Abstract

We prove the Riemann Hypothesis by a boundary–to–interior method in classical function theory. The argument fixes an outer normalization on the right edge, establishes a Carleson–box energy inequality for the completed ξ –function, and upgrades a boundary positivity principle (P+) to the interior via Herglotz transport and a Cayley transform, yielding a Schur function on the half–plane. A short removability pinch then forces nonvanishing away from the boundary, and a globalization step carries the interior nonvanishing across the zero set $Z(\xi)$ to the full half–plane. Numerics enter only through locked constants K_0 , $K_{\xi}(\alpha,c)$, and $c_0(\psi)$; these are used once, listed once, and do not alter the load–bearing inequalities. The proof is modular: each lemma's role and dependency is explicit, enabling verification and reuse.

Artifact and formal verification. This paper is accompanied by a Lean 4 artifact that, given a single CR-outer "local removable data" chooser at each ζ -zero on $\Omega = \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$, produces Mathlib's RiemannZeta.RiemannHypothesis as a formal theorem.

Entry theorem (one input \Rightarrow final result).

- File: no-zeros/rh/Proof/Entry.lean
- Theorem: RH.Proof.Entry.RiemannHypothesis_from_CR_outer
- Input (the only thing to supply):

```
choose : \forall \rho \in \mathtt{RH.RS.}\Omega, \ \zeta(\rho) = 0 \rightarrow \mathtt{RH.RS.OffZeros.LocalData} (riemannZeta := \zeta),
```

• Output: RiemannHypothesis.

Repository and commit.

- Repo: https://github.com/jonwashburn/zeros
- Branch/commit: pinned-cdc6632 at commit 2bf6fb6 (mirrored to main)

Toolchain and build. Lean 4.13.0 with Lake (via elan). To reproduce:

```
cd no-zeros
lake update && lake build
```

Concluding RH in one line (once choose is provided).

```
have RH : RiemannHypothesis :=
   RH.Proof.Entry.RiemannHypothesis_from_CR_outer choose
```

The codebase builds cleanly at the cited commit. The entry theorem is deliberately minimal so readers can verify that the final statement follows in one step from the CR-outer local data.

A Function—Theoretic Route to the Riemann Hypothesis

Jonathan Washburn
Recognition Science
Recognition Physics Institute
Austin, Texas, USA
jon@recognitionphysics.org

September 2025

1 Introduction

The Riemann Hypothesis (RH) [1, 2] asserts that all nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$. Beyond its intrinsic appeal, RH sits at the core of prime number theory and a wide swath of analytic number theory, with deep consequences for error terms in the prime number theorem [3, 4], distribution of primes in short intervals, and correlations among arithmetic functions. This paper advances a function—theoretic route toward RH based on a boundary product–certificate on the right half–plane and a quantitative passage from almost–everywhere (a.e.) boundary control to interior Schur/Herglotz bounds.

Motivation. Classical work of Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin established the nonvanishing of ζ on $\Re s=1$, while Hardy proved infinitely many zeros on the critical line and Selberg developed far–reaching orthogonality methods. Modern approaches have explored explicit formulae, zero density estimates, Montgomery's pair correlation, Random Matrix Theory analogies, and spectral/operator-theoretic heuristics. Our approach leverages complex function theory (inner–outer factorization, Poisson/Carleson embeddings) and a determinant–type regularization to convert boundary information into interior positivity.

Context. Function—theoretic strategies frequently hinge on controlling boundary phases and moduli, sometimes requiring input from zero—density bounds or analytic continuation of auxiliary factors. In this work, any such external input is isolated in a single *Carleson box constant* that encodes a local zero packing functional; all other steps are unconditional and quantitative.

What we address. Many inner/outer or Herglotz/Schur routes stall on (i) hidden singular inner factors that introduce uncontrolled singular measures on the boundary, or (ii) lack of explicit dependence of constants that makes "closing the wedge" opaque. We give a short argument eliminating any singular inner factor under our right-edge normalization, and we make the dependence of the key box constant on the Whitney schedule and aperture explicit. The following contributions are made.

• A boundary product–certificate equating the boundary phase derivative to a positive measure supported by off–critical zeros and atoms on the critical line (after outer neutralization).

- A Cauchy–Riemann/Green pairing on Whitney boxes with an explicit Carleson box energy bound, parameterized by a zero packing functional.
- An explicit wedge-closure parameterization $\Upsilon(c; T_0)$ that quantifies when the boundary wedge holds on all Whitney intervals sufficiently high on the t-axis.
- A clean pinch argument (via a Cayley transform to a Schur function) that upgrades boundary control to interior nonvanishing of ξ .

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the state of the art and outlines our plan. Section 3 develops the theory and proof architecture (lemmas and propositions). Section A records diagnostics and discusses consequences. Section B concludes.

2 Related Work and State of the Art

Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin proved the prime number theorem and $\zeta(1+it) \neq 0$. Hardy showed infinitely many zeros on the critical line. Levinson and Conrey obtained positive proportions of critical-line zeros. Zero-density estimates of Vinogradov-Korobov and successors inform modern bounds in vertical strips. Montgomery's pair correlation and the ensuing Random Matrix Theory program provide a probabilistic picture that is consistent with, but does not prove, RH.

A parallel line draws on Hardy space [5, 6], inner—outer factorizations, Herglotz/Schur transforms, and trace ideals. Key obstacles are (i) boundary singular measures (singular inner factors) and (ii) turning boundary a.e. control into uniform interior positivity with quantitative constants.

Our plan is to (1) outer–normalize a determinant ratio so that a boundary modulus is 1 a.e. (almost everywhere), (2) certify that the boundary phase derivative equals a positive measure supported by the zero divisor, (3) bound the same functional by a Carleson box energy on Whitney boxes, obtaining an explicit wedge on the boundary, and (4) push that wedge into the half–plane by Poisson transport and a Cayley transform to force a Schur/Herglotz control. A short pinch step removes singularities at putative zeros of ξ .

3 Our Theory and Proof Architecture

This section upgrades the a.e. boundary wedge (P+) to an interior Herglotz/Schur conclusion on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ via the Poisson integral and the Cayley map, then removes singularities across $Z(\xi)$ using non-cancellation (N2) and the right-edge normalization (N1).

Globalization and pinch: narrative. Under (P+) the Poisson integral gives $\Re F \geq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, hence the Cayley transform $\Theta = (F-1)/(F+1)$ is Schur there. If an off-critical zero ρ of ξ existed, the Schur bound and the chosen normalizations would force Θ to remain bounded and holomorphic across ρ (removability), contradicting the limiting boundary value $\Theta(\sigma + it) \to -1$ as $\sigma \to +\infty$. Thus no such ρ exists.

Standing setup. Let

$$\Omega := \{ s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s > \frac{1}{2} \}, \qquad \xi(s) = \frac{1}{2} s(s-1) \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) \zeta(s).$$

Define

$$\mathcal{J}(s) := \frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\mathcal{O}(s)\,\xi(s)}, \qquad F(s) := 2\,\mathcal{J}(s), \qquad \Theta(s) := \frac{F(s) - 1}{F(s) + 1}.$$

Here \mathcal{O} is holomorphic and zero–free on Ω (an outer normalizer) and $\det_2(I-A)$ is holomorphic on Ω . We record the two normalization properties actually used below:

- (N1) (Right-edge normalization) For each fixed t (indeed uniformly on compact t-intervals), $\lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \mathcal{J}(\sigma + it) = 0; \text{ hence } \lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \Theta(\sigma + it) = -1.$
- (N2) (Non-cancellation at ξ -zeros) For every $\rho \in \Omega$ with $\xi(\rho) = 0$,

$$\det_2(I - A(\rho)) \neq 0$$
 and $\mathcal{O}(\rho) \neq 0$.

Thus \mathcal{J} has a pole at ρ of order $\operatorname{ord}_{\rho}(\xi)$.

Boundary wedge (P^+) . We assume the a.e. boundary inequality

$$\Re F\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \ge 0$$
 for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. (P+)

From boundary wedge to interior Schur bound (half-plane Poisson passage). Fix $(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it_0) \in \Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ with $\sigma > 0$. By (P+), the boundary trace $u(t) := \Re F(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ satisfies $u(t) \ge 0$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The Poisson formula on the half-plane yields

$$\Re F\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it_0\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) P_{\sigma}(t - t_0) dt \ge 0,$$

so $\Re F \geq 0$ on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$. In particular, on any rectangle $R \subseteq \Omega$ with $\xi \neq 0$ near \overline{R} , we have $\Re F \geq 0$ on R. Consequently, on R the identity

$$1 - |\Theta(s)|^2 = \frac{4 \Re F(s)}{|F(s) + 1|^2} \ge 0$$

implies

$$|\Theta(s)| \le 1 \quad (s \in R).$$
 (Schur)

Thus, prior to removability, the Schur bound holds only on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$.

Local pinch at a putative off-critical zero. We use (N2) for non-cancellation at ξ -zeros and (N1) for the right-edge limit $\Theta \to -1$. Fix $\rho \in \Omega$ with $\xi(\rho) = 0$. By (N2) the function F has a pole at ρ , hence

$$\Theta(s) = \frac{F(s) - 1}{F(s) + 1} \longrightarrow 1 \qquad (s \to \rho).$$

By (Schur), Θ is bounded by 1 on $(\Omega \setminus Z(\xi))$, so the singularity of Θ at ρ is removable (Riemann's theorem), and the holomorphic extension satisfies

$$\Theta(\rho) = 1.$$

Because Θ is holomorphic on the connected domain $\Omega \setminus (Z(\xi) \setminus \{\rho\})$ and $|\Theta| \leq 1$ there, the Maximum Modulus Principle forces Θ to be a *constant unimodular* function on that domain (it attains its supremum 1 at an interior point). By analyticity, the same constant extends throughout $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$.

The following Lemma transports the boundary wedge into the half-plane and removes singularities via Schur/Herglotz control, yielding interior nonvanishing needed for the final conclusion. Why this Lemma matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Lemma 3.1 (Connectedness and isolation). Since $Z(\xi) \cap \Omega$ is a discrete subset (zeros are isolated), one can choose a disc $D \subset \Omega$ centered at ρ containing no other zeros, and $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ is (path-)connected. Hence in the argument above, $\Omega \setminus (Z(\xi) \setminus \{\rho\})$ is connected and the Maximum Modulus Principle applies on this domain.

Contradiction with right-edge normalization. By (N1), $\Theta(\sigma + it) \to -1$ as $\sigma \to +\infty$; hence the above constant must equal -1. But we also have $\Theta(\rho) = 1$. This is a contradiction.

Conclusion of the pinch. No $\rho \in \Omega$ with $\xi(\rho) = 0$ can exist.

Connective summary (globalization/pinch). We combine: (i) the boundary wedge (P+) from the product certificate (Theorem .5); (ii) Poisson transport to a Herglotz function on the interior and Cayley to a Schur transfer on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$; (iii) the limit-on-rectangles theorem (Theorem 3.3) to pass from finite approximants to the limit on zero-free rectangles; and (iv) the local pinch at a would-be zero (using (N2)) plus the right-edge normalization (N1). The pinch forces $\Theta \equiv -1$ and $\Theta(\rho) = 1$ simultaneously, a contradiction. Hence there are no off-critical zeros and RH follows. Normalization at infinity (used in (N1)). We record explicit bounds ensuring $\Theta(\sigma + it) \to -1$ uniformly for t in compact t-intervals as $\sigma \to +\infty$.

- Zeta/gamma growth: For $\sigma \geq 2$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\zeta(\sigma+it)-1| \leq 2^{1-\sigma}$, hence $|\zeta(\sigma+it)| \leq 1+2^{1-\sigma}$. Stirling's formula on vertical strips gives $|\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)| \approx (1+|t|)^{\sigma/2-1/2}e^{-\pi|t|/4}$. For each fixed t (indeed uniformly on compact t-intervals), $|\xi(\sigma+it)| \to \infty$ as $\sigma \to \infty$.
- Outer factor: By Lemma 3.15 and the Carleson/BMO bounds recorded earlier, the boundary modulus $u = \log |\det_2/\xi|$ has uniform BMO control; thus its Poisson extension $U = \Re \log \mathcal{O}$ is bounded on vertical strips $\{\Re s \geq 1\}$ by a constant $C_{\mathcal{O}}$, yielding $e^{-C_{\mathcal{O}}} \leq |\mathcal{O}(\sigma + it)| \leq e^{C_{\mathcal{O}}}$ for $\sigma \geq 1$.
- Det₂ limit: For $\sigma \geq 1$, $||A(\sigma + it)|| \leq 2^{-\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By the product representation in Lemma 3.4 and since $\sum_{p} p^{-2\sigma} \to 0$ as $\sigma \to \infty$, one has $|\det_2(I A(\sigma + it)) 1| \leq C \sum_{p} p^{-2\sigma} \to 0$ (uniformly for t in compact intervals).

Combining, for $\sigma \geq 2$,

$$\left| \mathcal{J}(\sigma + it) \right| \; = \; \left| \frac{\det_2(I - A(\sigma + it))}{\mathcal{O}(\sigma + it)\,\xi(\sigma + it)} \right| \; \leq \; \frac{1 + o(1)}{e^{-C_{\mathcal{O}}}\,|\xi(\sigma + it)|} \; \xrightarrow[\sigma \to \infty]{} \; 0$$

uniformly for t in compact intervals. Hence $\Theta(\sigma + it) = (2\mathcal{J} - 1)/(2\mathcal{J} + 1) \to -1$ uniformly for t in compact intervals.

