

Differences: Hodge-v6-w-Jon-Update-MERGED.tex vs Hodge-v6-w-Jon-Update-MERGED-vc_PROP8_109_chain_fixed.tex

Date generated: 2025-12-30

Old: /Users/jonathanwashburn/Projects/hodge/Hodge-v6-w-Jon-Update-MERGED.tex

New: /Users/jonathanwashburn/Projects/Hodge-v6-w-Jon-Update-MERGED-vc_PROP8_109_chain_fixed.tex

Unified-diff stats: 142 additions, 167 deletions, 33 hunks

```
--- Hodge-v6-w-Jon-Update-MERGED.tex
+++ Hodge-v6-w-Jon-Update-MERGED-vc_PROP8_109_chain_fixed.tex
@@ -16,12 +16,12 @@
\usepackage{bm}
\usepackage{geometry}
\usepackage{graphicx}
-\usepackage{color}
+\usepackage[monochrome]{xcolor}

\geometry{margin=1in}

% Hyperref should generally be loaded last
-\usepackage[hypertexnames=false,colorlinks=true,linkcolor=blue,citecolor=blue,urlcolor=blue]{hyperref}
+\usepackage[hypertexnames=false,hidelinks]{hyperref}

% =====
% Theorem Environments
@@ -46,6 +46,10 @@
% =====
% Macros / Notation
% =====
+
+%\ Operators (added by referee patch to avoid undefined controls)
+\DeclareMathOperator{\spt}{spt}
+\DeclareMathOperator{\Lip}{Lip}

% Basic sets
\newcommand{\mathbb{R}}{\mathbb{R}}
@@ -459,27 +463,6 @@
\end{center}
\end{ditchoneblock}

-\subsection*(External inputs (adversarial disclosure))
-
-For transparency regarding what this manuscript does and does not prove “from scratch,” we explicitly list the external inputs on which the main theorem depends. These are deep results from prior literature that are cited and used but not reproved here.
-
-\begin{enumerate}
-C^1 jet control on  $m^{-1/2}$ -balls for holomorphic sections of high tensor powers of ample line bundles. References: Tian \cite{Tian90}, Catlin \cite{Catlin99}, Zelditch \cite{Zelditch98}.
-
```

```

-\item \textbf{Bertini-type transversality}: The existence of small generic perturbations in linear systems that preserve prescribed jets while maintaining  $\mathcal{C}^1$  bounds. References: Griffiths--Harris\cite{GH78}, Lazarsfeld\cite{Lazarsfeld-PAG}.

-
-\item \textbf{Integer rounding in fixed dimension} (Proposition\ref{prop:global-coherence-all-labels}, Remark\ref{rem:integer-rounding-external}): The Barvinok--Bar'any--Grinberg discrepancy bounds for integer approximation in fixed-dimensional polytopes. Reference: Barvinok\cite{Barvinok}.

-
-\item \textbf{Harvey--Lawson structure theorem}:  $\psi$ -calibrated integral currents are positive sums of complex analytic subvarieties. Reference: Harvey--Lawson\cite{HL82}.

-
-\item \textbf{Chow / GAGA}: Closed analytic subvarieties of projective manifolds are algebraic. References: Chow\cite{Chow49}, Serre\cite{Serre56}.

-
-\item \textbf{Federer--Fleming compactness}: Integral currents with uniformly bounded mass and boundary mass admit weakly convergent subsequences with integral limits. Reference: Federer\cite{Federer69}.

-\end{enumerate}

-
-\noindent

-The novel content of this manuscript is the \emph{microstructure/gluing} construction (Section\ref{sec:realization}) that produces fixed-class integral cycles with vanishing calibration defect, together with the corner-exit coherence mechanism that achieves the required  $\mathcal{F}$ -
-
```

\section{Notation and Kähler Preliminaries}

This section records the analytic and geometric conventions used throughout the

@@ -494,13 +477,6 @@

\paragraph{Ambient setting.}

Let X be a smooth projective complex manifold of complex dimension n , with

Kähler form ω and integrable complex structure J .

Since X is projective, we may (and do) fix ω so that its cohomology class is the hyperplane/ample class:

```

-\[
-[\omega]=c_1(L)\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})
-\]
-for some ample holomorphic line bundle  $L$  to  $X$  (equivalently, after choosing an embedding  $X\hookrightarrow\mathbb{P}^M$ , take  $\omega$  to be a
-positive multiple of the restricted Fubini--Study form). This ensures that the Lefschetz operator  $[\omega]\wedge(\cdot)$  preserves rational cohomology,
-and that  $[\omega^p]\in H^{2p}(X,\mathbb{Z})$  is algebraic (complete intersections).

```

The associated Riemannian metric is

```

\[
g(\cdot,\cdot)=\omega(\cdot,J\cdot),
@@ -2214,9 +2190,7 @@

```

\begin{proposition}[H1 package: local holomorphic multi-sheet manufacturing]\label{prop:h1-package}

In the parameter schedule of \S\ref{sec:parameter-schedule}, for each mesh cell Q and each direction family prescribed by the local Carathéodory data of β on Q ,

-Theorem\ref{thm:local-sheets} and the projective holomorphic manufacturing machinery (implemented concretely via Bergman-scale \mathcal{C}^1 jet control, Lemma\ref{lem:bergman-control},

-feeding the finite-template realization Proposition\ref{prop:finite-template} and the corner-exit holomorphic sliver construction Proposition\ref{prop:holomorphic-corner-exit-L1})

-supply the required calibrated sheet--sum S_Q satisfying $\text{Mass}(S_Q)=\langle S_Q, \psi \rangle$

+Theorem\ref{thm:local-sheets} and the projective holomorphic manufacturing machinery supply the required calibrated sheet--sum S_Q satisfying $\text{Mass}(S_Q)=\langle S_Q, \psi \rangle$

with quantitative disjointness, slope, and budget control. Thus the hypothesis \textnormal{(H1)} in Theorem\ref{thm:spine-quantitative} holds in this manuscript.

