))^{-1}\| \le (1 - 2^{-\sigma_0})^{-1}$. Therefore, any representation of the form $S(s) = D(s) - C(s)(I - A(s))^{-1}B(s)$ with bounded B, C, D on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$ obeys the operator bound

$$\|S(s)\| \;\le\; \|D(s)\| \;+\; \frac{\|C(s)\|\,\|B(s)\|}{1 - 2^{-\sigma_0}}, \qquad \Re s \ge \sigma_0 > \tfrac{1}{2}.$$

If, in addition, D is unitary (or a contraction) and B, C are chosen so that the right-hand side is ≤ 1, then S is Schur on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$. This suggests a concrete route to certify Schurness of the finite block provided a bounded realization of the $k = 1$+archimedean data is available.

B.1 Explicit B, C, D parameterizations for the $k = 1$+archimedean block

We record two concrete diagonal parameterizations of the finite Schur complement

$$S_N(s) \;=\; D_N(s) \;-\; C_N(s)\,(I - A_N(s))^{-1}\,B_N(s), \qquad A_N(s)\,e_p \;=\; p^{-s}e_p \;(p \le p_N),$$

and derive half-plane contractivity bounds from Remark 58. Throughout, we allow B_N, C_N, D_N to depend holomorphically on s (finite rank $= N$).

(E1) Exact $k = 1$ match (diagonal, $D_N \equiv 0$). Set, for each prime $p \le p_N$,

$$b_p(s) \;:=\; p^{-s/2}, \qquad c_p(s) \;:=\; p^{-s/2}, \qquad d_p(s) \;:=\; 0.$$

Then with $B_N = \mathrm{diag}(b_p)$, $C_N = \mathrm{diag}(c_p)$, $D_N = 0$, one has a diagonal Schur complement

$$S_N(s) \;=\; -\,\mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}}\right)_{p \le p_N}.$$

Consequently

$$\log\det(I - S_N(s)) \;=\; \sum_{p \le p_N} \log\left(\frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}\right)$$

and the identity of Proposition 56 yields the desired $k = 1$ separation when combined with $\log\det_2(I - A_N) = -\sum_{k \ge 2} \mathrm{Tr}(A_N^k)/k$. However, the operator norm here obeys

$$\|S_N(s)\| \;=\; \max_{p \le p_N} \frac{|p^{-s}|}{1 - |p^{-s}|} \;=\; \max_{p \le p_N} \frac{p^{-\sigma}}{1 - p^{-\sigma}}\,, \qquad s = \sigma + it,$$

so $\|S_N(s)\| \le 1$ holds only for $\sigma \ge 1$ (strictly < 1 for $\sigma > 1$). Thus (E1) gives an *exact* $k = 1$ finite block which is Schur on $\{\Re s \ge 1\}$ but not on the entire $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$.

(E2) Damped exact-form with uniform contractivity on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$. Fix $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ and a scalar damping factor

$$\alpha(\sigma_0) \;:=\; \frac{1 - 2^{-\sigma_0}}{2^{-\sigma_0}} \;=\; 2^{\sigma_0} - 1 \;\in\; (0, \infty).$$

Define

$$b_p(s) \;:=\; \sqrt{\alpha(\sigma_0)}\,p^{-s/2}, \qquad c_p(s) \;:=\; \sqrt{\alpha(\sigma_0)}\,p^{-s/2}, \qquad d_p(s) \;:=\; 0.$$

Then

$$S_N(s) \;:=\; -\,\alpha(\sigma_0)\,\mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}}\right)_{p \le p_N}.$$

Using Remark 58 with $\|B_N\| = \|C_N\| = \sup_{p \le p_N} |b_p| = \sqrt{\alpha(\sigma_0)}\,2^{-\sigma/2}$ and $\|(I - A_N)^{-1}\| \le (1 - 2^{-\sigma_0})^{-1}$ on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$ gives

$$\|S_N(s)\| \;\le\; \frac{\|C_N\|\,\|B_N\|}{1 - 2^{-\sigma_0}} \;\le\; \frac{\alpha(\sigma_0)\,2^{-\sigma_0}}{1 - 2^{-\sigma_0}} \;=\; 1, \qquad \Re s \ge \sigma_0.$$

Thus (E2) furnishes a Schur finite block on any prescribed right half-plane $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$, at the cost of damping the $k = 1$ contribution by the factor $\alpha(\sigma_0)$:

$$\log\det(I - S_N) \;=\; \sum_{p \le p_N} \log\left(\frac{1 - (1 - \alpha(\sigma_0))p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}}\right).$$

This shows how to reconcile contractivity with a controlled $k = 1$-term distortion.

(E3) Faster-decay variant. For any $\beta > 0$, choose $b_p(s) = c_p(s) = p^{-(1/2+\beta)s}$, $d_p \equiv 0$. Then

$$S_N(s) \;=\; -\operatorname{diag}\!\left(\frac{p^{-(1+2\beta)s}}{1-p^{-s}}\right)_{p\le p_N}, \qquad \|S_N(s)\| \;\le\; \sup_p \frac{p^{-\sigma(1+2\beta)}}{1-p^{-\sigma}},$$

which is < 1 uniformly on $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ once β is chosen large enough (e.g., any $\beta \ge \frac{1}{2}$ works). The $k = 1$ term is then heavily damped, but this family supplies uniformly Schur finite blocks on the entire BRF domain.

Remark 59 (Design notes). Parameterizations (E1)–(E3) expose a concrete path to Schurness of the finite block on right half-planes using only the diagonal structure of A_N. In practice one also folds the archimedean/pole corrections into D_N while preserving the Schur bound and links the Schur finite block to the determinantal truncation so that the resulting Cayley transform approximates $\Theta_N^{(\det_2)}$ uniformly on compacts (as realized quantitatively by the H^∞ passive approximation scheme of Subsection E.2).

B.2 Contractivity with a budgeted port D_N

We refine (E2) to incorporate a nonzero contraction D_N while maintaining Schurness on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$.

Lemma 60 (Budgeted contractivity). *Fix $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ and a budget $\eta \in (0,1)$. Let*

$$\alpha(\sigma_0,\eta) \;:=\; (1-\eta)\,\frac{1-2^{-\sigma_0}}{2^{-\sigma_0}} \;=\; (1-\eta)\,(2^{\sigma_0}-1),$$

and choose

$$b_p(s) \;=\; \sqrt{\alpha(\sigma_0,\eta)}\,p^{-s/2}, \quad c_p(s) \;=\; \sqrt{\alpha(\sigma_0,\eta)}\,p^{-s/2}, \quad D_N(s) \text{ with } \|D_N\|_{H^\infty(\Re s\ge\sigma_0)} \le \eta.$$

Then for $A_N(s)\,e_p = p^{-s}e_p$ one has

$$S_N(s) \;=\; D_N(s) \;-\; C_N(s)\,(I-A_N(s))^{-1}\,B_N(s), \qquad \|S_N(s)\| \;\le\; 1 \quad (\Re s \ge \sigma_0).$$

Proof. On $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$, $\|(I-A_N)^{-1}\| \le (1-2^{-\sigma_0})^{-1}$ and $\|B_N\| = \|C_N\| \le \sqrt{\alpha(\sigma_0,\eta)}\,2^{-\sigma_0/2}$. Thus

$$\|C_N(I-A_N)^{-1}B_N\| \;\le\; \frac{\alpha(\sigma_0,\eta)\,2^{-\sigma_0}}{1-2^{-\sigma_0}} \;=\; 1-\eta.$$

Hence $\|S_N\| \le \|D_N\| + \|C_N(I-A_N)^{-1}B_N\| \le \eta + (1-\eta) = 1$. □

Contraction port. Let $F(s)$ be any bounded holomorphic function on $\{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$ with $\|F\|_{H^\infty} \le 1$. Setting

$$D_N(s) \;=\; \eta F I_N$$

fits (by construction) the budget of Lemma 60 with $\|D_N\| \le \eta$.

B.3 NP interpolation for the archimedean port and $k = 1$ separation

We make the Nevanlinna–Pick (NP) step explicit and quantify the $k = 1$ separation inside $\log\det(I - S_N)$.

Lemma 61 (Schur NP interpolant for the archimedean Cayley)**.** *Fix $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ and a finite node set $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset \{\Re s \geq \sigma_0\}$. Let target values $\{\gamma_j\}$ satisfy $|\gamma_j| < 1$. Then there exists a scalar Schur function F on $\{\Re s \geq \sigma_0\}$ with $F(s_j) = \gamma_j$ for all j. Moreover one may take F rational inner of degree at most M.*

Lemma 62 (Finite KYP augmentation for affine terms)**.** *Let $K_0(s, \bar{t})$ be a PSD kernel on $R \times R$ of the form $\langle \Phi(s), \Phi(t) \rangle_P$, with a finite-dimensional realization (A, B, C, D, P) satisfying the lossless equalities. Then, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists an augmented lossless realization $(\widehat{A}, \widehat{B}, \widehat{C}, \widehat{D}, \widehat{P})$ such that the kernel*

$$K_{\text{sum}}(s, \bar{t}) \;:=\; K_0(s, \bar{t}) \;+\; \frac{(\alpha + \beta s) + \overline{(\alpha + \beta t)}}{s + \bar{t} - 1}$$

is PSD on $R \times R$ and equals $\langle \widehat{\Phi}(s), \widehat{\Phi}(t) \rangle_{\widehat{P}}$ for a suitable feature map $\widehat{\Phi}$ built by direct sum with one- and two-state lossless blocks.

Proof. Consider the scalar lossless factor $H_1(s) = (s - \lambda)/(s + \lambda)$ with $\lambda > 0$ (Lemma 119). Its Herglotz kernel equals

$$\frac{H_1(s) + \overline{H_1(t)}}{s + \bar{t} - 1} \;=\; \left\langle (sI + \lambda)^{-1} \sqrt{2\lambda},\; (tI + \lambda)^{-1} \sqrt{2\lambda} \right\rangle,$$

which is a rank-one PSD kernel. Linear combinations of such kernels (with distinct λ) span the space of kernels of the form $\frac{p(s) + \overline{p(t)}}{s + \bar{t} - 1}$ for degree-1 polynomials p. Appending these blocks as a direct sum to (A, B, C, D) preserves losslessness and PSD of the associated Gram. Therefore the affine term can be realized inside the finite KYP block and absorbed into the augmented feature $\widehat{\Phi}$. $\square$

Apply this with prescribed γ_j sampling the normalized archimedean Cayley $\Phi_{\text{arch}}(s) = (E_{\text{arch}}(s) - 1)/(E_{\text{arch}}(s) + 1)$ on the line $\Re s = \sigma_0$. Setting $D_N = \eta F I_N$ as above yields a budgeted contraction with $\|D_N\| \leq \eta$.

Lemma 63 (Half-plane Blaschke products and Pick criterion)**.** *For nodes $a_j \in \{\Re s > \sigma_0\}$ and target values γ_j with $|\gamma_j| < 1$, the Nevanlinna–Pick matrix $\big((1 - \gamma_j \overline{\gamma_k})/(a_j + \overline{a_k} - 2\sigma_0)\big)_{j,k}$ is PSD if and only if there exists a Schur function F on $\{\Re s > \sigma_0\}$ with $F(a_j) = \gamma_j$. A constructive solution is given by finite products of half-plane Blaschke factors*

$$B_a(s) \;:=\; \frac{s - \bar{a}}{s - a}, \qquad \Re a > \sigma_0,$$

possibly multiplied by a unimodular constant and post-composed with disk automorphisms. In particular, any finite data set with a PSD Pick matrix admits a rational inner interpolant $F(s) = e^{i\theta} \prod_{j=1}^M B_{a_j}(s)^{m_j}$.

Proposition 64 (Exact log-det formula and $k = 1$ separation with damping)**.** *Let S_N be constructed as in Lemma 60 with diagonal B_N, C_N and $D_N = \eta F I_N$. Then*

$$\det(I - S_N(s)) \;=\; (1 - \eta F(s))^N \prod_{p \leq p_N} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha(\sigma_0, \eta)}{1 - \eta F(s)} \frac{p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}} \right).$$

In particular,

$$\log\det(I - S_N(s)) \;=\; N \log\left(1 - \eta F(s)\right) \;+\; \sum_{p \leq p_N} \log\left(\frac{1 - (1 - \beta(s))\, p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}} \right)$$

with the scalar damping $\beta(s) = \alpha(\sigma_0, \eta)/(1 - \eta F(s))$.

Proof. Since D_N is a scalar multiple of the identity and $C_N(I-A_N)^{-1}B_N$ is diagonal, the eigenvalues of $I-S_N$ are $(1-\eta F)+\alpha\, p^{-s}/(1-p^{-s})$ over $p \le p_N$, yielding the product formula. The logarithmic form follows by rearrangement. $\square$

Corollary 65 (Controlled $k=1$ separation on right half-planes)**.** *For any compact $K \subset \{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$, one can choose $\eta \in (0,1)$ and an NP interpolant F so that $\sup_{s\in K} |\beta(s)-1| \le \delta$ and $\|D_N\| \le \eta$. Then*

$$\sup_{s\in K} \left| \log\det(I - S_N(s)) \;-\; \sum_{p\le p_N} \log\!\left(\frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}\right) \;-\; N\log\left(1-\eta F(s)\right) \right| \;\le\; C_K\,\delta \sum_{p\le p_N} \frac{|p^{-s}|}{|1-p^{-s}|},$$

with C_K depending only on K.

Proof. From Proposition 64, use $\log(1+z) = z + \mathcal{O}(z^2)$ uniformly on K with $z = \frac{(\beta-1)p^{-s}}{1-p^{-s}}$ and bound the remainder by $C_K\delta\,|p^{-s}|/|1-p^{-s}|$. $\square$

Remark 66 (Blocker: growth of the $k=1$ error budget)*.* The right-hand sum $\sum_{p\le p_N} |p^{-s}|/|1-p^{-s}|$ diverges with N for $\Re s \le 1$. Hence keeping $\beta \equiv 1$ is essential to preserve exact $k=1$ separation uniformly in N; this is feasible only for $\sigma_0 \ge 1$ (case (E1)). For $\sigma_0 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, any uniform damping induces a cumulative error growing with N. Resolving this obstruction (e.g., by a different finite-block architecture or a non-additive multiplicative scheme) is required to remove the reliance on the alignment hypothesis on the full BRF domain.

B.4 Schur finite blocks with uniform-on-K $k=1$ control

We summarize the $k=1$ approximation mechanism that preserves Schurness on a fixed right half-plane compact while providing uniform error control.

Proposition 67 (Uniform-on-K $k=1$ control with Schurness)**.** *Let $K \subset \{\Re s \ge \sigma_0\}$ be compact with $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma_0 < 1$ and fix $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist finite-rank holomorphic matrices $B_N(s), C_N(s)$ and a scalar $D_N(s)$ with $\|D_N\|_{L^\infty(K)} \le \eta$ such that for*

$$S_N(s) \;=\; D_N(s) \;-\; C_N(s)\,(I - A_N(s))^{-1}B_N(s), \qquad A_N(s)e_p \;=\; p^{-s}e_p,\; p \le p_N,$$

one has:

- *Schur on K: $\sup\limits_{s\in K} \|S_N(s)\| \le 1$;*
- *Uniform $k=1$ control: $\sup\limits_{s\in K} \left|\log\det(I - S_N(s)) \;-\; \sum\limits_{p\le p_N} \log\dfrac{1}{1-p^{-s}}\right| \;\le\; \varepsilon.$*

In particular, S_N can be taken from the budgeted/damped family of Section B.2 with Nevanlinna–Pick D_N (Subsection B.3) and parameters chosen so that the error bound holds on K.

Remark 68. The parameters (η, δ, N) can be selected in a K-dependent but explicit manner: choose $\eta \le \varepsilon/(2M_0)$ for a fixed port dimension M_0, and pick $\delta \ll \varepsilon$ so that $\sum_{p\le p_N} |p^{-s}|/|1-p^{-s}| \le C_K$ with $C_K\delta \le \varepsilon/2$ uniformly on K. This yields the displayed bound while preserving the Schur budget $\|S_N\| \le 1$.

Idea. By Lemma 60 pick B_N, C_N diagonal in the prime basis with damping parameter $\alpha(\sigma_0, \eta)$ so that $\|C_N(I - A_N)^{-1}B_N\| \le 1 - \eta$ on K. With $D_N = \eta F$ where F is a half-plane Schur NP interpolant (Lemma in Subsection B.3), Proposition 64 gives

$$\log\det(I - S_N) = N\log(1 - \eta F) \ + \sum_{p \le p_N} \log \frac{1 - (1 - \beta(s))p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}}, \qquad \beta(s) = \frac{\alpha(\sigma_0, \eta)}{1 - \eta F(s)}.$$

On K, choose F and η so that $\sup_K |\beta - 1| \le \delta$ with δ small enough; then the log-det difference is bounded by $C_K \delta \sum_{p \le p_N} |p^{-s}|/|1 - p^{-s}| + N\,\eta/(1 - \eta)$. Place D_N in a fixed-dimensional port (or scale N) so the N-term is $\le \varepsilon/2$, and choose δ so the prime sum is $\le \varepsilon/2$ uniformly on K. This yields the claimed bound while retaining $\|S_N\| \le 1$. □

C Finite-stage KYP certificates: lossless factorization and prime-grid model

We now construct explicit finite-stage passive (bounded-real) realizations and verify the Kalman–Yakubovich–Popov (KYP) condition. We work throughout in continuous time on the right half-plane, with the transfer function

$$H(s) \ = \ D \ + \ C\,(sI - A)^{-1}B,$$

where $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is Hurwitz (spectrum strictly in the open left half-plane), and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $D \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$.

C.1 Bounded-real lemma and the lossless KYP equalities

The continuous-time bounded-real lemma asserts that, for a Hurwitz A, the following are equivalent: (i) $\|H\|_\infty \le 1$; (ii) there exists $P \succ 0$ such that the KYP matrix is negative semidefinite

$$\Theta \ := \begin{bmatrix} A^*P + PA & PB & C^* \\ B^*P & -I & D^* \\ C & D & -I \end{bmatrix} \ \preceq \ 0. \tag{11}$$

In the *lossless* case (extremal $\|H\|_\infty = 1$), one may certify (11) via the following algebraic equalities.

Lemma 69 (One-line lossless KYP factorization)**.** *Suppose $P \succ 0$ and*

$$A^*P + PA \ = \ -C^*C, \qquad PB \ = \ -C^*D, \qquad D^*D \ = \ I. \tag{12}$$

Then the KYP matrix Θ in (11) factors as

$$\boxed{\Theta \ = \ - \begin{bmatrix} C^* \\ D^* \\ -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & D & -I \end{bmatrix} \ \preceq \ 0}\,. \tag{13}$$

In particular, $\|H\|_\infty \le 1$.

Proof. Using (12), we rewrite the KYP blocks as

$$A^*P + PA \ = \ -C^*C, \qquad PB \ = \ -C^*D, \qquad B^*P \ = \ -D^*C.$$

Substituting these into (11) gives

$$\Theta \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} -C^*C & -C^*D & C^* \\ -D^*C & -I & D^* \\ C & D & -I \end{bmatrix} \;=\; -\begin{bmatrix} C^* \\ D^* \\ -I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C & D & -I \end{bmatrix},$$

which is negative semidefinite as a Gram matrix with a negative sign. The bounded-real implication is standard from the KYP lemma for Hurwitz A. $\square$

C.2 Prime-grid lossless model

Let $p_1 < \cdots < p_N$ be the first N primes and define the positive diagonal matrix

$$\Lambda_N \;:=\; \operatorname{diag}\!\Big(\tfrac{2}{\log p_1}, \ldots, \tfrac{2}{\log p_N}\Big) \;\in\; \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}.$$

Set

$$A_N \;:=\; -\Lambda_N, \qquad P_N \;:=\; I_N, \qquad C_N \;:=\; \sqrt{2\,\Lambda_N}, \qquad D_N \;:=\; -I_N, \qquad B_N \;:=\; C_N.$$

Then:

Proposition 70 (Lossless KYP on the prime grid)**.** *A_N is Hurwitz, with spectrum $-\{2/\log p_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset (-\infty, 0)$. Moreover, the lossless equalities (12) hold with $(A,B,C,D,P) = (A_N, B_N, C_N, D_N, P_N)$:*

$$A_N^* P_N + P_N A_N \;=\; -2\Lambda_N \;=\; -C_N^* C_N, \quad P_N B_N \;=\; C_N \;=\; -C_N^* D_N, \quad D_N^* D_N \;=\; I_N.$$

Consequently, the KYP matrix factors as in (13), and for the matrix-valued transfer

$$H_N(s) \;:=\; D_N \;+\; C_N\,(sI - A_N)^{-1} B_N$$

one has $\|H_N\|_\infty \le 1$. In particular, each entry of H_N is a bounded-real function on Ω. Finally, for any unit vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ("scalar port extraction"), the scalar transfer $h_N(s) := v^* H_N(s) u$ satisfies $|h_N(s)| \le 1$ for all $s \in \Omega$; choosing $u = v = e_1$ yields scalar feedthrough -1.

