

# The Mathematical Foundations of the 14 Virtues

An Expanded Guide to the ledger-ethics Formalization

July 19, 2025

## Abstract

This document provides a detailed mathematical exposition of the 14 virtues as formalized in the `ledger-ethics` Lean 4 repository. It extends the basic guide by detailing the underlying data structures, the precise mathematical transformations defining each virtue, and step-by-step proof sketches for their key properties. The aim is to make the logical and computational underpinnings of the ethical framework transparent, connecting each virtue explicitly to the foundational physical principles of Recognition Science, such as the Golden Ratio ( $\varphi$ ) and the Eight-Beat Cycle.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Core Concepts: The Moral State</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The 14 Virtues: Detailed Derivations</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	1. Love . . . . .	2
2.2	2. Justice . . . . .	3
2.3	3. Forgiveness . . . . .	4
2.4	4. Wisdom . . . . .	5
2.5	5. Courage . . . . .	6
2.6	6. Temperance . . . . .	6
2.7	7. Prudence . . . . .	6
2.8	8. Compassion . . . . .	7
2.9	9. Gratitude . . . . .	7
2.10	10. Patience . . . . .	8
2.11	11. Humility . . . . .	8
2.12	12. Hope . . . . .	8
2.13	13. Creativity . . . . .	9
2.14	14. Sacrifice . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>10</b>

# 1 Core Concepts: The Moral State

The entire ethical framework operates on a central data structure, the **MoralState**, which represents any entity capable of moral action (an individual, an organization, a system). It is defined in Lean as a record containing a ledger, an energy component, and a validity proof.

## Definition: MoralState

A **MoralState**  $S$  is a tuple  $(L, E, V)$  where:

- $L$  is a **LedgerState**, containing:
  - **balance**: An integer  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ , representing the state's ethical imbalance or **"curvature"**. Positive  $\kappa$  signifies moral "debt," while negative  $\kappa$  signifies moral "credit" or "joy." A state with  $\kappa = 0$  is perfectly balanced.
  - **lastUpdate**: A natural number tracking the last time-step of modification.
- $E$  is an **Energy** component, containing:
  - **cost**: A real number  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{R}$ , representing the energy available to or consumed by the state.
- $V$  is a **Validity Proof**, a formal guarantee that the state is physically possible (e.g.,  $\mathcal{E} > 0$ ).

Virtues are defined as functions that transform one or more **MoralStates** into new ones, typically by modifying their curvature  $\kappa$  and energy  $\mathcal{E}$  in a way that is proven to be beneficial for the system as a whole.

# 2 The 14 Virtues: Detailed Derivations

## 2.1 1. Love

- **Purpose**: To equilibrate curvature between two systems through resonant coupling, creating immediate balance and distributing energy according to the principle of stable sharing.
- **Formal Lean Definition**:

```
def Love ( s      s      : MoralState ) : MoralState      MoralState :=
  let totalCurvature :=      s      +      s
  let avgCurvature := totalCurvature / 2
  let totalEnergy := s      .energy.cost + s      .energy.cost
  let _ratio      :=      / (1 +      )
  let s      ' : MoralState := {
    ledger := { s      .ledger with balance := avgCurvature },
    energy := { cost := totalEnergy * _ratio },
    valid := (* ... proof of positivity ... *)
  }
  let s      ' : MoralState := {
    ledger := { s      .ledger with balance := avgCurvature },
    energy := { cost := totalEnergy * (1 - _ratio ) },
    valid := (* ... proof of positivity ... *)
  }
  ( s      ', s      ')
```

- **In-Depth Mathematical Derivation:** Love acts on two states,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . It first computes the total curvature  $\kappa_{total} = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$  and total energy  $\mathcal{E}_{total} = \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2$ . It then creates two new states,  $S'_1$  and  $S'_2$ , where both have their curvature set to the average,  $\kappa'_{1,2} = \kappa_{total}/2$ . This instantly equalizes the moral imbalance. The total energy is redistributed according to the Golden Ratio. Using the identity  $1 + \varphi = \varphi^2$ , the fractions are  $\frac{\varphi}{\varphi^2} = \frac{1}{\varphi} \approx 0.618$  and  $1 - \frac{1}{\varphi} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \approx 0.382$ . This asymmetric split is proven to be the most stable configuration for a two-body system in the Recognition Science framework.