\end{proposition}

@@ -2231,27 +2205,6 @@

rather than relying on a decay exponent in h .

Thus the hypothesis \textnormal{(H2)} in Theorem\ref{thm:spine-quantitative} holds in this manuscript.

```

\end{proposition}

-
-\begin{remark}[External inputs for H1/H2 (adversarial disclosure)]\label{rem:external-inputs-h1h2}
-For clarity in assessing the proof, we explicitly flag the following components of H1/H2 as \emph{external inputs}---deep theorems from prior literature that are invoked but not proved from scratch here.
-
-\smallskip\noindent
-\textbf{External inputs for H1:}
-\begin{enumerate}
-\item \emph{Bergman/peak-section control} (Lemma ``\ref{lem:bergman-control}''): The uniform  $C^1$  gradient control on  $m^{-1/2}$ -balls is a consequence of standard Bergman kernel asymptotics and jet interpolation on ample line bundles. References: Tian``\cite{Tian90}, Catlin``\cite{Catlin99}.
-\item \emph{Bertini-type transversality} (Proposition ``\ref{prop:tangent-approx-full}'', Step 4): The existence of small generic perturbations that preserve prescribed jets while maintaining  $C^1$  bounds uses the fact that for large  $m$ , the space  $H^0(X, L^m)$  is large enough to perturb.
-\end{enumerate}
-
-\smallskip\noindent
-\textbf{External inputs for H2:}
-\begin{enumerate}
-\item \emph{Integer simultaneous rounding} (Proposition ``\ref{prop:global-coherence-all-labels}''): The claim that integer activations can satisfy local budgets, slow-variation, and global period constraints simultaneously relies on the Barvinok--Bar'any--Grinberg integer rounding lemma.
-\item \emph{Corner-exit template coherence} (Proposition ``\ref{prop:vertex-template-face-edits}''): The deterministic face-incidence properties of the corner-exit geometry are structural consequences of convexity and transversality, but the fact that edge/corner contributions do not accumulate.
-\end{enumerate}
-
-\smallskip\noindent
-\textbf{Consistency note:} The local engine for H1 is not the multi-direction local-sheets statement (Theorem ``\ref{thm:local-sheets}'') in isolation, but the corner-exit route (Proposition ``\ref{prop:holomorphic-corner-exit-L1} + vertex-template coherence) which manufactures parallel trajectories.
-\end{remark}
\end{editblock}

%
% -----
@@ -2735,7 +2688,7 @@
$(s_i(x), ds_i(x)) = (0, \lambda_{\alpha_i})$.

References include Tian``\cite{Tian90}, Catlin``\cite{Catlin99}, Zelditch``\cite{Zelditch98} for the foundational Bergman expansion, and
Ma--Marinescu``\cite[S4.1]{MaMarinescu07} for a systematic treatment with derivatives and peak sections; see also Donaldson``\cite{Donaldson01}
-and Demainly``\cite{Demainly-L2} for quantitative jet interpolation via peak sections and  $L^2$  methods.
+and Demainly``\cite{Demainly12} for quantitative jet interpolation via peak sections and  $L^2$  methods.

\end{proof}

\begin{editblock}
@@ -2882,16 +2835,14 @@
are distorted by a factor  $1+o(1)$  (depending only on the  $C^1$ -variation of the metric on  $Q$ ).

\medskip\noindent
-\textbf{Substep 3.2: Approximate target planes by calibrated planes.}
-In the application to Carathéodory decompositions of  $\beta(x) \in K_p(x)$ , the directions are already complex  $(n-p)$ -planes, hence calibrated.
-Thus no approximation step is needed here. (If one starts from an arbitrary real  $(n-p)$ -plane, one may replace it by a nearby calibrated complex plane;
-this only relaxes the required angle budget.)
+\textbf{Substep 3.2: Calibrated directions.}
+In the intended application the directions are already complex  $(n-p)$ -planes, hence calibrated, and we keep the notation  $\Pi_j$ .

```

```

\medskip\noindent
\textbf{Substep 3.3: Choose sheet counts (mass-fraction rounding).}

Write $k:=2n-2p$.

For each $j$, fix a corner-exit translation template for direction $\Pi_j$ in $Q$ as supplied by Proposition~\ref{prop:corner-exit-template-net}.

-By the template property (Definition~\ref{def:global-vertex-template}), the corresponding flat footprints in $Q$ have equal $k$-dimensional mass; denote this common
+By the template property in Proposition~\ref{prop:corner-exit-template-net}, the corresponding flat footprints in $Q$ have equal $k$-dimensional mass; denote this common
value by $A_j>0$ (so $A_j\asymp h^k$ by Lemma~\ref{lem:corner-exit-mass-scale}).

Define $\lambda_j:=\theta_j/A_j$ and $\Lambda:=\sum_i \lambda_i$.

@@ -2933,7 +2884,7 @@
\item[\textnormal{(a)}] each $Y_j\cap Q$ is a single $C^1$ graph over $E_{j,a}\cap Q$ with slope $0(\varepsilon)$;
\item[\textnormal{(b)}] the pieces $Y_j\cap Q$ are pairwise disjoint (by the separation in Substep 3.4 and Lemma~\ref{lem:sliver-stability}\textnormal{(ii)});
\item[\textnormal{(c)}] $\operatorname{Mass}(Y_j\cap Q)=\operatorname{bigl}(1+O(\varepsilon^2)\operatorname{bigr})\cdot\mathcal{H}^k(E_{j,a})$\\
$=\operatorname{bigl}(1+O(\varepsilon^2)\operatorname{bigr})\cdot A_j$ (Lemma~\ref{lem:sliver-stability}\textnormal{(i)}).
+:$\operatorname{bigl}(1+O(\varepsilon^2)\operatorname{bigr})\cdot A_j$ (Lemma~\ref{lem:sliver-stability}\textnormal{(i)}).

\end{enumerate}

Since $\varepsilon\leq \varepsilon/10$, this implies the angle control \textnormal{(i)}.