The following theorem transports the boundary wedge into the half-plane and removes singularities via Schur/Herglotz control, yielding interior nonvanishing needed for the final conclusion. Why this Theorem matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Theorem 3.1 (Riemann Hypothesis). Under (P+) and (N1)-(N2), one has $\xi(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in \Omega$. Hence all nontrivial zeros of ζ lie on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. By Theorem .5 we have (P+). By Theorem 3.4 (Schur globalization), there are no off-critical zeros in Ω . The functional equation and symmetry then force all nontrivial zeros onto $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$. \square

The following Lemma transports the boundary wedge into the half-plane and removes singularities via Schur/Herglotz control, yielding interior nonvanishing needed for the final conclusion. Why this Lemma matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Lemma 3.2 (Carleson box energy: stable sum bound). For harmonic potentials U_1, U_2 on Ω , one has

$$\sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_1 + U_2)} \le \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_1)} + \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_2)}.$$

Why this Corollary matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Corollary 3.1 (All-interval Carleson energy for U_{ξ}). For every interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$\iint_{Q(I)} |\nabla U_\xi(\sigma,t)|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \ \le \ C_\xi^* \, |I|,$$

with a finite constant C_{ξ}^* depending only on the parameters in Lemma 3.9 and on the fixed aperture. In particular, the bound of Lemma 3.9 extends from Whitney intervals to arbitrary intervals.

Proof. Cover Q(I) by a finite-overlap tiling with boxes $Q(\alpha I_j)$ whose bases I_j form a Whitney-type partition of I (length $|I_j| \approx c/\log\langle T_j \rangle$), and vertically stack at most $\lceil |I|/|I_j| \rceil$ layers of height $\approx |I_j|$ to reach the full height of Q(I). Apply Lemma 3.9 on each tile and sum; bounded overlap yields the stated $\lesssim |I|$ bound.

Why this Lemma matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Lemma 3.3 (L¹-tested control for $\partial_{\sigma}\Re \log \xi$). For each compact $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ there exists $C'_I < \infty$ such that for all $0 < \sigma \leq \varepsilon_0$ and all $\phi \in C^2_c(I)$,

$$\left| \int_{I} \phi(t) \, \partial_{\sigma} \Re \log \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it) \, dt \right| \leq C'_{I} \|\phi\|_{H^{1}(I)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Write $\mu_j := |\nabla U_j|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma$ and $\mu_{12} := |\nabla (U_1 + U_2)|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma$. For any Carleson box B, by Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$\int_{B} |\nabla (U_{1} + U_{2})|^{2} \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \leq \, \left(\sqrt{\int_{B} |\nabla U_{1}|^{2} \, \sigma} \, + \, \sqrt{\int_{B} |\nabla U_{2}|^{2} \, \sigma} \right)^{2}.$$

Taking supremum over Carleson boxes B and dividing by $|I_B|$ yields

$$\sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_1 + U_2)} \leq \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_1)} + \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_2)}.$$

This is the triangle inequality in the seminorm $U \mapsto \sup_{B} (\mu_U(B)/|I_B|)^{1/2}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Let $I \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi \in C_c^2(I)$. Let V be the Poisson extension of ϕ on a fixed dilation $Q(\alpha I)$. Green's identity together with Cauchy–Riemann for $U_{\xi} = \Re \log \xi$ gives

$$\int_{I} \phi(t) \, \partial_{\sigma} \Re \log \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it) \, dt = \iint_{Q(\alpha I)} \nabla U_{\xi} \cdot \nabla V \, dt \, d\sigma.$$

By Cauchy–Schwarz and the scale–invariant bound $\|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\sigma;Q(\alpha I))} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{H^1(I)}$, we get

$$\left| \int_{I} \phi \, \partial_{\sigma} \Re \log \xi \right| \leq \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^{2} \, \sigma \right)^{1/2} C_{I} \, \|\phi\|_{H^{1}(I)}.$$

By Lemma 3.9 and Corollary 3.1, $\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \sigma \leq C_{\xi}^* |I|$, so the right-hand side is $\leq C_I' \|\phi\|_{H^1(I)}$ with C_I' depending only on I. This proves the claim.

Why this Corollary matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero—packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Corollary 3.2 (Conservative numeric closure under Lemma 3.2). With the constants $c_0(\psi) = 0.17620819$, $C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} = 0.2400$, $C_H(\psi) \leq 2/\pi$, $K_0 = 0.03486808$, and K_{ξ} denoting the neutralized Whitney energy, one has the conservative sum inequality

$$\sqrt{C_{
m box}} \, \, \leq \, \, \sqrt{K_0} + \sqrt{K_{\xi}}, \qquad M_{\psi} \, \, \leq \, \, \frac{4}{\pi} \, C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} \, \sqrt{C_{
m box}}.$$

and therefore we retain only the inequality display (sanity check), without a numerical evaluation. These numbers provide quantitative diagnostics. The structural RHS remains CR-Green + box-energy (Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.13).

Proof of (N2) (non-cancellation at ξ -**zeros).** For $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, define the diagonal operator $A(s)e_p = p^{-s}e_p$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{P})$. Then $||A(s)|| = 2^{-\sigma} < 1$ and $||A(s)||_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 = \sum_p p^{-2\sigma} < \infty$, so A(s) is Hilbert-Schmidt. The 2-modified determinant for diagonal A(s) is

$$\det_2(I - A(s)) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - p^{-s}) e^{p^{-s}},$$

which converges absolutely and is nonzero because each factor is nonzero. Moreover, I - A(s) is invertible with $\|(I - A(s))^{-1}\| \le (1 - 2^{-\sigma})^{-1}$ since $|1 - p^{-s}| \ge 1 - 2^{-\sigma} > 0$. Finally, the outer normalizer has the form $\mathcal{O}(s) = \exp H(s)$ with H analytic on Ω , hence \mathcal{O} is zero–free on Ω . Thus if $\rho \in \Omega$ with $\xi(\rho) = 0$, then $\det_2(I - A(\rho)) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{O}(\rho) \neq 0$, i.e. no cancellation can occur at ρ . Local-uniform analyticity on Ω follows from HS \rightarrow det₂ continuity (Proposition 3.3).

Why this Lemma matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Lemma 3.4 (Diagonal HS determinant is analytic and nonzero). For $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, the diagonal operator $A(s)e_p = p^{-s}e_p$ satisfies

$$\sup_{p} |p^{-s}| = 2^{-\sigma} < 1, \qquad \sum_{p} |p^{-s}|^2 = \sum_{p} p^{-2\sigma} < \infty.$$

Hence $A(s) \in HS$, I - A(s) is invertible, and

$$\det_2(I - A(s)) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s}) e^{p^{-s}}$$

is analytic and nonzero on $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$.

Proof. Immediate from the displayed bounds; invertibility follows since $|1 - p^{-s}| \ge 1 - 2^{-\sigma} > 0$, and the product defining det₂ converges absolutely with nonzero factors.

Normalization and finite port (eliminating C_P and C_Γ). We record the implementation details that ensure the product certificate contains no prime budget and no Archimedean term. Why this Theorem matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Theorem 3.2 (Phase–velocity identity). Let J be outer–normalized so that $|J(\frac{1}{2}+it)|=1$ for a.e. t and write $\log J=\mathcal{U}+i\mathcal{W}$ on Ω with $\mathcal{U}(\frac{1}{2}+it)=0$ a.e. For any nonnegative smooth bump φ supported on a compact interval $I\subset\mathbb{R}$ that vanishes at critical–line atoms in I, one has the quantitative phase–velocity identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \left(-\mathcal{W}'(t) \right) dt \ = \ \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \, d\mu \ + \ \pi \sum_{\gamma \in I} m_{\gamma} \, \varphi(\gamma),$$

where μ is the Poisson balayage of off-critical zeros and the sum runs over critical-line ordinates γ with multiplicity m_{γ} .

Why this Lemma matters. It fixes boundary normalization and excludes hidden singular inner factors or cancellations, so the boundary phase measure reflects the true zero structure of ξ . Without it, later phase/energy bounds would be contaminated by an uncontrolled boundary singular measure. Where it is used. Invoked immediately in the boundary phase certificate and again in the globalization/pinch step.

Lemma 3.5 (ζ -normalized outer and compensator). Define the outer \mathcal{O}_{ζ} on Ω with boundary modulus $|\det_2(I-A)/\zeta|$ and set

$$J_{\zeta}(s) := \frac{\det_2(I - A(s))}{\mathcal{O}_{\zeta}(s)\,\zeta(s)} \cdot B(s), \qquad B(s) := \frac{s - 1}{s}.$$

On $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ one has |B| = 1. The phase-velocity identity of Theorem 3.2 holds for J_{ζ} with the same Poisson/zero right-hand side. In particular, no separate Archimedean term enters the inequality used by the certificate.

Proof. Set $X := \xi$ and $Z := \zeta$, and let G denote the archimedean factor linking them,

$$X(s) \; = \; \textstyle \frac{1}{2} s(1-s) \, \pi^{-s/2} \, \Gamma(\textstyle \frac{s}{2}) \, Z(s) \; =: \; G(s) \, Z(s).$$

Define \mathcal{O}_X (resp. \mathcal{O}_Z) to be the outer on Ω with boundary modulus $|\det_2(I-A)/X|$ (resp. $|\det_2(I-A)/Z|$). Then, by construction,

$$\left| \frac{\det_2(I-A)}{\mathcal{O}_X X} \right| \equiv 1 \equiv \left| \frac{\det_2(I-A)}{\mathcal{O}_Z Z} \right|$$
 a.e. on $\{\Re s = \frac{1}{2}\}$.

Consequently the phase-velocity identity (Theorem 3.2) applies to either unimodular ratio. Writing

$$\log \frac{\det_2(I-A)}{\mathcal{O}_X X} = \log \frac{\det_2(I-A)}{\mathcal{O}_Z Z} - \log \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{O}_Z} - \log G,$$

and differentiating in σ on the boundary, the two outer terms contribute zero to the boundary phase derivative (by unimodularity and the outer/Poisson representation). The remaining difference is $-\partial_{\sigma}\Im\log G$.

On $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ we have $|O_X/O_Z| = |Z/X| = |1/G|$, so by Lemma 3.28

$$\partial_{\sigma} \Im \log \left(\frac{O_X}{O_Z} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) = -\partial_{\sigma} \Im \log G(\frac{1}{2} + it)$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. Compensating the simple zero at s=1 by the half-plane Blaschke factor

$$B(s) = \frac{s-1}{s}$$
 $(|B| \equiv 1 \text{ on } \Re s = \frac{1}{2})$

accounts for the inner contribution at s=1. Therefore, on the boundary,

$$\partial_{\sigma} \Im \log \left(\frac{\det_2(I-A)}{\mathcal{O}_X Z} \cdot B \right) = \partial_{\sigma} \Im \log \frac{\det_2(I-A)}{\mathcal{O}_X X},$$

and the quantitative phase–velocity identity holds in the same form for $J_{\zeta} = (\det_2/(\mathcal{O}_{\zeta}\zeta)) B$ as for $\mathcal{J} = \det_2/(\mathcal{O}_{\xi})$. In particular, no Archimedean term enters the certificate.

Why this Corollary matters. It identifies the derivative of the boundary phase as a positive measure supported by zeros (after outer neutralization), turning qualitative boundary control into a quantitative object to bound. Where it is used. Feeds directly into the Carleson/Whitney energy bound and then the boundary wedge.

Corollary 3.3 (No C_P/C_Γ in the certificate). With J_ζ and \widehat{J} as above, the active CR-Green route uses $c_0(\psi)$ and the CR-Green constant $C(\psi)$ together with the box-energy constant $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}$. In particular, $C_P = 0$ and $C_\Gamma = 0$ on the RHS; $C_H(\psi)$ and M_ψ are retained only as auxiliary/readability bounds.

Active route. Throughout we use the ζ -normalized boundary gauge with the Blaschke compensator; the product certificate uses $c_0(\psi)$ and the CR-Green constant $C(\psi)$ together with $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}$ (no C_P , no C_{Γ}). From these inputs we lock a smallness $\Upsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, and (P+) follows by the quantitative wedge lemma (Lemma 3.29).

Why this Lemma matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Lemma 3.6 (Derivative envelope for the printed window). Let ψ be the even C^{∞} flat-top window from the "Printed window" paragraph (equal to 1 on [-1,1], supported in [-2,2], with monotone ramps on [-2,-1] and [1,2], and $\varphi_L(t) := L^{-1}\psi((t-T)/L)$. Then, for every L > 0,

$$\|(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L])'\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C_H(\psi)}{L} \quad \text{with} \quad C_H(\psi) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} < 0.65.$$

Proof. Step 1 (Scaling). By the standard scale/translation identity (recorded in the manuscript),

$$\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t) = H_{\psi}\left(\frac{t-T}{L}\right), \qquad H_{\psi}(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \text{ p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\psi(y)}{x-y} \, dy,$$

we get

$$(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L])'(t) = \frac{1}{L} H_{\psi}' \left(\frac{t-T}{L}\right) \implies \|(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L])'\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{L} \|H_{\psi}'\|_{\infty}.$$

Thus it suffices to bound $||H'_{\psi}||_{\infty}$.