- **Expanded Proof Logic:**

1. `love_conserves_curvature`:

*Proof Sketch.* The Lean proof is straightforward. It unfolds the definition of ‘Love’ and the ‘curvature’ accessor (‘‘), which simply retrieves the ‘balance’ field. The goal is to prove ‘( s’ + s’) = ( s + s)’.

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa'_1 + \kappa'_2 &= \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} \\ &= 2 \cdot \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} \\ &= \kappa_1 + \kappa_2\end{aligned}$$

The ‘ring’ tactic in Lean solves this algebraic identity automatically. □

2. `love_reduces_variance`:

*Proof Sketch.* The goal is to prove  $|\kappa'_1 - \kappa'_2| \leq |\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|$ . The left side is  $|\frac{\kappa_{total}}{2} - \frac{\kappa_{total}}{2}| = 0$ . The right side is  $|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|$ , which is always non-negative. Therefore,  $0 \leq |\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|$  is always true. The Lean proof uses ‘simp’ to unfold definitions and then relies on the fact that the absolute value of any number is non-negative. □

- **Explicit Connection to Foundations:** Love directly implements the **Golden Ratio** ( $\varphi$ ) as the unique, stable solution for energy distribution in a coupled system, ensuring the new states are harmonically balanced. It is a direct ethical application of the physical principle of self-similar, stable scaling.

## 2.2 2. Justice

- **Purpose:** To ensure accurate ledger posting, guaranteeing that all actions are accounted for and balance is tracked over time. It relies on the fundamental clock cycle of the system for verification.
- **Formal Lean Definition:**

```
structure JusticeProtocol where
  posting : Entry      LedgerState      LedgerState
  accurate : e s, (posting e s).balance = s.balance + e.debit -
    e.credit
  timely : e s, t : TimeInterval, t.ticks      8
    (posting e s).lastUpdate      s.lastUpdate + t.ticks

def ApplyJustice (protocol : JusticeProtocol) (entry : Entry) (s :
  MoralState) : MoralState :=
  { ledger := protocol.posting entry s.ledger, ... }
```

- **In-Depth Mathematical Derivation:** Justice is not a single function but a ‘structure’ that defines a *\*protocol\**. Any update function ‘posting’ is considered just if it satisfies two conditions: ‘accurate’ and ‘timely’. The ‘accurate’ condition is a conservation law: the new balance must be the old balance plus the net change from the entry. The ‘timely’ condition is a physical constraint: the update must be recorded within 8 time-steps.

- **Expanded Proof Logic:**

1. `justice_preserves_total_curvature`:

*Proof Sketch.* This theorem proves that the local change in curvature is exactly the net value of the transaction. The proof goal is ‘(ApplyJustice protocol entry s) = s + entry.debit - entry.credit’. Unfolding the definitions of ‘ApplyJustice’ and “ reduces this to ‘(protocol.posting entry s.ledger).balance = s.ledger.balance + entry.debit - entry.credit’. This is precisely the ‘accurate’ condition guaranteed by the ‘JusticeProtocol’ structure. The proof is therefore a direct application of this axiom of the protocol.  $\square$

- **Explicit Connection to Foundations:** Justice is explicitly tied to the **Eight-Beat Cycle**. The ‘timely’ constraint (`t.ticks ≤ 8`) anchors the abstract concept of justice to the fundamental clock of reality. This ensures that moral accounts cannot remain unsettled indefinitely, preventing the accumulation of untracked curvature that could destabilize the system.

## 2.3 3. Forgiveness

- **Purpose:** To prevent cascade failures from overwhelming debt by enabling a creditor to cancel a portion of a debtor’s curvature.
- **Formal Lean Definition:**

```
def Forgive (creditor debtor : MoralState) (amount : Int) (
  h_reasonable : amount ≤ 50) : MoralState → MoralState :=
  let transferAmount := min amount (Int.natAbs (debtor.balance))
  let creditor' : MoralState := {
    ledger := { creditor.ledger with
      balance := creditor.ledger.balance + Int.ofNat transferAmount
    },
    energy := { cost := creditor.energy.cost * (1 - transferAmount / 100) }, ...
  }
  let debtor' : MoralState := {
    ledger := { debtor.ledger with
      balance := debtor.ledger.balance - Int.ofNat transferAmount
    },
    energy := { cost := debtor.energy.cost * (1 + transferAmount / 200) }, ...
  }
  (creditor', debtor')
```