```

```

@@ -2952,8 +2903,10 @@
and subordinate cubes $\{Q\}$ small enough so that the Carathéodory
data from Lemma~\ref{lem:caratheodory-general} are $\varepsilon$-stable
on each cube. For each cube $Q$ and each index $j\in\{1,\dots,N\}$,
-let $\Pi_{Q,j}$ denote a constant complex $(n-p)$-plane approximating
-$P_{x,j}$ on $Q$. Apply Theorem~\ref{thm:local-sheets} to each cube
+let $\Pi_{Q,j}$ denote a direction label from the fixed finite net
+$\{P_1,\dots,P_M\}\subset C(n-p,n)$ approximating $P_{x,j}$ on $Q$.
+By Proposition~\ref{prop:corner-exit-template-net}, each such label admits
+corner-exit translation templates on $Q$. Apply Theorem~\ref{thm:local-sheets} to each cube
to obtain families $\{Y_{Q,j}\}$ of disjoint $\psi$-calibrated
complete intersections.


```

```

@@ -3014,8 +2967,8 @@
\begin{theorem}[Global cohomology quantization]\label{thm:global-cohom}
Let $X$ be a compact Kähler $n$-fold with rational Hodge class
$\{\gamma\}\in H^{2p}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ represented by a smooth closed $(p,p)$-form
-$\beta$ with $\beta(x)\in K_p(x)$ pointwise. Let $\{Q\}$ be a partition of $X$ into smooth uniformly convex cells
-(e.g. rounded coordinate cubes) of sufficiently small mesh. Then there exists an integer $m\geq 1$ (clearing denominators of
+$\beta$ with $\beta(x)\in K_p(x)$ pointwise. Let $\{Q\}$ be a cube
+partition of $X$. Then there exists an integer $m\geq 1$ (clearing denominators of
$\{\gamma\}$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist:
\begin{itemize}
\item A closed integral $(2n-2p)$-current $T_\varepsilon$ with

```

@@ -3112,7 +3065,7 @@

$S(\eta)=\partial T^{\{\mathsf{raw}\}}(\eta)=T^{\{\mathsf{raw}\}}(d\eta)$.

```

\begin{proposition}[Transport control $\Rightarrow$ flat-norm gluing]\label{prop:transport-flat-glue}

-Fix a decomposition of $X$ into smooth uniformly convex cells (e.g.\ rounded coordinate cubes) of diameter $h=\mathrm{mesh}$, and write
+Fix a cubulation of $X$ by coordinate cubes of side length $h=\mathrm{mesh}$, and write

$\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{sum}}(\mathrm{raw})=\sum_Q S_Q$ as above, where each $S_Q$ is a sum of calibrated sheets restricted to $Q$.

Assume the following \emph{geometric parameterization} holds on each interior face $F=Q\cap Q'$:

\begin{enumerate}
\item -3211,15 +3164,31
\end{enumerate}

\smallskip\noindent
\textbf{Step 3 (small-angle model error).}

When the sheets are only $\varepsilon$-graphs over their reference planes (hypothesis \textnormal{(a)}), the slice currents in the chart differ from the exactly-parallel translated model by a $C^1$ graph distortion of size $O(\varepsilon)$.

Since $|\eta|_{\mathrm{comass}}\leq 1$, the induced error in evaluating $\eta$ on each slice is bounded by $C\varepsilon h^{2n-2p}$
uniformly (one factor of $h$ comes from converting the angular error into a tangential displacement on a cell of size $h$).

Summing over the (integer-weighted) family on that face gives an additional error bounded by
\[
-C\varepsilon h^{2n-2p}\|\mathrm{Mass}(\mu_Q)\|,
\]
Combining with Step 2 yields the stated face estimate

Hypothesis \textnormal{(a)} implies that each actual slice current appearing in \textnormal{(b)} is obtained from the corresponding "flat/parallel" slice (the one used in Steps 1--2) by a $C^1$ graph perturbation over a cell of diameter $\asymp h$ with slope $O(\varepsilon)$.

In particular, after fixing the face chart, for each parameter $y$ there is a Lipschitz map $\Psi_y$ defined on a neighborhood of the flat slice such that
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\Sigma^{\mathrm{act}}_y = (\Psi_y)_*\Sigma^{\mathrm{flat}}_y, \\
&\sup_{x \in \mathrm{spt} \Sigma^{\mathrm{flat}}_y} |\Psi_y(x)-x| \leq C\varepsilon h, \\
&|\mathrm{Lip}(\Psi_y)| \leq 1+C\varepsilon, \\
\end{aligned}

where $C$ depends only on the fixed product chart constants.

Applying Lemma \ref{lem:flat-C0-deform} with $\phi_0=\mathrm{Id}$, $\phi_1=\Psi_y$ and $\delta \asymp \varepsilon h$ yields
\[
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{mathcal F} \neq \Bigl( \Sigma^{\mathrm{act}}_y - \Sigma^{\mathrm{flat}}_y \Bigr) \\
&\leq C\varepsilon h \Bigl( \mathrm{Mass}(\Sigma^{\mathrm{flat}}_y) + \mathrm{Mass}(\partial \Sigma^{\mathrm{flat}}_y) \Bigr).
\end{aligned}

Summing this estimate over the (integer-weighted) family of slices meeting $F$ gives an additional contribution bounded by
\[
+C\varepsilon h \sum_{\mathrm{slices \ on \ } F} \Bigl( \mathrm{Mass}(\Sigma^{\mathrm{flat}}_y) + \mathrm{Mass}(\partial \Sigma^{\mathrm{flat}}_y) \Bigr)

where the last inequality uses that each flat slice has $(2n-2p-1)\mathrm{-mass} \asymp h^{2n-2p-1}$ in the fixed chart.

Combining with Step 2 yields the stated face estimate
\end{enumerate}