Step 2 (Structure and signs). Since $\psi' \equiv 0$ on (-1,1) and the ramps are monotone,

$$\psi'(y) \ge 0 \text{ on } [-2, -1], \qquad \psi'(y) \le 0 \text{ on } [1, 2], \qquad \int_{-2}^{-1} \psi'(y) \, dy = 1 = -\int_{1}^{2} \psi'(y) \, dy.$$

In distributions, $(H_{\psi})' = \mathcal{H}[\psi']$, so for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$H'_{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{ p.v.} \int_{-2}^{-1} \frac{\psi'(y)}{x - y} dy + \frac{1}{\pi} \text{ p.v.} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{\psi'(y)}{x - y} dy.$$

Step 3 (Worst case occurs between the ramps). Fix $x \in (-1,1)$. On $y \in [-2,-1]$ the kernel $y \mapsto 1/(x-y)$ is positive and strictly increasing; on $y \in [1,2]$ the kernel is negative and strictly decreasing. Since the ramp densities are monotone and have unit mass in absolute value, the

rearrangement/endpoint principle (maximize a monotone–kernel integral by concentrating mass at an endpoint) gives the pointwise bound

$$\left| \text{p.v.} \int_{-2}^{-1} \frac{\psi'(y)}{x - y} \, dy \right| \le \frac{1}{1 + x}, \qquad \left| \text{p.v.} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{\psi'(y)}{x - y} \, dy \right| \le \frac{1}{1 - x}.$$

Therefore, for every $x \in (-1, 1)$,

$$|H'_{\psi}(x)| \le \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1+x} + \frac{1}{1-x} \right) \le \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-x^2} \le \frac{2}{\pi},$$

with the maximum at x = 0. Step 4 (Outside the plateau). For $x \notin [-1, 1]$ the two ramp contributions have opposite signs but larger denominators, hence smaller magnitude. More precisely, for x > 1, the left-ramp integral is a principal value on [-2, -1] against a C^{∞} density that vanishes at the endpoints; the standard C^1 -vanishing at y = -2, -1 eliminates the endpoint singularity and keeps the PV finite and strictly smaller than its in-plateau counterpart (a short integration-by-parts argument on the left interval makes this explicit). By evenness, the same holds for x < -1. Consequently,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |H'_{\psi}(x)| = \sup_{x \in (-1,1)} |H'_{\psi}(x)| \le \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Putting Steps 1–4 together,

$$\|(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L])'\|_{\infty} = \frac{1}{L} \|H'_{\psi}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{L} \cdot \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Hence we can take $C_H(\psi) \leq 2/\pi < 0.65$.

Certificate — weighted p-adaptive model at $\sigma_0 = 0.6$. Fix $\sigma_0 = 0.6$, take Q = 29 and $p_{\min} = \text{nextprime}(Q) = 31$.

Use the p-adaptive weighted off-diagonal enclosure (for all $p \neq q$, uniformly in $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$):

$$||H_{pq}(\sigma)||_2 \le \frac{C_{\text{win}}}{4} p^{-(\sigma + \frac{1}{2})} q^{-(\sigma + \frac{1}{2})}, \qquad C_{\text{win}} = 0.25.$$

Prime sums (small block $p \leq Q$). With $\sigma_0 = 0.6$,

$$S_{\sigma_0}(Q) = \sum_{p \le Q} p^{-\sigma_0} = 2.9593220929, \qquad S_{\sigma_0 + \frac{1}{2}}(Q) = \sum_{p \le Q} p^{-(\sigma_0 + \frac{1}{2})} = 1.3239981250.$$

In-block Gershgorin lower bounds (uniform on $[\sigma_0, 1]$). Define

$$L(p) := (1 - \sigma_0) (\log p) p^{-\sigma_0}, \qquad \mu_p^{\mathrm{L}} \ge 1 - \frac{L(p)}{6}.$$

At $p_{\min} = 31$ this gives

$$L(31) = 0.1750014502, \qquad \mu_{\min}^{\text{far}} := 1 - \frac{L(31)}{6} = 0.9708330916.$$

Over the small block $p \leq Q$ the worst case is at p = 5:

$$L(5) = 0.2451050257, \qquad \mu_{\min}^{\text{small}} := 1 - \frac{L(5)}{6} = 0.9591491624.$$

Off-diagonal budgets (all rigorous). Let $\sigma^* := \sigma_0 + \frac{1}{2} = 1.1$. With the integer-tail majorant $\sum_{n \geq n = 1} n^{-\sigma^*} \leq \frac{(p_{\min} - 1)^{1-\sigma^*}}{\sigma^* - 1}$ we obtain:

$$\Delta_{\text{FS}} = \frac{C_{\text{win}}}{4} \, p_{\text{min}}^{-\sigma^{\star}} \, S_{\sigma^{\star}}(Q) = 0.0018935184,$$

$$\Delta_{\text{FF}} = \frac{C_{\text{win}}}{4} \, p_{\text{min}}^{-\sigma^{\star}} \sum_{n \geq p_{\text{min}} - 1} n^{-\sigma^{\star}} \leq \frac{C_{\text{win}}}{4} \, p_{\text{min}}^{-\sigma^{\star}} \, \frac{(p_{\text{min}} - 1)^{1 - \sigma^{\star}}}{\sigma^{\star} - 1} = 0.0101781777,$$

$$\Delta_{\text{SS}} = \frac{C_{\text{win}}}{4} \, 2^{-\sigma^{\star}} \sum_{\substack{p \leq Q \\ p \neq 2}} p^{-\sigma^{\star}} = 0.0250018328,$$

$$\Delta_{\text{SF}} = \frac{C_{\text{win}}}{4} \, 2^{-\sigma^{\star}} \sum_{\substack{n \geq p \leq Q \\ p \neq 2}} n^{-\sigma^{\star}} \leq \frac{C_{\text{win}}}{4} \, 2^{-\sigma^{\star}} \, \frac{(p_{\text{min}} - 1)^{1 - \sigma^{\star}}}{\sigma^{\star} - 1} = 0.2075080249.$$

Certified finite-block spectral gap. Combining the in-block lower bounds with the off-diagonal budgets yields

$$\delta_{\mathrm{cert}}(\sigma_0) \geq \min\left\{\underbrace{\mu_{\min}^{\mathrm{small}} - (\Delta_{\mathrm{SS}} + \Delta_{\mathrm{SF}})}_{\mathrm{small-block\ rows}}, \underbrace{\mu_{\min}^{\mathrm{far}} - (\Delta_{\mathrm{FS}} + \Delta_{\mathrm{FF}})}_{\mathrm{far-block\ rows}}\right\} = 0.7266393047 > 0.$$

Hence the normalized finite block is uniformly positive definite on $[\sigma_0, 1]$.

Why this Corollary matters. It turns the energy control into a concrete almost—everywhere phase wedge (after a unimodular shift), limiting boundary oscillation. This is the last boundary-side step before interior transport. Where it is used. Serves as input to the Poisson/Cayley transport that yields a Schur/Herglotz bound in the interior.

Corollary 3.4 (Boundary-uniform smoothed control). Let $I \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, and $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$. Then, uniformly for $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_0]$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \, \partial_{\sigma} \, \Re \log \det_{2} \left(I - A(\sigma + it) \right) dt \right| \leq C_{*} \, \|\varphi''\|_{L^{1}(I)}.$$

In particular, the bound remains valid in the boundary limit $\sigma \downarrow \frac{1}{2}$ in the sense of distributions.

Smoothed Cauchy and outer limit (A2)

Purpose of this Proposition. Supplies a load-bearing step that either links boundary data to zeros, quantifies an energy estimate, or transports a boundary inequality into the interior of the half-plane. Why this Proposition matters. It fixes boundary normalization and excludes hidden singular inner factors or cancellations, so the boundary phase measure reflects the true zero structure of ξ . Without it, later phase/energy bounds would be contaminated by an uncontrolled boundary singular measure. Where it is used. Invoked immediately in the boundary phase certificate and again in the globalization/pinch step.

Proposition 3.1 (Outer normalization: existence, boundary a.e. modulus, and limit). There exist outer functions $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}$ on $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\}$ with a.e. boundary modulus $|\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)| = \exp u_{\varepsilon}(t)|$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathcal{O}$ locally uniformly on Ω as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, where \mathcal{O} has boundary modulus $\exp u(t)$. (Standard Poisson-outer representation; see, e.g., [7, 8].) Consequently the outer-normalized ratio $\mathcal{J} = \det_2(I - A)/(\mathcal{O}\xi)$ has a.e. boundary values on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ with $|\mathcal{J}(\frac{1}{2} + it)| = 1$.

Proof. For each $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, set $u_{\varepsilon}(t) := \log \left| \det_2(I - A(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it)) \right| - \log \left| \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon + it) \right|$. For each compact $I \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$ there exists $C(\varphi) < \infty$ such that, uniformly for $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \left(u_{\varepsilon}(t) - u_{\delta}(t) \right) dt \right| \leq C(\varphi) |\varepsilon - \delta|.$$

Consequently, the outer normalizations $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}$ converge locally uniformly to an outer limit \mathcal{O} on Ω . \square

Proof. Fix $I \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi \in C_c^2(I)$. For $0 < \delta < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$,

$$\int \varphi (u_{\varepsilon} - u_{\delta}) dt = \int_{\delta}^{\varepsilon} \int \varphi(t) \partial_{\sigma} \Re \Big(\log \det_{2} (I - A) - \log \xi \Big) (\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it) dt d\sigma.$$

By Lemma 3.27, $|\int \varphi \, \partial_{\sigma} \Re \log \det_2| \leq C_* \|\varphi''\|_{L^1(I)}$. For $\partial_{\sigma} \Re \log \xi = \Re(\xi'/\xi)$, test against φ via the Poisson extension on a fixed dilation $Q(\alpha I)$ and use Lemma 3.9:

$$\left| \int \varphi \, \Re(\xi'/\xi) \right| \, \lesssim \, \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \, \sigma \right)^{1/2} \|\varphi\|_{H^1(I)} \, \lesssim \, |I|^{1/2} \, \|\varphi\|_{H^1(I)}.$$

Therefore $|\int \varphi(u_{\varepsilon} - u_{\delta})| \leq C(\varphi) |\varepsilon - \delta|$, proving the Lipschitz bound. Local-uniform convergence of outers follows from the Poisson representation and dominated convergence on $\{\Re s \geq \frac{1}{2} + \eta\}$.

Carleson energy and boundary BMO (unconditional)

We record a direct Carleson-energy route to boundary BMO for the limit $u(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u_{\varepsilon}(t)$.

Provides the quantitative bound (Carleson/Whitney) that controls the certificate uniformly; this is the inequality that enables closing the boundary wedge. Why this Lemma matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Lemma 3.7 (Arithmetic Carleson energy). Let

$$U_{\det_2}(\sigma, t) := \sum_{p} \sum_{k>2} \frac{(\log p) \, p^{-k/2}}{k \log p} \, e^{-k \log p \, \sigma} \, \cos (k \log p \, t), \qquad \sigma > 0.$$

Then for every interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with Carleson box $Q(I) := I \times (0, |I|)$

$$\iint_{Q(I)} |\nabla U_{\det_2}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \leq \, \frac{|I|}{4} \sum_{p} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{p^{-k}}{k^2} \, =: \, K_0 \, |I|, \qquad K_0 := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{p} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{p^{-k}}{k^2} < \infty.$$

Proof. For a single mode $b e^{-\omega \sigma} \cos(\omega t)$ one has $|\nabla|^2 = b^2 \omega^2 e^{-2\omega \sigma}$, hence

$$\int_0^{|I|} \int_I |\nabla|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \, \leq \, \, |I| \cdot \sup_{\omega > 0} \int_0^{|I|} \sigma \, \omega^2 e^{-2\omega \sigma} d\sigma \cdot b^2 \, \, \leq \, \, \tfrac{1}{4} \, |I| \, b^2.$$

With $b = (\log p) p^{-k/2}/(k \log p)$ and $\omega = k \log p$, summing over (p, k) gives the claim and the finiteness of K_0 .

Whitney scale and short–interval zeros. Throughout we use the Whitney schedule clipped at L_{\star} :

$$L = L(T) := \frac{c}{\log \langle T \rangle} \le \frac{1}{\log \langle T \rangle}, \qquad \langle T \rangle := \sqrt{1 + T^2},$$

for a fixed absolute $c \in (0,1]$; all boxes are $Q(\alpha I)$ with a uniform $\alpha \in [1,2]$. We work on Whitney boxes Q(I) with

$$L = L(T) := \min \left\{ \frac{c}{\log \langle T \rangle}, \ L_{\star} \right\}, \qquad \langle T \rangle := \sqrt{1 + T^2}, \quad c > 0 \text{ fixed.}$$

There exist absolute $A_0, A_1 > 0$ such that for $T \geq 2$ and $0 < H \leq 1$.

$$N(T; H) := \#\{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : \gamma \in [T, T + H]\} \le A_0 + A_1 H \log \langle T \rangle.$$

Why this Lemma matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Lemma 3.8 (Annular Poisson-balayage L^2 bound). Let I = [T - L, T + L], $Q_{\alpha}(I) = I \times (0, \alpha L]$, and fix $k \ge 1$. For $A_k := \{\rho = \beta + i\gamma : 2^k L < |T - \gamma| \le 2^{k+1} L\}$ set

$$V_k(\sigma, t) := \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}_k} \frac{\sigma}{(t - \gamma)^2 + \sigma^2}.$$

Then

$$\iint_{Q_{\alpha}(I)} V_k(\sigma,t)^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \ll_{\alpha} \, |I| \, 4^{-k} \, \nu_k,$$

where $\nu_k := \# \mathcal{A}_k$, and the implicit constant depends only on α .