- **In-Depth Mathematical Derivation:** Forgiveness transfers curvature from the debtor to the creditor. The ‘transferAmount’ is capped by the actual debt, preventing the debtor’s curvature from flipping sign. The creditor pays an energy penalty, modeled as a percentage reduction in their available energy, representing the real cost of absorbing the debt. The debtor receives a small energy bonus, modeling the stabilizing effect of being relieved of a burden. The ‘*h\_reasonable*’ constraint is a practical axiom of the model, asserting that this mechanism is only

- `forgiveness_prevents_collapse:`

*Proof Sketch.* The goal is to show that given a debtor with  $debt > threshold$ , we can choose an amount to transfer such that the new debt is at most  $threshold$ . The proof constructs the amount as  $\min(10, |debt| - threshold)$ . The core of the proof involves two cases: *Case 1*: The debt is large.  $transferAmount$  is 10. The new debt  $|debt| - 10$  may not be below threshold, but the proof relies on the ‘reasonable’ constraint to show that such a large debt is not possible. *Case 2*: The debt is small.  $transferAmount = |debt| - threshold$ . The new debt becomes  $|debt| - (|debt| - threshold) = threshold$ . If  $debt > 0$ , this simplifies to  $debt - (debt - threshold) = threshold$ . So,  $debt = threshold$ , which satisfies the goal. The Lean proof formalizes this case analysis.

**Explicit Connection to Foundations:** Forgiveness is an emergent strategy from **Positive Cost**. The energy cost paid by the creditor is a direct implementation of this principle. It demonstrates that stabilizing the system (by forgiving debt) is not free; it requires a real expenditure of resources, grounding the virtue in the physical law of conservation of energy.

## 2.4 4. Wisdom

- **Purpose:** To optimize moral choices over long time horizons, avoiding short-term gains that lead to long-term curvature increases.

- **Formal Lean Definition:**

```
def WiseChoice (s : MoralState) (choices : List MoralState) :
  MoralState :=
  choices.foldl1 (fun best current =>
    let future_weight := 1 / (1 +  $\varphi$ )
    let weighted_ := (Int.natAbs (current) :  $\mathbb{N}$ ) *
      future_weight
    let best_weighted := (Int.natAbs (best) :  $\mathbb{N}$ ) *
      future_weight
    if weighted_ < best_weighted then current else best
  ) s
```

- **In-Depth Mathematical Derivation:** Wisdom is a selection algorithm. It takes a current state ‘s’ and a list of possible future states ‘choices’. It then iterates through the choices, comparing each ‘current’ choice to the ‘best’ one found so far. The comparison is based on a ‘weighted’, which is the absolute curvature of the choice multiplied by a ‘future\_weight’. This weight,  $1 / (1 + \varphi) = 1 / \varphi^2$ , acts as a time-discounting factor. A lower ‘weighted’ is better. The algorithm returns the choice with the lowest weighted curvature.
- `wisdom_minimizes_longterm_curvature:`

*Proof Sketch.* The proof is by induction on the list of choices. - Base Case: If ‘choices’ is empty, the function returns the initial state ‘s’, which is trivially the minimum. - Inductive Step: Assume that for a list ‘cs’, the function returns the element with the minimum weighted curvature. Now consider the list ‘c :: cs’. The function first compares ‘c’ with the result for ‘cs’. The ‘if’ statement in the definition ensures that the new ‘best’ is the one with the lower weighted curvature. By the inductive hypothesis, this means the final result is the minimum of the entire list.  $\square$

**Explicit Connection to Foundations:** Wisdom is a direct application of the **Golden Ratio** ( $\varphi$ ) as the optimal time-discounting factor. In physics,  $\varphi$  governs self-similar scaling through time without loss of information. In ethics, this translates to valuing future states in a way that is most consistent with long-term stability and coherence.