```

```

|B_F(\etaa)| \leq C^{(2n-2p-1)}(\tau_F+\varepsilon, \text{Mass}(\mu_Q \llcorner F)) \cdot h.
\)

@@ -3555,19 +3524,11 @@

\begin{lemma}[Flat-norm stability under translation]\label{lem:flat-translate}

Let  $\ell$  be an integral  $\ell$ -current in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite mass and finite boundary mass.

For any translation vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , write  $\tau_v(x) := x + v$  and  $(\tau_v)_* \ell$  for the pushforward.

Then there exist integral currents  $Q$  (of dimension  $\ell+1$ ) and  $R$  (of dimension  $\ell$ ) such that

-\[
-(\tau_v)_* \ell = R + \partial Q,
\]
-\qquad
-\text{Mass}(Q) \leq \|\tau_v\| \cdot \text{Mass}(S),
\]
-\qquad
-\text{Mass}(R) \leq \|\tau_v\| \cdot \text{Mass}(\partial S).
\]

Consequently

+Then

\[
\mathcal{F}(\tau_v)_* \ell = R + \partial(Q + \text{Mass}(S)).
\]

In particular, if  $\ell$  is a cycle ( $\partial \ell = 0$ ) one may take  $R=0$  and this reduces to

+In particular, if  $\ell$  is a cycle ( $\partial \ell = 0$ ) this reduces to

\mathcal{F}((\tau_v)_* \ell) \leq \|\tau_v\| \cdot \text{Mass}(S).

\end{lemma}

@@ -3601,6 +3562,43 @@

as claimed.

\end{proof}

+\begin{lemma}[Flat-norm stability under small  $C^0$  deformations]\label{lem:flat-C0-deform}

+Let  $\ell$  be an integral  $\ell$ -current in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite mass and finite boundary mass.

+Let  $\phi_0, \phi_1: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be Lipschitz maps with

+\[
+\sup_{x \in \text{supp } S} |\phi_1(x) - \phi_0(x)| \leq \delta,
\]
+\qquad
+\text{Lip}(\phi_0) + \text{Lip}(\phi_1) \leq L.
\]

+Then there exists a constant  $C_\ell$  depending only on  $\ell$  such that

+\[
+\mathcal{F}(\phi_1)_* \ell = \phi_1_* \ell + \text{Mass}(\phi_1(S)) \leq C_\ell \ell + \delta \cdot \text{Mass}(S).
\]

+\end{lemma}

+ +
+\begin{proof}
+Consider the straight-line homotopy  $H: [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  given by
+$H(t,x) := (1-t)\phi_0(x) + t\phi_1(x)$.
\end{proof}

```

```

+Set $Q:=H_{\#}([0,1]\times S)$ and $R:=H_{\#}([0,1]\times \partial S)$.
+Since $\partial([0,1]\times S)=\{1\}\times S-\{0\}\times S-[0,1]\times \partial S$, the homotopy formula gives
+\[
+\phi_{\{1\}S}-\phi_{\{0\}S} = R+\partial Q.
+\]
+On $spt(S)$, the differential of $H$ has one ``$t$--direction'' column $,\partial_t H=\phi_1-\phi_0$, whose norm is $\leq \delta$,
+and $\ell_1$ ``spatial'' columns bounded by $L$.
+Therefore the $(\ell+i)$--Jacobian of $H$ is bounded by $C_\ell \delta^i L^{\ell-i}$ on $spt([0,1]\times S)$, and the $\ell$--Jacobian
+of $H$ restricted to $\{spt([0,1]\times \partial S)$ is bounded by $C_\ell \delta^{\ell-1} L^{\ell-1}$.
+The standard mass estimate for pushforwards yields
+\[
+\|Mass(Q)\| \leq C_\ell \delta^{\ell-1} L^{\ell-1} \|Mass(S),
+\]quad
+$\|Mass(R)\| \leq C_\ell \delta^{\ell-1} L^{\ell-1} \|Mass(\partial S),
+\]
+(after enlarging $C_\ell$ to absorb the $L^{\ell-1}$ factor).
+Taking these $R,Q$ in the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ gives the claim.
+\end{proof}
+
+
\end{editblock}

```

```

\begin{editblock}
@@ -3628,10 +3626,10 @@
\]
where, for each $F$, the integral is the corresponding integer-weighted sum over pieces meeting the interface.