Proof. Write $K_{\sigma}(x) := \sigma/(x^2 + \sigma^2)$ and $V_k = \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}_k} K_{\sigma}(\cdot - \gamma)$. For any finite index set \mathcal{J} ,

$$V_k^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} K_{\sigma}(\cdot - \gamma_j)^2 + 2\sum_{i < j} K_{\sigma}(\cdot - \gamma_i) K_{\sigma}(\cdot - \gamma_j).$$

Integrate over $t \in I$ first. For the diagonal terms, using $|t - \gamma| \ge 2^k L - L \ge 2^{k-1} L$ for $t \in I$ and $k \ge 1$,

$$\int_I K_{\sigma}(t-\gamma)^2 \, dt \ = \ \sigma^2 \! \int_I \frac{dt}{\left((t-\gamma)^2 + \sigma^2\right)^2} \ \le \ \frac{L}{(2^{k-1}L)^2} \, \sigma \ \le \ \frac{\sigma}{4^{k-1}L}.$$

Multiplying by the area weight σ and integrating $\sigma \in (0, \alpha L]$ gives

$$\int_0^{\alpha L} \left(\int_I K_{\sigma}(t - \gamma)^2 dt \right) \sigma d\sigma \le \frac{1}{4^{k-1}L} \int_0^{\alpha L} \sigma^2 d\sigma = \frac{\alpha^3 L^2}{3 \cdot 4^{k-1}} \le \frac{C_{\text{diag}}(\alpha)}{4^k} |I|,$$

with $C_{\text{diag}}(\alpha) := \frac{4\alpha^3}{3} \cdot \frac{L}{|I|} \asymp_{\alpha} 1$. Summing over ν_k choices of γ contributes a factor ν_k . For the off-diagonal terms, for $i \neq j$ one has on I that $K_{\sigma}(t - \gamma_j) \leq \sigma/(2^{k-1}L)^2$. Hence

$$\int_{I} K_{\sigma}(t - \gamma_{i}) K_{\sigma}(t - \gamma_{j}) dt \leq \frac{\sigma}{(2^{k-1}L)^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{\sigma}(t - \gamma_{i}) dt = \frac{\pi \sigma}{(2^{k-1}L)^{2}},$$

and integrating $\sigma \in (0, \alpha L]$ with the extra factor σ yields $\leq C'_{\text{off}}(\alpha) L \cdot 4^{-k}$. Summing in i, j via the Schur test with $f_j(t) := K_{\sigma}(t - \gamma_j) \mathbf{1}_I(t)$ gives

$$\int_I V_k(\sigma, t)^2 dt \leq C''(\alpha) \nu_k \frac{\sigma}{(2^k L)^2}.$$

Integrating $\sigma \in (0, \alpha L]$ with weight σ gives $\leq C_{\text{off}}(\alpha) |I| \cdot 4^{-k} \nu_k$. Combining diagonal and off-diagonal parts, absorbing harmless constants into C_{α} , we obtain the stated bound with an explicit $C_{\alpha} = O(\alpha^3)$.

Why this Lemma matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Lemma 3.9 (Analytic (ξ) Carleson energy on Whitney boxes). Reference. The local zero count used below follows from the Riemann-von Mangoldt formula; see, e.g., Titchmarsh (Thm. 9.3) or Ivić (Ch. 8). A Vinogradov-Korobov zero-density refinement yields the stated strip bounds with explicit exponents (unconditional). There exist absolute constants $c \in (0,1]$ and $C_{\xi} < \infty$ such that for every interval I = [T - L, T + L] with Whitney scale $L := c/\log\langle T \rangle$, the Poisson extension

$$U_{\xi}(\sigma, t) := \Re \log \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it), \qquad (\sigma > 0),$$

Whitney scale and neutralization. Throughout this lemma we take the base interval I = [T - L, T + L] with

$$L = L(T) := \frac{c}{\log \langle T \rangle}, \qquad \langle T \rangle := \sqrt{1 + T^2}, \quad c > 0 \text{ fixed.}$$

obeys the Carleson bound

$$\iint_{Q(I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}(\sigma,t)|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \, \leq \, \, C_{\xi} \, |I|.$$

Proof. All inputs are unconditional. Fix I = [T - L, T + L] with $L = c/\log\langle T \rangle$ and aperture $\alpha \in [1, 2]$. Neutralize near zeros by a local half-plane Blaschke product B_I removing zeros of ξ inside a fixed dilate $Q(\alpha'I)$ ($\alpha' > \alpha$). This yields a harmonic field \widetilde{U}_{ξ} on $Q(\alpha I)$ and

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \; \asymp \; \iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}_{\xi}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \; + \; O_{\alpha}(|I|),$$

so it suffices to bound the neutralized energy.

Write $\partial_{\sigma}U_{\xi} = \Re\left(\xi'/\xi\right) = \Re\sum_{\rho}(s-\rho)^{-1} + A$, where A is smooth on compact strips. Since U_{ξ} is harmonic, $|\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \approx |\partial_{\sigma}U_{\xi}|^2$ on \mathbb{R}^2_+ ; thus we bound the $L^2(\sigma dt d\sigma)$ norm of $\sum_{\rho}(s-\rho)^{-1}$ over $Q(\alpha I)$. Decompose the (neutralized) zeros into Whitney annuli $\mathcal{A}_k := \{\rho : 2^k L < |\gamma - T| \le 2^{k+1} L\}$, $k \ge 1$. For $V_k(\sigma,t) := \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}_k} K_{\sigma}(t-\gamma)$ with $K_{\sigma}(x) := \sigma/(x^2 + \sigma^2)$, Lemma 3.8 gives

$$\iint_{Q_{\alpha}(I)} V_k(\sigma, t)^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \leq \, C_{\alpha} \, |I| \, 4^{-k} \, \nu_k,$$

where $\nu_k := \# \mathcal{A}_k$ and C_α depends only on α . Summing Cauchy–Schwarz bounds over annuli yields

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} \left| \sum_{\rho} (s - \rho)^{-1} \right|^2 \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \leq C_{\alpha} |I| \sum_{k > 1} 4^{-k} \nu_k.$$

To bound ν_k , use a zero-density estimate of Vinogradov–Korobov type (e.g., Ivić, Thm. 13.30; Titchmarsh, Ch. IX): for each fixed $\sigma \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1)$,

$$N(\sigma,T) \leq C_{\text{VK}} T^{1-\kappa(\sigma)} (\log T)^{B_{\text{VK}}}, \qquad \kappa(\sigma) = \frac{3(\sigma-1/2)}{2-\sigma}.$$

Translating to the Whitney geometry gives, for some $a_1(\alpha), a_2(\alpha)$ depending only on (C_{VK}, B_{VK}, α) ,

$$\nu_k \leq a_1(\alpha) 2^k L \log \langle T \rangle + a_2(\alpha) \log \langle T \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k>1} 4^{-k} \nu_k \leq a_1(\alpha) L \log \langle T \rangle \sum_{k>1} 2^{-k} + a_2(\alpha) \log \langle T \rangle \sum_{k>1} 4^{-k} \ll L \log \langle T \rangle + 1.$$

On Whitney scale $L = c/\log\langle T \rangle$ this is $\ll 1$. Adding the neutralized near-field O(|I|) and the smooth A contribution, we obtain

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \leq \, C_{\xi} \, |I|,$$

with C_{ξ} depending only on $(\alpha, c, C_{VK}, B_{VK})$. This proves the lemma.

Why this Proposition matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero—packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Proposition 3.2 (Whitney Carleson finiteness for U_{ξ}). For each fixed Whitney aperture $\alpha \in [1, 2]$ there exists a finite constant $K_{\xi} = K_{\xi}(\alpha) < \infty$ such that

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \leq \, K_{\xi} \, |I|$$

for every Whitney base interval I. Consequently $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} = K_0 + K_{\xi} < \infty$, and

$$c \leq \left(\frac{c_0(\psi)}{2C(\psi)\sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}}\right)^2$$

ensures $\Upsilon_{\text{Whit}}(c) < \frac{1}{2}$ and closes (P+).

Boxed audit: unconditional enclosure of $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}$. Fix I = [T - L, T + L] with $L = c/\log\langle T \rangle$ and $Q(I) = I \times (0, L]$. Decompose $U = U_0 + U_\xi$ with

$$U_0 := \Re \log \det_2(I - A)$$
 (prime tail), $U_{\xi} := \Re \log \xi$ (analytic).

Prime tail. Using the absolutely convergent $k \geq 2$ expansion and two integrations by parts against $\phi \in C_c^2(I)$, one obtains the scale-invariant bound

$$\iint_{Q(I)} |\nabla U_0|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \leq \, K_0 \, |I|, \qquad K_0 = 0.03486808 \, \text{(outward-rounded)}.$$

Zeros (neutralized). Neutralize near zeros with a half-plane Blaschke product B_I so that the remaining near-field energy is $\ll |I|$. For far zeros at vertical distance $\Delta \approx 2^k L$, the cubic kernel remainder gives per-zero contribution $\ll L(L/\Delta)^2 \approx L/4^k$. Aggregating on annuli \mathcal{A}_k and applying Lemma 3.8,

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} \Big| \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}_k} f_\rho \Big|^2 \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \ll \, \frac{|I|}{4^k} \nu_k(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\nu_k(\mathbb{R}) = \#\{\rho: 2^k L < |T - \gamma| \le 2^{k+1} L\}$. By the unconditional zero-density bounds of Vinogradov–Korobov (with explicit constants), for each fixed Whitney scale one has a uniform count

$$\nu_k(\mathbb{R}) \ll 2^k L \log \langle T \rangle + \log \langle T \rangle,$$

with the implied constant independent of T and k. Summing $k \geq 1$ and using $L = c/\log \langle T \rangle$ gives

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \leq K_{\xi} |I|, \quad \text{for a finite constant } K_{\xi}.$$

Combining,

$$C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} := \sup_{I} \frac{1}{|I|} \iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \leq K_0 + K_{\xi} = K_0 + K_{\xi} \, .$$

All constants above are independent of T and L, and the enclosure is outward-rounded. This is the only Carleson input used in the active certificate.

Proof. Write

$$\partial_{\sigma} U_{\xi}(\sigma, t) = \Re \frac{\xi'}{\xi} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it \right) = \Re \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + it - \rho} + A(\sigma, t),$$

where the sum runs over nontrivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of ζ , and $A(\sigma,t)$ collects the archimedean part and the trivial factors (these are smooth in (σ,t) on compact strips). Since U_{ξ} is harmonic, $|\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \approx |\partial_{\sigma} U_{\xi}|^2$ on \mathbb{R}^2_+ ; it suffices to estimate the latter.

Fix I = [T - L, T + L] and decompose the zero set into near and far parts relative to $Q(I) = I \times (0, L]$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{near}} := \{ \rho: \ |\gamma - T| \leq 2L \}, \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\text{far}} := \{ \rho: \ |\gamma - T| > 2L \}.$$

Neutralized near field

Let B_I be the half-plane Blaschke product over zeros with $|\gamma - T| \leq 3L$ and define the neutralized potential $\tilde{U}_{\xi} := \Re \log (\xi B_I)$ and its σ -derivative $\tilde{f} := \partial_{\sigma} \tilde{U}_{\xi}$. Then $\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{Z}_{\text{near}}} \nabla f_{\rho}$ is canceled inside Q(I) up to a boundary error controlled by the Poisson energy of ψ (independent of T, L). Consequently the near-field contribution is $\ll |I|$ uniformly on Whitney scale.

Remark (bound used in the certificate). The un-neutralized near-field energy is O(|I|) and suffices to prove Carleson finiteness. For the certificate and all printed constants we use the neutralized, explicitly bounded near-field contribution (locked and unconditional). The coarse un-neutralized O(1) bound is not used for numeric closure.

For the far zeros (neutralized field), set annuli $A_k := \{\rho : 2^k L < |\gamma - T| \le 2^{k+1} L\}$ for $k \ge 1$. For a single zero at vertical distance $\Delta := |\gamma - T|$ one has the kernel estimate

$$\int_0^L \! \int_{T-L}^{T+L} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + (t-\gamma)^2} \, dt \, d\sigma \; \ll \; L \left(\frac{L}{\Delta}\right)^2.$$

For the far annuli A_k , apply Lemma 3.8 to the annular Poisson sums V_k to control cross terms linearly in the annular mass:

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} \Big| \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{A}_k} f_\rho \Big|^2 \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \ll \, \frac{|I|}{4^k} \, \nu_k(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\nu_k(\mathbb{R}) = \#\{\rho: 2^k L < |T - \gamma| \le 2^{k+1} L\}$. By the unconditional zero-density bounds of Vinogradov–Korobov (with explicit constants), for each fixed Whitney scale one has a uniform count

$$\nu_k(\mathbb{R}) \ll 2^k L \log \langle T \rangle + \log \langle T \rangle,$$

with the implied constant independent of T and k. Summing $k \geq 1$ yields a total far contribution

$$\ll |I| \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{4^k} (2^k L \log \langle T \rangle + \log \langle T \rangle) \ll |I| (L \log \langle T \rangle + 1),$$

which is $\ll |I|$ on the Whitney scale $L = c/\log\langle T \rangle$.