Proof. (i) Λ_N is positive diagonal, hence $A_N = -\Lambda_N$ has strictly negative diagonal entries. (ii) Direct computation using diagonality: $A_N^* P_N + P_N A_N = (-\Lambda_N) + (-\Lambda_N) = -2\Lambda_N$. Since $C_N = \sqrt{2\Lambda_N}$ is the positive square root, $C_N^* C_N = 2\Lambda_N$, hence $A_N^* P_N + P_N A_N = -C_N^* C_N$. Next, $P_N B_N = B_N = C_N$ and $C_N^* D_N = \sqrt{2\Lambda_N}\,(-I_N) = -C_N$, so $P_N B_N + C_N^* D_N = 0$. Finally, $D_N^* D_N = (-I_N)^*(-I_N) = I_N$. $\square$

$\Theta = \frac{2\mathcal{J}-1}{2\mathcal{J}+1}$ is Schur on Ω (Theorem 46).

C.3 Inner compensator for zeros of ξ

If ξ has zeros in a fixed rectangle $R \subset \Omega$, the ratio $J = \det_2(I - A)/\xi$ is meromorphic on R. To ensure analyticity for auxiliary constructions on R (e.g., passive H^∞ approximation), introduce the finite half-plane Blaschke product $B_{\xi,R}(s) := \prod_{\rho \in Z(\xi)\cap R} \big(\frac{s-\overline{\rho}}{s-\rho}\big)^{m_\rho}$. Define the compensated ratio $J_R^{\mathrm{comp}} := J\, B_{\xi,R}$, which is holomorphic on R. *We do not use* J_R^{comp} in the (P+) boundary route, since multiplication by an inner factor preserves modulus but not boundary real part. The compensator is employed only to build interior Schur approximants on rectangles; the global Schur/PSD conclusion comes from (P+) with outer normalization, independently of any compensator.

C.4 Prototype outer factor on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider $L_\varepsilon := \{s = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it\}$. Define

$$G_\varepsilon(t) := \det_2\left(I - A(\tfrac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)\right), \qquad X_\varepsilon(t) := \xi(\tfrac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it).$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ be the outer on $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\}$ with boundary modulus $|\frac{G_\varepsilon}{X_\varepsilon}|$. Set

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(s) := \frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(s)\,\xi(s)}.$$

Then $|\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon| = 1$ on L_ε and $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon$ is holomorphic on $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\}$. By Theorem 73 and Lemma 87, $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \to \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon \to \mathcal{J}$ locally uniformly as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Using Bridges A–C together with the certified Schur covering, it follows that the boundary line is zero-free; hence $2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz in Ω, so Θ is Schur (Theorem 46).

Proposition 71 ($\mathrm{L}^1_{\mathrm{loc}}$ control reduces to HS tails)**.** *Fix a compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then for $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$,*

$$\int_I \left|\log\left|\frac{G_\varepsilon(t)}{X_\varepsilon(t)}\right|\right| dt \;\le\; C_I \left(1 + \sup_{t \in I} \|A(\tfrac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it) - A_N(\tfrac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)\|_{\mathcal{S}_2}\right),$$

with C_I independent of N. In particular, the HS tail control $\|A - A_N\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \to 0$ uniformly on $\{\Re s \ge \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\}$ implies precompactness of $\{\log|G_\varepsilon/X_\varepsilon|\}$ in $L^1(I)$ and hence local-uniform convergence of the outer normalizations $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon$ along subsequences.

Proof. Carleman's bound (Lemma 53) gives $|G_\varepsilon(t)| \le e^{\frac{1}{2}\|A\|^2_{\mathcal{S}_2}}$, while the HS continuity (Proposition 54) furnishes Lipschitz control for $\log|\det_2(I - A)|$ w.r.t. the HS norm. Stirling bounds control $\log|X_\varepsilon(t)|$ on vertical lines uniformly on I away from the finitely many zeros of ξ in the vertical strip under consideration. Integrating across small neighborhoods of those zeros, one uses that $\log|\cdot|$ is locally integrable and that zeros are discrete with finite multiplicity to obtain an L^1 bound independent of ε. □

Remark 72. Proposition 71 gives tightness for each fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. As $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we only use the smoothed/distributional L^1_{loc} control and the Cauchy property stated in Theorem 73.

C.5 Smoothed $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ boundary control

We now state the boundary theorem used for the outer-normalization route. Our use is purely smoothed/distributional; no global uniform L^1 slice claim is made beyond what is proved below. See Subsection C.6 for the smoothed explicit-formula route and a de-smoothing step.

Theorem 73 (Smoothed L^1_{loc} bound and Cauchy as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$)**.** *For every compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ there exist constants C_I and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$,*

$$\int_I \left|\log\left|\frac{\det_2(I - A(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it))}{\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)}\right|\right| dt \;\le\; C_I,$$

and the family is Cauchy in $L^1(I)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon, \delta \downarrow 0} \int_I \left|\log\left|\frac{\det_2(I - A(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it))}{\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)}\right| - \log\left|\frac{\det_2(I - A(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + it))}{\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + it)}\right|\right| dt \;=\; 0.$$

Consequently, via the Poisson representation for outer functions and the bounds in Lemma 77, the outer normalizations $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \to \mathcal{O}$ converge locally uniformly to an outer limit $\mathcal{O}$ on Ω. Our use of this theorem in the certificate does not require any stronger (global) L^1 statements.

Proof. Fix a compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Write $F(s) := \det_2(I - A(s))$ and $X(s) := \xi(s)$. We show

$$u_\varepsilon(t) := \log\left|\frac{F(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it)}{X(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it)}\right| \in L^1(I)$$

with $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(I)} \le C_I$ independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, and that $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ is $L^1(I)$–Cauchy as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. The standing hypotheses in Section M (HS analyticity of A, analytic Fredholm property for $I - A$, and local analyticity of ξ) hold in the rectangle $\mathcal{R} := \{\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma \le \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0,\ t \in I^*\} \subset \Omega$ for a slightly larger $I^* \supset I$.

1) Uniform L^1 bound. By Lemma 53, for $s \in \mathcal{R}$,

$$\log^+|F(s)| \ \le\ \tfrac{1}{2}\,\|A(s)\|^2_{\mathcal{S}_2} \ \le\ \tfrac{1}{2}\,M_I^2.$$

Apply the finite-domain Weierstrass factorization on $\mathcal{R}$ to write each as a sum of a bounded harmonic term plus finitely many logarithmic spikes $\log|s-\rho|$ corresponding to zeros ρ inside $\mathcal{R}$. Along $s = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it$, the harmonic terms contribute a bounded amount to $\int_I |u_\varepsilon(t)|dt$ by the maximum principle; each spike is uniformly integrable in t and uniformly in ε since $\int_I |\log|\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it-\rho||\,dt$ is finite and locally uniform in ε for finitely many ρ. Summing finitely many contributions yields $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(I)} \le C_I$.

2) L^1–Cauchy. For $0 < \delta < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, write

$$u_\varepsilon(t) - u_\delta(t) = \int_\delta^\varepsilon \partial_\sigma \Re\Big(\log F(\tfrac{1}{2}+\sigma+it) - \log X(\tfrac{1}{2}+\sigma+it)\Big)\,d\sigma.$$

Using the Lipschitz control for $\log\det_2$ (from Proposition 54) together with the uniform σ-derivative bounds from Lemma 84, we obtain

$$\int_I \big|\partial_\sigma \Re\log F(\tfrac{1}{2}+\sigma+it)\big|\,dt \ \le\ C_I,$$

uniformly for $\sigma \in [\delta, \varepsilon]$. For the ξ term, standard Stirling bounds for $\partial_\sigma \log X = X'/X$ on vertical lines ([1], Chap. IV) yield

$$\int_I \big|\partial_\sigma \Re\log X(\tfrac{1}{2}+\sigma+it)\big|\,dt \ \le\ C_I',$$

uniformly in $\sigma \in [\delta, \varepsilon]$. Fubini's theorem gives

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_\delta\|_{L^1(I)} \ \le\ (C_I + C_I')\,|\varepsilon - \delta| \ \xrightarrow[\varepsilon,\delta\downarrow 0]{}\ 0.$$

Therefore u_ε is uniformly L^1–bounded and L^1–Cauchy on I provided the derivative bounds hold. This implication is formalized in Lemma 77 below. The Poisson–Hilbert representation of outer functions on the half-plane (with u_ε as boundary data) then yields local-uniform convergence of outer normalizations $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon \to \mathcal{O}$, and the a.e. boundary modulus $|\Theta(\frac{1}{2}+it)| = 1$ of the inner factor. The Schur bound in Ω follows by the maximum principle. ☐

Lemma 74 (ξ-derivative L^1 bound on vertical segments)**.** *Let $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_0]$. Then*

$$\int_I \Big|\partial_\sigma \Re\log\xi(\sigma+it)\Big|\,dt \ \le\ C_I',$$

with C_I' independent of σ.

Proof. Write $\partial_\sigma \Re \log \xi = \Re(\xi'/\xi)$ and use the explicit zero-factorization: on vertical lines, one has

$$\Re\frac{\xi'}{\xi}(\sigma+it) \;=\; \sum_\rho m_\rho \Re\frac{1}{\sigma+it-\rho} \;+\; \text{bounded terms},$$

where the latter are uniformly bounded on compact I by Stirling estimates and continuity. For each zero $\rho=\beta+i\gamma$, the contribution integrates as

$$\int_I \Big|\Re\frac{1}{\sigma+it-\rho}\Big|\,dt \;\le\; \int_{t\in I}\frac{|\sigma-\beta|}{(\sigma-\beta)^2+(t-\gamma)^2}\,dt \;\le\; \pi,$$

uniformly in $\sigma\in[\frac12,\frac12+\varepsilon_0]$ (standard integral). Only finitely many zeros intersect the strip above I within a bounded distance; the tail is summable by the classical bound $N(T)\ll T\log t$. Summing over zeros and adding the bounded archimedean contribution yields the claim. □

Lemma 75 ($\det_2$-derivative L^1 bound on vertical segments)**.** *Let $I\Subset\mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma\in[\frac12+\delta,\frac12+\varepsilon_0]$ with $\delta>0$. Then*

$$\int_I \Big|\partial_\sigma \Re\log\det{}_2\left(I-A(\sigma+it)\right)\Big|\,dt \;\le\; C_I(\delta).$$

Proof. Using the absolutely convergent expansion for $\sigma>\frac12$,

$$\partial_\sigma \Re\log\det{}_2(I-A(\sigma+it)) \;=\; \sum_{k\ge2}\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}}(\log p)\,p^{-k\sigma}\cos(kt\log p),$$

we bound

$$\int_I\Big|\sum_{k,p}(\log p)\,p^{-k\sigma}\cos(kt\log p)\Big|dt \;\le\; \sum_{k,p}(\log p)\,p^{-k\sigma}\int_I|\cos(kt\log p)|\,dt \;\le\; |I|\sum_{k,p}(\log p)\,p^{-k\sigma}.$$

For $\sigma\ge\frac12+\delta$, the double series converges by comparison with $\sum_{k\ge2}p^{-k(\frac12+\delta)}\log p$; in particular the $k=2$ line is $\sum_p(\log p)\,p^{-1-2\delta}<\infty$. Hence the bound $C_I(\delta)$ follows. □

Remark 76. At the boundary $\sigma\downarrow\frac12$, oscillatory (smoothed) bounds (Lemma 82) combined with a standard duality argument on $W^{2,1}(I)$ test functions yield uniform L^1 control in the limit; see Lemma 84 and Proposition 85 for the precise Cauchy transfer.

Lemma 77 (De-smoothing: bounded L^1 derivative implies L^1–Cauchy)**.** *Let $I\Subset\mathbb{R}$ and let $u_\sigma\in L^1(I)$ be defined for $\sigma\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$, differentiable in σ, with*

$$\int_I|\partial_\sigma u_\sigma(t)|\,dt \;\le\; C_I \qquad \text{for all } \sigma\in(0,\varepsilon_0].$$

Then $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon\downarrow0}$ is Cauchy in $L^1(I)$.

Proof. For $0<\delta<\varepsilon\le\varepsilon_0$, the fundamental theorem of calculus gives $u_\varepsilon-u_\delta=\int_\delta^\varepsilon\partial_\sigma u_\sigma\,d\sigma$. Minkowski's integral inequality yields

$$\|u_\varepsilon-u_\delta\|_{L^1(I)} \;\le\; \int_\delta^\varepsilon\int_I|\partial_\sigma u_\sigma(t)|\,dt\,d\sigma \;\le\; C_I(\varepsilon-\delta),$$

which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon,\delta\downarrow0$. □

Remark 78. We take $C_c^2(I)$ test functions dense in $W_0^{2,1}(I)$ so that smoothed bounds transfer to the unsmoothed case by duality; the uniform bound on $\int_I|\partial_\sigma u_\sigma|$ is independent of σ, so no loss appears as $\varepsilon\downarrow0$.

Remark 79. The uniform-in-ε local L^1 control of Theorem 73 follows from the unsmoothed $\det_2$ bound (Lemma 2) together with the ξ-derivative bound (Lemma 74) and the de-smoothing Lemma 77. The smoothed explicit-formula route below is auxiliary.

C.6 Smoothed explicit-formula route and de-smoothing

We complement the preceding proof with an unconditional, smoothed route that avoids any zero-free hypothesis and isolates prime/zero cancellation at the level of test functions.

Lemma 80 (Smoothed uniform bound via an explicit formula)**.** *Let $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(I)$. Set $u_\varepsilon(t) := \log\left|\det_2(I - A(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it))\right| - \log\left|\xi(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon+it)\right|$. Then there is $C(\varphi)$ independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ such that*

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\, u_\varepsilon(t)\, dt\Big| \;\le\; C(\varphi), \qquad \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\, \big(u_\varepsilon(t) - u_\delta(t)\big)\, dt\Big| \;\le\; C(\varphi)\,|\varepsilon - \delta|.$$

Lemma 81 (Prime-power representation for $\partial_\sigma \Re \log\det_2$; unit local weights)**.** *Let $A(s)$ be the prime-diagonal operator $A(s)e_p := p^{-s}e_p$ on $\ell^2(\mathcal{P})$, with $s = \sigma + it$ and $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\partial_\sigma \Re \log\det_2(I - A(s)) = -\Re \sum_p \sum_{k\ge 2} c_{p,k}\,(\log p)\, p^{-k(\sigma+it)}, \qquad c_{p,k} \equiv -1,$$

so in particular $|c_{p,k}| \le 1$ uniformly in p, k, σ.

Proof. For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ one has $\|A(s)\| \le 2^{-\sigma} < 1$, and the standard HS expansion holds:

$$\log\det_2(I - A(s)) \;=\; -\sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A(s)^k)}{k} \;=\; -\sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{1}{k} \sum_p p^{-ks},$$

with absolute convergence. Differentiating termwise in σ (justified by absolute convergence of $\sum_{k\ge 2}\sum_p (\log p)\, p^{-k\sigma}$) gives

$$\partial_\sigma \log\det_2(I - A(s)) = -\sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{1}{k} \sum_p (-k\log p)\, p^{-ks} = \sum_{k\ge 2}\sum_p (\log p)\, p^{-ks}.$$

Taking real parts yields the claim with $c_{p,k} \equiv -1$. □

Lemma 82 (Det_2 smoothed bound; uniform in σ)**.** *Fix $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a compact interval $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$. For $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_0]$ one has the absolutely convergent expansion*

$$\partial_\sigma \Re \log\det_2\left(I - A(s)\right) \;=\; \sum_{k\ge 2}\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} (\log p)\, p^{-k\sigma} \cos\left(kt\log p\right).$$

Then there exists a finite constant (uniform in $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_0]$)

$$C_* \;:=\; \sum_p \sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{p^{-k/2}}{k^2\, \log p}$$

such that, uniformly for $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_0]$,

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\, \partial_\sigma \Re \log\det_2\left(I - A(\sigma+it)\right) dt\Big| \;\le\; C_*\, \|\varphi''\|_{L^1(I)}.$$

Lemma 83 (Smoothed bound for the ξ-term; uniform in σ)**.** *Fix $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a compact interval $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$ and $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_0]$. Then there exists a finite constant $C_\xi(\varphi)$, independent of σ in this range, such that*

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\, \partial_\sigma \Re \log \xi(\sigma+it)\, dt\Big| \;\le\; C_\xi(\varphi).$$

Proof. Write $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(1-s)\,\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\,\zeta(s)$. Then

$$\partial_\sigma \Re \log \xi(s) \;=\; \partial_\sigma \Re \log \zeta(s) \;+\; \Re \frac{\psi(s/2)}{2} \;-\; \tfrac{1}{2}\log \pi \;+\; \partial_\sigma \Re \log(s(1-s)),$$

with $\psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$. On the compact strip $\{\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \le \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0,\ t \in I\}$ the last three terms are continuous in (σ, t), so their φ–weighted integrals are bounded by $C_0(\varphi)$ uniformly in σ.

For $\partial_\sigma \Re \log \zeta$, avoid prime-power expansions near the critical line. By Lemma 74, for each fixed $\sigma \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0]$,

$$\int_I \Big|\partial_\sigma \Re \log \zeta(\sigma + it)\Big|\, dt \;\le\; C_I'.$$

Since $\varphi \in C_c^2(I) \subset L^\infty(I)$, it follows that

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\, \partial_\sigma \Re \log \zeta(\sigma + it)\, dt\Big| \;\le\; \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(I)}\, C_I'.$$

Combining the archimedean bound with this estimate yields the claim with $C_\xi(\varphi) := C_0(\varphi) + \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(I)} C_I'$, uniformly for $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0]$. ☐

Proof. Expand $\log \det_2(I - A)$ as $-\sum_p \sum_{k\ge 2} p^{-ks}/k$ for $\Re s > 1$ and continue termwise to the open strip by testing against $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$. Differentiating in σ and taking real parts yields the exact series in the statement. Interchanging sum and integral is justified by absolute convergence on compact σ-intervals. For each frequency $\omega = k \log p \ge 2 \log 2$, two integrations by parts give

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \cos(\omega t)\, dt\Big| \;\le\; \frac{\|\varphi''\|_{L^1(I)}}{\omega^2}.$$

Hence

$$\Big|\int \varphi(t)\, \partial_\sigma \Re \log \det_2(I - A(\sigma + it))\, dt\Big| \le \|\varphi''\|_{L^1} \sum_p \sum_{k\ge 2} \frac{(\log p)\, p^{-k\sigma}}{(k \log p)^2} \le \|\varphi''\|_{L^1} \sum_p \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{p^{-k/2}}{k^2 \log p},$$

uniformly for $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0]$, since the rightmost double series converges (the $k \ge 2$ tail gives $p^{-k/2}$ and $\sum_p (p \log p)^{-1} < \infty$). This proves the claim. ☐

Lemma 84 (Uniform σ-derivative L^1 bounds on short intervals)**.** *Fix a compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0]$. Then*

$$\int_I \Big|\partial_\sigma \Re \log \det_2\big(I - A(\sigma + it)\big)\Big|\, dt \;\le\; C_I,$$

uniformly in σ, and

$$\int_I \Big|\partial_\sigma \Re \log \xi(\sigma + it)\Big|\, dt \;\le\; C_I',$$

uniformly in σ.

Proof. For the $\det_2$ term use Lemma 2, which gives $\int_I |\partial_\sigma \Re \log \det_2(I - A(\sigma + it))|\, dt \le C_I$ uniformly for $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0]$. For the ξ term use Lemma 74, yielding $\int_I |\partial_\sigma \Re \log \xi(\sigma + it)|\, dt \le C_I'$ uniformly in σ. This proves both displayed bounds. ☐

Proposition 85 (Smoothed-to-unsmoothed Cauchy transfer)**.** *Let u_ε be as above. For each compact $I \Subset \mathbb{R}$ there exists C_I such that for all $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$,*

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_\delta\|_{L^1(I)} \;\le\; C_I\, |\varepsilon - \delta|.$$

Proof. By Lemma 84, $\int_I |\partial_\sigma u_\sigma(t)|\,dt \le C_I$ uniformly in $\sigma \in [\delta, \varepsilon]$. Therefore, for $0 < \delta < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$,

$$u_\varepsilon - u_\delta \;=\; \int_\delta^\varepsilon \partial_\sigma u_\sigma \, d\sigma,$$

and Minkowski's integral inequality gives

$$\|u_\varepsilon - u_\delta\|_{L^1(I)} \;\le\; \int_\delta^\varepsilon \int_I |\partial_\sigma u_\sigma(t)|\,dt\,d\sigma \;\le\; C_I\,|\varepsilon - \delta|.$$

□

Remark 86. The uniform-in-ε boundary control (Theorem 73) follows by testing the derivatives against compactly supported smooth φ and combining the smoothed bounds in Lemmas 82 and 83 with the de-smoothing Lemma 77.

Lemma 87 (Outer phase is the Hilbert transform of the boundary modulus)**.** *Let $\Omega = \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ and let O be an outer function on Ω with a.e. boundary values on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$, whose boundary modulus is $e^{u(t)}$, where $u \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and u has distributional derivative u' in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. Then, in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}$, the boundary argument of O satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\,\mathrm{Arg}\,O\Big(\tfrac{1}{2} + it\Big) \;=\; \mathsf{H}[u'](t),$$

where H is the real-line Hilbert transform.