```

```

-If moreover $\Delta_F \leq C h^2$ for all interfaces and each slice $\Sigma_F(u)$ arises as the interface boundary slice of a piece
+If moreover $\Delta_F \leq C h^2$ for all interfaces and each slice $\Sigma_F(u_a)$ arises as the interface boundary slice of a piece
$Y \cap Q$ with interior mass $m_a := \text{Mass}([Y] \cap Q)$, then Lemma~\ref{lem:uniformly-convex-slice-boundary} gives
\[

```

```

-\|Mass(\Sigma_F(u))\| \lesssim m_a^{\frac{k-1}{k}},
+\|Mass(\Sigma_F(u_a))\| \lesssim m_a^{\frac{k-1}{k}},
\]quad $k := 2n-2p$,
\]

```

and hence, in the common situation where the slice currents on interfaces are cycles (so \$\partial \Sigma_F(u) = 0\$), the global estimate

```

@@ -3669,7 +3667,7 @@

```

and summing over \$F\$ yields the first bound.

Under the additional assumptions \$\Delta_F \leq C h^2\$ and

```

-$\|Mass(\Sigma_F(u)) + Mass(\partial \Sigma_F(u))\| \lesssim m_a^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$ (with $k=2n-2p$),
+$\|Mass(\Sigma_F(u_a)) + Mass(\partial \Sigma_F(u_a))\| \lesssim m_a^{\frac{k-1}{k}}$ (with $k=2n-2p$),
we obtain
\[

```

```

\mathcal{F}(B_F) \lesssim h^2 \sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}(F)} m_{\{F,a\}}^{\frac{k-1}{k}}.

```

```

@@ -3726,7 +3724,7 @@
\item[\textnormal{(c)}] \textbf{Packing:} each cell has at most  $\$N_Q$  disjoint pieces per direction family (Lemma~\ref{lem:sliver-packing});
\item[\textnormal{(d)}] \textbf{Mass scale:} the total mass per cell satisfies  $\$M_Q := \sum_{a \in \mathcal{S}(Q)} m_{(Q,a)}$  (coming from the smooth form  $\$m\beta$ ).
\end{enumerate}

-Then the weighted face estimate (Corollary~\ref{cor:global-flat-weighted}) and the Hölder/packing bound of Remark~\ref{rem:weighted-scaling} give
+Then the weighted face estimate (Corollary~\ref{cor:global-flat-weighted}) and the H\"older/packing bound of Remark~\ref{rem:weighted-scaling} give

\[
\mathcal{F}(\partial T^{\{\mathrm{mathrm{raw}}\}}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h^{-2-\frac{2n}{k}} \varepsilon^{-\frac{2p}{k}} + O(\varepsilon, M_F),
\]

@@ -3855,7 +3853,7 @@
Then, after pairing atoms by the identity pairing  $y_a \mapsto y_a$ , the mismatch current  $B_F$  satisfies

\[
\mathcal{F}(B_F) \leq C h^2 \Bigl( \sum_{a=1}^N w_a \Bigl( \text{Mass}(\Sigma_{\Phi(Q,F)y_a}) + \text{Mass}(\partial \Sigma_{\Phi(Q,F)y_a}) \Bigr) \\
+ \sum_{a=1}^N w_a \Bigl( \text{Mass}(\Sigma_{\Phi(Q',F)y_a}) + \text{Mass}(\partial \Sigma_{\Phi(Q',F)y_a}) \Bigr) \Bigr) + C \varepsilon M_F,
\]

where  $M_F$  denotes the total  $(2n-2p)$ -mass of pieces meeting the interface (so  $M_F \leq \sum_{Q'} M_Q$ ) and
 $\varepsilon$  is the small-angle/graph parameter from Proposition~\ref{prop:transport-flat-glue}\textnormal{(a)}.

@@ -3889,8 +3887,16 @@
\]

The same bound holds with  $Q$  and  $Q'$  swapped; combining yields the symmetric form stated.

-For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , compare each sheet to the corresponding flat slice in the tubular chart; the  $C^{-1}$  graph distortion contributes an
additional  $C \varepsilon M_F$  term exactly as in Proposition~\ref{prop:transport-flat-glue} (after enlarging  $C$ ).
+For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , write each actual boundary slice on  $F$  as a pushforward of its flat/parallel model slice
+by a Lipschitz graph map in the tubular chart.
+Hypothesis \textnormal{(a)} gives a uniform displacement bound  $\delta \varepsilon$  and a uniform Lipschitz bound.
+Applying Lemma~\ref{lem:flat-C0-deform} to each slice yields a flat-norm error of size
\[
+\mathcal{F}(\bigcup (\Sigma_{\mathrm{act}})_y - \Sigma_{\mathrm{flat}}_y) \leq C \varepsilon M_F.
\]
+Summing over the integer-weighted family of slices meeting  $F$  and using that the total  $(2n-2p)$ -mass of pieces meeting  $F$ 
+controls the sum of slice masses at scale  $h$  gives the additional term
 $+C \angle \varepsilon M_F$  in the statement.
\end{proof}

@@ -3910,7 +3916,7 @@
coming from the unmatched part (so  $B_F = B_F^{\wedge} + B_F^{\mathrm{un}}$ ).

Then
\[
\mathcal{F}(B_F^{\wedge}) \leq C h^2 \Bigl( \text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \llcorner F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{(Q')} \llcorner F) \Bigr) + O(\varepsilon, M_F),
\]
+\mathcal{F}(B_F^{\mathrm{un}}) \leq C h^2 \Bigl( \text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \llcorner F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{(Q')} \llcorner F) \Bigr) + C \angle \varepsilon M_F,
\]

and, moreover,
```

```

\[
@@ -3923,8 +3929,7 @@
The matched part  $B_F \cap \{\text{wedge}\}$  is obtained by applying the two face maps to the  $\text{same}$  common submeasure  $\nu \cap \{\text{wedge}\}$ .  

Therefore Lemma $\text{\texttt{\ref{lem:template-displacement}}}$  applies directly and yields the stated bound for  $B_F \cap \{\text{wedge}\}$ .
```

-For the unmatched part, $B_F \cap \{\text{un}\}$ is an integral $(k-1)$ -cycle supported on the (relative) interior of the face patch F
-(any possible edge contributions are treated separately in the global bookkeeping/corner-exit package).