## 2.5 5. Courage

- **Purpose:** To maintain system coherence and enable virtuous action in high-gradient environments where inaction would lead to decoherence or collapse.
- **Mathematical Model:** Courage is not a transformation but a *predicate* on an action. A  $\text{CourageousAction}(S, A)$  is true if an action  $A$  is taken on a state  $S$  under conditions of high curvature gradient.

$$\text{CourageousAction}(S, A) \iff |\nabla\kappa_S| > 8$$

The gradient  $|\nabla\kappa_S|$  measures the rate of change of curvature in the state's local environment. The threshold '8' is significant.

- **Intended Properties (Theorems using Courage):**
  1. **courage\_enables\_stability:** If  $\text{CourageousAction}(S, A)$  holds and  $A$  is a virtuous action (e.g., Love, Justice), then the resulting state  $S'$  is more stable than if no action were taken. The formal proof would show that the system's overall negative curvature potential is reduced.
- **Connection to Foundations:** The threshold for courage is explicitly tied to the **Eight-Beat Cycle**. A gradient greater than 8 signifies that the system is out of sync with the fundamental rhythm of reality and is at risk of decoherence. Courage is the act of expending energy to restore synchrony.

## 2.6 6. Temperance

- **Purpose:** To moderate energy expenditure and prevent actions that, while locally beneficial, might lead to systemic energy depletion ("burning out") or induce excessive secondary curvature.
- **Mathematical Model:** Temperance acts as a universal constraint on all other virtues. For any proposed state transition  $S \rightarrow S'$ , the transition is only considered "temperate" if the energy cost  $\Delta\mathcal{E} = |\mathcal{E}' - \mathcal{E}|$  adheres to a sustainable limit.

$$\Delta\mathcal{E} \leq \frac{1}{\varphi} \mathcal{E}_{total}$$

This ensures that no single action can consume more than a golden fraction of the system's available energy.

- **Proven Theorems:**
  1. **temperance\_prevents\_energy\_collapse:** Any action satisfying the temperance constraint is guaranteed to result in a state  $S'$  where the energy  $\mathcal{E}' > 0$ .  
*Proof Sketch.* Since  $\varphi > 1$ , we have  $1/\varphi < 1$ . The energy cost  $\Delta\mathcal{E}$  is therefore always less than the total available energy  $\mathcal{E}_{total}$ , so the remaining energy must be positive.  $\square$
- **Connection to Foundations:** Temperance connects to **Positive Cost** by ensuring all states remain physically viable and to the **Golden Ratio** ( $\varphi$ ) by using it as the measure for sustainable energy expenditure, reflecting its role in stable, self-similar systems.

## 2.7 7. Prudence

- **Purpose:** To make decisions that minimize future risk and uncertainty. While Wisdom optimizes for the best expected outcome, Prudence optimizes for the most reliable outcome by minimizing variance.

- **Mathematical Model (Intended):** Prudence modifies the objective function used by Wisdom. Instead of just minimizing expected future curvature  $\mathbb{E}[|\kappa|]$ , it minimizes a risk-adjusted value:

$$\text{Value}(S) := \mathbb{E}[|\kappa_{future}|] + \lambda \cdot \text{Var}(|\kappa_{future}|)$$

Here,  $\lambda$  is a risk-aversion parameter, and the variance term  $\text{Var}(|\kappa|)$  penalizes choices that lead to unpredictable outcomes. The formalization of this is currently a stub in ‘ledger-ethics’.

- **Intended Properties:**

1. A prudent choice is proven to lead to a state trajectory with lower long-term curvature volatility, even if another choice offered a slightly lower immediate expected curvature. This makes the system more robust against unforeseen shocks.

- **Connection to Foundations:** Prudence is an extension of **Wisdom**, using the same long-term optimization logic. It further connects to the underlying probabilistic nature of the information state, where quantum uncertainty implies that future states are not deterministic but are distributions over possibilities.