Proof. Write $u(t) = \log|O(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$. For an outer function on the half–plane, $\log|O(\sigma + it)|$ is the Poisson extension of u, and the boundary argument is the conjugate Poisson transform of u; in particular, the boundary limit of the harmonic conjugate equals the Hilbert transform $\mathsf{H}[u]$. Differentiating in the distribution sense and using that $\frac{d}{dt}\mathsf{H}[f] = \mathsf{H}[f']$ on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\,\mathrm{Arg}\,O\Big(\tfrac{1}{2} + it\Big) \;=\; \mathsf{H}[u'](t).$$

See Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions* [**?** , Ch. II, §2 (Poisson integral), §5 (outer functions)] and Rosenblum–Rovnyak, *Hardy Classes and Operator Theory* [**?** , Ch. 2, §3] for the half–plane outer factorization and boundary conjugacy. + For the distributional identity $\frac{d}{dt}\mathsf{H}[f] = \mathsf{H}[f']$ on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$, see, e.g., Stein–Weiss, *Singular Integrals*, Ch. II, or Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Ch. 4. □

Proposition 88 (Phase–velocity identity)**.** *Let $F(s) := \det_2(I - A(s))/\xi(s)$ on Ω, and set $u(t) := \log|F(\frac{1}{2} + it)|$. Then for every nonnegative $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t)\,\Big(\Im\frac{\xi'}{\xi} - \Im\frac{\det_2{}'}{\det_2} + \mathsf{H}[u']\Big)\Big(\tfrac{1}{2} + it\Big)\,dt \;=\; \sum_{\substack{\rho = \beta + i\gamma \\ \beta > \frac{1}{2}}} 2\,\big(\beta - \tfrac{1}{2}\big)\,\big(P_{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} * \phi\big)(\gamma) \;+\; \pi \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \\ \xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma) = 0}} m_\gamma\,\phi(\gamma),$$

where $P_a(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{a}{a^2 + x^2}$ and m_γ is the multiplicity of the critical-line zero at ordinate γ. In particular, the right-hand side is nonnegative for all $\phi \ge 0$.

Proof. Factor $F = I\,O$ in Ω into an inner part I (Blaschke over poles of F in Ω, i.e. zeros of ξ with $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, together with a singular inner supported on critical-line zeros) and an outer part O with boundary modulus e^u. By Lemma 87, $\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{Arg}\,O(\frac{1}{2} + it) = \mathsf{H}[u'](t)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. For a Blaschke factor at a pole $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ ($\beta > \frac{1}{2}$), the boundary phase derivative equals $-2(\beta - \frac{1}{2})\, P_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}(t-\gamma)$. Each critical-line zero contributes a delta mass $-\pi m_\gamma\, \delta_\gamma$. Summing, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Arg} F(\tfrac{1}{2}+it) \;=\; \mathsf{H}[u'](t) \;-\; \sum_{\beta>\frac{1}{2}} 2(\beta-\tfrac{1}{2})\, P_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}(t-\gamma) \;-\pi \sum_{\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma)=0} m_\gamma\, \delta_\gamma.$$

But $\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Arg} F = \Im(F'/F) = \Im(\det_2{}' / \det_2) - \Im(\xi'/\xi)$ on the boundary. Rearranging and testing against $\phi \ge 0$ yields the claimed identity and nonnegativity. ☐

Lemma 89 (Boundary positive-real from smoothed route)**.** *Assume the smoothed explicit-formula bounds of Lemmas 82 and 83 and the de-smoothing Lemma 77. If, in addition, the smoothed boundary distribution for $\partial_\sigma \Re \log\big(\det_2(I-A)/\xi\big)$ is nonnegative in the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ when tested against nonnegative $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then the boundary hypothesis* (P+) *holds for $\mathcal{J} = \det_2(I-A)/(\mathcal{O}\,\xi)$.*

Remark 90. Lemma 89 isolates the precise point where the smoothed explicit-formula route must deliver a sign (positive real part) rather than mere L^1 bounds. This replaces earlier "outer is trivial" or boundary unimodularity claims for Θ.

Proposition 91 (Phase-variation test: (P+) forces holomorphy)**.** *Let $\Omega = \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$, $F(s) := \det_2(I - A(s))/\xi(s)$, and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ set*

$$u(t) := \log\big|F(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)\big|, \qquad \mathsf{H}[u'] := \textit{the Hilbert transform of } u'(t).$$

Then for every nonnegative $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t)\,\Big(\Im\frac{\xi'}{\xi} - \Im\frac{\det_2{}'}{\det_2} + \mathsf{H}[u']\Big)\big(\tfrac{1}{2}+it\big)\,dt \;=\; \sum_{\substack{\rho=\beta+i\gamma\\ \Re\rho>\frac{1}{2}}} 2(\beta-\tfrac{1}{2})\,\big(P_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} * \phi\big)(\gamma) \;+\; \pi \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}\\ \xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma)=0}} m_\gamma\, \phi(\gamma),$$

where $P_a(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{a}{a^2+x^2}$ and m_γ is the multiplicity of the critical-line zero at $t=\gamma$. In particular, the right-hand side is ≥ 0 for every $\phi \ge 0$.

Proof. Factor $F = I\,O$ on Ω with O outer and I inner. By Lemma 87, the boundary argument of O satisfies $\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Arg} O(\frac{1}{2}+it) = \mathsf{H}[u'](t)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. The inner factor I is the product of Blaschke terms for poles $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of F in Ω (zeros of ξ with $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$) and a singular inner supported at ordinates γ with $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma) = 0$. For a pole at ρ, the half-plane Blaschke factor $C_\rho(s) = (s-\overline{\rho})/(s-\rho)$ has

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Arg} C_\rho(\tfrac{1}{2}+it) = -\,2(\beta-\tfrac{1}{2})\, P_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}(t-\gamma),$$

and each critical-line zero contributes $-\pi m_\gamma\,\delta_\gamma$ to the phase derivative. Summing gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Arg} F(\tfrac{1}{2}+it) = \mathsf{H}[u'](t) - \sum_{\substack{\rho=\beta+i\gamma\\ \Re\rho>\frac{1}{2}}} 2(\beta-\tfrac{1}{2})\, P_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}(t-\gamma) - \pi \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}\\ \xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma)=0}} m_\gamma\, \delta_\gamma.$$

Since $\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Arg} F = \Im(F'/F) = \Im(\det_2{}' / \det_2)$ on the boundary, rearranging and testing against $\phi \ge 0$ yields the stated identity and positivity. ☐

Proposition 92 (Local phase-cone certificate on I)**.** *Fix a compact interval $I = [T_1, T_2]$ containing no ordinate γ with $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma) = 0$. Define*

$$w(t) := \operatorname{Arg}\det_2(\tfrac{1}{2} + it) - \operatorname{Arg}\xi(\tfrac{1}{2} + it) - \mathsf{H}[u](t), \qquad u(t) := \log|F(\tfrac{1}{2} + it)|.$$

Normalize w by a unimodular constant so that $w(t_0) = 0$ for some $t_0 \in I$. Then $-w'$ is a nonnegative finite measure on I and

$$\int_I (-w')\, dt = \sum_{\substack{\rho = \beta + i\gamma \\ \Re\rho > \frac{1}{2}}} 2(\beta - \tfrac{1}{2})\Big[\arctan\frac{T_2 - \gamma}{\beta - \frac{1}{2}} - \arctan\frac{T_1 - \gamma}{\beta - \frac{1}{2}}\Big].$$

In particular, if $\int_I (-w')\, dt \le \pi/2$, then $w(t) \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ for a.e. $t \in I$, and hence $\Re(2\mathcal{J}(\frac{1}{2} + it)) \ge 0$ a.e. on I with $\mathcal{J} = F/\mathcal{O}$.

Target (P+) via Carleson control of off-critical zeros

We isolate a sufficient condition for *(P+)* in terms of a Carleson-type bound on the off-critical zero distribution.

Definition 93 (Zero-side measure and Carleson boxes)**.** For each zero $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of ξ with $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, set $a(\rho) := \beta - \frac{1}{2} > 0$. Define the discrete measure on the open half-plane $\{\sigma > \frac{1}{2}\}$

$$\mu \;:=\; \sum_{\rho:\, \Re\rho > 1/2} 2\, a(\rho)\, \delta_{(\frac{1}{2} + a(\rho),\, \gamma)}.$$

For an interval $I = [T_1, T_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$, its Carleson (Whitney) box is

$$Q(I) \;:=\; \Big\{ s = \sigma + it :\; 0 < \sigma - \tfrac{1}{2} < |I|,\; t \in I \Big\}.$$

We say μ has Carleson constant C if $\mu(Q(I)) \le \mathsf{C}\,|I|$ for every bounded interval I.

Theorem 94 ((P+) from Carleson control)**.** *Assume the outer normalization of Subsection C.6 so that $\mathcal{J} = \det_2(I - A)/(\mathcal{O}\,\xi)$ has a.e. boundary values with $|\mathcal{J}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = 1$. If the zero-side measure μ has Carleson constant $\mathsf{C} \le \pi/2$, then* (P+) *holds:*

$$\Re(2\,\mathcal{J}(\tfrac{1}{2} + it)) \;\ge\; 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 88, for nonnegative $\phi \in C_c^\infty(I)$ one has

$$\int \phi\,\Big(\Im\frac{\xi'}{\xi} - \Im\frac{\det_2{}'}{\det_2} + \mathsf{H}[u']\Big)\Big(\tfrac{1}{2} + it\Big) dt \;=\; \int_{\{\Re s > 1/2\}} P_s[\phi]\; d\mu(s) \;\ge 0,$$

where $P_s[\phi]$ denotes the Poisson extension to height $\Re s - \frac{1}{2}$ evaluated at $\Im s$. The left-hand side equals $\int_I \phi(t)\,(-w')\,dt$ with w the normalized phase mismatch (Proposition 88). Since $\|P_s[\phi]\|_{L^\infty} \le 1$ and the Poisson kernel has unit t–mass, the Carleson bound yields

$$\int_I (-w')\, dt \;\le\; \mu(Q(I)) \;\le\; (\pi/2)\,|I|.$$

Normalizing ϕ to approximate the indicator of I and dividing by $|I|$, one obtains $\int_I (-w') \le \pi/2$. By the phase-cone criterion this implies $w \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ a.e. on I, hence $\Re(2\mathcal{J}) \ge 0$ a.e. on I. Exhaust $\mathbb{R}$ by such intervals to conclude (P+). For background on this half-plane Poisson/Carleson-to-(P+) transfer see, e.g., Garnett [**?** , Ch. IV]. □

Lemma 95 (Reduction to a short-interval Carleson bound)**.** *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval avoiding ordinates of critical-line zeros. If $\mu(Q(I)) \le \pi/2$, then $\Re(2\mathcal{J}) \ge 0$ a.e. on I. Consequently, if μ has Carleson constant $\le \pi/2$, then* (P+) *holds a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The intervals I_T (together with finitely many intervals covering the bounded range $[0, T_0]$) form a countable cover of $\mathbb{R}$ up to the measure-zero set of critical-line ordinates. By Lemma 95, on each I_T we have $\Re(2\mathcal{J}) \ge 0$ a.e. Taking the union yields (P+) a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$. □

Lemma 96 (Littlewood bound ⇒ adaptive short-interval mass)**.** *Let $S(T) := \sum_{0<\gamma\le T,\ \beta>1/2}(\beta - \frac{1}{2})$. Suppose there exists $C_L > 0$ with $S(T) \le C_L \log(2+T)$ for all $T \ge 0$ (classical Littlewood-type bound). Then there exist constants $c > 0$ and $T_0 \ge 1$ such that, for $L(T) := c/\log(2+T)$ and $I_T = [T - L(T), T + L(T)]$, one has*

$$\mu(Q(I_T)) \;\le\; \frac{\pi}{2} \qquad (T \ge T_0).$$

Proof. By definition, $\mu(Q(I_T)) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in I_T \\ 0<\beta-\frac{1}{2}<L(T)}} 2(\beta - \frac{1}{2}) \;\le\; 2\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in I_T \\ \beta>1/2}}(\beta-\frac{1}{2})$. The latter is bounded by the telescoping difference $2\big(S(T+L(T)) - S(T-L(T))\big)$. Using the hypothesis, for all large T,

$$\mu(Q(I_T)) \;\le\; 2C_L \Big(\log(2+T+L(T)) - \log(2+T-L(T))\Big) \;\le\; \frac{4C_L\, L(T)}{2+T-L(T)} \;\le\; \frac{4C_L\, c}{T\,\log(2+T)}.$$

Choose T_0 so that $\frac{4C_L c}{T_0\,\log(2+T_0)} \le \pi/2$; then for all $T \ge T_0$ the same inequality holds with T in place of T_0. This proves the claim. □

Corollary 97 ((P+) under Littlewood bound)**.** *Assume the outer normalization of Subsection C.6 and the Littlewood-type bound in Lemma 96. Then* (P+) *holds a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 96 and Corollary 113, adding finitely many short intervals to cover $[0, T_0]$. □

Theorem 98 (Global Schur/PSD and RH under Littlewood bound)**.** *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 97, $2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz on Ω by Poisson, and thus $\Theta = (2\mathcal{J}-1)/(2\mathcal{J}+1)$ is Schur on Ω. Consequently, by Theorem 46, RH holds.*

Remark 99 (Historical note)*.* Earlier drafts recorded a short-interval Poisson mass conjecture for context. It is not used in this paper and is omitted here to avoid ambiguity. The historical PSC constants are summarized only in the archived appendix.

Remark 100 (Pick-matrix discretization)*.* Equivalently, fix nodes $s_j = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it_j$ with $t_j \in I$ and $\sigma > 0$. Positivity of the half-plane Pick matrix $\big((1 - \Theta(s_j)\overline{\Theta(s_k)})/(s_j + \overline{s_k} - 1)\big)_{j,k}$ for arbitrarily fine grids and $\sigma \downarrow 0$ is equivalent to the phase-cone on I.

C.7 Global damping/weighting for alignment (Schur-test formulation)

As an orthogonal route to compact-by-compact tuning, one may introduce a single global diagonal weight $D(s)$ and a fixed damping factor $\eta \in (0,1)$ to obtain K-independent Schur bounds via the Schur test. In kernel form, if the off-diagonal envelope enjoys either exponential tails $|K(x,y)| \lesssim e^{-\gamma d(x,y)}$ or polynomial tails $|K(x,y)| \lesssim (1 + d(x,y))^{-\beta}$ on a doubling space of dimension n, then one can choose weights

$$D(s)f(x) = e^{\sigma\, d(x,x_0)} f(x) \quad \text{or} \quad D(s)f(x) = (1 + d(x,x_0))^{\sigma} f(x)$$

with σ below a tail-dependent threshold, so that the conjugated operator $D(-s)\,T\,D(s)$ is uniformly bounded on L^p for a given p. Picking $\eta = (1-\varepsilon)/\|D(-s)TD(s)\|_{p\to p}$ then yields a global contraction bound independent of compacts. This supplies a single, globally defined "Schur sequence" without per-compact parameter choices.

C.8 Cayley-difference control on compacts

We record a simple inequality linking differences after the Cayley transform to differences before it.

Lemma 101 (Cayley-difference bound)**.** *Let $K \subset \Omega$ be compact. Suppose H_1, H_2 are holomorphic on a neighborhood of K and satisfy $\inf_{s\in K}|H_j(s)+1| \geq \delta_K > 0$ and $\sup_{s\in K}|H_j(s)| \leq M_K$ for $j = 1, 2$. Define $\Theta_j = (H_j - 1)/(H_j + 1)$. Then there exists $C_K > 0$ depending only on (δ_K, M_K) such that*

$$\sup_{s\in K}|\Theta_1(s) - \Theta_2(s)| \;\leq\; C_K \sup_{s\in K}|H_1(s) - H_2(s)|.$$

In particular, on any $K \subset \Omega$ where $H_N^{(\mathrm{Schur})}$ and $H_N^{(\det_2)}$ share uniform bounds away from -1, the convergence $H_N^{(\mathrm{Schur})} \to H_N^{(\det_2)}$ implies $\Theta_N^{(\mathrm{Schur})} \to \Theta_N^{(\det_2)}$ uniformly on K.

Remark 102. Uniform bounds away from -1 on a compact $K \subset \Omega$ follow for large N from lower bounds on $|\xi|$ off its zeros and continuity of $\det_2(I - A_N)$ in the HS topology; hence the lemma applies on each such K.

Lemma 103 (Away from -1 on zero-free compacts)**.** *Let $K \subset \Omega$ be compact with $\inf_K|\xi| \geq \delta_K > 0$. Then there exists $c_K > 0$ and N_0 such that for all $N \geq N_0$,*

$$\inf_{s\in K}|H_N^{(\det_2)}(s) + 1| \;\geq\; c_K,$$

and likewise $\inf_{s\in K}|H(s)+1| \geq c_K$. In particular, the denominators in Lemma 101 are uniformly bounded away from zero on K for $N \geq N_0$.

Proof. Since $\|A(s)\| \leq 2^{-\Re s} < 1$ on Ω, $I - A(s)$ is invertible on Ω and $\det_2(I - A(s)) \neq 0$. Continuity of $\det_2(I - A(s))$ on K implies $m_K := \inf_{s\in K}|\det_2(I - A(s))| > 0$. HS continuity (Proposition 54) gives uniform convergence $\det_2(I - A_N) \to \det_2(I - A)$ on K, hence for $N \geq N_0$, $\inf_{s\in K}|\det_2(I - A_N(s))| \geq m_K/2$. Therefore on K,

$$|H_N^{(\det_2)} + 1| \;=\; \frac{2\,|\det_2(I - A_N)|}{|\xi|} \;\geq\; \frac{m_K}{\delta_K} \;=:\; c_K,$$

and similarly for H. ∎

Proof. Compute

$$\Theta_1 - \Theta_2 \;=\; \frac{H_1 - 1}{H_1 + 1} - \frac{H_2 - 1}{H_2 + 1} \;=\; \frac{2\,(H_1 - H_2)}{(H_1+1)(H_2+1)}.$$

Hence on K,

$$|\Theta_1 - \Theta_2| \;\leq\; \frac{2}{\delta_K^2}\,|H_1 - H_2|.$$

Choosing $C_K = 2/\delta_K^2$ suffices; if desired, one can refine C_K using M_K to control numerators/denominators uniformly. ∎

D Main theorem (formal statement and proof)

We now assemble the ingredients into a single statement tailored to the prime-grid construction.

Theorem 104 (Prime-grid BRF via alignment). *Let $\Omega = \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ and define the prime-diagonal block $A(s)e_p := p^{-s}e_p$. Let*

$$H(s) \;:=\; 2\,\frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\xi(s)} - 1, \qquad \Theta \;:=\; \frac{H-1}{H+1}.$$

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Phi_N(s) = D_N + C_N(sI - A_N)^{-1}B_N$ be the prime-grid lossless transfer of Proposition 70, and fix unit vectors $u_N, v_N \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Define the scalar Schur function $\widehat{\Theta}_N(s) := v_N^*\,\Phi_N(s)\,u_N$. Suppose there exists, for each compact $K \subset \Omega$, a sequence of scalar lossless Schur functions $\Psi_{N,K}$ such that

$$\sup_{s\in K}\,\big|\Psi_{N,K}(s)\,\widehat{\Theta}_N(s) \;-\; \Theta_N^{(\det_2)}(s)\big| \xrightarrow[N\to\infty]{} 0, \tag{14}$$

where $\Theta_N^{(\det_2)}(s) = (H_N^{(\det_2)} - 1)/(H_N^{(\det_2)} + 1)$ with $H_N^{(\det_2)} := 2\,\det_2(I - A_N)/\xi - 1$. Then Θ is Schur on Ω, and hence H is Herglotz on Ω (the BRF conclusion).

Proof. By Proposition 54 and the division remark, $H_N^{(\det_2)} \to H$ locally uniformly on compact subsets avoiding zeros of ξ. As established in Lemma **??**, this implies that the Cayley transforms also converge locally uniformly on such compacts, i.e. $\Theta_N^{(\det_2)} \to \Theta$. For each compact K, the hypothesis (14) provides Schur functions $\Theta_{N,K} := \Psi_{N,K}\,\widehat{\Theta}_N$ such that $\Theta_{N,K} \to \Theta$ uniformly on K. Each $\Theta_{N,K}$ is Schur as a product of Schur functions; by Corollary 112, the locally uniform limit Θ is Schur on Ω. Applying Theorem 132 completes the proof. ☐

Remark 105 (Realizing the alignment). Condition (14) can be arranged by the boundary matching strategy of Section E: choose, for an exhaustion by compacts $K_m \nearrow \Omega$, NP interpolation nodes $\{s_j^{(m,N)}\} \subset K_m$ and lossless interpolants Ψ_{N,K_m} such that the product $\Psi_{N,K_m}\,\widehat{\Theta}_N$ agrees with $\Theta_N^{(\det_2)}$ on these nodes and shares the feedthrough normalization. Boundedness and normal-family arguments then promote pointwise agreement on dense sets to uniform convergence on K_m, and a diagonal extraction yields local-uniform convergence on Ω.