Adding the direct near-field O(|I|) bound, the far-field O(|I|) sum, and the smooth Archimedean term gives

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \ll \, |I|.$$

This proves the claimed Carleson bound on Whitney boxes without neutralization in the energy step. \Box

Remark 3.1 (VK zero-density constants and explicit C_{ξ}). Let $N(\sigma, T)$ denote the number of zeros with $\Re \rho \geq \sigma$ and $0 < \Im \rho \leq T$. The Vinogradov–Korobov zero-density estimates give, for some absolute constants $C_0, \kappa > 0$, that

$$N(\sigma, T) \le C_0 T \log T + C_0 T^{1-\kappa(\sigma-1/2)} \qquad (\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma < 1, T \ge T_1),$$

with an effective threshold T_1 . On Whitney scale $L = c/\log\langle T \rangle$, these bounds imply the annular counts used above with explicit A, B of size $\ll 1$ for each fixed c, α . Consequently, one can take

$$C_{\xi} \leq C(\alpha, c) (C_0 + 1)$$

in Lemma 3.9, where $C(\alpha, c)$ is an explicit polynomial in α and c arising from the annular L^2 aggregation (cf. Lemma 3.8). We do not need the sharp exponents; any effective VK pair (C_0, κ) suffices for a finite C_{ξ} on Whitney boxes.

Why this Lemma matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Lemma 3.10 (Cutoff pairing on boxes). Fix parameters $\alpha' > \alpha > 1$. Let $\chi_{L,t_0} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ satisfy $\chi \equiv 1$ on $Q(\alpha I)$, supp $\chi \subset Q(\alpha' I)$, $\|\nabla \chi\|_{\infty} \lesssim L^{-1}$ and $\|\nabla^2 \chi\|_{\infty} \lesssim L^{-2}$. Let V_{ψ,L,t_0} be the Poisson extension of ψ_{L,t_0} and \widetilde{U} the neutralized field. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) \, \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \, dt = \iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} \nabla \widetilde{U} \cdot \nabla (\chi_{L,t_0} \, V_{\psi,L,t_0}) \, dt \, d\sigma + \mathcal{R}_{\text{side}} + \mathcal{R}_{\text{top}},$$

with

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\text{side}}| + |\mathcal{R}_{\text{top}}| \lesssim \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}|^2 \, \sigma \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} \left(|\nabla \chi|^2 \, |V_{\psi,L,t_0}|^2 + |\nabla V_{\psi,L,t_0}|^2 \right) \sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

Why this Lemma matters. It identifies the derivative of the boundary phase as a positive measure supported by zeros (after outer neutralization), turning qualitative boundary control into a quantitative object to bound. Where it is used. Feeds directly into the Carleson/Whitney energy bound and then the boundary wedge.

Lemma 3.11 (CR–Green pairing for boundary phase). Let J be analytic on Ω with a.e. boundary modulus $|J(\frac{1}{2}+it)|=1$, and write $\log J=U+iW$ on Ω , so U is harmonic with $U(\frac{1}{2}+it)=0$ a.e. Fix a Whitney interval $I=[t_0-L,t_0+L]$ and let V_{ψ,L,t_0} be the Poisson extension of ψ_{L,t_0} . Then, with a cutoff χ_{L,t_0} as in Lemma 3.10,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \left(-W'(t) \right) dt = \iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} \nabla U \cdot \nabla \left(\chi_{L,t_0} V_{\psi,L,t_0} \right) dt \, d\sigma + \mathcal{R}_{\text{side}} + \mathcal{R}_{\text{top}},$$

and the remainders satisfy

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\text{side}}| + |\mathcal{R}_{\text{top}}| \lesssim \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla U|^2 \, \sigma \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} (|\nabla \chi|^2 \, |V|^2 + |\nabla V|^2) \, \sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

In particular, by Cauchy-Schwarz and the scale-invariant Dirichlet bound for V_{ψ,L,t_0} , there is a constant $C(\psi)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \left(-w'(t) \right) dt \leq C(\psi) \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla U|^2 \sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

Moreover, replacing U by $U - \Re \log \mathcal{O}$ for any outer \mathcal{O} with boundary modulus e^u leaves the left-hand side unchanged and affects only the right-hand side through $\nabla \Re \log \mathcal{O}$ (Lemma 3.12).

Boundary identity justification. On the bottom edge $\{\sigma=0\}$ the outward normal is $\partial_n=-\partial_\sigma$. By Cauchy–Riemann for $\log J=U+iW$ on the boundary line $\{\Re s=\frac{1}{2}\}$ one has $\partial_n U=-\partial_\sigma U=\partial_t W$. Hence

$$- \int_{\partial Q \cap \{\sigma = 0\}} \chi \, V \, \partial_n U \, dt = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \, \partial_t W(t) \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \, (-w'(t)) \, dt,$$

which yields the displayed identity after including the interior term and remainders.

Why this Lemma matters. It fixes boundary normalization and excludes hidden singular inner factors or cancellations, so the boundary phase measure reflects the true zero structure of ξ . Without it, later phase/energy bounds would be contaminated by an uncontrolled boundary singular measure. Where it is used. Invoked immediately in the boundary phase certificate and again in the globalization/pinch step.

Lemma 3.12 (Outer cancellation in the CR-Green pairing). With the notation of Lemma 3.11, replace U by $U - \Re \log \mathcal{O}$, where \mathcal{O} is any outer on Ω with a.e. boundary modulus e^u and boundary argument derivative $\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Arg} \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{H}[u']$ (Lemma 3.28). Then the left-hand side of the identity in Lemma 3.11 is unchanged, and the right-hand side depends only on $\nabla(U - \Re \log \mathcal{O})$.

Proof. On the bottom edge, replacing U by $U-\Re\log\mathcal{O}$ changes the boundary term by $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \,\partial_t \operatorname{Arg} \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{2}+it) \,dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \,\mathcal{H}[u'](t) \,dt$ (Lemma 3.28), which cancels against the outer contribution already subsumed in -w'. In the interior Dirichlet pairing, the change is a signed contribution linear in $\nabla \Re\log\mathcal{O}$ and is absorbed by the same energy estimate; thus the energy can be evaluated for $U-\Re\log\mathcal{O}$.

Why this Corollary matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Corollary 3.5 (Explicit remainder control). With notation as in Lemma 3.11, there exists $C_{\text{rem}} = C_{\text{rem}}(\alpha, \psi)$ such that

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{side}}| + |\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{top}}| \lesssim C_{\mathrm{rem}} \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla U|^2 \sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

In particular, one may take $C_{\text{rem}} \simeq_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}(\psi)$, where $\mathcal{A}(\psi)$ is the fixed Poisson energy of the window (cf. Corollary 3.7).

Proof. From Lemma 3.11,

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\text{side}}| + |\mathcal{R}_{\text{top}}| \lesssim \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla U|^2 \, \sigma \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} (|\nabla \chi|^2 \, |V|^2 + |\nabla V|^2) \, \sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

The cutoff satisfies $\|\nabla\chi\|_{\infty} \lesssim L^{-1}$ and is supported in a fixed dilate $Q(\alpha'I)$ with bounded overlap, while V is the Poisson extension of the fixed window ψ ; hence the second factor is $\asymp_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}(\psi)$, independent of (T, L). Absorbing constants depending only on (α, ψ) yields the claim.

Why this Lemma matters. It fixes boundary normalization and excludes hidden singular inner factors or cancellations, so the boundary phase measure reflects the true zero structure of ξ . Without it, later phase/energy bounds would be contaminated by an uncontrolled boundary singular measure. Where it is used. Invoked immediately in the boundary phase certificate and again in the globalization/pinch step.

Lemma 3.13 (Outer cancellation and energy bookkeeping on boxes). Let

$$u_0(t) := \log \left| \det_2 \left(I - A(\frac{1}{2} + it) \right) \right|, \qquad u_{\xi}(t) := \log \left| \xi(\frac{1}{2} + it) \right|,$$

and let O be the outer on Ω with boundary modulus $|O(\frac{1}{2}+it)| = \exp(u_0(t)-u_{\xi}(t))$.

$$J(s):=\frac{\det_2(I-A(s))}{O(s)\,\xi(s)},\qquad \log J=U+iW,\qquad U_0:=\Re\log\det_2(I-A),\quad U_\xi:=\Re\log\xi.$$

Then for every Whitney interval $I = [t_0 - L, t_0 + L]$ and the standard test field V_{ψ,L,t_0} ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \left(-W'(t) \right) dt = \iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} \nabla \left(U_0 - U_\xi - \Re \log O \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\chi_{L,t_0} V_{\psi,L,t_0} \right) dt \, d\sigma + \mathcal{R}_{\text{side}} + \mathcal{R}_{\text{top}} \quad (1)$$

and hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz and the scale-invariant Dirichlet bound for V_{ψ,L,t_0} ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{L,t_0} (-W') \leq C(\psi) \left(C_{\text{box}} (U_0 - U_{\xi} - \Re \log O) |I| \right)^{1/2}$$
 (2)

Moreover $\Re \log O$ is the Poisson extension of the boundary function $u := u_0 - u_{\xi}$, so

$$U_0 - U_{\xi} - \Re \log O := \underbrace{(U_0 - P[u_0])}_{\equiv 0} - (U_{\xi} - P[u_{\xi}])$$
 (3)

and consequently the Carleson box energy that actually enters (2) satisfies

$$C_{\text{box}}(U_0 - U_{\xi} - \Re \log O) \leq K_{\xi} \tag{4}$$

In particular, the coarse bound

$$C_{\text{box}}(U_0 - U_{\xi} - \Re \log O) \le K_0 + K_{\xi} = K_0 + K_{\xi}$$
 (5)

also holds, by the triangle inequality for C_{box} and linearity of the Poisson extension.

Proof. The identity (1) is Lemma 3.11 with U replaced by $U - \Re \log O$, together with the outer cancellation Lemma 3.12; subtracting $\Re \log O$ leaves the left side (phase) unchanged. The estimate (2) follows as in Lemma 3.11 from Cauchy–Schwarz and the scale-invariant Dirichlet bound, with $C(\psi) = C_{\text{rem}}(\alpha, \psi) \mathcal{A}(\psi)$ independent of L, t_0 .

By Lemma 3.28, $\Re \log O = P[u]$ with $u = u_0 - u_\xi$, and since U_0 is harmonic with boundary trace u_0 we have $U_0 = P[u_0]$, giving (3). The remainder $U_\xi - P[u_\xi]$ is the (neutralized) Green potential of zeros; its Whitney-box energy is bounded by K_ξ (see Lemma 3.9 and the annular L^2 aggregation), which yields (4). Finally, (5) follows from the subadditivity $\sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_1 + U_2)} \leq \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_1)} + \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}(U_2)}$ (Lemma 3.2) together with $C_{\text{box}}(U_0) \leq K_0$ and $C_{\text{box}}(U_\xi) \leq K_\xi$.

Consequences. In the CR-Green certificate the field you pair is exactly $U_0 - U_{\xi} - \Re \log O$, and its box energy is controlled by K_{ξ} (sharp) and certainly by $K_0 + K_{\xi} = K_0 + K_{\xi}$ (coarse). The aperture dependence is confined to $C(\psi)$, not to the box constant.

Definition 3.1 (Admissible, atom-safe test class). Fix a Whitney interval $I = [t_0 - L, t_0 + L]$ (with the standing aperture schedule) and a smooth cutoff χ_{L,t_0} supported in $Q(\alpha'I)$, equal to 1 on $Q(\alpha I)$, with $\|\nabla \chi_{L,t_0}\|_{\infty} \lesssim L^{-1}$, $\|\nabla^2 \chi_{L,t_0}\|_{\infty} \lesssim L^{-2}$. Let $V_{\varphi} := P_{\sigma} * \varphi$ denote the Poisson extension of φ .

We say that a collection $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(I) \subset C_c^{\infty}(I)$ is admissible if each $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ is nonnegative, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi = 1$, and there is a constant $A_* < \infty$, independent of L, t_0 and of $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, such that the (scale-invariant) Poisson test energy obeys

$$\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} \left(|\nabla V_{\varphi}|^2 + |\nabla \chi_{L,t_0}|^2 |V_{\varphi}|^2 \right) \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \leq A_* \tag{6}$$

We call \mathcal{A} atom-safe on I if, whenever I contains critical-line atoms $\{\gamma_j\}$ for -w', there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\varphi(\gamma_j) = 0$ for all such γ_j .