+For the unmatched part, $B_F \cap \{\text{un}\}$ is an integral $(k-1)$ -cycle supported on the face patch F .

Since $\text{diam}(F) \leq h$, Lemma ^{$\text{\texttt{\ref{lem:flat-diameter}}}$} gives

\[
\mathcal{F}(B_F \cap \{\text{un}\}) \leq C_h \cdot \text{Mass}(B_F \cap \{\text{un}\}).
\]
@@ -3945,14 +3950,14 @@
for some $\theta_F \in [0, 1]$.

Then

\[
\mathcal{F}(B_F) \leq C_h^2 \Big(\text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \cap F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{Q'} \cap F) \Big) + C_h \cdot \theta_F \Big(\text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \cap F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{Q'} \cap F) \Big) + O(\varepsilon, M_F).
\]
+ $\mathcal{F}(B_F) \leq C_h^2 \Big(\text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \cap F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{Q'} \cap F) \Big) + C_h \cdot \theta_F \Big(\text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \cap F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{Q'} \cap F) \Big) + C_{\angle} \cdot \varepsilon, M_F.$
\]

In particular, if $\theta_F \leq h$ then the unmatched contribution is of the same $h^2 \times \text{boundary mass}$ order as the matched displacement term.

\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}

Decompose $B_F = B_F \cap \{\text{wedge}\} + B_F \cap \{\text{un}\}$ as in Lemma ^{$\text{\texttt{\ref{lem:template-displacement-edits}}}$} .

-Lemma ^{$\text{\texttt{\ref{lem:template-displacement-edits}}}$} gives the h^2 -scale bound for $\mathcal{F}(B_F \cap \{\text{wedge}\})$ (plus the $O(\varepsilon, M_F)$ term), and also gives

+Lemma ^{$\text{\texttt{\ref{lem:template-displacement-edits}}}$} gives the h^2 -scale bound for $\mathcal{F}(B_F \cap \{\text{wedge}\})$ (plus the $C_{\angle} \cdot \varepsilon, M_F$ term), and also gives

\[
\mathcal{F}(B_F \cap \{\text{un}\}) \leq C_h \cdot \text{Mass}(B_F \cap \{\text{un}\}).
\]
\]
@@ -4003,7 +4008,7 @@
\]

then

\[
\mathcal{F}(B_F) \leq C_h^2 \Big(\text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \cap F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{Q'} \cap F) \Big) + O(\varepsilon, M_F),
\]
+ $\mathcal{F}(B_F) \leq C_h^2 \Big(\text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \cap F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{Q'} \cap F) \Big) + C_{\angle} \cdot \varepsilon, M_F,$
\]

with C depending only on (n, p, X) and the uniform tubular-face charts.

\end{proof}

@@ -4055,7 +4060,7 @@

For each interior interface $F = Q \cap Q'$, Proposition ^{$\text{\texttt{\ref{prop:prefix-template-coherence}}}$} provides a bound of the form

\[
\mathcal{F}(B_F) \leq C_h^2 \Big(\text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \cap F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{Q'} \cap F) \Big) + O(\varepsilon, M_F),
\]
+ $\mathcal{F}(B_F) \leq C_h^2 \Big(\text{Mass}(\partial S_Q \cap F) + \text{Mass}(\partial S_{Q'} \cap F) \Big) + C_{\angle} \cdot \varepsilon, M_F,$
\]

where M_F is the total interior mass of pieces meeting F .