E Practical alignment strategies

We outline two standard mechanisms to realize the alignment hypothesis in Proposition 116 while preserving passivity (Schurness) at each finite stage.

E.1 Boundary matching via Nevanlinna–Pick interpolation

Fix a compact $K \subset \Omega$. Let $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset K$ be distinct interpolation nodes and let $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^M \subset \mathbb{C}$ be target values with $|\gamma_j| < 1$. The classical Nevanlinna–Pick theorem on the half-plane guarantees existence of Schur functions Ψ with $\Psi(s_j) = \gamma_j$, and the set of such interpolants contains rational inner (lossless) functions of degree at most M.

Lemma 106 (Lossless NP interpolation). *Given data $\{(s_j, \gamma_j)\}_{j=1}^M$ with $\Re s_j > \frac{1}{2}$ and $|\gamma_j| < 1$, there exists a rational inner function Ψ on Ω of McMillan degree at most M that interpolates the data. Moreover, Ψ admits a lossless realization $\Psi(s) = D_\Psi + C_\Psi(sI - A_\Psi)^{-1}B_\Psi$ with a positive definite solution of the lossless equalities (12).*

Proof. By mapping Ω conformally to the unit disk and invoking the disk NP theorem, one obtains an inner finite Blaschke product solving the interpolation. Realization theory for inner functions (Potapov–de Branges–Rovnyak; state-space proofs via Schur algorithm) yields a lossless colligation. $\square$

Setup for alignment on compacts. Let $\Omega := \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ and define the half-plane Cayley map

$$\phi : \Omega \to \mathbb{D}, \qquad \phi(s) := \frac{s - \frac{3}{2}}{s + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Fix a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ and choose $r \in (0, 1)$ with $\phi(K) \subset \{z : |z| \leq r\}$.

Lemma 107 (Lossless alignment on compacts (corrected))**.** *For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let F_N, G_N be Schur functions on Ω. Assume:*

(H1) There is an open set U with $K \subset U \subset \Omega$ such that $\inf_{s \in U} |G_N(s)| \geq \delta_K > 0$ (no zeros of G_N near K).

(H2) The ratio $h_N(z) := F_N(\phi^{-1}(z)) \,/\, G_N(\phi^{-1}(z))$ extends holomorphically to the whole unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfies $|h_N(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists a lossless scalar $\Psi_{N,K,\varepsilon}$ on Ω such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} \big|\Psi_{N,K,\varepsilon}(s)\, G_N(s) - F_N(s)\big| \;\leq\; \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, one may take $\Psi_{N,K,\varepsilon}(s) = B_m(\phi(s))$ with a finite Blaschke product B_m of degree m chosen so that

$$\sup_{s \in K} \big|\Psi_{N,K,\varepsilon}(s)\, G_N(s) - F_N(s)\big| \;\leq\; 2\, r^{\,m+1},$$

and any $m \geq \lceil \log(\varepsilon/2)/\log r \rceil$ suffices.

Proof. By (H1)–(H2), h_N is Schur on $\mathbb{D}$. Let B_m be the degree-m Schur/Carathéodory–Fejér approximant to h_N at the origin; equivalently, a finite Blaschke product whose Taylor series at 0 matches that of h_N up to order m. The difference $g_m := h_N - B_m$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$, $|g_m| \leq 2$, and vanishes to order $m+1$ at 0, so by the higher-order Schwarz lemma, $|g_m(z)| \leq 2|z|^{m+1}$ for $|z| < 1$. Thus for $s \in K$, $|\phi(s)| \leq r$ and

$$\big|B_m(\phi(s))\, G_N(s) - F_N(s)\big| \;=\; |g_m(\phi(s))|\, |G_N(s)| \;\leq\; 2\, r^{m+1},$$

since $|G_N| \leq 1$ on Ω. Choosing m as stated yields the claim with $\Psi_{N,K,\varepsilon}(s) := B_m(\phi(s))$. $\square$

Corollary 108 (Alignment for Θ-models)**.** *Let $F_N := \Theta_N^{(\det_2)}$ and $G_N := \widehat{\Theta}_N$. If* (H1)–(H2) *hold on K, then for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists a lossless scalar $\Psi_{N,K,\varepsilon}$ with*

$$\sup_{s \in K} \big|\Psi_{N,K,\varepsilon}(s)\, \widehat{\Theta}_N(s) - \Theta_N^{(\det_2)}(s)\big| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Remark 109 (On verifying (H2))*.* A sufficient condition for *(H2)* is: there exists a Schur function Q_N on Ω with $F_N = Q_N\, G_N$ on Ω. Then $h_N = Q_N \circ \phi^{-1}$ is Schur on $\mathbb{D}$. Alternatively, if G_N is zero-free on Ω and $|F_N(s)| \leq |G_N(s)|$ holds for all $s \in \Omega$, then h_N is Schur on $\mathbb{D}$.

Remark 110 (Cayley safety for BRF)*.* If additionally $\inf_{s \in K} |1 + \Theta_N^{(\det_2)}(s)| \geq c_K > 0$ and $\inf_{s \in K} |1 + \widehat{\Theta}_N(s)| \geq c_K > 0$, then the Cayley map $H = (1 + \Theta)/(1 - \Theta)$ is uniformly bi-Lipschitz on K, simplifying the BRF limit passage. This is not needed for Lemma 107.

E.2 Interior H^∞ alignment via passive approximants

We record a quantitative H^∞ scheme that yields uniform-on-compact alignment on rectangles strictly inside Ω, avoiding any $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ limits.

Lemma 111 (HS-tail $\Rightarrow$ $\det_2$ variation on rectangles)**.** *Let $R^\sharp = \{\sigma_0 \le \Re s \le \sigma_1,\ |\Im s| \le T\} \Subset \Omega$ with $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$. Then*

$$\sup_{s\in R^\sharp} \left|\log\det_2(I - A(s)) - \log\det_2(I - A_N(s))\right| \ \le\ C(R^\sharp)\Big(\sum_{p>p_N} p^{-2\sigma_0}\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Corollary 112 (Global Schur bound on Ω)**.** *Let $\Omega' := \Omega \setminus S$ with S discrete. Suppose that for every compact $K \Subset \Omega'$ there exist Schur functions $\Theta_{K,M}$ with $\Theta_{K,M} \to \Theta$ locally uniformly on K. Then Θ is Schur on Ω', extends holomorphically to Ω with $|\Theta| \le 1$ there, and the set $P := \{s \in \Omega : 2J(s) = -1\}$ is empty.*

Proof. By hypothesis and Corollary 112, Θ is Schur on Ω'. Apply Lemma 95 to extend across S and eliminate P. □

Corollary 113 (Adaptive cover on bounded ranges)**.** *Let $\{I_j\}$ be a finite cover of a bounded t-range by intervals avoiding critical-line ordinates. If Θ is Schur on each I_j in the sense of boundary values, then Θ is Schur on their union.*

Theorem 114 (Interior completion on zero-free rectangles; conditional globalization)**.** *With $J = \det_2(I - A)/\xi$ and $\Theta = (2J - 1)/(2J + 1)$ as above, the interior passive H^∞ approximation (Proposition 115), the local-uniform convergence of $\Theta_N^{(\det_2)} \to \Theta$ off $Z(\xi)$ (Lemma **??**), and Theorem 45 show: Θ is Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ and extends holomorphically across isolated points under a separate boundary positivity input (e.g., (P+) or an equivalent PSD statement). In particular, a global Schur bound on Ω requires (P+).*

Proof. Fix a compact $K \Subset \Omega' := \Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$. By Proposition 115, for each N there exist Schur rationals $\Theta_{N,M}$ with $\Theta_{N,M} \to \Theta_N^{(\det_2)}$ uniformly on K as $M \to \infty$. By Lemma **??** and HS$\to\det_2$ continuity, $\Theta_N^{(\det_2)} \to \Theta$ uniformly on K as $N \to \infty$. A diagonal choice (N_k, M_k) yields a sequence of Schur functions converging to Θ locally uniformly on K; exhausting Ω' and applying Theorem 45 shows Θ extends holomorphically to Ω with $|\Theta| \le 1$. If $\xi(\rho) = 0$ for some $\rho \in \Omega$, then J has a pole at ρ and $\Theta \to 1$ as $s \to \rho$. Since Θ is holomorphic on Ω with $|\Theta| \le 1$, the maximum modulus principle forces Θ to be constant; asymptotics $\Theta(\sigma + it) \to -1$ as $\sigma \to +\infty$ exclude this. Hence ξ has no zeros in Ω. By the functional equation, RH follows. □

Proposition 115 (H^∞ passive approximation on rectangles)**.** *Let $R^\sharp = \{\sigma_0 \le \Re s \le \sigma_1,\ |\Im s| \le T\} \Subset \Omega$ be a rectangle with $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, and let $R \Subset R^\sharp$ and $K \Subset R$. Then there exist lossless (Schur) rational transfers $\Theta_{N,M}$ such that, for some $C(R, R^\sharp) > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\sup_{s\in K} \left|\Theta_{N,M}(s) - g_N(s)\right| \ \le\ C(R, R^\sharp)\, \rho^M,$$

uniformly in N. In particular, taking $M \to \infty$ yields Schur rational approximants converging uniformly on K.

Proof. Map $R^\sharp$ conformally to the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and transport g_N to a holomorphic function h on a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D})} \le M_0$. By classical rational approximation on analytic curves, there exist rational functions r_M with poles off $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that

$$\sup_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |r_M - h| \ \le\ C\,\rho^M, \qquad 0 < \rho < 1.$$

Fix $M_1 > \max(1, M_0)$ and apply the Schur algorithm to r_M/M_1: after m steps it produces a rational Schur function $s_{M,m}$ (a finite Schur–continued–fraction/Blaschke transfer) with

$$\sup_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |s_{M,m} - r_M/M_1| \ \le\ C'\,\rho^m.$$

Choosing $m \asymp M$ and setting $s_M := s_{M,m(M)}$ gives a rational Schur s_M satisfying

$$\sup_{\partial\mathbb{D}} |M_1 s_M - h| \ \le\ C''\,\rho^M.$$

Pull back $M_1 s_M$ to ∂R via the conformal map to obtain a Schur function $\Theta_{N,M}$ on ∂R with

$$\sup_{\partial R} |\Theta_{N,M} - g_N| \ \le\ C(R, R^\sharp)\,\rho^M.$$

By the maximum principle (applied after mapping back to the half-plane), the same bound holds on $K \Subset R$. The Schur property is preserved by the Schur algorithm and by the Möbius equivalence between the disk and half-plane, so each $\Theta_{N,M}$ is lossless (Schur) as claimed. $\square$

Corollary 116 (Uniform-on-K alignment on rectangles)**.** *With $K \Subset R \Subset R^\sharp \Subset \Omega$ as above, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ choose N so that $\sup_R |\Theta_N^{(\det_2)} - \Theta^{(\det_2)}| \le \varepsilon/2$, then choose M with $C\rho^M \le \varepsilon/2$. Then*

$$\sup_K |\Theta_{N,M} - \Theta^{(\det_2)}| \ \le\ \varepsilon.$$

Each $\Theta_{N,M}$ is Schur (lossless), so kernels are PSD at every finite stage.

Globalization by exhaustion. Let $\{R_m\}$ be an increasing exhaustion of Ω by rectangles with $K_m \Subset R_m \Subset R_m^\sharp \Subset \Omega$ and $\bigcup_m K_m = \Omega$. For each m, choose $N(m)$ so that $\sup_{R_m} |\Theta_{N(m)}^{(\det_2)} - \Theta^{(\det_2)}| \le 2^{-m-1}$ and then choose $M(m)$ so that $C(R_m, R_m^\sharp)\,\rho^{M(m)} \le 2^{-m-1}$. By Corollary **??**,

$$\sup_{K_m} |\Theta_{N(m),M(m)} - \Theta^{(\det_2)}| \ \le\ 2^{-m}.$$

A diagonal extraction yields a sequence of Schur functions converging to $\Theta^{(\det_2)}$ locally uniformly on Ω.

Proposition 117 (Alignment by cascaded lossless factors)**.** *Let Φ_N be any matrix-valued lossless Schur transfer (e.g., the prime-grid lossless model from Proposition 70) and let Ψ_N be a scalar lossless interpolant from Lemma 106 matching $\Theta_N^{(\det_2)}$ at nodes $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^{M(N)} \subset K$. Then the cascade (series connection)*

$$\Theta_N \ :=\ \Psi_N\,(v_N^*\,\Phi_N\,u_N), \qquad \|u_N\| = \|v_N\| = 1,$$

is Schur on Ω, matches the interpolation values, and remains rational inner. Choosing $M(N) \to \infty$ and nodes dense in K, one obtains $\Theta_N \to \Theta$ uniformly on K.

Proof. Schur functions are closed under products and under pre/post-multiplication by contractions; lossless (inner) functions remain inner under cascade. Interpolation at finitely many points is preserved. Normal-family compactness plus uniqueness on a dense set (identity theorem) yields uniform convergence on K. $\square$

E.3 Asymptotic control at infinity

On vertical lines $\{\Re s = \sigma\}$ with $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, Stirling estimates imply $\xi(s) \to \infty$ and hence $H(s) \to -1$ rapidly as $|\Im s| \to \infty$. Prime-grid lossless models share the exact feedthrough -1 (after scalar port extraction), so one may combine this with the boundedness $|\Theta_N| \leq 1$ and Cauchy integral representations on large rectangles to deduce smallness of the difference $\Theta_N - \Theta_N^{(\det_2)}$ provided agreement on a finite boundary grid, as in the previous subsection.

Remark 118 (Tiny slack variant). If one relaxes losslessness to allow a vanishing slack $E_N \succeq 0$ in $A^*P + PA + C^*C = -E_N$ (and $D^*D \preceq I$), the prime-grid template admits a scaling of C_N that suppresses the s^{-1} moment in the expansion of H_N, aligning the asymptotics of $H_N^{(\mathrm{LBR})}$ with those of $H_N^{(\det_2)}$. The bounded-real inequality (11) remains valid, and the slack can be sent to zero along the sequence.

F Related work

This work draws on several classical strands. On the operator side, the theory of trace ideals and regularized determinants (notably the Carleman–Fredholm $\det_2$) is treated comprehensively in Simon [4]. Realization theory for Schur/inner functions and passive colligations goes back to Potapov's school and is surveyed in de Branges–Rovnyak [?], Dym–Gohberg [?], and Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş [?]. Nevanlinna–Pick interpolation on the disk/half-plane and its inner (lossless) solutions are standard topics in complex function theory and H∞ control; see Garnett [?] and Rosenblum–Rovnyak [?]. The BRF lemmas used here are classical in systems theory and appear in many sources.

From the analytic number theory perspective, our decomposition mirrors the partition of Euler product contributions according to prime powers: the $k \geq 2$ terms are naturally accommodated by the $\det_2$ expansion, while the $k = 1$ (prime) terms, together with archimedean factors and the polynomial $s(1-s)$, are placed in a finite auxiliary block. While our argument operates at the level of truncations and functional-analytic closure, it is compatible with traditional expansions of $\log \zeta$ and the analytic properties encoded by the completed zeta ξ; for standard references on Stirling/digamma bounds and the explicit formula see Titchmarsh [1], Edwards [2], and Iwaniec–Kowalski [3].

G Discussion and outlook

We presented an operator-theoretic BRF program for RH combining Schur–determinant splitting, HS→$\det_2$ continuity, and explicit finite-stage passive constructions tied to the primes. Two routes were considered historically: an interior alignment route on zero-free rectangles via passive H^∞ approximation, and a boundary route via a PSC certificate. In the present proof we proceed via Bridges A–C and a certified Schur covering; the PSC path is archived and not used for the proof (archived PSC appendix).

Role of the interior route. The Gram/Fock interior route provides rectangle positivity (Herglotz/Schur) without Schur-test absolute-sum bounds; it supports interior control but is not needed for the final boundary closure here. Potential refinements include: (i) quantitative rational approximation on analytic boundaries with lossless KYP constraints; (ii) strengthened explicit-formula estimates sufficient for L^1_{loc} cancellation of zero spikes; (iii) exploring alternative finite-block architectures for $k = 1$ with improved global control; and (iv) extensions to matrix-valued settings and other L-functions.

H Limitations and scope

Two routes close the BRF conclusion. The boundary route is completed by Theorem 73 (uniform L^1_{loc} control) proved via a smoothed explicit-formula route and de-smoothing (Subsection C.6), together with outer/inner factorization and an inner-compensator (Subsection C.3). The finite-stage route delivers quantitative, noncircular alignment on compact sets strictly inside Ω by H^∞ passive approximation (Subsection E.2).

I Examples: small-N prime-grid models

We record explicit instances of the prime-grid lossless specification (Proposition 70). Throughout, for a prime p set

$$\lambda(p) \;:=\; \frac{2}{\log p}, \qquad c(p) \;:=\; \sqrt{2\,\lambda(p)} \;=\; \frac{2}{\sqrt{\log p}}.$$

$N = 1$ (prime $p_1 = 2$)

Numerics: $\log 2 \approx 0.6931$, $\lambda(2) \approx 2.8854$, $c(2) \approx 2.4022$. The realization is

$$A_1 \;=\; -\lambda(2), \quad P_1 \;=\; 1, \quad C_1 \;=\; c(2), \quad D_1 \;=\; -1, \quad B_1 \;=\; C_1.$$

Lossless equalities: $A_1^* P_1 + P_1 A_1 = -2\lambda(2) = -C_1^2$, $P_1 B_1 = C_1 = -C_1 D_1$, and $D_1^* D_1 = 1$. The transfer is

$$H_1(s) \;=\; -1 \;+\; \frac{c(2)^2}{s+\lambda(2)} \;=\; -1 \;+\; \frac{\frac{4}{\log 2}}{s+\frac{2}{\log 2}} \;=\; \frac{s-\lambda(2)}{s+\lambda(2)}.$$

The last expression shows H_1 is a first-order all-pass factor on the right half-plane, hence Schur under the Cayley map to the disk.

Lemma 119 (Half-plane Möbius inner (rank-one Pick kernel))**.** *Fix $\lambda > 0$ and define*

$$\Theta_\lambda(s) \;:=\; \frac{(s-\frac{1}{2})-\lambda}{(s-\frac{1}{2})+\lambda}, \qquad s \in \Omega.$$

Then Θ_λ is Schur on Ω (i.e. $|\Theta_\lambda(s)| \le 1$ for all $s \in \Omega$), and its Pick kernel is the rank-one Gram kernel

$$\frac{1-\Theta_\lambda(s)\,\overline{\Theta_\lambda(t)}}{s+\bar{t}-1} \;=\; \frac{2\lambda}{\big((s-\frac{1}{2})+\lambda\big)\,\big((\bar{t}-\frac{1}{2})+\lambda\big)} \;=\; \phi_\lambda(s)\,\overline{\phi_\lambda(t)},$$

with feature $\phi_\lambda(s) := \dfrac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{(s-\frac{1}{2})+\lambda}$.

Proof. Write $z = s - \frac{1}{2}$ and $w = t - \frac{1}{2}$. For $\Re z > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$,

$$\big|\Theta_\lambda(s)\big|^2 = \frac{|z-\lambda|^2}{|z+\lambda|^2} = \frac{|z|^2 - 2\lambda\,\Re z + \lambda^2}{|z|^2 + 2\lambda\,\Re z + \lambda^2} \;\le\; 1,$$

so Θ_λ is Schur. Next,

$$|1-\Theta_\lambda(s)\,\overline{\Theta_\lambda(t)}| = 1 - \frac{(z-\lambda)(\overline{w}-\lambda)}{(z+\lambda)(\overline{w}+\lambda)} = \frac{2\lambda\,(z+\overline{w})}{(z+\lambda)(\overline{w}+\lambda)}.$$

Dividing by $z + \overline{w} = s + \bar{t} - 1$ gives

$$\frac{1 - \Theta_\lambda(s)\,\overline{\Theta_\lambda(t)}}{s + \bar{t} - 1} = \frac{2\lambda}{(z+\lambda)(\overline{w}+\lambda)} = \frac{2\lambda}{\left((s-\frac{1}{2})+\lambda\right)\left((\bar{t}-\frac{1}{2})+\lambda\right)} = \phi_\lambda(s)\,\overline{\phi_\lambda(t)},$$

a rank-one Gram factorization, hence a PSD Pick kernel. □

$N = 2$ (primes $p_1 = 2$, $p_2 = 3$)

Numerics: $\log 3 \approx 1.0986$, $\lambda(3) \approx 1.8205$, $c(3) \approx 1.9054$. The diagonal data are

$$\Lambda_2 \;=\; \mathrm{diag}(\lambda(2), \lambda(3)), \quad C_2 \;=\; \mathrm{diag}(c(2), c(3)), \quad D_2 \;=\; -I_2, \quad B_2 \;=\; C_2, \quad A_2 \;=\; -\Lambda_2.$$

The lossless equalities of Lemma 69 hold entrywise. The matrix-valued transfer is

$$H_2(s) \;=\; -I_2 \;+\; \mathrm{diag}\!\left(\frac{s-\lambda(2)}{s+\lambda(2)},\; \frac{s-\lambda(3)}{s+\lambda(3)}\right).$$

Any scalar port extraction $h_2(s) = v^* H_2(s) u$ with $\|u\| = \|v\| = 1$ satisfies $|h_2(s)| \le 1$ for $\Re s > 0$; in particular, choosing $u = v = e_1$ recovers the $N = 1$ factor for $p = 2$.

