

Hodge Lean Proof: Axiom Completion Roadmap

What must be proved vs. what can remain assumed

Generated from `DependencyCheck.lean`

December 30, 2025

Purpose. This document lists the **current axiom dependencies** of `hodge_conjecture'` and classifies them into:

- **Must complete** (strategy-critical: likely to contain the conjecture's hard content if left as axioms),
- **Can leave (for now)** (classical pillars + interface glue), if your goal is a solid proof *modulo named classical theorems*.

Note: This is not an unconditional proof unless *all* axioms are ultimately discharged.

1 Current axiom list (mechanical)

Lean reports the following axioms for `hodge_conjecture'` (currently 38):

```
'hodge_conjecture'' depends on axioms: [
FundamentalClassSet_isClosed, IsAlgebraicSet, IsAlgebraicSet_empty,
IsAlgebraicSet_union, calibration_inequality, exists_volume_form_of_submodule_axiom,
flat_limit_of_cycles_is_cycle, hard_lefschetz_inverse_form,
harvey_lawson_fundamental_class, harvey_lawson_represents, harvey_lawson_theorem,
instAddCommGroupDeRhamCohomologyClass, instModuleRealDeRhamCohomologyClass,
isClosed_omegaPow_scaled, isIntegral_zero_current, isSmoothAlternating_add,
isSmoothAlternating_neg, isSmoothAlternating_smul, isSmoothAlternating_sub,
isSmoothAlternating_zero, lefschetz_lift_signed_cycle, limit_is_calibrated,
microstructureSequence_are_cycles, microstructureSequence_defect_bound,
microstructureSequence_flat_limit_exists, ofForm_smul_real, ofForm_sub,
omega_pow_isClosed, omega_pow_represents_multiple, propext, serre_gaga,
signed_decomposition, simpleCalibratedForm_is_smooth, smoothExtDeriv_add,
smoothExtDeriv_smul, wirtinger_comass_bound, Classical.choice, Quot.sound]
```

2 Axioms you still need to complete (recommended)

If your goal is a *solid* proof relative to this strategy (i.e. not assuming the core bridge from rational Hodge class to algebraic cycle), these are the first axioms to target.

2.1 P0 (strategy-critical; highest priority)

Axiom	Declared at	Why it must be completed	What completion means
signed_decomposition	Hodge/Kahler/ SignedDecomp.lean:61	This is where rationality is turned into a decomposition used to build algebraic cycles. If axiomatized, it can encode most of the conjecture's content.	Prove as theorem (or replace by a genuinely standard theorem known <i>not</i> to imply Hodge).
microstructureSequence	Hodge/Kahler/ Microstructure.lean:228	Part of the microstructure pipeline; asserts the constructed approximants are genuine cycles. If axiomatized, it hides the geometric construction.	Define the construction and prove $\partial = 0$ for each approximant.
microstructureSequence	Hodge/Kahler/ Microstructure.lean:234	Controls calibration defect; needed to pass to calibrated limits. If axiomatized, it hides the key analytic estimate.	Prove the defect estimate from concrete norms/currents.
microstructureSequence	Hodge/Kahler/ Microstructure.lean:269	Provides the convergent subsequence / limit current. If axiomatized, it assumes the compactness/extraction needed by the strategy.	Prove via a formal Federer–Fleming compactness theorem for your current model.
harvey_lawson_fundamental	Hodge/Kahler/ Main.lean:94	Cohomology-level bridge equating the fundamental class of the HL/GAGA output to the target class. This is the exact representation step.	Prove the de Rham class identification from the definition of cycle/fundamental class.
lefschetz_lift_signed_cycle	Hodge/Kahler/ Main.lean:150	Cycle-level lifting used in the Hard Lefschetz reduction ($p > n/2$). If axiomatized, it assumes compatibility of cycle classes with Lefschetz operator/hyperplane intersection.	Prove via intersection-with-hyperplane compatibility of cycle class maps.

2.2 P1 (pipeline integrity; classical GMT facts but still assumed here)

These are standard in GMT once currents/flat topology are fully defined, but are still axioms in this repo. Complete them if you want the analytic limit behavior internal to Lean.