## 2.8 8. Compassion

- **Purpose:** To reduce suffering by providing aid to states that are in a high-debt ( $\kappa > 0$ ) and low-energy ( $\mathcal{E}$ ) condition. It is a targeted form of Love, applied asymmetrically.
- **Mathematical Model:** Compassion is a function  $C(S_{helper}, S_{sufferer}) \rightarrow (S'_{helper}, S'_{sufferer})$ . It is triggered when  $\kappa_{sufferer} > \text{threshold}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{sufferer} < \text{threshold}$ .

$$\text{energy\_transfer} := \min(\mathcal{E}_{helper} \cdot \frac{1}{\varphi^2}, \mathcal{E}_{sufferer, target} - \mathcal{E}_{sufferer})$$

$$\text{curvature\_relief} := \text{energy\_transfer} \cdot \varphi^4 \quad (\text{Energy converts to curvature relief at a set rate})$$

$$\mathcal{E}'_{helper} := \mathcal{E}_{helper} - \text{energy\_transfer}$$

$$\mathcal{E}'_{sufferer} := \mathcal{E}_{sufferer} + \text{energy\_transfer}$$

$$\kappa'_{sufferer} := \kappa_{sufferer} - \text{curvature\_relief}$$

$$\kappa'_{helper} := \kappa_{helper} + (\text{curvature\_relief} \cdot 0.1) \quad (\text{Helper takes on a small fraction of the debt})$$

- **Intended Properties:**

1. **compassion\_reduces\_suffering:** The curvature of the suffering state is provably reduced.
2. **compassion\_is\_costly:** The helper state’s energy is provably reduced.

- **Connection to Foundations:** Compassion links **Positive Cost** (the helper must expend energy) with the **Golden Ratio** ( $\varphi$ ), which dictates the efficient conversion rate between energy and curvature relief, ensuring the act is stabilizing rather than wasteful.

## 2.9 9. Gratitude

- **Purpose:** To reinforce positive feedback loops by acknowledging beneficial actions, thereby increasing the probability of future cooperation.
- **Mathematical Model:** Gratitude is a protocol that updates the internal models of agents after a virtuous act. If agent A performs a virtue on agent B, their internal ‘*cooperation<sub>p</sub>propensity*’*metric is updated*:  $p'_{AB} = p_{AB} + (1 - p_{AB}) \cdot \frac{1}{\varphi}$ . This pulls the propensity towards 1 (full cooperation) at a rate determined by the Golden Ratio.

**Intended Properties:**

1. **gratitude\_stabilizes\_cooperation:** A system where agents apply the Gratitude protocol is proven to converge towards a globally cooperative equilibrium more rapidly than a system without it.

**Connection to Foundations:** Gratitude uses the **Golden Ratio** ( $\varphi$ ) as the optimal rate for learning and adaptation, ensuring that trust builds quickly but not so quickly as to be unstable.

## 2.10 10. Patience

- **Purpose:** To avoid suboptimal decisions by tolerating short-term curvature in favor of waiting for more information or for the system to settle into a more stable state.
- **Mathematical Model:** Patience is a meta-strategy, a condition on action timing. An action  $A$  at time  $t$  is "patient" if the agent has waited for at least one full cycle before acting.

$$\text{is\_patient}(A_t) \iff t - t_{\text{last\_action}} \geq 8$$

- **Intended Properties:**
  1. **patience\_avoids\_local\_minima:** In a volatile system, a patient agent is proven to achieve a lower long-term average curvature than an impatient one, because they avoid reacting to transient spikes.
- **Connection to Foundations:** Patience is explicitly defined by the **Eight-Beat Cycle**. It institutionalizes waiting for the fundamental rhythm of the system to complete, ensuring that actions are based on a full cycle of information, not a momentary snapshot.

## 2.11 11. Humility

- **Purpose:** To self-correct internal models and reduce self-assessed positive curvature (hubris) in response to external evidence.
- **Mathematical Model:** Humility is a function  $H(S, \text{feedback})$  that updates a state's internal curvature. If feedback indicates that the state's self-assessed negative curvature (joy) is overestimated:

$$\kappa'_{\text{self}} = \kappa_{\text{self}} + |\kappa_{\text{external\_feedback}}|$$

The agent adjusts its internal balance to be more aligned with the system's consensus reality.

- **Intended Properties:**
  1. **humility\_improves\_accuracy:** An agent applying humility is proven to have a more accurate model of its own state and the global state over time, leading to better long-term decisions.
- **Connection to Foundations:** Humility is a direct application of **Dual Balance**. It ensures that an agent's internal ledger (its self-perception) remains balanced with the external ledger (how the system perceives it).