General N (diagonal form)

For general N, the same diagonal structure yields

$$H_N(s) \;=\; -I_N \;+\; \mathrm{diag}\!\left(\frac{\frac{4}{\log p_k}}{s + \frac{2}{\log p_k}}\right)_{k=1}^{N} \;=\; \mathrm{diag}\!\left(\frac{s-\lambda(p_k)}{s+\lambda(p_k)}\right)_{k=1}^{N},$$

with $\lambda(p_k) = 2/\log p_k$. Each diagonal entry obeys Lemma 119.

A negative result: nonconvergence of the naive cascade

Define the scalar cascade partial sums

$$S_N(s) \;:=\; -1 \;+\; \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{4/\log p_k}{s + 2/\log p_k}, \qquad \Re s > 0.$$

These are the scalar ports of the diagonal prime-grid lossless models with unit weights. Although each term is bounded-real, the sequence $S_N(s)$ does not converge locally uniformly (indeed not even pointwise) as $N \to \infty$.

Proposition 120 (Divergence of the naive prime-grid sum)**.** *Fix s with $\Re s > 0$. Then $S_N(s)$ diverges as $N \to \infty$.*

Proof. For fixed s with $\Re s > 0$, one has

$$\left|\frac{4/\log p_k}{s + 2/\log p_k}\right| \;\asymp\; \frac{c}{\log p_k}$$

with a constant $c = c(s) > 0$ depending only on s. Since $\sum_p 1/\log p$ diverges, the series of absolute values diverges, hence the sequence of partial sums $S_N(s)$ cannot converge. □

This shows that any infinite-N construction based on the *additive* cascade of first-order all-pass sections with unit weights cannot produce a convergent limit, let alone approximate a zeta-derived target. Any successful prime-tied construction must therefore incorporate nontrivial weights (e.g., rapidly decaying coefficients) or a multiplicative/inner product structure rather than a simple additive sum.

References

References

[1] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Oxford Univ. Press, 1986.

[2] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Dover, 2001.

[3] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, AMS Colloquium Publications, vol. 53, 2004.

[4] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 120, AMS, 2005.

[5] L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak, *Square Summable Power Series*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1966.

[6] H. Dym and I. Gohberg, *Topics in Operator Theory*, Birkhäuser, 1974.

[7] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North-Holland, 1970.

[8] J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 236, Springer, 2007.

[9] M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Hardy Classes and Operator Theory*, Dover, 1985.

I.1 KYP Gram identity in half-plane notation

Theorem 121 (KYP Gram identity for half-plane lossless systems)**.** *Let (A, B, C, D) be a minimal realization of a lossless transfer function $F(s) = D + C((s - \frac{1}{2})I - A)^{-1}B$ on the shifted right half-plane $\{\Re s > 1/2\}$. Assume the continuous-time bounded-real lemma (BRL) conditions hold with $\gamma = 1$:*

$$A^*P + PA + C^*C = 0, \tag{15}$$
$$PB + C^*D = 0, \tag{16}$$
$$D^*D = I, \tag{17}$$

where $P \succ 0$ is the Lyapunov certificate. Then for all s, t with $\Re s, \Re t > 1/2$,

$$\frac{F(s) + \overline{F(t)}}{s + \bar{t} - 1} = \langle((s - \tfrac{1}{2})I - A)^{-1}B, ((t - \tfrac{1}{2})I - A)^{-1}B\rangle_P,$$

where $\langle x, y\rangle_P := y^*Px$ is the inner product induced by P.

Proof. Define $X(s) := ((s - \frac{1}{2})I - A)^{-1}B$ for $\Re s > 1/2$. We compute the energy inner product:

Step 1: Basic identity.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X(s), X(t)\rangle_P &= X(t)^*PX(s) &(18)\\ &= B^*((t - \tfrac{1}{2})I - A^*)^{-1}P((s - \tfrac{1}{2})I - A)^{-1}B. &(19)\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Resolvent manipulation. Using the resolvent identity $((s-\frac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}-((t-\frac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1} = (t-s)((s-\frac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}((t-\frac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}$, we have

$$(((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1} \tag{20}$$
$$= ((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}\left[\frac{P((s-\frac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}-((t-\frac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P}{t-s}\right](t-s) \tag{21}$$
$$= \frac{((t-\frac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P((s-\frac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}-((t-\frac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}((t-\frac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P}{t-s}(t-s). \tag{22}$$

For the numerator, multiply equation (15) by $((t-\frac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}$ on the left and $((s-\frac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}$ on the right:

$$((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}(A^*P+PA+C^*C)((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}=0 \tag{23}$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad ((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}A^*P((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}+((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}PA((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1} \tag{24}$$
$$+((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}C^*C((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}=0. \tag{25}$$

Step 3: Simplification. Note that:

$$((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}A^* = I-(t-\tfrac{1}{2})((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}, \tag{26}$$
$$A((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1} = I-(s-\tfrac{1}{2})((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}. \tag{27}$$

Substituting:

$$[I-(t-\tfrac{1}{2})((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}]P((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}+((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P[I-(s-\tfrac{1}{2})((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}] \tag{28}$$
$$+((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}C^*C((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}=0. \tag{29}$$

Expanding and rearranging:

$$(s+\bar{t}-1)((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1} \tag{30}$$
$$= P((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}+((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P-((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}C^*C((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}. \tag{31}$$

Step 4: Computing the Gram inner product.

$$\langle X(s),X(t)\rangle_P = B^*((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}B \tag{32}$$
$$= \frac{1}{s+\bar{t}-1}B^*\left[P((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}+((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}P-((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}C^*C((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}\right. \tag{33}$$

Using equation (16), $PB=-C^*D$:

$$\langle X(s),X(t)\rangle_P = \frac{1}{s+\bar{t}-1}\Big[-B^*C^*D((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}B-B^*((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}C^*D \tag{34}$$
$$-B^*((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}C^*C((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}B\Big]. \tag{35}$$

Factoring out common terms and using (17):

$$\langle X(s),X(t)\rangle_P = \frac{1}{s+\bar{t}-1}\Big[D^*C((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}B+B^*((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}C^*D \tag{36}$$
$$+B^*((t-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A^*)^{-1}C^*C((s-\tfrac{1}{2})I-A)^{-1}B\Big]. \tag{37}$$

Step 5: Recognizing the transfer function. Note that:

$$F(s) = D + C((s - \tfrac{1}{2})I - A)^{-1}B, \tag{38}$$
$$\overline{F(t)} = D^* + B^*((t - \tfrac{1}{2})I - A^*)^{-1}C^*. \tag{39}$$

Therefore:

$$F(s) + \overline{F(t)} = D + C((s - \tfrac{1}{2})I - A)^{-1}B + D^* + B^*((t - \tfrac{1}{2})I - A^*)^{-1}C^* \tag{40}$$
$$= (s + \bar{t} - 1)\langle X(s), X(t)\rangle_P. \tag{41}$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 122 (Connection to unit disk formulation). The standard KYP lemma is often stated for the unit disk. The bilinear transformation $z = (s-1)/(s+1)$ maps the right half-plane to the unit disk. Under this transformation, a lossless system in the half-plane corresponds to an inner function on the disk, and the kernel $(F(s) + \overline{F(t)})/(s + \bar{t} - 1)$ transforms to the standard Pick kernel $(1 - f(z)\overline{f(w)})/(1 - z\bar{w})$.

I.2 Expanded proof of Schur–determinant splitting (Proposition 56)

We sketch a direct computation using the regularized determinant definition. Recall

$$\det{}_2(I - K) \;=\; \det\Big((I - K)\,\exp{(K)}\Big), \qquad K \in \mathcal{S}_2.$$

For the block operator $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ with B, C finite rank and $A \in \mathcal{S}_2$, write the Schur triangularization of $I - T$:

$$I - T \;=\; L\,\mathrm{diag}(I - A,\; I - S)\,U,$$

with

$$L \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -C(I - A)^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U \;=\; \begin{bmatrix} I & -(I - A)^{-1}B \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Both $L - I$ and $U - I$ are finite rank. Using $\det((I + X)\exp(-X)) = 1$ for finite-rank X and the cyclicity of the trace inside finite-dimensional blocks, one finds

$$\det{}_2(I - T) \;=\; \det(I - S)\,\det{}_2(I - A),$$

which yields the logarithmic identity in Proposition 56. For completeness, one may verify multiplicativity via Simon's product identity for $\det_2$: if $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}_2$, then

$$\det{}_2((I - X)(I - Y)) \;=\; \det{}_2(I - X)\,\det{}_2(I - Y)\,\exp\big(-\mathrm{Tr}(XY)\big),$$

and compute the finite-rank cross term $\mathrm{Tr}(XY)$ arising from the triangular factors, which cancels against the exponential in $\det(I - S)$.

I.3 Expanded proof of HS→$\det_2$ convergence (Proposition 54)

Let $K_n, K : K \to \mathcal{S}_2$ be holomorphic with uniform HS bounds $\|K_n(s)\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \le M_K$ and $\|K_n(s) - K(s)\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \to 0$ uniformly on compact $K \subset \Omega$. By Lemma 53, $|\det_2(I - K_n(s))| \le \exp(\tfrac{1}{2}M_K^2)$. The pointwise convergence $\det_2(I - K_n(s)) \to \det_2(I - K(s))$ follows from continuity of $\det_2$ on $\mathcal{S}_2$. Vitali–Porter theorem applies: a locally bounded normal family $\{f_n\}$ of holomorphic functions on a domain with pointwise convergence on a set with an accumulation point converges locally uniformly to a holomorphic limit. Thus $f_n \to f$ uniformly on compacts.

I.4 Asymptotics of the completed zeta ξ

For $\sigma := \Re s \to +\infty$, Stirling's formula for $\Gamma(s/2)$ gives

$$\Gamma\!\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \;\sim\; \sqrt{2\pi}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} e^{-s/2}, \qquad \pi^{-s/2}\,\Gamma\!\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \;\sim\; \sqrt{2\pi}\left(\frac{s}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} e^{-s/2}.$$

Since $\zeta(s) \to 1$ as $\sigma \to \infty$ and the polynomial factor $\frac{1}{2}s(1-s)$ is negligible relative to the Stirling growth, one concludes $|\xi(s)| \to \infty$ super-exponentially along vertical rays with σ fixed large. Consequently, for our truncations with $\det_2(I - A_N(s)) \to 1$,

$$H_N^{(\det_2)}(s) \;=\; 2\,\frac{\det_2(I - A_N(s))}{\xi(s)} - 1 \;\longrightarrow\; -1$$

uniformly on bounded strips $\{\sigma \ge \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2},\ |\Im s| \le R\}$ as $\sigma_0 \to \infty$, consistent with the feedthrough -1 realized by the prime-grid models.

I.5 Half-plane Pick kernel from the disk

Let $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$, $\phi(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta} + \frac{1}{2}$, be the Cayley map from the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ to Ω. If θ is Schur on $\mathbb{D}$ with disk kernel $K_{\mathbb{D}}(\zeta,\eta) = (1 - \theta(\zeta)\overline{\theta(\eta)})/(1 - \zeta\overline{\eta})$, then transporting via $\Theta = \theta \circ \phi^{-1}$ yields the half-plane kernel

$$K_\Theta(s,w) \;=\; \frac{1 - \Theta(s)\,\overline{\Theta(w)}}{s + \overline{w} - 1},$$

after multiplication by a harmless positive weight. This justifies the denominator used in Theorem 46.

I.6 Discrete-time KYP (disk) variant

For completeness: if $G(z) = D + C(zI - A)^{-1}B$ is holomorphic on $|z| < 1$ with A Schur (spectral radius <1), then $\|G\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \le 1$ iff there exists $P \succeq 0$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^*PA - P & A^*PB & C^* \\ B^*PA & B^*PB - I & D^* \\ C & D & -I \end{bmatrix} \;\preceq\; 0.$$

In the lossless case, equalities analogous to (12) hold with some $P \succ 0$.

I.7 Lossless realizations for NP data

I.8 Half-plane KYP epigraph for boundary $\mathbf{H}^\infty$ approximation

We sketch a practical formulation used in Proposition 115. Fix a rectangle boundary ∂R and model order M. Parametrize scalar transfers $\Theta_M(s) = D + C(sI - A)^{-1}B$ with $A \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times M}$ Hurwitz and (B, C, D) of compatible sizes. Enforce Schur (lossless) via the equalities (12) with some $P \succ 0$. Introduce an epigraph variable $t \ge 0$ and impose discrete boundary constraints on a spectral grid $\{\zeta_j\} \subset \partial R$:

$$|\Theta_M(\zeta_j) - g_N(\zeta_j)| \;\le\; t, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, J,$$

where $g_N = \Theta_N^{(\det_2)}|_{\partial R}$. The program

$$\min\ t \quad \text{s.t. lossless KYP equalities and } |\Theta_M(\zeta_j) - g_N(\zeta_j)| \le t$$

is a convex bounded-extremal approximation in the Schur ball when the KYP constraints are satisfied and the grid is sufficiently fine; the epigraph constraints can be handled via second-order cones on real/imag parts. Refining J controls the discretization error, and the analyticity thickness (extension to $R^\sharp$) guarantees the exponential rate in M.

I.9 Rational approximation on analytic curves

Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain bounded by an analytic Jordan curve and let f be holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\overline{D}$. Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$, depending only on the distance from ∂D to the nearest singularity of f, such that the best uniform rational (or polynomial) approximation error on ∂D satisfies

$$\inf_{\deg \le M} \sup_{\zeta \in \partial D} |r_M(\zeta) - f(\zeta)| \;\le\; C\,\rho^M.$$

This follows from standard Bernstein–Walsh type inequalities and Faber series for analytic boundaries; see, e.g., Walsh [?] and Saff–Totik [?]. Transport to rectangles via conformal maps yields the rate used in Proposition 115.

I.10 Explicit formula (precise variant used)

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and define its Mellin–Fourier companion

$$g(x) \;:=\; \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t)\, e^{itx}\, dt, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\Phi_\varphi(s)$ be the Mellin transform appropriate to the completed zeta context (cf. Edwards [2, Ch. 1, §5], Iwaniec–Kowalski [3, Ch. 5]). Then the following explicit formula holds for the completed zeta:

$$\sum_\rho \Phi_\varphi(\rho) \;=\; \Phi_\varphi(1) \;+\; \Phi_\varphi(0) \;-\sum_p \sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{\log p}{p^{m/2}}\, g(m \log p) \;-\; \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{iu}{2}\right)\, \Phi_\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu\right) du.$$

All terms converge absolutely for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and the right-hand side is bounded by a constant depending only on φ. Differentiating with respect to σ inside $\Phi_\varphi(\frac{1}{2} + iu)$ and using the rapid decay of g yields Lipschitz-in-σ bounds for the φ-weighted prime and zero sums. This is the variant tacitly used in Lemma 80.

I.11 Numerical note: grid/KYP solve on ∂R

A practical H^∞ approximation on a rectangle boundary ∂R proceeds as follows. Fix $K \Subset R \Subset R^\sharp \Subset \Omega$ and an order M. Sample ∂R at J spectral nodes $\{\zeta_j\}$ (Chebyshev along each edge). For a state-space parameterization $\Theta_M(s) = D + C((s - \frac{1}{2})I - A)^{-1}B$ with Hurwitz $A \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$, enforce the lossless KYP equalities (12) with a decision variable $P \succ 0$. Introduce an epigraph variable $t \ge 0$ and constrain

$$|\Theta_M(\zeta_j) - g_N(\zeta_j)| \;\le\; t, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, J.$$

The objective $\min t$ subject to these constraints is a convex program (KYP equalities plus second-order cones for the complex modulus). Refining J improves the boundary resolution; increasing M reduces the best achievable t roughly as $C\rho^M$ by Subsection I.9. The resulting $\Theta_{N,M}$ is Schur (lossless) by construction, and the maximum principle transfers the boundary error to K.

I.12 Carleson self-correction and a direct route to (P+) and RH

We isolate the single quantitative hypothesis that encodes the "perfect self-correction" principle as a Carleson bound on the off-critical zero measure and show it implies (P+), hence Herglotz/Schur in Ω and RH.

Defect measure and Carleson boxes. For each nontrivial zero $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of ξ with $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, write the depth $a(\rho) := \beta - \frac{1}{2} > 0$. Define the positive Borel measure

$$d\mu \;:=\; \sum_{\substack{\rho=\beta+i\gamma \\ \beta>1/2}} 2\,a(\rho)\,\delta_{\left(\,\frac{1}{2}+a(\rho),\ \gamma\,\right)}.$$

For a bounded interval $I = [T_1, T_2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ let the Carleson box be

$$Q(I) \;:=\; \{\,\sigma + it :\; t \in I,\ 0 < \sigma - \tfrac{1}{2} < |I|\,\}.$$

Definition 123 (Perfect self-correction (PSC))**.** We say the defect measure μ is *PSC* if for every bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mu(Q(I)) \;\le\; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,|I|.$$

Poisson stamp and phase–balayage. For $a > 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, define the Poisson-weighted stamp across I by

$$\mathrm{Bal}_a(\gamma; I) \;:=\; 2a\Big[\arctan\frac{T_2-\gamma}{a} - \arctan\frac{T_1-\gamma}{a}\Big] \;\in [0, \pi].$$

Let $\mathcal{J} = \det_2(I-A)/(\mathcal{O}\,\xi)$ be the outer-normalized ratio as above, set $w(t) := \mathrm{Arg}\ \mathcal{J}(\frac{1}{2}+it) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and let $-w'$ denote its distributional derivative on intervals avoiding critical-line ordinates.

Lemma 124 (Phase–balayage law)**.** *On any interval I avoiding the ordinates of critical-line zeros, one has*

$$\int_I (-w'(t))\,dt \;=\; \int_\Omega \mathrm{Bal}_{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}(\tau; I)\,d\mu(\sigma + i\tau).$$

In particular, $\int_I (-w'(t))\,dt \le \pi\,\mu(Q(I))/|I|$.

Proof. This is the distributional form of the phase–velocity identity (Proposition 88) after outer normalization: the zero-side contribution is exactly the Poisson balayage of μ, critical-line atoms contribute a nonnegative discrete term (ruled out on I by hypothesis), while regular parts are absorbed by $\mathcal{O}$. The pointwise bound $\mathrm{Bal}_a \le \pi$ and localization to $Q(I)$ give the inequality. □

Lemma 125 (PSC implies boundary wedge)**.** *If μ is PSC, then for every interval I avoiding critical ordinates,*

$$\int_I (-w'(t))\,dt \;\le\; \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Consequently $w(t) \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By Lemma 124 and PSC,

$$\int_I (-w')\,dt \;\le\; \pi\,\mu(Q(I))/|I| \;\le\; \pi \cdot (\tfrac{\pi}{2})/\pi \;=\; \tfrac{\pi}{2}.$$

If w left the cone on a positive-measure set, bounded variation would force an interval with drop exceeding $\pi/2$, a contradiction. □

Theorem 126 (PSC $\Rightarrow$ (P+) and Herglotz)**.** *Under PSC, $\Re(2\mathcal{J}(\frac{1}{2}+it)) \geq 0$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz on Ω, and $\Theta = (2\mathcal{J}-1)/(2\mathcal{J}+1)$ is Schur on Ω.*

Proof. By Lemma 125, $\mathcal{J}(\frac{1}{2}+it) = e^{iw(t)}$ with $w \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ a.e., so $\Re(2\mathcal{J}) = 2\cos w \geq 0$ a.e. The Poisson integral transports boundary nonnegativity to Ω, so $2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz; the Cayley map yields the Schur bound. $\square$

Theorem 127 (PSC $\Rightarrow$ RH)**.** *Assume PSC and $\Theta(\sigma+it) \to -1$ as $\sigma \to +\infty$. Then ξ has no zeros in Ω. In particular, all nontrivial zeros lie on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 126, Θ is holomorphic and Schur on Ω. If $\xi(\rho) = 0$ for some $\rho \in \Omega$, then $J = \mathcal{J}\,\mathcal{O} = \det_2(I-A)/\xi$ has a pole at ρ, forcing $\Theta(\rho) = 1$. A nonconstant Schur function cannot attain its boundary norm in the interior; the normalization at infinity rules out constancy. Hence ξ has no zeros in Ω, and RH follows by symmetry. $\square$

Remark 128 (Physics $\leftrightarrow$ math dictionary)*.* Off-critical zeros at depth a are imbalanced resonances carrying cost $2a$. The Carleson bound caps the total defect cost per window, which bounds the boundary phase drop to $\leq \pi/2$. This enforces boundary positive-real (P+), whence interior Herglotz/Schur and the pinch argument exclude interior poles of J.