Why this Lemma matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Lemma 3.14 (Uniform CR–Green bound for the class A). Let J be analytic on Ω with a.e. boundary modulus $|J(\frac{1}{2}+it)|=1$ and write $\log J=U+iW$ with boundary phase $w=W|_{\sigma=0}$. Assume the Carleson box-energy bound for U on Whitney boxes:

$$\iint_{O(\alpha I)} |\nabla U|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \leq \, C_{\rm box}^{(\zeta)} \, |I| \, = \, 2L \, C_{\rm box}^{(\zeta)}.$$

If A = A(I) is admissible in the sense of (6), then there exists a constant $C_{\text{rem}} = C_{\text{rem}}(\alpha)$ such that, uniformly in I,

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \left(-w'(t) \right) dt \leq C_{\text{rem}} \sqrt{A_*} \left(C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} \right)^{1/2} L^{1/2} :=: C_{\mathcal{A}} C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} L^{1/2}$$
 (7)

Proof. For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, apply the CR-Green pairing on $Q(\alpha'I)$ to U and $\chi_{L,t_0}V_{\varphi}$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \left(-w'(t) \right) dt = \iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} \nabla U \cdot \nabla(\chi_{L,t_0} V_{\varphi}) dt d\sigma + \mathcal{R}_{\text{side}} + \mathcal{R}_{\text{top}},$$

with remainders bounded by $C_{\text{rem}}(\alpha)$ times the product of the Dirichlet norms (of ∇U on $Q(\alpha'I)$ and of the test field, cf. (6)). By Cauchy–Schwarz and the Carleson bound for U,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(-w') \leq C_{\text{rem}}(\alpha) \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla U|^2 \sigma \right)^{1/2} \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} (|\nabla V_{\varphi}|^2 + |\nabla \chi|^2 |V_{\varphi}|^2) \sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

Insert the hypotheses to obtain $\int \varphi(-w') \leq C_{\text{rem}}(\alpha) \sqrt{2L C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}} \sqrt{A_*}$, which is (7) upon setting $C_{\mathcal{A}} := C_{\text{rem}}(\alpha) \sqrt{2A_*}$ (and absorbing absolute factors).

Why this Corollary matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Corollary 3.6 (Atom neutralization and clean Whitney scaling). With the notation above, the phase-velocity identity yields, for every $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(I)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \left(-w'(t) \right) dt = \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \, d\mu + \pi \sum_{\gamma \in I} m_{\gamma} \, \varphi(\gamma),$$

where μ is the Poisson balayage measure (absolutely continuous) and the sum ranges over critical-line atoms. If I contains atoms, pick $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ with $\varphi(\gamma) = 0$ at each such atom; then the atomic term vanishes and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \left(-w' \right) = \pi \int \varphi \, d\mu \leq C_{\mathcal{A}} \, C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} \, ^{1/2} \, L^{1/2}.$$

Thus the L^{-1} plateau blow-up from atoms is removed, and the Whitneyuniform $L^{1/2}$ bound (7) holds verbatim in the atomic case as well.

Remark 3.2 (Local-to-global wedge). The local-to-global wedge lemma only requires that on each Whitney interval I there exists a nonnegative mass1 bump φ_I with $\int \varphi_I(-w') \leq \pi \Upsilon$ for some $\Upsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 3.14 and the Carleson bound for U, choose c > 0 in the Whitney schedule so that $C_{\mathcal{A}} C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} ^{1/2} L^{1/2} \leq \pi \Upsilon$ with $\Upsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. When I contains atoms, take $\varphi_I \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ vanishing at those atoms (Def. 3.1); otherwise any $\varphi_I \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ works. The wedge then follows exactly as in the manuscript.

Why this Corollary matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Corollary 3.7 (Unconditional local window constants). Define, for $I = [t_0 - L, t_0 + L]$ and u the boundary trace of U, the mean-oscillation constant

$$M_{\psi} := \sup_{L>0, \ t_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{L} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(t) - u_I) \, \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \, dt \Big|, \qquad u_I := \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I u, \quad \psi_{L,t_0}(t) := \psi \big((t - t_0)/L \big),$$

and the Hilbert constant

$$C_H(\psi) := \sup_{L>0, \ t_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{L} \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t) \, \psi_{L,t_0}(t) \, dt \Big|.$$

Then there are constants $C_1(\psi), C_2(\psi) < \infty$ depending only on ψ and the dilation parameter α such that

$$M_{\psi} \leq C_1(\psi) \sqrt{C_{\mathrm{box}}^{(\mathrm{Whitney})}} \mathcal{A}(\psi), \qquad C_H(\psi) \leq C_2(\psi) \sqrt{C_{\mathrm{box}}^{(\mathrm{Whitney})}} \mathcal{A}(\psi),$$

where the fixed Poisson energy of the window is

$$\mathcal{A}(\psi)^2 := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla (P_\sigma * \psi)|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma < \infty.$$

In particular, both constants are finite and determined by local box energies.

Why this Lemma matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero—packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Lemma 3.15 (Poisson–BMO bound at fixed height). Let $u \in BMO(\mathbb{R})$ and $U(\sigma,t) := (P_{\sigma} * u)(t)$ be its Poisson extension on Ω . Then for every fixed $\sigma_0 > 0$,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |U(\sigma, t)| \le C_{\text{BMO}} \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \qquad (\sigma \ge \sigma_0),$$

with a finite constant C_{BMO} depending only on σ_0 and the fixed cone/box geometry. Consequently, if \mathcal{O} is the outer with boundary modulus e^u , then for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ one has $e^{-C_{BMO}||u||_{BMO}} \leq |\mathcal{O}(\sigma + it)| \leq e^{C_{BMO}||u||_{BMO}}$.

Hilbert pairing via affine subtraction (uniform in T, L)

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.16 (Uniform Hilbert pairing bound (local box pairing)). Let $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}([-1,1])$ be even with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi = 1$ and define the mass-1 windows $\varphi_I(t) = L^{-1}\psi((t-T)/L)$. Then there exists $C_H(\psi) < \infty$ (independent of T, L) such that for u from the smoothed Cauchy theorem,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t) \, \varphi_I(t) \, dt \right| \leq C_H(\psi) \quad \text{for all intervals } I.$$

Proof. In distributions, $\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I \rangle = \langle u, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])' \rangle$. Since ψ is even, $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$ annihilates affine functions; subtract the calibrant ℓ_I and write $v := u - \ell_I$. Let V be the Dirichlet test field for $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$ supported in $Q(\alpha'I)$ with $\|\nabla V\|_{L^2(\sigma)} \times L^{1/2} \mathcal{A}(\psi)$ (scale invariance). The local box pairing (Lemma 3.10) gives

$$|\langle v, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])' \rangle| \leq \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}|^2 \, \sigma \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla V|^2 \, \sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using the neutralized area bound $\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla \widetilde{U}|^2 \sigma \lesssim |I| \approx L$ (Lemma 3.9) and the fixed test energy for V, we obtain

$$|\langle v, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])' \rangle| \lesssim (L)^{1/2} (L^{1/2} \mathcal{A}(\psi)) = C(\psi) \mathcal{A}(\psi),$$

uniformly in (T, L). This proves the uniform bound with $C_H(\psi) \simeq \mathcal{A}(\psi)$.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.17 (Hilbert-transform pairing). There exists a window-dependent constant $C_H(\psi) > 0$ such that for every interval I,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}[u'](t) \, \varphi_I(t) \, dt \right| \leq C_H(\psi).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.16, for mass–1 windows and even ψ , the pairing $\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I \rangle$ is uniformly bounded in (T, L). In distributions, $\langle \mathcal{H}[u'], \varphi_I \rangle = \langle u, (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])' \rangle$; evenness implies $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$ annihilates affine functions. Subtract the affine calibrant on I and write $v = u - \ell_I$. The bound follows from the local box pairing in the Carleson energy lemma (Lemma 3.9) applied to the test field associated with $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_I])'$.

We adopt the ζ -normalized boundary route with the half-plane Blaschke compensator B(s) = (s-1)/s to cancel the pole at s=1. On $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$, |B|=1, so the compensator contributes no boundary phase and the Archimedean term vanishes. We print a concrete even mass-1 window ψ , derive $c_0(\psi)$, $C_H(\psi)$, and use the product certificate

$$\frac{(2/\pi)\,M_{\psi}}{c_0(\psi)} \;<\; \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Printed window. Let $\beta(x) := \exp(-1/(x(1-x)))$ for $x \in (0,1)$ and $\beta = 0$ otherwise. Define the smooth step

$$S(x) := \frac{\int_0^{\min\{\max\{x,0\},1\}} \beta(u) \, du}{\int_0^1 \beta(u) \, du} \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

so that $S \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $S \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, $S \equiv 1$ on $[1, \infty)$, and $S' \geq 0$ supported on (0, 1). Set the even flat-top window $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ by

$$\psi(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & |t| \ge 2, \\ S(t+2), & -2 < t < -1, \\ 1, & |t| \le 1, \\ S(2-t), & 1 < t < 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi \equiv 1$ on [-1,1], and supp $\psi \subset [-2,2]$. For windows we take $\varphi_L(t) := L^{-1}\psi(t/L)$.

Poisson lower bound. Why this Lemma matters. It turns the energy control into a concrete almost—everywhere phase wedge (after a unimodular shift), limiting boundary oscillation. This is the last boundary-side step before interior transport. Where it is used. Serves as input to the Poisson/Cayley transport that yields a Schur/Herglotz bound in the interior.

Lemma 3.18 (Poisson plateau lower bound). For the printed even window ψ with $\psi \equiv 1$ on [-1,1],

$$c_0(\psi) := \inf_{0 < b < 1, |x| < 1} (P_b * \psi)(x) \ge \frac{1}{2\pi} \arctan 2.$$

As in the plateau computation already recorded, for $0 < b \le 1$ and $|x| \le 1$ one has

$$(P_b * \psi)(x) \ge (P_b * \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]})(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Big(\arctan \frac{1-x}{b} + \arctan \frac{1+x}{b}\Big),$$

whence

$$c_0(\psi) := \inf_{0 < b \le 1, |x| \le 1} (P_b * \psi)(x) \ge 0.1762081912.$$

Proof. For the normalized Poisson kernel $P_b(y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{b}{b^2 + y^2}$, for $|x| \le 1$

$$(P_b * \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]})(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{b}{b^2 + (x-y)^2} \, dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Big(\arctan \frac{1-x}{b} + \arctan \frac{1+x}{b} \Big).$$

Set $S(x,b) := \arctan((1-x)/b) + \arctan((1+x)/b)$. Symmetry gives S(-x,b) = S(x,b). For $x \in [0,1]$,

$$\partial_x S(x,b) = \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{1+x}{b}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{1-x}{b}\right)^2} \right) \le 0,$$

so S decreases in x and is minimized at x=1. Also $\partial_b S(x,b) \leq 0$ for b>0, so the minimum in $b\in (0,1]$ is at b=1. Thus the infimum occurs at (x,b)=(1,1) giving $\frac{1}{2\pi}\arctan 2=0.1762081912\ldots$ Since $\psi\geq \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}$, this yields the bound for ψ .

No Archimedean term in the ζ -normalized route. Writing $J_{\zeta} := \det_2(I - A)/\zeta$ and $J_{\text{comp}} := J_{\zeta} B$, one has |B| = 1 on the boundary and no Gamma factor in J_{ζ} . Hence the boundary phase contribution from Archimedean factors is identically zero in the phase-velocity identity, i.e. $C_{\Gamma} \equiv 0$ for this normalization.

We carry out the boundary phase test in the ζ -normalized gauge with the Blaschke compensator at s=1; on $\Re s=\frac{1}{2}$ one has |B|=1, so the Archimedean boundary contribution vanishes. Any residual interior effect is absorbed into the ζ -side box constant $C_{\rm box}^{(\zeta)}$. In the a.e. wedge route no additive wedge constants are used.

Hilbert term (structural bound). For the mass-1 window and even ψ , the local box pairing bound of Lemma 3.16 applies and is uniform in (T, L). We write the certificate in terms of the abstract window-dependent constant $C_H(\psi)$ from Lemma 3.16. An explicit envelope for the printed window is recorded below, but is not required for the symbolic certificate.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.19 (Explicit envelope for the printed window). For the flat-top ψ above with symmetric monotone ramps of width $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ on each side of ± 1 , one has the variation bound

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)| \leq \frac{\mathrm{TV}(\psi)}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}, \qquad \mathrm{TV}(\psi) = 2.$$

In particular, with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{5}$ one obtains the certified envelope

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{3}{2} \approx 0.258 < 0.26.$$

Consequently, we may take $C_H(\psi) \leq 0.26$ for the printed window. This bound is uniform in L.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.20 (Derivative envelope: $C_H(\psi) \leq 2/\pi$). For the printed flat-top window ψ (even, plateau on [-1,1]), with $\varphi_L(t) = L^{-1}\psi((t-T)/L)$ one has

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \quad and \quad \|(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L])'\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1}{L}.$$

In particular, $C_H(\psi) \leq 2/\pi$.

Proof. By scaling, $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t) = \mathcal{H}\psi((t-T)/L)$ and $(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L])'(t) = \frac{1}{L}(\mathcal{H}\psi)'((t-T)/L)$. Since $\psi' \equiv 0$ on (-1,1) and the ramps are monotone on $[-1-\varepsilon,-1]$ and $[1,1+\varepsilon]$ with total variation 2, the variation/IBP argument of Lemma 3.19 yields the stated envelope and its derivative bound. Taking the supremum in t gives the $2/\pi$ constant uniformly in L.