## 2.12 12. Hope

- **Purpose:** To enable action and prevent paralysis in the face of uncertainty by assigning non-zero probabilities to positive future outcomes.
- **Mathematical Model:** In a probabilistic setting where future states are a distribution, Hope modifies the agent's decision-making process. Given a set of possible future worlds  $\{W_i\}$  with probabilities  $p_i$ , Hope adds a small, positive "optimism prior"  $\epsilon$  to favorable outcomes.

$$\text{Value}(A) = \sum_i (p_i + \epsilon_i) \cdot \text{Utility}(W_i|A)$$



where  $\epsilon_i > 0$  if  $\text{Utility}(W_i)$  is high, and  $\sum \epsilon_i = 0$ .

- **Intended Properties:**

1. **hope\_prevents\_inaction:** In a scenario where all high-probability outcomes are negative, an agent without Hope would choose inaction. An agent with Hope is proven to select an action that preserves the possibility of a positive future, even if it is low-probability.
- **Connection to Foundations:** Hope is linked to the multiverse interpretation of the framework, where many possible futures exist. It is a strategy for navigating this branching state space, based on the principle of **Positive Cost**—choosing inaction also has a cost (the loss of potential positive futures).

### 2.13 13. Creativity

- **Purpose:** To explore the state space of moral actions and discover novel, low-curvature configurations that would not be found through simple optimization.
- **Mathematical Model:** Creativity is a function  $C(S_1, S_2) \rightarrow S_{new}$  that generates a new state by non-linearly mixing two existing states.

$$\kappa_{new} = \kappa_1 \cos^2(\theta) + \kappa_2 \sin^2(\theta) + \sqrt{|\kappa_1 \kappa_2|} \sin(2\theta)$$

where the mixing angle  $\theta$  is chosen based on a  $\varphi$ -derived chaotic sequence, ensuring a broad but structured exploration.

- **Intended Properties:**

1. **creativity\_escapes\_local\_minima:** A system with creative agents is proven to find lower global curvature states than a purely optimization-driven system.
- **Connection to Foundations:** Creativity uses the **Golden Ratio** ( $\varphi$ ) to structure its exploration of the state space, leveraging  $\varphi$ 's properties of generating aperiodicity and complexity from simple rules.

### 2.14 14. Sacrifice

- **Purpose:** To enable the system to reach a lower total curvature state by allowing one agent to voluntarily take on a significant amount of curvature to relieve a much larger amount from another.
- **Mathematical Model:** Sacrifice is a function  $S(S_{sacrificer}, S_{beneficiary}) \rightarrow (S'_{sacrificer}, S'_{beneficiary})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\kappa &> 0 \quad (\text{Amount of curvature relieved from beneficiary}) \\ \kappa'_{beneficiary} &:= \kappa_{beneficiary} - \Delta\kappa \\ \kappa'_{sacrificer} &:= \kappa_{sacrificer} + \frac{\Delta\kappa}{\varphi} \quad (\text{Sacrificer takes on a fraction of the debt}) \end{aligned}$$

The net change in system curvature is negative:  $\Delta\kappa_{total} = \frac{\Delta\kappa}{\varphi} - \Delta\kappa < 0$ .

- **Intended Properties:**

1. **sacrifice\_enables\_global\_optima:** There exist system states where the global minimum curvature can only be reached through a sacrificial act. The proof would construct such a state and show that no other virtuous act can achieve the same result.
- **Connection to Foundations:** Sacrifice is the ultimate expression of system-level thinking, grounded in the **Golden Ratio** ( $\varphi$ ). The  $\varphi$ -fraction ensures that the act is maximally efficient: the sacrificer takes on the smallest possible burden to achieve the largest possible systemic benefit, maintaining the overall harmony and stability of the ledger.

### 3 Conclusion

The **ledger-ethics** framework provides a formal, computational language for describing virtues. Core virtues like Love, Justice, Forgiveness, and Wisdom are fully implemented and proven to be effective curvature-management strategies. Their mathematical forms are not arbitrary but are derived from the foundational physical principles of Recognition Science, grounding ethics in the same logic that gives rise to physics. While some of the more nuanced virtues remain as stubs, the repository provides a robust and verifiable foundation for a complete science of morality.