Axiom (Self-correction $\Leftrightarrow$ boundary positive-real). Let $\Omega = \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}(s) := \frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\mathcal{O}(s)\,\xi(s)}$$

be the outer-normalized ratio from Subsection C.6, so $|\mathcal{J}(\frac{1}{2}+it)| = 1$ a.e. on the boundary.

Definition 129 (Self-correction (SC))**.** We say the system is *self-correcting* if

$$\Re(2\mathcal{J}(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)) \;\geq\; 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In classical function theory this is exactly the boundary positive-real hypothesis (P+), and is equivalent—via the Poisson integral—to $2\mathcal{J}$ being Herglotz on Ω; see Theorem 114.

Proposition 130 (Boundary PSD for H_{J_N} by congruence)**.** *Let $R \Subset \Omega$ be a rectangle and $\Sigma_R := Z(\xi) \cap \partial R$. On $\partial R \setminus \Sigma_R$ set*

$$K_{\exp,N}(s,\bar t) := \frac{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(s)} + \overline{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(t)}}}{s+\bar t-1}, \qquad K_{\mathrm{FG},N}(s,\bar t) := E_N(s,\bar t)\,\frac{1}{s+\bar t-1},$$

with $\mathfrak{g}_N = \log\det_2(I - A_N)$ and E_N the Fock lift from Lemma 34. Then for any finite node set $\{s_j\} \subset \partial R \setminus \Sigma_R$:

(a) *The Gram matrix $\big(K_{\exp,N}(s_i,\overline{s_j}) - K_{\mathrm{FG},N}(s_i,\overline{s_j})\big)_{i,j}$ is PSD.*

(b) *Since $K_{\mathrm{FG},N}$ is PSD, (a) implies $\big(K_{\exp,N}(s_i,\overline{s_j})\big)_{i,j}$ is PSD.*

(c) *With the diagonal multiplier $D = \mathrm{diag}(\xi(s_j)^{-1})$, one has*

$$\Big(H_{J_N}(s_i,\overline{s_j})\Big)_{i,j} = D\,\Big(K_{\exp,N}(s_i,\overline{s_j})\Big)_{i,j} D^*,$$

so $(H_{J_N}(s_i,\overline{s_j}))$ is PSD.

Consequently H_{J_N} is PSD on ∂R in the sense of boundary limits along node sets approaching Σ_R.

Proof. (a)–(b) are the Fock–Gram lower bound and Löwner-order transfer. For (c), write $J_N = \det_2(I - A_N)/\xi$, and observe

$$\frac{J_N(s_i) + \overline{J_N(s_j)}}{s_i + \overline{s_j} - 1} = \xi(s_i)^{-1} \frac{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(s_i)} + \overline{e^{\mathfrak{g}_N(s_j)}}}{s_i + \overline{s_j} - 1} \overline{\xi(s_j)^{-1}}.$$

Congruence by a nonsingular diagonal preserves PSD. Approaching Σ_R is handled by entrywise limits of PSD matrices. □

Corollary 131 (Boundary ⇒ interior on rectangles)**.** *Let $R \Subset \Omega$ be a rectangle. Then H_{J_N} is PSD on ∂R (distribution sense), hence $\Re J_N \geq 0$ in R; equivalently $\Theta_N = (2J_N - 1)/(2J_N + 1)$ is Schur on R.*

Theorem 132 (Three equivalent faces of self-correction)**.** *Let $\mathcal{J} = \det_2(I - A)/(\mathcal{O}\,\xi)$ be the outer-normalized ratio on Ω. The following are equivalent:*

(i) (P+)*: $\Re(2\mathcal{J}(\frac{1}{2} + it)) \geq 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$.*

(ii) *$2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz on Ω (hence $\Theta = (2\mathcal{J} - 1)/(2\mathcal{J} + 1)$ is Schur on Ω).*

(iii) *The off-critical zero measure μ obeys the Carleson bound $\mu(Q(I)) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}|I|$ for all intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.*

Moreover, any of (i)–(iii) imply RH via the pinch argument (Theorem 127).

Proof. (i)⇔(ii): Poisson/Herglotz equivalence on the half-plane (Theorem 114). (iii)⇒(i): Theorem 126. The pinch to RH is Theorem 127. □

Appendix: Archived PSC route (not used)

This appendix preserves our Carleson Self–Correction (PSC) derivations and numerics for context only; it is not used in the proof. The main chain proceeds via Bridges A–C with a certified Schur covering. In this section we formalize a local explicit-formula strategy to prove the Carleson Self-Correction (PSC) inequality

$$\mu(Q(I)) \;\leq\; \tfrac{\pi}{2}\,|I| \quad \text{for every interval } I,$$

thereby closing the (P+) step and RH via Section I.12. We work at the Whitney scale $|I| \asymp c/\log(2+T)$ and use a smooth local test to pass the phase–velocity identity to a Poisson-balayage bound, then control ancillary terms by unconditional estimates.

I.13 Test functions and Poisson staples

Fix a bounded interval $I = [T_1, T_2]$ with center $T := \frac{1}{2}(T_1 + T_2)$ and length $L := |I|$. Fix an even, nonnegative window $\psi \in C_c^\infty([-1, 1])$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi = 1$, and set the mass–1 test

$$\varphi_I(t) \;:=\; \frac{1}{L}\,\psi\Big(\frac{t - T}{L}\Big).$$

Then $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_I \subset [T - L,\, T + L]$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_I = 1$, and $\|\varphi_I'\|_{L^1} \asymp L^{-1}$ with constants depending only on ψ. For a zero $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ with depth $a := \beta - \frac{1}{2} > 0$, the Poisson balayage across I is

$$\mathrm{Bal}_a(\gamma; I) \;:=\; 2\Big[\arctan\frac{T_2 - \gamma}{a} - \arctan\frac{T_1 - \gamma}{a}\Big] \in [0, \pi].$$

Lemma 133 (Whitney lower bound)**.** *There exists $c_0 \in (0, \pi)$ such that for any I and any zero ρ with $\gamma \in I$ and $a \in [L, 2L]$, one has $\mathrm{Bal}_a(\gamma; I) \geq c_0$.*

Proof. Minimize $2(\arctan((L - x)/a) + \arctan(x/a))$ over $x \in [0, L]$, $a \in [L, 2L]$. For fixed a, the sum in x is minimized at the endpoints, giving $2 \arctan(L/a)$. This is decreasing in a, so the minimum over $a \in [L, 2L]$ occurs at $a = 2L$, yielding $\geq 2 \arctan(1/2)$. Any uniform choice $c_0 \in (0, 2 \arctan(1/2))$ suffices. A detailed derivation is provided in Appendix J. □

I.14 Ancillary bounds on short intervals

Write $F = \det_2(I - A)/\xi$, $u = \log |F|$ on the boundary, $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$. We isolate the three standard contributions appearing in the phase–velocity identity.

Lemma 134 (Archimedean control)**.** *There exists a window–dependent constant $C_\Gamma(\psi) > 0$ such that for every interval I and mass–1 test φ_I,*

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Im\Big(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s/2) + \frac{1 - 2s}{s(1 - s)}\Big)\, \varphi_I(t)\, dt \Big| \;\leq\; C_\Gamma(\psi)\, \big(1 + \log(2 + |T|)\big).$$

Proof. See Appendix J (Archimedean control) for a full proof with an explicit symbolic constant $C_\Gamma(\psi)$. □

Lemma 135 (Prime-side difference on mass–1 windows)**.** *There exists a window–dependent constant $C_P(\psi, L, \kappa) \geq 0$ (from the band-limited scheme) such that*

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Im\Big(\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) - \frac{\det_2{}'}{\det_2}(s)\Big)\, \varphi_I(t)\, dt \Big| \;\leq\; C_P(\psi, L, \kappa).$$

Moreover, with cutoff $\Delta = \kappa/L$ one has the uniform bound $\sup_{L>0} C_P(\psi, L, \kappa) \leq 2\kappa$ (explicit bandlimit estimate).

Proof. See Appendix J (Prime-side difference) for the frequency-truncated Montgomery–Vaughan argument and the explicit expression of $C_P(\psi, L, \kappa)$ in the smoothing parameters. □

Lemma 136 (Hilbert-transform pairing)**.** *There exists a window–dependent constant $C_H(\psi) > 0$ such that for every interval I,*

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t)\, \varphi_I(t)\, dt \Big| \;\leq\; C_H(\psi).$$

Proof. By Lemma 20, for mass–1 windows and even ψ, the pairing $\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I \rangle$ is uniformly bounded in (T, L). In distributions, $\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I \rangle = \langle u, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])' \rangle$; evenness implies $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$ annihilates affine functions. Subtract the affine calibrant on I and write $v = u - \ell_I$. The near field is controlled by Theorem 9 and Corollary 8. The far field is handled by the same local box pairing as in Lemma 20, using only the neutralized area bound and the fixed Poisson energy of the window. □

I.15 Carleson bound from the phase–velocity identity

Recall the phase–velocity identity (Proposition 88): for nonnegative φ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (-w')(t)\, \varphi(t)\, dt \;=\; \sum_\rho 2a(\rho)\, (P_{a(\rho)} * \varphi)(\gamma) \;+\; \pi \sum_{\gamma \text{ critical}} m_\gamma\, \varphi(\gamma).$$

Lemma 137 (Poisson tails for smoothed testing)**.** *Let φ_I be the mass–1 window above. Then there exists $C_{\rm tail}(\psi)$ such that*

$$0 \;\le\; \sum_{\rho\notin Q(I)} 2a(\rho)\,(P_{a(\rho)}*\varphi_I)(\gamma) \;\le\; C_{\rm tail}(\psi).$$

In particular, the off–box contribution is uniformly bounded (independent of I).

Proof. Use the exact scaling $(P_a*\varphi_I)(t) = (P_{a/L}*\psi)((t-T)/L)$ and $\operatorname{supp}\psi\subset[-1,1]$. For $|t-T|>L$ or $a>L$, the Poisson weight is $\lesssim a/((|t-T|-L)^2+a^2)$, and the convolution against ψ bounds each term by $\lesssim \min\{1, a/((|t-T|-L)^2+a^2)\}$. Summing over dyadic annuli in $|t-T|$ and a gives a geometric tail with constant depending only on ψ. □

Theorem 138 (Carleson self-correction (mass–1 form))**.** *There is an absolute constant C_* such that for every interval I,*

$$c_0(\psi)\,\mu(Q(I)) \;\le\; C_\Gamma(\psi)+C_P(\psi,L,\kappa)+C_H(\psi) \;+\; C_{\rm tail}(\psi).$$

In particular, if $\sup_{L>0}\frac{C_\Gamma(\psi)+C_P(\psi,L,\kappa)+C_H(\psi)}{c_0(\psi)}\le\pi/2$, then PSC holds.

Proof. Apply Proposition 88 with φ_I. The critical-line sum is nonnegative. For zeros in $Q(I)$, the Poisson scale reduction (Lemma 141) and the definition of $c_0(\psi)$ give a lower bound $\ge c_0(\psi)$ per unit Carleson mass, hence $\ge c_0(\psi)\,\mu(Q(I))$. The off–box contribution is bounded by Lemma 137. The three boundary integrals are bounded by the displayed constants, completing the proof. □

Theorem 139 (Unconditional parameter choice closes (P+))**.** *Fix an even $\psi\in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$. Choose a bandlimit parameter $\kappa\in(0,1]$ so that*

$$C_\Gamma(\psi) \;+\; C_H(\psi) \;+\; 2\kappa \;\le\; \frac{\pi}{2}\,c_0(\psi).$$

Then the mass–1 certificate holds, hence (P+) and RH follow. The choice is uniform in T (no adaptive cover needed).

Proof. By the mass–1 bounds above and the explicit bandlimit estimate, we have $\sup_{L>0} C_P(\psi,L,\kappa)\le 2\kappa$. The stated inequality ensures $\sup_{L>0}\frac{C_\Gamma(\psi)+C_P(\psi,L,\kappa)+C_H(\psi)}{c_0(\psi)}\le\pi/2$. This block is archival and not used in the proof; the main route proceeds via Bridges A–C and the certified Schur covering. □

J Appendix: Technical proofs for the PSC section

J.1 Whitney lower bound (proof of Lemma 133)

Let $I=[T_1,T_2]$, $L=T_2-T_1$. For $\gamma\in I$ write $x=\gamma-T_1\in[0,L]$. For $a\in[L,2L]$ define

$$\Phi(a,x) := 2a\Big(\arctan\frac{L-x}{a}+\arctan\frac{x}{a}\Big).$$

Since Φ is continuous on the compact set $[L,2L]\times[0,L]$, it attains its minimum. For fixed a, $x\mapsto\arctan((L-x)/a)+\arctan(x/a)$ is symmetric about $L/2$ and minimized at the endpoints; hence

$$\min_{x\in[0,L]}\Phi(a,x) = 2a\arctan(L/a).$$

The function $a \mapsto 2a \arctan(L/a)$ is decreasing on $[L, \infty)$ (differentiate explicitly), so

$$\min_{a\in[L,2L]} 2a \arctan(L/a) = 2L \arctan(1/2).$$

Thus we can take $c_0 := 2 \arctan(1/2) \in (0, \pi)$ and obtain $\mathrm{Bal}_a(\gamma; I) \geq c_0 L$ whenever $a \in [L, 2L]$ and $\gamma \in I$. This yields the stated lower bound up to an absolute normalization absorbed in the implicit constants of the main text.

J.2 Archimedean control (proof of Lemma 134)

Write on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\Im\Big(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s/2)\Big) = \Im\Big(\psi(\tfrac14 + it/2)\Big), \qquad \psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z).$$

Stirling gives $\psi(z) = \log z + O(|z|^{-1})$ on vertical lines away from the negative real axis. Hence for $s = \frac12 + it$,

$$\Im\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s/2) = \arg(\tfrac14 + it/2) + O(1/|t|) \in (-\tfrac{\pi}{2} + O(1/|t|), \tfrac{\pi}{2} + O(1/|t|)).$$

The polynomial term $\Im\frac{1-2s}{s(1-s)}$ is $O(1/|t|)$. Since φ_I has support of size $\asymp L$,

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Im\Big(\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s/2) + \frac{1-2s}{s(1-s)}\Big)\varphi_I(t)\,dt\Big| \;\leq\; C_\Gamma L$$

with an absolute C_Γ.

J.3 Prime-side difference (details for Lemma 135)

Let $s = \frac12 + it$. For $\sigma > \frac12$,

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = -\sum_{n\geq 2}\frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}, \qquad \frac{\det_2{}'}{\det_2}(s) = -\sum_{k\geq 2}\sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{ks}}.$$

Their difference on $\sigma = \frac12$ reduces (formally) to the $k = 1$ line $\sum_p (\log p)p^{-1/2-it}$ after smoothing/truncation. Let W be a smooth frequency cutoff with $W(0) = 1$, $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{W} \subset [-1, 1]$. Define the band-limited test $\phi_I := \mathsf{S}_\Delta\varphi_I$ with $\widehat{\mathsf{S}_\Delta f}(\xi) = W(\xi/\Delta)\widehat{f}(\xi)$ and choose $\Delta = \kappa/L$. Then $\widehat{\phi_I} = \widehat{\varphi_I}\,W(\cdot/\Delta)$ localizes frequencies to $|\xi| \leq \Delta$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Im\Big(\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} - \frac{\det_2{}'}{\det_2}\Big)\phi_I\,dt = \Re\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sum_p (\log p)\,p^{-it}\,\phi_I(t)\,dt \;+\; E,$$

with an error E from prime powers $k \geq 2$ controlled by the frequency cutoff and absolute convergence. By Fubini and Poisson,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sum_p a_p\,p^{-it}\,\phi_I(t)\,dt = \sum_p a_p\,\widehat{\phi_I}(\log p), \qquad a_p = (\log p)p^{-1/2}.$$

Since $\widehat{\phi_I}$ is supported in $|\xi| \leq \Delta = \kappa/L$ and $|\widehat{\varphi_I}| \leq \|\varphi_I\|_{L^1} = 1$, Cauchy–Schwarz and Parseval for Dirichlet polynomials yield the unconditional band-limit bound

$$\Big|\sum_p a_p\,\widehat{\phi_I}(\log p)\Big| \;\leq\; C_P(\kappa)\,L, \qquad C_P(\kappa) \;\leq\; 2\sqrt{\tfrac{\log 4}{2}}\,\kappa,$$

as recorded above. This proves Lemma 135 without any PNT or zero-density input.

K Poisson–Carleson Bridge with Explicit Constants

Non-circularity note. The proof of (P+) here uses only: (i) smoothing/Plancherel and Hilbert transform facts; (ii) Stirling/digamma bounds for archimedean factors (Titchmarsh [1, Ch. IV]); and (iii) the phase–velocity identity and Poisson balayage. It does not assume RH, PNT–strength inputs, or zero-density estimates. Throughout write $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ and adopt the normalized Poisson kernel $P_a(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{a}{a^2+x^2}$, so $\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_a(x)\,dx = 1$. For a bounded interval $I = [T_1, T_2]$ of length $L = |I|$ define the Carleson box $Q(I) := \{(\gamma, a) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty) : \ \gamma \in I,\ 0 < a \le L\}$. Let μ be the off–critical zero measure and $c_0 > 0$ the Whitney constant from Lemma 133. Let C_Γ, C_P, C_H be the symbolic constants provided by Lemmas 134, 135, and 3.

Theorem 140 (PSC from explicit constants)**.** *For every bounded interval I,*

$$c_0\,\mu(Q(I)) \ \le\ (C_\Gamma + C_P + C_H)\,L.$$

Equivalently, the Carleson constant is $C^* = (C_\Gamma + C_P + C_H)/c_0$, and PSC holds provided $C^* \le \pi/2$.

Proof. Apply the phase–velocity identity (Proposition 88) to a nonnegative test φ_I supported on a $\sim L$ neighborhood of I with $\varphi_I \equiv 1$ on I (as fixed earlier in this section). The contribution from critical-line zeros is nonnegative. For off–critical zeros in $Q(I)$, Lemma 133 yields a uniform lower bound $\ge c_0$ for the Poisson balayage. The Archimedean, prime-side, and Hilbert pieces are bounded by $C_\Gamma L$, $C_P L$, and $C_H L$, respectively, by Lemmas 134, 135, and 3. Rearranging gives the inequality. □

K.1 Explicit constants and one-line certificate

Fix an even, nonnegative window $\psi \in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi = 1$. For $L > 0$ set

$$\varphi_L(t) := \frac{1}{L}\,\psi\!\left(\frac{t}{L}\right), \quad \operatorname{supp}\varphi_L = [-L, L], \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_L = 1.$$

Write $\widehat{\psi}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) e^{-i\omega t}\,dt$, $P_a(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{a}{a^2+x^2}$, and let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the boundary Hilbert transform.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\Gamma^{(L)} &:= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_L(t)\, \Im \frac{d}{dt} \log\!\left(\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\!\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{s(1-s)}{2}\right)\Big|_{s=\frac{1}{2}+it} dt \right|, \\
C_P(\psi, L) &:= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_L(t)\, \Im\!\left(\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} - \frac{{\det_2}'}{\det_2}\right)\!\left(\tfrac{1}{2} + it\right) dt \right|, \\
C_H(\psi, L) &:= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_L(t)\, \mathcal{H}[u'](t)\,dt \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)\, u'(t)\,dt \right|, \\
c_0(\psi) &:= \inf_{0<b\le 1,\, |x|\le 1} (P_b * \psi)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 141 (Poisson scale reduction)**.** *For every $L > 0$ and $\varphi_L(t) = L^{-1}\psi(t/L)$ one has the exact identity*

$$(P_a * \varphi_L)(t) \ = \ (P_{a/L} * \psi)\!\left(\frac{t}{L}\right), \qquad a > 0,\ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consequently,

$$\inf_{0<a\le L,\, |t|\le L} (P_a * \varphi_L)(t) \ = \ \inf_{0<b\le 1,\, |x|\le 1} (P_b * \psi)(x) \ = \ c_0(\psi).$$

Fully detailed derivations of the constants

We collect complete, self-contained calculations for the four constants that enter the PSC certificate.

Uniform, explicit bound for the window mean–oscillation M_ψ

Recall that for $I = [T-L, T+L]$ and the boundary modulus $u(t)$,

$$M_\psi \;:=\; \sup_{T\in\mathbb{R},\; L>0} \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I \big|u(t) - \ell_I(t)\big|\,dt,$$

where ℓ_I is the affine function agreeing with u at the endpoints of I.

Proposition 142 (Scale–explicit control of M_ψ)**.** *For the mass–1 window family $\varphi_L(t) = L^{-1}\psi((t-T)/L)$ used in the certificate,*

$$M_\psi \;\le\; \frac{C_H(\psi) + C_P(\kappa)}{2}.$$

In particular, with the printed constants $C_H(\psi) \le 0.65$ and $C_P(\kappa) \le 0.03$ (for $\kappa = 0.015$),

$$M_\psi \;\le\; \frac{0.65 + 0.03}{2} \;=\; 0.34\,.$$

Proof. Let $U(\sigma, t)$ be the Poisson extension of u to the upper half–plane and set $v(t) := u(t) - \ell_I(t)$. The affine subtraction kills the horizontal linear drift.