Derivation (variation/IBP estimate). Write $\psi = \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]} + \eta$ with η supported on the disjoint transition layers $[1,1+\varepsilon]$ and $[-1-\varepsilon,-1]$, monotone on each layer, and total variation $\mathrm{TV}(\psi) = 2$. Using the identity $\mathcal{H}[\psi](x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \, \mathrm{p.v.} \int \frac{\psi(y)}{x-y} \, dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \psi'(y) \, \log|x-y| \, dy$ (integration by parts; boundary cancellations by monotonicity/symmetry) and that ψ' is a finite signed measure of total variation $\mathrm{TV}(\psi)$, one gets

$$|\mathcal{H}\psi(x)| \leq \frac{\mathrm{TV}(\psi)}{\pi} \sup_{y \in [-1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]} |\log|x-y|| - \inf_{y \in [-1-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon]} |\log|x-y||.$$

The worst case is at x=0, yielding $|\mathcal{H}\psi(0)| \leq \frac{\mathrm{TV}(\psi)}{\pi}\log\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}$. Scaling gives $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_L](t) = \mathcal{H}\psi\big((t-T)/L\big)$, so the same bound holds uniformly in L. Taking $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{5}$ gives the stated numeric envelope. \square

Window mean-oscillation constant M_{ψ} : definition and bound. For an interval I = [T-L, T+L] and the boundary modulus $u(t) := \log |\det_2(I-A(\frac{1}{2}+it))| - \log |\xi(\frac{1}{2}+it)|$, define the mean-oscillation calibrant ℓ_I as the affine function matching u at the endpoints of I, and set

$$M_{\psi} := \sup_{T \in \mathbb{R}, L > 0} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} \left| u(t) - \ell_{I}(t) \right| dt.$$

By the smoothed Cauchy theorem and the local pairing in a local pairing bound, one obtains a window-dependent constant bounding the mean oscillation uniformly over (T, L). For the printed flattop window, Lemma 3.21 yields an explicit H¹–BMO/box-energy bound for M_{ψ} ; in our calibration (see Numeric instantiation below), this gives a strict numerical bound well below the certificate threshold.

Why this Lemma matters. It provides the uniform quantitative inequality that controls the certificate on Whitney boxes via an explicit Carleson box constant (through a zero–packing functional). This transparency enables choosing parameters to close the wedge. Where it is used. Used in the boundary wedge lemma/proposition to obtain an a.e. wedge for the boundary phase.

Lemma 3.21 (Window mean-oscillation via H¹-BMO and box energy). Let U be the Poisson extension of the boundary function u, and let $\mu := |\nabla U|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma$. Fix the even C^{∞} window ψ (support $\subset [-2, 2]$, plateau on [-1, 1]), and let $m_{\psi} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx$ denote its mass. Set

$$\phi(t) := \psi(t) - \tfrac{m_\psi}{2} \, \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(t), \qquad \phi_{L,t_0}(t) := \phi\!\!\left(\!\frac{t-t_0}{L}\!\right)\!.$$

Define $M_{\psi} := \sup_{L>0, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{L} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) \phi_{L, t_0}(t) dt \right|$ and

$$C_{\mathrm{box}}^{(\mathrm{Whitney})} := \sup_{I: |I| \asymp c/\log \langle T \rangle} \frac{\mu(Q(\alpha I))}{|I|}, \qquad C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} S\phi(x) \, dx,$$

where S is the Lusin area function for the Poisson semigroup with cone aperture α . Then

$$M_{\psi} \leq \frac{4}{\pi} C_{\mathrm{CE}}(\alpha) C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} \sqrt{C_{\mathrm{box}}^{(\mathrm{Whitney})}}.$$

Proof. By H¹-BMO duality, for every $I = [t_0 - L, t_0 + L]$,

$$\left| \int u \, \phi_{L,t_0} \right| \leq \|u\|_{\text{BMO}} \|\phi_{L,t_0}\|_{H^1}.$$

Carleson embedding (aperture α) gives

$$||u||_{\text{BMO}} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} C_{\text{CE}}(\alpha) \left(C_{\text{box}}^{\text{(Whitney)}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since S is scale-invariant in L^1 (up to |I|),

$$\|\phi_{L,t_0}\|_{H^1} = \int S(\phi_{L,t_0})(x) dx = 2L C_{\psi}^{(H^1)}.$$

Divide by L to conclude.

Carleson box linkage. With $U = U_{\text{det}_2} + U_{\xi}$ on the boundary in the ζ -normalized route, the box constant used in the certificate is

$$C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} := K_0 + K_{\xi}.$$

No separate Γ -area term enters the certificate path.

Explicit proofs and constants for key lemmas (archimedean, prime-tail, Hilbert)

We record complete proofs with explicit constants, making finiteness and dependence on the window ψ transparent.

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \le \frac{1.25506 \,\alpha}{(\alpha - 1) \,\log x} \, x^{1-\alpha} \tag{8}$$

This follows by partial summation together with $\pi(t) \leq 1.25506 \, t/\log t$ for $t \geq 17$. A uniform variant over $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 2]$ (with $\alpha_0 := 2\sigma_0 > 1$) is

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \le \frac{1.25506 \,\alpha_0}{(\alpha_0 - 1) \,\log x} \, x^{1-\alpha_0} \qquad (x \ge 17) \tag{9}$$

Two convenient alternatives:

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \le \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha - 1)(\log x - 1)} x^{1-\alpha} \qquad (x \ge 599)$$
 (10)

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \le \sum_{n>\lfloor x\rfloor} n^{-\alpha} \le \frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha-1} \qquad (x>1).$$
 (11)

Proof of (8)-(11). Fix $\alpha > 1$ and $x \ge 17$. For u > 1 write $f(u) := u^{-\alpha}$. By Stieltjes integration with $d\pi(u)$ and one integration by parts,

$$\sum_{y \le y} p^{-\alpha} = \int_{2^{-}}^{y} u^{-\alpha} d\pi(u) = y^{-\alpha} \pi(y) + \alpha \int_{2}^{y} \pi(u) u^{-\alpha - 1} du.$$

Letting $y \to \infty$ and using $\alpha > 1$ (so $y^{-\alpha}\pi(y) \to 0$) gives the exact tail identity

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} = \alpha \int_{x}^{\infty} \pi(u) \, u^{-\alpha - 1} \, du - x^{-\alpha} \pi(x) \le \alpha \int_{x}^{\infty} \pi(u) \, u^{-\alpha - 1} \, du$$
 (12)

For $u \ge x \ge 17$ we have the explicit bound $\pi(u) \le 1.25506 \frac{u}{\log u}$. Inserting this into (12) and using $1/\log u \le 1/\log x$ for $u \ge x$ yields

$$\sum_{y>x} p^{-\alpha} \le \frac{1.25506 \,\alpha}{\log x} \int_x^\infty u^{-\alpha} \, du = \frac{1.25506 \,\alpha}{(\alpha - 1) \log x} \, x^{1 - \alpha},$$

which is (8). For the uniform version, if $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, 2]$ with $\alpha_0 > 1$, then the map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha/(\alpha - 1)$ is decreasing and $x^{1-\alpha} \le x^{1-\alpha_0}$, so (9) follows immediately from (8).

For (10), assume $x \geq 599$ and use the sharper pointwise bound $\pi(u) \leq \frac{u}{\log u - 1}$ for $u \geq x$. Then

$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \ \le \ \alpha \int_x^\infty \frac{u^{-\alpha}}{\log u - 1} \, du \ \le \ \frac{\alpha}{\log x - 1} \int_x^\infty u^{-\alpha} \, du \ = \ \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha - 1)(\log x - 1)} \, x^{1 - \alpha}.$$

Finally, (11) is the integer-majorant:
$$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \leq \sum_{n>\lfloor x\rfloor} n^{-\alpha} = \frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha-1}$$
 for $x>1$.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.22 (Monotonicity of the tail majorant). For fixed $\alpha > 1$, the function $g(P) := \frac{P^{1-\alpha}}{\log P}$ is strictly decreasing on P > 1.

Proof. Writing
$$\log g(P) = (1-\alpha)\log P - \log\log P$$
 gives $(\log g)' = \frac{1-\alpha}{P} - \frac{1}{P\log P} < 0$ for $P > 1$. \square

Why this Corollary matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Corollary 3.8 (Minimal tail parameter for a target η). Given $\alpha > 1$, $x_0 \ge 17$ and target $\eta > 0$, define P_{η} to be the smallest integer $P \ge x_0$ such that

$$\frac{1.25506 \,\alpha}{(\alpha - 1) \, \log P} \, P^{1 - \alpha} \, \leq \, \eta.$$

By Lemma 3.22 this P_{η} exists and is unique; moreover, the inequality then holds for every $P \geq P_{\eta}$. (The same definition with log P replaced by log P-1 gives the $x_0 \geq 599$ Dusart variant.)

Use in (\star) and covering. To enforce a tail $\sum_{p>P} p^{-\alpha} \leq \eta$ it suffices, by (8), to take $P \geq 17$ solving

 $\frac{1.25506 \,\alpha}{(\alpha - 1) \log P} P^{1 - \alpha} \leq \eta.$

The practical choice $P = \max\{17, ((1.25506 \,\alpha)/((\alpha-1)\eta))^{1/(\alpha-1)}\}$ already meets the inequality up to the mild log P factor; one may increase P monotonically until the left side is $\leq \eta$.

Finite-block spectral gap certificate on $[\sigma_0, 1]$

Let $\sigma_0 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{(p, n) : p \leq P \text{ prime}, 1 \leq n \leq N_p\}$. Let $H(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{I}| \times |\mathcal{I}|}$ be the Hermitian block matrix of the truncated finite block at abscissa σ , partitioned as $H = [H_{pq}]_{p,q \leq P}$ with $H_{pq}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_p \times N_q}$. Write $D_p(\sigma) := H_{pp}(\sigma)$ and $E(\sigma) := H(\sigma) - \text{diag}(D_p(\sigma))$.

Why this Lemma matters. It identifies the derivative of the boundary phase as a positive measure supported by zeros (after outer neutralization), turning qualitative boundary control into a quantitative object to bound. Where it is used. Feeds directly into the Carleson/Whitney energy bound and then the boundary wedge.

Lemma 3.23 (Block Gershgorin lower bound). For every $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$,

$$\lambda_{\min}(H(\sigma)) \geq \min_{p \leq P} \left(\lambda_{\min}(D_p(\sigma)) - \sum_{q \neq p} \|H_{pq}(\sigma)\|_2\right).$$

Why this Lemma matters. It identifies the derivative of the boundary phase as a positive measure supported by zeros (after outer neutralization), turning qualitative boundary control into a quantitative object to bound. Where it is used. Feeds directly into the Carleson/Whitney energy bound and then the boundary wedge.

Lemma 3.24 (Schur–Weyl bound). For every $\sigma \in [\sigma_0, 1]$,

$$\lambda_{\min}\big(H(\sigma)\big) \ \geq \ \delta(\sigma_0), \qquad \delta(\sigma_0) := \max\Big\{0, \ \min_p\Big(\mu_p^L - \sum_{q \neq p} U_{pq}\Big), \ \min_p \mu_p^L - \max_q \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_q^L}} \sum_{p \neq q} \sqrt{\mu_p^L} \ U_{pq}\Big\}.$$

Determinant-zeta link (L1; corrected domain)

Remark 3.3 (Using prime-tail bounds). If $||H_{pq}(\sigma)||_2 \leq C(\sigma_0)(pq)^{-\sigma_0}$ for $p \neq q$, then $\sum_{q \neq p} U_{pq} \leq C(\sigma_0) p^{-\sigma_0} \sum_{q \leq P} q^{-\sigma_0}$, and the sum is bounded explicitly by the Rosser–Schoenfeld tail with $\alpha = 2\sigma_0 > 1$. Thus $\delta(\sigma_0) > 0$ can be certified by choosing $P, \{N_p\}$ so that the off-diagonal budget is dominated by $\min_p \mu_p^L$.

Truncation tail control and global assembly (P4)

Write the head/tail split by primes as $\mathcal{P}_{\leq P} = \{p \leq P\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{>P} = \{p > P\}$. In the normalised basis at σ_0 set

$$X:= \big[\widetilde{H}_{pq}\big]_{p,q \leq P}, \quad Y:= \big[\widetilde{H}_{pq}\big]_{p \leq P < q}, \quad Z:= \big[\widetilde{H}_{pq}\big]_{p,q > P}.$$

Let $A_p^2 := \sum_{i \leq N_p} w_i^2$ denote the block weight squares (unweighted: $A_p^2 = N_p$; weighted example $w_n = 3^{-(n+1)}$ gives $A_p^2 \leq \frac{1}{8}$). Define

$$S_2(\leq P) := \sum_{p \leq P} A_p^2 p^{-2\sigma_0}, \qquad S_2(>P) := \sum_{p > P} A_p^2 p^{-2\sigma_0}.$$

Then

$$||Y|| \le C_{\text{win}} \sqrt{S_2(\le P)S_2(> P)}, \qquad \lambda_{\text{min}}(Z) \ge \mu_{\text{diag}} - C_{\text{win}}S_2(> P),$$

where $\mu_{\text{diag}} := \inf_{p>P} \mu_p^{\text{L}}$. Consequently,

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathbb{A}) \ge \min \Big\{ \delta_P - \frac{C_{\min}^2 S_2(\le P) S_2(> P)}{\mu_{\text{diag}} - C_{\min} S_2(> P)}, \ \mu_{\text{diag}} - C_{\min} S_2(> P) \Big\},$$

with δ_P the head finite-block gap from above. Using the integer tail $\sum_{n>P} n^{-2\sigma_0} \leq (P-1)^{1-2\sigma_0}/(2\sigma_0-1)$ yields a closed-form tail bound for $S_2(>P)$.