Axiom	Declared at	Why it matters	What completion means
limit_is_calibrated	Hodge/Analytic/ Calibration.lean:93	Needed to ensure the flat limit current is calibrated, so Harvey–Lawson applies.	Prove from lower semicontinuity of mass + calibration inequality in a concrete current model.
flat_limit_of_cycles_is_cycle	Hodge/Classical/ HarveyLawson.lean:186	Needed to ensure the flat limit remains a cycle ($\partial = 0$), another HL hypothesis.	Prove continuity of boundary in flat norm for your integral current model.

3 Axioms you can leave (if you accept classical pillars)

If you are comfortable treating major named theorems as axioms, the following can remain assumed while you focus on removing the strategy-critical bridge axioms above.

3.1 Major classical pillars (deep but standard)

Axiom	Declared at	Reason it is reasonable to leave (for now)
hard_lefschetz_inverse_finite	Hodge/Classical/ Lefschetz.lean:48	Hard Lefschetz / Hodge theory infrastructure; large formalization project.
serre_gaga	Hodge/Classical/ GAGA.lean:100	GAGA/Chow direction (analytic \Rightarrow algebraic on projective varieties); large AG formalization.
harvey_lawson_theorem	Hodge/Classical/ HarveyLawson.lean:166	Harvey–Lawson structure theorem for calibrated currents; deep GMT/complex-analytic theorem.
harvey_lawson_represents	Hodge/Classical/ HarveyLawson.lean:170	Companion representation statement for HL conclusion.
omega_pow_represents_mult	Hodge/Kahler/ Main.lean:143	ω^p represented by algebraic cycle (complete intersections/hyperplane sections); classical AG fact.

3.2 Interface / glue axioms (engineering layer)

These provide algebraic/smoothness/linearity properties for the abstract APIs used in the formalization. They are typically discharged only after choosing fully concrete definitions.

Axiom	Declared at	Reason it can be left
IsAlgebraicSet	Hodge/Classical/GAGA.lean:33	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
IsAlgebraicSet_empty	Hodge/Classical/GAGA.lean:55	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
IsAlgebraicSet_union	Hodge/Classical/GAGA.lean:67	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
FundamentalClassSet_isClosed	Hodge/Classical/GAGA.lean:174	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
omega_pow_isClosed	Hodge/Kahler/TypeDecomposition.lean: 142 152	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
isClosed_omegaPow_scaled	Hodge/Kahler/TypeDecomposition.lean: 142 160	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
wirtinger_comass_bound	Hodge/Analytic/Calibration.lean: 30 31	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
calibration_inequality	Hodge/Analytic/Calibration.lean: 55 56	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
exists_volume_form_of_submodu	Hodge/Analytic/Grassmannian.lean: 140 141	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
simpleCalibratedForm_is_smooth	Hodge/Analytic/Grassmannian.lean: 140 141	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
isIntegral_zero_current	Hodge/Analytic/IntegralCurrents.lean: 140 140	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
smoothExtDeriv_add	Hodge/Basic.lean:246	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
smoothExtDeriv_smul	Hodge/Basic.lean:252	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
ofForm_sub	Hodge/Basic.lean:1004	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
ofForm_smul_real	Hodge/Basic.lean:1021	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
isSmoothAlternating_zero	Hodge/Basic.lean:66	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
isSmoothAlternating_add	Hodge/Basic.lean:69	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
isSmoothAlternating_neg	Hodge/Basic.lean:72	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
isSmoothAlternating_sub	Hodge/Basic.lean:78	Interface law; not strategy-critical.
isSmoothAlternating_smul	Hodge/Basic.lean:75	Interface law; not strategy-critical.

Axiom	Declared at	Reason it can be left
instAddCommGroupDeRhamCohomologyGAGA.lean:605	<code>topological_group.lean:605</code>	Algebraic structure axiom.
instModuleRealDeRhamCohomologyHodgeBasic.lean:621	<code>HodgeBasic.lean:621</code>	Algebraic structure axiom.

3.3 Lean foundations

Axiom	Declared at	Reason it can be left
Classical.choice	(Lean core)	Standard classical logic; removing it is a separate (constructive) project.
propext	(Lean core)	Standard extensionality principle in Lean/Mathlib classical developments.
Quot.sound	(Lean core)	Core quotient principle used by Lean; not a mathematical assumption.

4 Recommended completion order

1. **Discharge P0 axioms** (strategy-critical) so the proof does not assume the core bridge.
2. **Discharge P1 axioms** if you want the analytic limit behavior internal to Lean.
3. **Optionally**, begin a long-term project to formalize the classical pillars (Hard Lefschetz, GAGA, Harvey–Lawson).