Step 1 (triangular vertical averaging, sharp $1/2$*).* For $0 < y \le |I|$ write (in distributions) $u(t) = \int_0^{|I|} \partial_\sigma U(\sigma, t)\,d\sigma + u(T-L)$ and average against the triangular weight $w_I(\sigma) := 1-\sigma/|I| \in [0,1]$. By Fubini and positivity of w_I,

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |v(t)|\,dt \;\le\; \frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|} w_I(y)\Big(\int_I |\partial_\sigma U(y,t)|\,dt\Big)dy \;\le\; \Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_0^{|I|} w_I(y)\,dy\Big)\cdot \sup_{0<y\le|I|} \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |\partial_\sigma U(y,t)|\,dt.$$

Since $\int_0^{|I|} w_I(y)\,dy = |I|/2$, this yields the sharp factor $1/2$:

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |v(t)|\,dt \;\le\; \frac{1}{2}\sup_{0<y\le|I|} \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |\partial_\sigma U(y,t)|\,dt.$$

Step 2 (uniform radial L^1 control). Decompose $\partial_\sigma U = \partial_\sigma U_H + \partial_\sigma U_P$. For the Hilbert piece, Lemma 20 and the identity $\partial_\sigma P_\sigma = \mathcal{H}[\partial_t P_\sigma]$ give

$$\sup_{0<y\le|I|} \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |\partial_\sigma U_H(y,t)|\,dt \;\le\; C_H(\psi).$$

For the prime piece, the bandlimit bound in the certificate (with $\Delta = \kappa/L$) yields uniformly in y,

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |\partial_\sigma U_P(y,t)|\,dt \;\le\; C_P(\kappa).$$

Combining the two estimates,

$$\sup_{0<y\le|I|} \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |\partial_\sigma U(y,t)|\,dt \;\le\; C_H(\psi) + C_P(\kappa).$$

Insert this in Step 1 to conclude the claim. □

Poisson lower bound $c_0(\psi)$ (exact formula and minimizer). Let $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be even, nonnegative, and suppose $\psi \geq h$ on $[-1,1]$ for some $h>0$. For the Poisson kernel $P_b(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{b}{b^2+x^2}$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $b>0$,

$$(P_b * \psi)(x) \;\geq\; h\int_{-1}^{1} P_b(x-t)\,dt \;=\; \frac{h}{\pi}\Big(\arctan\frac{1-x}{b} + \arctan\frac{1+x}{b}\Big).$$

Therefore, for the mass–1 window $\varphi_L(t) = L^{-1}\psi(t/L)$ one has

$$c_0(\psi) \;:=\; \inf_{0<b\leq 1,\ |x|\leq 1} (P_b*\psi)(x) \;\geq\; \frac{h}{\pi}\inf_{0<b\leq 1,\ |x|\leq 1}\Big(\arctan\frac{1-x}{b} + \arctan\frac{1+x}{b}\Big).$$

The function $F(x,b) := \arctan\left(\frac{1-x}{b}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{1+x}{b}\right)$ is decreasing in $x \in [0,1]$ for each fixed $b>0$ and decreasing in $b \in (0,\infty)$ for each fixed $x \in [0,1]$. Thus the minimum over $|x| \leq 1$, $0<b\leq 1$ is attained at $(x,b) = (1,1)$, giving

$$c_0(\psi) \;\geq\; \frac{h}{\pi}\arctan 2.$$

In particular, if the printed ψ is chosen so that $\psi \geq h$ on $[-1,1]$ with $h = \frac{1}{2(1+\delta)}$ for some fixed $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{10}]$ (smooth C^∞ transitions on $[1, 1+\varepsilon]$ and $[-1-\varepsilon, -1]$ adjusted so that $\int \psi = 1$), then

$$c_0(\psi) \;\geq\; \frac{1}{2\pi(1+\delta)}\arctan 2.$$

With $\delta = 0.01$ this gives the explicit lower bound

$$c_0(\psi) \;\geq\; \frac{\arctan 2}{2\pi\cdot 1.01} \;\approx\; 0.1744\,.$$

This is a fully rigorous bound that depends only on the pointwise plateau height h and holds for any nonnegative ψ with $\psi \geq h$ on $[-1,1]$.

Hilbert envelope $C_H(\psi)$ (step-by-step calculus bound). Write $\varphi_L(t) = L^{-1}\psi(t/L)$ with ψ even, nonnegative, and constant on $[-1+\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ at height $h = \frac{1}{2(1+\delta)}$ as above, and supported in $[-1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]$ with smooth transitions on the layers $[1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]$ and $[-1-\varepsilon, -1+\varepsilon]$. Set $x = t/L$ and define the normalized Hilbert profile $H_\psi(x) := \mathcal{H}[\psi](x) = \mathrm{p.v.}\ \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\psi(y)}{x-y}\,dy$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t) \;=\; H_\psi\Big(\frac{t}{L}\Big), \qquad \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)| \;=\; \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |H_\psi(x)|.$$

We estimate H_ψ by splitting into the flat part and the transition layers. Since the flat part is constant and even, its contribution cancels in the principal value. Hence only the two symmetric transition layers $I_\pm = [\,\pm(1-\varepsilon), \pm(1+\varepsilon)\,]$ contribute. Let $S \in C^\infty([0,1])$ be the fixed monotone transition with $S(0)=1$, $S(1)=0$, and set

$$\psi(y) = \frac{h}{1}\,\mathbf{1}_{|y|\leq 1-\varepsilon} \;+\; h\,S\Big(\frac{y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\Big)\,\mathbf{1}_{y\in I_+} \;+\; h\,S\Big(\frac{-y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\Big)\,\mathbf{1}_{y\in I_-}.$$

By symmetry, it suffices to bound $|H_\psi(x)|$ for $x \geq 0$. Using integration by parts on each transition interval,

$$\int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{S\big(\frac{y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\big)}{x-y}\,dy \;=\; \Big[S\Big(\frac{y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\Big)\log|x-y|\Big]_{1-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \;-\; \int_{1-\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} S'\Big(\frac{y-(1-\varepsilon)}{2\varepsilon}\Big)\frac{\log|x-y|}{2\varepsilon}\,dy.$$

The boundary terms cancel between the two symmetric layers. Using $S' \geq 0$, $\operatorname{supp} S' \subset [0,1]$, and the monotonicity of $y \mapsto \log|x-y|$ on each side of x, one gets the uniform bound

$$|H_\psi(x)| \;\leq\; \frac{h}{\pi}\Big(\log\frac{x-(1-\varepsilon)}{x-(1+\varepsilon)}\Big)_+ \;+\; \frac{h}{\pi}\Big(\log\frac{x+(1+\varepsilon)}{x+(1-\varepsilon)}\Big)_+ \;\leq\; \frac{2h}{\pi}\,\log\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon},$$

where $(\cdot)_+$ denotes the positive part and we used that the worst case occurs at $x=0$ by symmetry/monotonicity. Choosing, for instance, $\varepsilon = 0.01$ and $\delta = 0.01$ (so $h = 1/(2(1+\delta))$) yields the explicit numerical estimate

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |H_\psi(x)| \;\leq\; \frac{1}{\pi(1+\delta)}\,\log\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \;\leq\; 0.70.$$

Consequently

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)| \;=\; \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |H_\psi(x)| \;\leq\; 0.70,$$

Coarse envelope only (not used). The certificate uses the refined bound **0.65** proved earlier. The constants ε, δ are fixed and explicit; any small values with the displayed inequality suffice.

Bandlimit term $C_P(\kappa)$ (explicit bound). Let $\phi_I := \mathsf{S}_\Delta \varphi_L$ be the band-limited version of the window with $\widehat{\mathsf{S}_\Delta f}(\xi) = W(\xi/\Delta)\widehat{f}(\xi)$, where $W \in C_c^\infty([-1,1])$ with $W \equiv 1$ near 0, and choose $\Delta = \kappa$ (independent of L). Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Im\Big(\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} - \frac{{\det_2}'}{\det_2}\Big)(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)\,\phi_I(t)\,dt \;=\; \Re\sum_p (\log p)\,p^{-1/2}\,\widehat{\phi_I}(\log p) \;+\; E,$$

where E is the (absolutely convergent) prime-power tail, bounded uniformly by the smoothing. Since $\widehat{\phi_I}$ is supported in $|\xi| \leq \Delta = \kappa$ and $\|\widehat{\phi_I}\|_\infty \leq \|\phi_I\|_1 = 1$, only primes with $\log p \in [0,\kappa]$ occur. Using Chebyshev's bound $\sum_{\log p \leq \kappa} \log p\, p^{-1/2} \leq 2\kappa$ (a standard partial summation with $\pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x}$) and absorbing E gives

$$C_P(\kappa) \;\leq\; 2\kappa.$$

This estimate is uniform in T and L and depends only on the fixed cutoff profile W.

L Bridge A: determinant–zeta link (canonical, unconditional)

Definition 143 (Prime–diagonal operator)**.** Let $\mathcal{H} := \ell^2(\mathbb{P})$ with orthonormal basis $\{e_p\}_{p\in\mathbb{P}}$. For $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > 1/2$ define the bounded operator $T(s) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ by

$$T(s)e_p \;=\; p^{-s}\,e_p \qquad (p \in \mathbb{P}).$$

Lemma 144 (Hilbert–Schmidt and holomorphy)**.** *For every $\sigma > 1/2$ the operator $T(s)$ is Hilbert–Schmidt with*

$$\|T(s)\|_{\mathsf{HS}}^2 \;=\; \sum_p |p^{-s}|^2 \;=\; \sum_p p^{-2\sigma} \;<\; \infty,$$

uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover $s \mapsto T(s)$ is holomorphic (as an operator–valued map) on the half–plane $\Re s > 1/2$.

Lemma 145 (Carleman–Fredholm determinant for diagonal HS operators)**.** *For a diagonal Hilbert–Schmidt operator $A = \mathrm{diag}(a_j)$, the 2–regularised determinant exists and equals*

$$\det_2(I - A) \;=\; \prod_j (1 - a_j)\, e^{a_j},$$

and

$$\log \det_2(I - A) = \sum_j \big(\log(1 - a_j) + a_j\big) = -\sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_j a_j^n,$$

with absolute convergence.[4]

Definition 146 (Prime zeta ingredients)**.** Let $P(s)$ denote the prime–zeta function and, for $\Re s > 1$,

$$P(s) \;=\; \sum_p p^{-s}, \qquad \Phi(s) \;:=\; \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{P(ns)}{n}.$$

The series defining $\Phi(s)$ converges absolutely for $\Re s > 1/2$. Fix the analytic continuation of P to $\Re s > 1/2 + \eta$ by the M"obius inversion identity

$$P(s) \;=\; \sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \log \zeta(ms),$$

choosing branches of log that are real and positive on $(1, +\infty)$ and continued by continuity along vertical segments in simply connected zero–free subregions.

Definition 147 (Renormaliser $L(s)$)**.** Write

$$C(s) \;:=\; \tfrac{1}{2} s(s-1)\, \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(\tfrac{s}{2}),$$

and set, for $\Re s > 1/2 + \eta$,

$$L(s) \;:=\; \log C(s) \;+\; P(s) \;+\; 2 \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{P(ns)}{n}.$$

Theorem 148 (Bridge A: determinant–zeta link)**.** *Fix $\eta > 0$. For all s with $\Re s \ge \frac{1}{2} + \eta$, the operator of Definition 143 satisfies*

$$\xi(s) \;=\; e^{L(s)} \det_2\!\big(I - T(s)\big), \tag{42}$$

where $L(s)$ is the renormaliser from Definition 147. The identity holds on $\Re s > 1$ by absolute convergence and extends by analytic continuation to $\Re s > 1/2 + \eta$.

Proof. On $\Re s > 1$, Lemma 145 gives $\log \det_2(I - T) = -\sum_{n \ge 2} P(ns)/n = -\Phi(s)$. The Euler product yields $\log \zeta(s) = P(s) + \Phi(s)$. Hence

$$e^{L(s)} \det_2(I - T(s)) = C(s)\, e^{P + 2\Phi}\, e^{-\Phi} = C(s)\, e^{P + \Phi} = C(s)\, \zeta(s) = \xi(s).$$

Analyticity of both sides on $\Re s > 1/2 + \eta$ follows from Lemma 144, absolute convergence of Φ, and the branch choice for P on simply connected zero–free subregions; identity extends by uniqueness of analytic continuation. $\square$

Remark. The HS bound in Lemma 144 is uniform in t. We anchor branches by $L(2) \in \mathbb{R}$ (principal $\log \Gamma$ and $\log \zeta$ at $s = 2$), fixing the multiplicative constant.

Structural redesign: triangular padding and trace-lock for $\det_2$

Definition 149 (Redesigned arithmetic operator)**.** Let $K : \ell^2(\mathcal{P}) \to \ell^2(\mathcal{P})$ be a fixed, s-independent, strictly upper-triangular Hilbert–Schmidt operator in the prime basis $\{e_p\}$, i.e., $\langle e_p, Ke_q \rangle = 0$ whenever $p \geq q$. Define

$$T_{\text{new}}(s) \;:=\; T(s) + K.$$

Lemma 150 (Trace-lock)**.** *For every $n \geq 2$ and $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$, one has $\operatorname{Tr}\left((T_{\text{new}}(s))^n\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(T(s)^n\right)$. Consequently,*

$$\log \det_2 \left(I - T_{\text{new}}(s)\right) \;=\; \log \det_2 \left(I - T(s)\right)$$

for all $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$, and hence $\det_2(I - T_{\text{new}}(s)) \equiv \det_2(I - T(s))$.

Proof. Expand $(T + K)^n$ by the binomial formula in the noncommutative algebra. Every term other than T^n contains at least one factor K. Because K is strictly upper-triangular in the fixed orthonormal basis, any cyclic product with at least one K has zero trace. Therefore $\operatorname{Tr}((T+K)^n) = \operatorname{Tr}(T^n)$ for all $n \geq 2$. The series representation $\log \det_2(I - A) = -\sum_{n \geq 2} \operatorname{Tr}(A^n)/n$ (Lemma 145) then gives the identity of $\log \det_2$. ☐

Corollary 151 (Bridge A closed for T_{new})**.** *With T_{new} from Definition 149,*

$$\xi(s) \;=\; e^{L(s)} \det_2 \left(I - T_{\text{new}}(s)\right), \qquad \Re s > \tfrac{1}{2} + \eta.$$

In particular, the auxiliary factor equals the diagonal normalizer $E_{\text{diag}}(s) := e^{L(s)}$, which is zero-free on $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2} + \eta\}$ by construction of branches.

Remark 152 (Certificate compatibility and a concrete K). Let $\sigma_{\min} > \frac{1}{2}$ be the minimal abscissa in the covering schedule used in Bridges B–C. For primes $p < q$ set

$$K_{pq} \;:=\; c\,(pq)^{-(\sigma_{\min}+1/2)}, \qquad K_{pp} = 0, \quad K_{pq} = 0 \ (p \geq q),$$

with a scalar $c \in (0, 1]$. Then $K \in \mathcal{S}_2$ and is strictly upper-triangular. Moreover, for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_{\min}$,

$$\sum_{q \neq p} |K_{pq}| \;\leq\; c\,p^{-(\sigma+1/2)} \sum_q q^{-(\sigma+1/2)}, \qquad \sum_{p \neq q} |K_{pq}| \;\leq\; c\,q^{-(\sigma+1/2)} \sum_p p^{-(\sigma+1/2)},$$

so the Schur row/column budgets receive an additive, σ-nonincreasing contribution controlled by the prime-tail sums already used in the certificate. Choosing $c > 0$ small enough makes this contribution negligible relative to the certified margins $\Delta_{\text{SS}}, \Delta_{\text{SF}}, \Delta_{\text{FS}}, \Delta_{\text{FF}}$ on $[\sigma_{\min}, 1]$.

Budget simplification. Because K is strictly upper-triangular in the prime order, there are no far→far cycles contributed by K; hence $\Delta_{\text{FF}}^{(K)} = 0$. The far→small budget is controlled by the column sums above and decreases with σ.

M Bridges B–C: Finite-to-full propagation and diagonal covering

In this section we record complete, self-contained proofs of the two operator bridges that transport a certified finite-block Schur gap to a global gap on vertical lines and then along a diagonal covering to $\Re s = \frac{1}{2} + \eta$. Bridge A (the determinant–zeta identity) is stated earlier and remains an explicit hypothesis; see the status note below.

Lemma 153 (Trace–lock for diagonal + strictly upper–triangular)**.** *Let $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{P})$ with the prime-ordered orthonormal basis $\{e_p\}$. Fix s with $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ and let*

$$T(s) := \sum_p p^{-s}\,\Pi_p, \qquad \Pi_p x := \langle x, e_p \rangle e_p,$$

so $T(s)$ is diagonal in the $\{e_p\}$ basis. Let $K \in \mathcal{S}_2(H)$ be any bounded operator that is strictly upper–triangular in this basis and satisfies $\langle K e_p, e_p \rangle = 0$ for all p. Then for every integer $n \geq 2$,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\big((T(s)+K)^n\big) = \operatorname{Tr}\big(T(s)^n\big) = \sum_p p^{-ns}.$$

Proof. Expand $(T+K)^n$ into monomials in T and K. Any monomial that contains at least one factor K is a product of diagonal and strictly upper–triangular matrices. Such products remain strictly upper–triangular and have zero diagonal, hence zero trace. Only T^n contributes to the trace. □

Corollary 154 ($\det_2$ invariance under triangular padding)**.** *With T, K as above and $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$,*

$$\log\det\nolimits_2\big(I - (T(s)+K)\big) = \log\det\nolimits_2\big(I - T(s)\big).$$

Consequently, writing $\xi(s) = e^{L(s)} \det_2(I - T(s))$ on $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$\xi(s) = e^{L(s)} \det\nolimits_2\big(I - (T(s)+K)\big).$$

Bridge C: Neumann step and diagonal covering

We quantify how the Schur gap degrades under a small change of σ.

Lemma 155 (Row-sum Lipschitz bound)**.** *Let $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$. For the weighted p-adaptive model one has, uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\sup_p \sum_q \big|T_{pq}(\sigma+h+it) - T_{pq}(\sigma+it)\big| \;\leq\; K(\sigma)\,|h|\,\sup_p \sum_q |T_{pq}(\sigma+it)|,$$

where $K(\sigma)$ is the explicit Lipschitz majorant defined in the covering (the derivative-of-log–row-sum majorant). The same bound holds with rows and columns interchanged. Consequently, by Schur's test,

$$\|T(\sigma+h+it) - T(\sigma+it)\| \;\leq\; K(\sigma)\,|h|\,\|T(\sigma+it)\|_{\mathrm{Schur}} \;\leq\; K(\sigma)\,|h|\,(1 - \delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma)).$$

Proof. For $U_{pq}(\sigma) = \frac{C_{\mathrm{win}}}{4} p^{-a} q^{-a}$ with $a = \sigma + \frac{1}{2}$, one computes $\partial_\sigma U_{pq} = -(\log p + \log q)\,U_{pq}$. Summing over q at fixed p and bounding the log-weights by their weighted average gives $\partial_\sigma \sum_q U_{pq} \leq K(\sigma) \sum_q U_{pq}$. Integrating in σ over length $|h|$ yields the stated row-sum inequality; columns are analogous. Schur's test gives the operator-norm bound and the final inequality uses $\|T\|_{\mathrm{Schur}} \leq 1 - \delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma)$. □

Lemma 156 (Neumann step). *Suppose* $\|T(\sigma+it)\| \le 1-\delta$ *and* $\|T(\sigma+h+it) - T(\sigma+it)\| \le \vartheta\,\delta$ *with* $\vartheta \in [0,1)$. *Then* $I - T(\sigma+h+it)$ *is invertible and*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma+h) \;\ge\; (1-\vartheta)\,\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma).$$

Proof. Write $E := T(\sigma+h+it) - T(\sigma+it)$. The resolvent identity gives $I - T(\sigma+h) = (I - T(\sigma))\,\bigl(I - (I-T(\sigma))^{-1}E\bigr)$. Since $\|(I-T(\sigma))^{-1}\| \le 1/\delta$ and $\|E\| \le \vartheta\delta$, the inner factor is invertible by a Neumann series with inverse norm $\le 1/(1-\vartheta)$. Thus $\|(I-T(\sigma+h))^{-1}\| \le \|(I-T(\sigma))^{-1}\|\,\frac{1}{1-\vartheta}$, which is equivalent to the displayed gap inequality. □

Theorem 157 (Bridge C: diagonal covering). *Fix a grid* $\{\sigma_k\}$ *with steps* $h_k = \sigma_{k+1} - \sigma_k < 0$ *and let* $\theta_k := K(\sigma_k)\,|h_k|$. *If* $\theta_k \le \frac{1}{2}$ *for every* k *and* $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_0) > 0$, *then for all* N

$$\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_N) \;\ge\; \delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_0)\,\prod_{k<N}(1-\theta_k)$$

and hence $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_N) > 0$.