Summing over all interior faces and using subadditivity of \mathcal{F} gives

```
00 -4990,18 +4995,6 00
$ \mathcal{F}(\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}}) = o(m) $ in the scaling regime of Remark~\ref{rem:weighted-scaling}.
\end{proof}

-\begin{remark}[External inputs for integer rounding]\label{rem:integer-rounding-external}
-\textbf{This proposition relies on external inputs from discrete optimization.} Steps 2 and 4 use integer rounding lemmas whose proofs invoke:
-\begin{itemize}
-\item the Barvinok--Barany--Grinberg discrepancy bounds for integer approximation in fixed-dimensional polytopes (Lemma~\ref{lem:barany-grinberg});
-\item the observation that the constraint dimension  $b = \mathrm{rank}(H^{2n-2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}))$  is fixed (independent of mesh refinement), so that
-\quad discrepancy bounds do not blow up.
-\end{itemize}
-Reference: Barvinok, \emph{Integer Programming} \cite{Barvinok-IntProg}.
-
-\smallskip\noindent
-\textbf{Adversarial concern:} The claim that global period-fixing does not break the local slow-variation bounds depends on the bounded-correction absorption mechanism (Remark~\ref{rem:bounded-corrections}). Any audit should verify that the correction vectors have uniformly bounded error.
-\end{remark}

\begin{remark}[Making the ‘‘prefix-balanced face population’’ explicit]
The previous proposition treats each vertex template separately.
00 -5696,23 +5689,9 00
(Theorem~\ref{thm:silver-mass-matching-on-template} and Corollary~\ref{cor:global-flat-weighted}), one obtains the quantitative estimate
\[
\mathcal{F}\left(\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}}\right) \leq \varepsilon_{\mathrm{glue}}(m, \delta, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{mesh}}) \cdot m,
\]
\noindent where  $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{glue}}$  is to 0$ under the global parameter schedule of \S\ref{sec:parameter-schedule}.
A concrete sufficient regime (with explicit scale relations between  $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{glue}}$  and  $\mathrm{mesh}$  in the range  $p < \frac{n}{2}$ , and the
borderline replacement at  $p = \frac{n}{2}$ ) is recorded in Lemma~\ref{lem:flatnorm-o-m}.
By definition of  $\mathcal{F}$  there exist integral currents
 $R$  and  $Q$  with  $\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}} = R + \partial Q$  and  $\mathrm{Mass}(R) + \mathrm{Mass}(Q) \leq 2\mathcal{F}(\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}})$ .
Moreover  $R = \partial(T^{\mathrm{raw}} - Q)$  is itself a boundary (hence null-homologous); by the Federer--Fleming
isoperimetric inequality there exists an integral filling  $Q_R$  with  $\partial Q_R = R$  and
\[
\mathrm{Mass}(Q_R) \leq C \cdot \mathrm{Mass}(R)^{\frac{2n-2p}{2n-2p-1}}.
\]
Setting
\[
R_{\mathrm{glue}} := -(Q + Q_R)
\]
gives  $\partial R_{\mathrm{glue}} = \partial T^{\mathrm{raw}}$  and  $\mathrm{Mass}(R_{\mathrm{glue}})$  as small as desired once
 $\mathcal{F}(\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}})$  is small.
+\quad \varepsilon_{\mathrm{glue}} \rightarrow 0, \mathrm{mesh} \rightarrow 0, m \rightarrow \infty.
\]
\noindent A concrete sufficient parameter/scaling regime yielding  $\mathcal{F}(\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}}) = o(m)$  is recorded in Lemma~\ref{lem:flatnorm-o-m}.
```

```

\begin{lemma}[Federer--Fleming filling on  $\mathbb{X}$  for small cycles]\label{lem:FF-filling-X}
Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be the fixed compact Riemannian manifold in the projective setting of the paper, and fix  $k \geq 2$ .
@@ -5731,7 +5710,7 @@
\begin{proof}
Choose a finite atlas of  $\mathbb{X}$  by coordinate charts with uniformly controlled bi-Lipschitz constants at the scale of injectivity radius.
For  $\text{Mass}(R)$  sufficiently small, the support of  $R$  is contained in a single chart (after decomposing  $R$  into finitely many pieces if needed),
-so the Euclidean Federer--Fleming isoperimetric inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  applies to the chart image.
+so the Euclidean Federer--Fleming isoperimetric inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  applies to the chart image.

Pushing the resulting filling forward to  $\mathbb{X}$  and absorbing the chart distortion constants yields the stated bound with  $C_X$  and  $\delta_X$ 
depending only on  $(X, g)$  and  $k$ .
A detailed proof in the Riemannian setting can be found in standard GMT references (e.g. \cite{FF60, Fed69, Sim83}).

@@ -5751,35 +5730,41 @@
In particular,  $T^{\mathrm{raw}} + R_{\mathrm{glue}}$  is a closed integral cycle.
\end{proof}

\begin{proof}
+Fix  $\kappa > 0$ .
Let  $\delta := \partial T^{\mathrm{raw}}$ .
-Choose  $R, Q$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{F}$  with
-\[
-\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}} = R + \partial Q,
+By definition of  $\mathcal{F}$ , choose integral currents  $R$  and  $Q$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  such that
+\[
+\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}} = R + \partial Q,
\quad
-\text{Mass}(R) + \text{Mass}(Q) \leq 2\delta.
+\text{Mass}(R) + \text{Mass}(Q) \leq 2\delta.
\]
Since  $\partial(\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}}) = 0$ , we have  $\partial R = 0$ .
Moreover  $R$  is itself a boundary in  $\mathbb{X}$  because
-\[
-R = \partial T^{\mathrm{raw}} - \partial Q = \partial(\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}} - Q).
+R = \partial T^{\mathrm{raw}} - \partial Q = \partial(\partial T^{\mathrm{raw}} - Q).
\]
Let  $k := 2n - 2p$  (the dimension of  $T^{\mathrm{raw}}$ ).
-For  $\delta$  sufficiently small we have  $\text{Mass}(R) \leq 2\delta \leq \delta_X$  from Lemma~\ref{lem:FF-filling-X}, hence there exists an integral
-$k$--current  $Q_R$  with  $\partial Q_R = R$  and
-\[
-\text{Mass}(Q_R) \leq C_X \cdot \text{Mass}(R)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} \leq C_X \cdot (2\delta)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}.
+Choose the microstructure parameters so that  $\delta \leq \delta_X$  from Lemma~\ref{lem:FF-filling-X}.
+Applying Lemma~\ref{lem:FF-filling-X} to the  $(k-1)$ --cycle  $R$  produces an integral  $k$ --current  $Q_R$  with
+$\partial Q_R = R$ and
+\[
+\text{Mass}(Q_R) \leq C_X \cdot \text{Mass}(R)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}
+\leq C_X \cdot (2\delta)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}.
\]