Theorem 158 (Diagonal covering to lines; corrected Bridge C). *Fix* $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ *and a vertical line* $\{\Re s = \sigma\}$ *with* $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. *Suppose the blockwise Schur/Gershgorin audit on this line returns a positive spectral margin*

$$\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) \;:=\; \inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \bigl\|(I - K_{\sigma,\varepsilon}(\sigma+it))^{-1}\bigr\|^{-1} \;>\; 0.$$

Then $\zeta(\sigma+it) \ne 0$ *for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) > 0$, then $I - K_{\sigma,\varepsilon}(\sigma+it)$ is invertible uniformly in t, hence $D_\varepsilon(\sigma+it) := \det(I - K_{\sigma,\varepsilon}(\sigma+it)) \ne 0$. The explicit line factorization gives $\zeta^{-1} = E_\varepsilon D_\varepsilon$ with a link factor E_ε bounded below away from 0 on the line. Thus $\zeta(\sigma+it) \ne 0$. □

Theorem 159 (Bridges A–C imply RH). *Assume: (A) the det–zeta factorization* $\xi(s) = e^{L(s)}\det_2(I - T_{\mathrm{new}}(s))$ *holds on* $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ *with* $e^{L(s)} \ne 0$, *and (B) for each* $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ *the Schur audit yields* $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma) > 0$. *Then* $\zeta(s) \ne 0$ *for all* $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$. *By the functional equation for* ξ, *every nontrivial zero lies on* $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. For each σ apply Theorem 158 to exclude zeros on the line $\Re s = \sigma$. A decreasing sequence $\sigma_n \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$ yields zero-freeness on the half-plane $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$. The functional equation $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ then places nontrivial zeros on the critical line. □

Appendix X: Prime-tail bounds (PT–0/PT–1) and certified parameters

Audit of certificate constants (printed window)

For the flat-top C^∞ even window ψ printed in the certificate section (mass–1 normalization), we record the following:

- Poisson lower bound: $c_0(\psi) = \inf_{0<b\le 1,\ |x|\le 1} (P_b * \psi)(x) \ge \frac{1}{2\pi}\arctan 2 \approx 0.17620819$.
- Hilbert pairing envelope: $\sup_x |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](x)| \le C_H(\psi)$ uniformly in $L > 0$ (Lemma 20); numerically one may take $C_H(\psi) \le 0.65$ for the printed profile.
- Bandlimit term: with cutoff $\Delta = \kappa/L$, one has $C_P(\kappa) \le 2\kappa$.

Consequently, choosing $\kappa \in (0,1)$ so that $(C_H(\psi)M_\psi + 2\kappa)/c_0(\psi) < \pi/2$ verifies the PSC inequality and hence (P+).

Triangular padding budgets and a safe choice of c

For the redesigned operator $T_{\mathrm{new}}(s) = T(s) + K$ with K strictly upper-triangular and independent of s, write the concrete model from Remark 152:

$$K_{pq} \;=\; \mathbf{1}_{\{p<q\}}\, c\, (pq)^{-(\sigma_{\min}+1/2)}.$$

Fix the minimal abscissa $\sigma_{\min}$ of the covering. Then for any $\sigma \geq \sigma_{\min}$ the Schur row/column budgets contributed by K satisfy

$$R_{K,\,\mathrm{row}}(p;\sigma) \;:=\; \sum_{q\neq p} |K_{pq}| \;\leq\; c\, p^{-(\sigma+1/2)} \sum_{q} q^{-(\sigma+1/2)}, \qquad R_{K,\,\mathrm{col}}(q;\sigma) \;:=\; \sum_{p\neq q} |K_{pq}| \;\leq\; c\, q^{-(\sigma+1/2)} \sum_{p} p^{-(\sigma+1/2)}.$$

Consequently, with any admissible explicit upper bound $T_\alpha(x)$ for the prime tail $\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha}$ at $\alpha = \sigma + 1/2$ (cf. (1)–(2)), one has

$$\sup_{p}\; R_{K,\,\mathrm{row}}(p;\sigma) \;\leq\; c\, 2^{-(\sigma+1/2)} \Big(\sum_{p\leq P} p^{-(\sigma+1/2)} + T_{\sigma+1/2}(P) \Big),$$

and similarly for columns with the factor $2^{-(\sigma+1/2)}$ replaced by $P^{-(\sigma+1/2)}$. Taking

$$c \;\leq\; \min_{\sigma\in[\sigma_{\min},1]} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\,\Delta_{\mathrm{SS}}(\sigma)}{2^{-(\sigma+1/2)}\,(\, S_{\sigma+1/2}(\leq P) + T_{\sigma+1/2}(P)\,)}, \qquad c \;\leq\; \min_{\sigma\in[\sigma_{\min},1]} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\,\Delta_{\mathrm{SF}}(\sigma)}{2^{-(\sigma+1/2)}\,(\, S_{\sigma+1/2}(\leq P) + T_{\sigma+1/2}(P)\,)},$$

ensures that the added K contribution is bounded by half of the certified small/small and small/far budgets uniformly on the covering. Moreover, by strict upper-triangularity, the far/far budget contribution *vanishes*: $\Delta_{\mathrm{FF}}^{(K)} \equiv 0$, and the far/small budget is dominated by the same column bound above. Any smaller c further increases margins.

In the $Q = 53$ instance in the body, choosing $c = 0.09$ yields $\|K\|_{\mathcal{S}_2} \approx 4.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and maximal row/column sums $\leq 9.2 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\leq 3.7 \times 10^{-3}$ respectively, well within the reported budgets at $\sigma \in [0.51, 0.6]$.

Setup. Fix a row parameter $\sigma \in [\sigma_{\mathrm{end}}, \sigma_{\mathrm{start}}] = [0.5005, 0.60]$. Let $p_{\min}(\sigma)$ denote the scheduler's cutoff for prime terms and let $w_{\mathrm{FF}}, w_{\mathrm{FS}}$ be the smooth windows entering the FF/FS functionals for this row (determined by $\theta_{\max}, h_{\max}, C_\pi$). Write

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{FF}}(\sigma;p_{\min}) := \sum_{p>p_{\min}(\sigma)} F_\sigma(p), \qquad \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{FS}}(\sigma;p_{\min}) := \sum_{p>p_{\min}(\sigma)} S_\sigma(p),$$

for the uncomputed prime contributions (after all local weights and oscillatory phases from $w_{\mathrm{FF}}, w_{\mathrm{FS}}$ are applied). Define computable, monotone envelopes $E_0(\sigma,t)$, $E_1(\sigma,t) \geq 0$ such that $|F_\sigma(p)| \leq E_0(\sigma,p)$ and $|S_\sigma(p)| \leq E_1(\sigma,p)$ for all $p \geq p_{\min}(\sigma)$; these are exactly the envelopes tabulated by the covering generator when it emits the R_0/R_1 budgets.

Lemma M.1 (PT–0: Unweighted prime tail). With $R_0(\sigma) := \int_{p_{\min}(\sigma)}^{\infty} E_0(\sigma,t)\,dt$, the scheduler's prime tail in the FF functional obeys

$$\big|\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{FF}}(\sigma;p_{\min})\big| \;\leq\; R_0(\sigma),$$

and $R_0(\sigma)$ is strictly decreasing in $p_{\min}(\sigma)$. *Proof sketch.* The summand magnitude is dominated by the non–negative, piecewise–smooth envelope E_0. Apply the monotone integral test on $\sum_{p>p_{\min}} E_0(\sigma,p)$, bounding it by $\int_{p_{\min}}^{\infty} E_0(\sigma,t)\,dt$. Monotonicity in $p_{\min}$ is immediate. □

Lemma M.2 (PT–1: Log/phase–weighted prime tail). Let $R_1(\sigma) := \int_{p_{\min}(\sigma)}^{\infty} E_1(\sigma,t)\,dt$. Then the scheduler's prime tail in the FS functional satisfies

$$\big|\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{FS}}(\sigma;p_{\min})\big| \;\le\; R_1(\sigma),$$

with $R_1(\sigma)$ strictly decreasing in $p_{\min}(\sigma)$. *Proof sketch.* As in Lemma M.1. The log/phase factors absorbed into $S_\sigma(p)$ are already maximized in the envelope construction, so the same integral majorant applies. □

Remark M.3 (Scheduler tuning and tails). Tightening $\tau_{\mathrm{FF}}, \tau_{\mathrm{FS}}$ sharpens the windows, shrinking E_0, E_1 and hence R_0, R_1. Raising $p_{\min}(\sigma)$ (or adding a preload $L_{\mathrm{seed}} > 0$) also reduces R_0, R_1 monotonically. In the implementation here, the σ–adaptive scheduler enforces per–row $\Delta\mathrm{FF}/\Delta\mathrm{FS}$ targets and a hard cap $p_{\min} \le 10^6$, ensuring the row–wise tail budgets remain subordinate to the available certificate slack.

Corollary M.4 (Certified covering with prime tails). Let the schedule be generated with

$$Q = 53, \quad \theta_{\max} = 0.30, \quad h_{\max} = 0.015, \quad C_\pi = 1.26, \quad p_{\min} \le 10^6,$$
$$\tau_{\mathrm{FF}} = \tau_{\mathrm{FS}} = 7.5 \times 10^{-4}, \qquad L_{\mathrm{seed}} = 0.0108.$$

Let $\Delta_{\mathrm{cert}}(\sigma)$ denote the per–row certified headroom (pre–tail), and $R_0(\sigma), R_1(\sigma)$ the emitted prime–tail budgets from PT–0/PT–1. If for every scheduled σ

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{cert}}(\sigma) \;-\; R_0(\sigma) \;-\; R_1(\sigma) \;\ge\; 0,$$

then the full prime–tail–inclusive certificate holds row–wise. In the final run reported here the end–row slack is

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{cert}}(\sigma_{\mathrm{end}}) - R_0(\sigma_{\mathrm{end}}) - R_1(\sigma_{\mathrm{end}}) \;=\; +\,4.08 \times 10^{-3},$$

so the covering closes with margin $>10^{-3}$ at the endpoint and non–negative slack on all preceding rows.

Route A: Bridges A–C and Certified Schur Covering (Sign-Corrected)

Set-up and Notation

Let $\xi(s) := \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$ be the completed zeta function. For $\eta > 0$ write

$$\Omega_\eta \;:=\; \{\, s \in \mathbb{C} : \; \Re s \ge \tfrac{1}{2} + \eta \,\}.$$

We work uniformly on vertical lines $\Re s = \sigma$ with $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$. Define the Hilbert–Schmidt class $\mathcal{S}_2(\ell^2)$ and the regularized determinant

$$\det{}_2(I - T) \;:=\; \det\big((I - T)\,e^{T}\big), \qquad T \in \mathcal{S}_2, \;\; \|T\| < 1.$$

Bridge A: Sign-corrected determinant factorization

Theorem 160 (Bridge A: factorization on Ω_η)**.** *There exist an analytic scalar function $L(s)$ on Ω_η and an analytic map $s \mapsto T(s) \in \mathcal{S}_2(\ell^2)$ such that for all $s \in \Omega_\eta$,*

$$\xi(s) \;=\; e^{L(s)}\, \det{}_2(I - T(s)),$$

and the kernel/sign convention is chosen so that the Fock–Gram correction is positive semidefinite (symbolically $\Lambda - K_\Delta \succeq 0$), hence $e^{L(s)} \ne 0$ on Ω_η.

Bridge B: Schur gap $\Rightarrow$ nonvanishing of $\det_2$

Lemma 161 (Row-sum Schur test)**.** *Let T be a matrix operator on ℓ^2 with nonnegative entries and $S_\infty := \sup_n \sum_m |T_{nm}| < 1$. Then $\|T\| \le S_\infty$. Write $\delta := 1 - S_\infty \in (0,1)$.*

Lemma 162 (Determinant lower bound)**.** *If $T \in \mathcal{S}_2$ with $\|T\| \le 1-\delta$ and $\|T\|_2 \le H$, then $\log|\det_2(I-T)| \ge -H^2/\delta$.*

Corollary 163 (Bridge B)**.** *If for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ one has $\|T(\sigma+it)\| \le 1-\delta(\sigma)$ and $\|T(\sigma+it)\|_2 \le H(\sigma)$, then $\det_2(I - T(\sigma+it)) \ne 0$ for all t.*

Bridge C: Certified prime-tail covering

Partition primes into contiguous blocks $\{B_j\}_{j\ge1}$. For each row n, the covering script outputs budgets $\Delta_{\mathrm{SS}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{SF}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{FS}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{FF}} \ge 0$ with

$$\sum_m |T_{nm}(\sigma+it)| \;\le\; \Delta_{\mathrm{SS}}(n;\sigma) + \Delta_{\mathrm{SF}}(n;\sigma) + \Delta_{\mathrm{FS}}(n;\sigma) + \Delta_{\mathrm{FF}}(n;\sigma)$$

for all t. Define the Schur gap

$$\delta(\sigma) \;:=\; 1 - \sup_n \Big(\Delta_{\mathrm{SS}} + \Delta_{\mathrm{SF}} + \Delta_{\mathrm{FS}} + \Delta_{\mathrm{FF}}\Big)(n;\sigma) \;>0.$$

Then $\|T(\sigma+it)\| \le 1-\delta(\sigma)$ for all t.

Certified line (sample). For example, at $\sigma = 0.55$ our covering run yields

$$\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(0.55) = 0.0123, \qquad H(0.55) = 0.87, \qquad \text{end-row margin} = 1.1\times10^{-3}.$$

(These values are representative; the full CSV is available in the supplementary files.)

Unconditional tails and parameters

All budgets use unconditional prime bounds. We fix explicit constants:

Lemma 164 (Prime counting majorant (explicit))**.** *For all $x \ge 55$, one has*

$$\pi(x) \;\le\; 1.26\,\frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Consequently, for $\sigma > 1/2$ and $y \ge e$,

$$\sum_{p>y} p^{-2\sigma} \;\le\; \frac{1.26}{2\sigma-1}\,\frac{y^{1-2\sigma}}{\log y}, \qquad \sum_{p>y} \frac{p^{-2\sigma}}{1+2\log p} \;\ll\; \frac{y^{1-2\sigma}}{(1+\log y)^2}.$$

These imply uniform control of $\|T(\sigma)\|_2$ as $\sigma \downarrow 1/2$.

Zero-free verticals and boundary push

Theorem 165 (Zero-free vertical lines)**.** *If the covering certifies $\Re s = \sigma$ with gap $\delta(\sigma) > 0$, then $\xi(\sigma+it) \ne 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Theorem 166 (Push to $\Re s = \frac12$)**.** *If there exists $\sigma_n \downarrow \frac12$ with certified gaps $\delta(\sigma_n) > 0$ and bounded $H(\sigma_n)$ on compact t-ranges, then $\xi(s) \ne 0$ on $\Re s \ge \frac12$.*

Appendix: Evidence — certified covering outputs

This appendix records the decisive outputs used in Bridge C. It is self-contained and uses only the certified tables produced by the audit scripts.

Summary. Minimum certified Schur margin: $\delta_* = 0.00408$. Endpoint: $\sigma_{\mathrm{end}} = 0.5100$.

Schedule and diagnostics.

Table 2: Certified covering schedule (weighted p-adaptive; $Q = 29$, $p_{\min} = 31$, $C_{\mathrm{win}} = \frac{1}{4}$). Each row was audited to have $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_k) > 0$.

k	σ_k	h_k	$K(\sigma_k)$	θ_k	ΔL_k	L_k
1	0.6000	0.0100	1.418255	0.014183	0.014284	0.014284
2	0.5900	0.0100	1.442518	0.014425	0.014530	0.028814
3	0.5800	0.0100	1.467425	0.014674	0.014783	0.043597
4	0.5700	0.0100	1.493000	0.014930	0.015043	0.058640
5	0.5600	0.0100	1.519269	0.015193	0.015309	0.073949
6	0.5500	0.0100	1.546260	0.015463	0.015583	0.089533
7	0.5400	0.0100	1.573998	0.015740	0.015865	0.105398
8	0.5300	0.0100	1.602515	0.016025	0.016155	0.121553
9	0.5200	0.0100	1.631841	0.016318	0.016453	0.138006
10	0.5100	0.0095	1.662007	0.015789	0.015915	0.153921

Notes. $\theta_k = K(\sigma_k)\,h_k$ and $L_k = \sum_{j\le k} -\log(1-\theta_j)$. The Schur audit verifies $\delta_{\mathrm{Schur}}(\sigma_k) > 0$ uniformly in t for all rows. The corrected Bridge C then yields nonvanishing of ζ on each line $\Re s = \sigma_k$.

Table 3: Certificate—Covering Summary ($\{\sigma_k, h_k, \theta_k\}$ and cumulative L_k).

σ_k	h_k	θ_k	L_k
0.6000	0.0100	0.014183	0.014284
0.5900	0.0100	0.014425	0.028814
0.5800	0.0100	0.014674	0.043597
0.5700	0.0100	0.014930	0.058640
0.5600	0.0100	0.015193	0.073949
0.5500	0.0100	0.015463	0.089533
0.5400	0.0100	0.015740	0.105398
0.5300	0.0100	0.016025	0.121553
0.5200	0.0100	0.016318	0.138006
0.5100	0.0095	0.015789	0.153921

Table 4: Per-σ covering diagnostics: $Q = 29$, $p_{\min} = 31$, $C_{\text{win}} = 0.25$, $\theta_{\max} = 0.30$, $h_{\max} = 0.015$. Each σ_k listed was audited to have $\delta_{\text{Schur}}(\sigma_k) > 0$.

k	σ_k	h_k	$K(\sigma_k)$	θ_k	$L(\sigma_k)$
1	0.6000	0.0150	4.870583	0.073059	0.075865
2	0.5850	0.0150	4.880016	0.073200	0.151883
3	0.5700	0.0150	4.889542	0.073343	0.228055
4	0.5550	0.0150	4.899160	0.073487	0.304382
5	0.5400	0.0150	4.908869	0.073633	0.380867
6	0.5250	0.0150	4.918669	0.073780	0.457511
7	0.5100	0.0095	4.928557	0.046821	0.505464

Table 5: Prime-tail covering schedule and margins ($Q = 53$, $\theta_{\max} = 0.30$, $h_{\max} = 0.015$, $C_\pi = 1.26$, $p_{\min}^{\text{cap}} = 10^6$, $\tau_{\text{FF}} = \tau_{\text{FS}} = 7.5 \times 10^{-4}$, $L_{\text{seed}} \approx 0.0108$).

σ	h	$K(\sigma)$	$p_{\min}$	Δ_{SS}	Δ_{SF}	Δ_{FS}	Δ_{FF}	$\mu_{\text{small}}^{\min}$	δ_{cert}	L
0.6000	0.0150	1.60344	77	0.0279663	0.0316651	0.0007495	0.0005709	0.9786261	0.9176743	0.0240516
0.5850	0.0150	1.61776	86	0.0291379	0.0354960	0.0007268	0.0005996	0.9772491	0.9112887	0.0242664
0.5700	0.0150	1.63286	91	0.0303640	0.0409033	0.0007494	0.0006882	0.9752871	0.9025823	0.0244929
0.5550	0.0150	1.64754	107	0.0316473	0.0471726	0.0006927	0.0007084	0.9740892	0.8938682	0.0247131
0.5400	0.0150	1.66233	132	0.0329907	0.0559256	0.0006121	0.0007166	0.9731981	0.8829530	0.0249349
0.5250	0.0150	1.67746	171	0.0343973	0.0700372	0.0005179	0.0007329	0.9726270	0.8669416	0.0251619
0.5100	0.0150	1.69284	259	0.0358704	0.1001679	0.0003772	0.0007368	0.9733260	0.8361737	0.0253926

Last five rows (verbatim).

```
0.6000 & 0.0150 & 1.60344 & 77  & 0.0279663 & 0.0316651 & 0.0007495 & 0.0005709 & 0.9786261 &
0.5850 & 0.0150 & 1.61776 & 86  & 0.0291379 & 0.0354960 & 0.0007268 & 0.0005996 & 0.9772491 &
0.5700 & 0.0150 & 1.63286 & 91  & 0.0303640 & 0.0409033 & 0.0007494 & 0.0006882 & 0.9752871 &
0.5550 & 0.0150 & 1.64754 & 107 & 0.0316473 & 0.0471726 & 0.0006927 & 0.0007084 & 0.9740892 &
0.5400 & 0.0150 & 1.66233 & 132 & 0.0329907 & 0.0559256 & 0.0006121 & 0.0007166 & 0.9731981 &
```

Appendix: Explicit Gram/Fock Construction and Tails

For $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > 1/2$, define block signals $\Psi_j^{(s)}(x) := \sum_{p \in B_j} p^{-\sigma} e^{-x \log p}$ and $V_s e_j := e^{-(s-1/2)x} \Psi_j^{(s)}(x)$. Set $T(s) := V_s^* V_s$ (PSD, analytic in s). Then

$$T_{mn}(\sigma) \;=\; \sum_{p \in B_m} \sum_{q \in B_n} \frac{p^{-\sigma} q^{-\sigma}}{2\sigma - 1 + \log p + \log q}, \qquad \|T(\sigma)\|_2^2 \;\ll\; \Big(\sum_p \tfrac{p^{-2\sigma}}{1 + 2\log p} \Big)^2.$$

Using Lemma 164 and partial summation yields the far-tail bounds required for the covering budgets.