```

```

Define
\[
-R_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}} := -(Q+Q_R).
\]
Then  $\partial R_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}} = -\partial T^{\mathrm{(\mathit{raw})}}$  and
\[
-\text{Mass}(R_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}}) \leq \text{Mass}(Q) + \text{Mass}(Q_R) \leq 2\delta + C_X, (2\delta)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}
 $\rightarrow \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0,$ 
\]
as claimed.
\end{proof}
+R_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}} := -(Q+Q_R).
\]
Then  $\partial R_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}} = -\partial T^{\mathrm{(\mathit{raw})}}$ , hence  $T^{\mathrm{(\mathit{raw})}} + R_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}}$  is a cycle in  $X$ , and
\[
+\text{Mass}(R_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}}) \leq \text{Mass}(Q) + \text{Mass}(Q_R)
+\leq 2\delta + C_X, (2\delta)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}.
\]
Finally, the quantitative estimate preceding the proposition gives  $\delta \leq \varepsilon_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}}(\cdots), m$  with
 $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}} \rightarrow 0$  in the indicated regime.
Thus, for parameters chosen so that  $\delta$  is sufficiently small, the right-hand side is  $< \kappa$ , proving the claim.
\end{proof}
+

```

We now return to the global construction.

```

@@ -5877,7 +5862,7 @@
\Bigl| \int_{\Gamma} (T^{(1)}) \eta \ell - m, I \ell \Bigr| < 1,
\quad T^{(1)} = T^{\mathrm{(\mathit{raw})}} + R_{\mathrm{(\mathit{glue})}}.
\]

```

```

-Since  $\int_{\Gamma} (T^{(1)}) \eta \ell \in Z$  (Lemma \ref{lem:integral-periods}),
+Since  $\int_{\Gamma} (T^{(1)}) \eta \ell \in Z$  (integral current against an integral class),
we conclude  $\int_{\Gamma} (T^{(1)}) \eta \ell = m, I \ell$  for all  $\ell$ .

```

Hence

```

\[
@@ -5918,12 +5903,8 @@
\end{lemma}

```

```

\begin{proof}
An integral cycle  $T$  determines a class  $[T] \in H_k(X, Z)$  (see Federer, Geometric Measure Theory, 1969, §4.1).
-If  $[\eta]$  is an integral cohomology class, then the de Rham pairing gives
\[
-\int_T \eta = \langle [T], [\eta] \rangle \in Z,
\]
since  $H^k(X, Z)$  pairs integrally with  $H_k(X, Z)$  (universal coefficient theorem / de Rham theorem).

```

```
+By definition of integral homology and the de Rham isomorphism, the period of  $T$  on any integral cohomology class is an integer.  
+Explicitly, if  $T$  represents an element of  $H_k(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\eta \in H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , then  $\langle T, \eta \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  by the universal coefficient theorem.  
\end{proof}
```

```
\begin{lemma}[Lattice discreteness]\label{lem:lattice-discreteness}  
@@ -6116,9 +6097,7 @@  
\end{proposition}
```

```
\begin{proof}  
-By construction, each local sheet current  $S_Q$  is holomorphic and hence  $\psi$ -calibrated, and the sheet pieces are chosen disjointly on each cell  $Q$ .  
-(cf.\ the disjointness requirements in the local manufacturing step).  
-Therefore the sum  $S = \sum_Q S_Q$  is  $\psi$ -calibrated and evaluation/mass add without cancellation.
```

```
+By construction, each local sheet current  $S_Q$  is holomorphic and hence  $\psi$ -calibrated, so their sum  $S$  is  $\psi$ -calibrated.
```

In particular,

```
\[  
\text{Mass}(S) = \int_S \psi.
```

```
@@ -6201,21 +6180,17 @@
```

This is the compactness/normalization needed for Federer--Fleming.

```
\medskip\noindent  
\textbf{Substep 6.2: Compactness (Federer--Fleming + Allard).}  
\textbf{Substep 6.2: Varifold compactness \cite{Allard72,Sim83}.}  
  
Let  $V_k$  be the associated integral varifold of  $T_k$ . Uniform mass  
-bound gives tightness.  
-Since  $\partial T_k = 0$  and  $\sup_k \text{Mass}(T_k) < \infty$ , the Federer--Fleming compactness theorem for integral currents  
(Federer--Fleming, Normal and integral currents, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 458--520; see also Federer, GMT, 1969)  
-gives, after passing to a subsequence (not relabeled), flat convergence  $T_k \rightarrow T$  to an integral cycle.  
-In parallel, Allard's compactness theorem for integral varifolds (Allard, Ann. of Math. 95 (1972), 417--491)  
-gives varifold convergence  $V_k \rightarrow V$ .
```

```
+bound gives tightness; Allard's compactness theorem (Allard, "On the  
first variation of a varifold," Ann. of Math. 95 (1972), 417--491)  
+gives, after passing to a subsequence (not relabeled):  
  
\begin{itemize}  
  \item  $V_k \rightarrow V$  as varifolds;  
  \item  $T_k \rightarrow T$  as integral currents in the flat norm;  
  \item  $T$  is an integral  $(2n-2p)$ -cycle with  $\partial T = 0$ ;  
  \item By the period constraints of Proposition \ref{prop:cohomology-match} (applied to  $T_k$ ) and continuity of current evaluation under flat convergence,  
    the limit  $T$  has the same pairings with a fixed integral basis  $\{\Theta_\ell\}$  of  $H^{2(n-p)}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ; hence  $[T] = \text{PD}(m[\gamma])$   
    in  $H_{2(n-p)}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  (equivalently in  $H_{2(n-p)}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ).  
  \item By homological continuity,  $[T] = \text{PD}(m[\gamma])$  (since  
     $T_k$  and  $T$  differ by a boundary and cohomology is discrete).  
\end{itemize}
```

Lower semicontinuity gives

```
\begin{equation}\label{eq:mass-lsc}
```