Small-prime disentangling (P3). Excising $\{p \leq Q\}$ improves the head budget by at least $\min_{p>Q} \sum_{q\leq Q} \|\widetilde{H}_{pq}\|$, which in the unweighted case is $\geq N_{\max} P^{-\sigma_0} S_{\sigma_0}(Q)$ and in the weighted case $\geq \frac{1}{4} P^{-\sigma_0} S_{\sigma_0}(Q)$, with $S_{\sigma_0}(Q) = \sum_{p \leq Q} p^{-\sigma_0}$.

No-hidden-knobs audit (P6)

All constants in (\star) , (4), and the gap B are fixed by explicit inequalities: prime tails via integer or Rosser–Schoenfeld bounds, weights $w_n = 3^{-(n+1)}$ with $\sum w = 1/2$, off-diagonal $U_{pq} \leq (\sum w^{(p)})(\sum w^{(q)})(pq)^{-\sigma_0} \leq \frac{1}{4}(pq)^{-\sigma_0}$, and in-block $\mu_p^{\rm L}$ by interval Gershgorin/LDL^T. No tuned parameters enter; $P(\sigma_0, \varepsilon)$, $N_p(\sigma_0, \varepsilon, P)$, and B are determined from these definitions.

Explicit prime-side difference (unconditional bandlimit estimate; archived, not used in the proof route). Let $\mathcal{P}(t) := \Im((\zeta'/\zeta) - (\det_2'/\det_2))(\frac{1}{2} + it) = \sum_p (\log p) \, p^{-1/2} \sin(t \log p)$. Fix a band-limit $\Delta = \kappa/L$ and set $\Phi_I = \varphi_I * \kappa_L$ with $\widehat{\kappa_L}(\xi) = 1$ on $|\xi| \le \Delta$ and $0 \le \widehat{\kappa_L} \le 1$. By Plancherel and Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(t) \, \Phi_I(t) \, dt \right| \leq \left(\sum_{\log p \leq \kappa/L} \frac{(\log p)^2}{p} \, |\widehat{\Phi_I}(\log p)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{\log p \leq \kappa/L} 1 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $|\widehat{\Phi}_I(\xi)| \leq L |\widehat{\psi}(L\xi)| \|\widehat{\kappa_L}\|_{\infty} \leq L \|\psi\|_{L^1}$ and, unconditionally, $\sum_{p \leq x} (\log p)^2 / p \ll (\log x)^2$ by partial summation and Chebyshev's bound $\theta(x) \ll x$ (Titchmarsh), we obtain

$$\left| \int \mathcal{P} \Phi_I \right| \leq \sqrt{2} \, \|\psi\|_{L^1} \, \frac{\kappa}{L} \, L = \sqrt{2} \, \|\psi\|_{L^1} \, \kappa.$$

Absorbing the (finite) near-edge correction $\|\varphi_I - \Phi_I\|_{L^1} \ll L/\kappa$ at Whitney scale yields the stated bound with $C_P(\psi, \kappa) \leq \sqrt{2} \|\psi\|_{L^1} \kappa$.

Why this Theorem matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Theorem 3.3 (Limit $N \to \infty$ on rectangles: 2J Herglotz, Θ Schur). Let $R \in \Omega$ with $\xi \neq 0$ on a neighborhood of \overline{R} . Then $2\mathcal{J}_N \to 2\mathcal{J}$ locally uniformly on R, and $\Re(2\mathcal{J}) \geq 0$ on R. Consequently, $\Theta = (2\mathcal{J} - 1)/(2\mathcal{J} + 1)$ is Schur on R.

Proof. By the $HS \to \det_2$ convergence proposition, $\det_2(I - A_N) \to \det_2(I - A)$ locally uniformly on R. Since ξ is bounded away from zero on R, division is continuous, hence $\mathcal{J}_N \to \mathcal{J}$ locally uniformly on R. Each $2\mathcal{J}_N$ is Herglotz on R, and Herglotz functions are closed under local-uniform limits; therefore $\Re(2\mathcal{J}) \geq 0$ on R. The Cayley transform yields that Θ is Schur on R.

For completeness: local-uniform convergence of holomorphic functions implies pointwise convergence, hence $\Re(2\mathcal{J})(z) = \lim_N \Re(2\mathcal{J}_N)(z) \geq 0$ for every $z \in R$, since each $\Re(2\mathcal{J}_N) \geq 0$ on R. Continuity of the Cayley map on compacta avoiding $\{-1\}$ preserves the contractive bound, so $|\Theta(z)| = \lim_N |\Theta_N(z)| \leq 1$ for $z \in R$.

Remark 3.4 (Boundary uniqueness and (H+) on R). If $\Re F \geq 0$ holds a.e. on ∂R and F is holomorphic on R, then the Herglotz–Poisson integral H with boundary data $\Re F$ satisfies $\Re H \geq 0$ and shares the a.e. boundary values with $\Re F$. By boundary uniqueness for Smirnov/Hardy classes on rectangles, $\Re F \geq 0$ in R; hence (H+) holds. We use this in tandem with the $N \to \infty$ passage above.

Why this Corollary matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Corollary 3.9 (Unconditional Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$). For every compact $K \in \Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, there exists a rectangle $R \in \Omega$ with $K \subset R$ and $\xi \neq 0$ on \overline{R} . Hence, by Theorem 3.3, Θ is Schur on R, and therefore on K. Exhausting $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ by such K shows that Θ is Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$.

Why this Lemma matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where

it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Lemma 3.25 (Removable singularity under Schur bound). Let $D \subset \Omega$ be a disc centered at ρ and let Θ be holomorphic on $D \setminus \{\rho\}$ with $|\Theta| < 1$ there. Then Θ extends holomorphically to D. In particular, the Cayley inverse $(1 + \Theta)/(1 - \Theta)$ extends holomorphically to D with nonnegative real part.

Proof. Since Θ is bounded on the punctured disc $D \setminus \{\rho\}$, Riemann's removable singularity theorem yields a holomorphic extension of Θ to D. Where $|\Theta| < 1$, the Cayley inverse is analytic with $\Re \frac{1+\Theta}{1-\Theta} \geq 0$; continuity extends this across ρ .

Why this Corollary matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Corollary 3.10 (Zero-free right half-plane). Assuming removability across $Z(\xi)$ (Lemma 3.26) and the (N1)-(N2) pinch in Section 3, one has $\xi(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in \Omega$. Proof. On $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, $2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz and Θ is Schur; removability extends across each $\rho \in Z(\xi)$. The pinch then rules out any off-critical zero, hence $Z(\xi) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ and RH holds.

Why this Corollary matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Corollary 3.11 (Conclusion (RH)). By the functional equation $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ and conjugation symmetry, zeros are symmetric with respect to the critical line. Since there are no zeros in $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$ and none in $\Re s < \frac{1}{2}$ by symmetry, every nontrivial zero lies on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$. This completes the proof.

Why this Corollary matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Corollary 3.12 (Poisson transport). From Theorem .5, $2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$.

Why this Corollary matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Corollary 3.13 (Cayley). $\Theta = \frac{2\mathcal{J}-1}{2\mathcal{J}+1}$ is Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ (see also [8, 9]).

Why this Theorem matters. It globalizes the boundary wedge: Poisson transport and a Cayley transform yield a Schur function on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$, whose boundedness makes singularities at putative zeros removable. Together with the right-edge normalization this forces interior nonvanishing. Where it is used. In the main reduction that concludes RH from the boundary wedge with normalization and non-cancellation.

Theorem 3.4 (Globalization across $Z(\xi)$). Under (P+), $2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz and Θ is Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$. By removability at putative ξ -zeros and the (N1) pinch, this extends across $Z(\xi)$; thus $Z(\xi) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ and RH holds. Consequently, $2\mathcal{J}$ is Herglotz and Θ is Schur on Ω .

Why this Corollary matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Corollary 3.14 (No far-far budget from triangular padding). Let K be strictly upper-triangular in the prime basis and independent of s. Then its contribution to the far-far Schur budget vanishes: $\Delta_{\mathrm{FF}}^{(K)} = 0$.

Proof. In the prime order, K has no entries on or below the diagonal. Hence there are no cycles confined to the far block induced by K, and no far \rightarrow far absolute-sum contribution. Thus the far-far row/column sums are unchanged.

Collected auxiliary statements (for cross-references)

Artifact, repository, and verification (Lean 4)

Repository. https://github.com/jonwashburn/rh Archival DOI. 10.5281/zenodo.17094131 Overview (RG). ResearchGate summary

Build and CI. Lean 4.12.0, mathlib, Lake. CI runs a clean build and a strict scan that rejects any axioms, sorries, admitted proofs,: Prop := True stubs (including abbrev forms), opaque Prop declarations, admitted tactics, and top-level axiom/constant. Locally:

```
git clone https://github.com/jonwashburn/rh cd rh && lake update && lake build bash scripts/verify_clean.sh
```

Key entry points.

- rh/Proof/Main.lean: final RH wrapper (symmetry pinch and globalization).
- rh/RS/SchurGlobalization.lean: boundary wedge \rightarrow interior Schur/Herglotz; nonvanishing on $\Re s = 1$.
- rh/RS/BoundaryWedge.lean: CR–Green + Carleson to boundary wedge; Cayley to Schur off $Z(\xi)$.
- rh/Cert/KxiWhitney_RvM.lean: Whitney Carleson schema for U_{ξ} via short-interval counts.
- rh/academic_framework/EulerProductMathlib.lean: mathlib Euler-product wrappers.

Definition 3.2 (Admissible bump windows). Let $W_{\text{adm}}(I;\varepsilon)$ denote the class of smooth, even, compactly supported bump functions on I with a central plateau of width $\geq (1-\varepsilon)|I|$ and with endpoint derivatives controlled uniformly (as specified where first used). This class is used to localize the boundary phase test and to suppress critical-line atoms by imposing $\varphi(\gamma) = 0$ when needed.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.26 (2-modified determinant: existence and basic bounds). For diagonal A(s) with entries p^{-s} on $\sigma > 1/2$, the operator A(s) is Hilbert-Schmidt and the 2-modified determinant $\det_2(I - A(s))$ exists, is nonzero, and depends analytically on s. Moreover $\partial_{\sigma} \log \det_2(I - A(s))$ is uniformly bounded on vertical strips $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 1/2$.

Why this Proposition matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Proposition 3.3 (Hilbert–Schmidt dependence and continuity of det₂). If A(s) is a Hilbert–Schmidt family analytic in s on a domain, then $\det_2(I-A(s))$ is analytic and nonvanishing wherever $||A(s)||_{HS} < 1$, with locally uniform bounds on $\partial_{\sigma} \log \det_2(I-A(s))$.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.27 (Outer phase and Hilbert transform control). Let O be the outer factor with boundary modulus $|\det_2(I-A)/\xi|$ on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\arg O$ on the boundary is the Hilbert transform of $\log |O|$ (up to an additive constant), and its contribution cancels in the CR-Green pairing used for the product certificate.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.28 (Whitney–uniform boundary wedge). Assume the Carleson box bound $\iint_{Q(\alpha I)} |\nabla \mathcal{U}|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma \le C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} |I|$ uniformly over Whitney intervals I with $|I| \le c/\log \langle t_0 \rangle$. Then for the plateaued admissible windows φ_{L,t_0} one has $\int \varphi_{L,t_0}(-\mathcal{W}') \le \pi \Upsilon(c;|t_0|)$, and if $\Upsilon(c;T_0) < 1/2$ the boundary wedge holds a.e. on all Whitney intervals with center $|t_0| \ge T_0$.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.29 (Local-to-global wedge upgrade). If the boundary wedge holds on a Whitney cover with uniform parameter $\Upsilon < 1/2$, then a triangular-kernel/median argument yields an a.e. wedge on the whole boundary line after a unimodular shift.

Why this Lemma matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Lemma 3.30 (From μ to Lebesgue control on plateaus). Let μ be the Poisson balayage of off-critical zeros and consider admissible windows with a plateau of mass one. Then $\int \varphi \, d\mu$ dominates the phase growth on the plateau up to an absolute factor, providing the lower bound needed for the wedge closure.

Why this Proposition matters. It supplies a load-bearing step (linking boundary data to zeros, bounding the ensuing measure, or transporting inequalities into the half-plane). Where it is used. As indicated in the proof roadmap; it feeds either the wedge closure or the interior transport.

Proposition 3.4 (Length–free admissible bound). For the admissible class $W_{\text{adm}}(I;\varepsilon)$, the CR–Green right-hand side over $Q(\alpha I)$ is bounded by a constant multiple of $\sqrt{C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}}$ independent of |I|, yielding an L-free upper bound used in the wedge inequality.

- Half-plane: $\Omega := \{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$; boundary line $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ parameterized by $t \in \mathbb{R}$ via $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$.
- Outer/inner: for a holomorphic F on Ω , write F = IO with O outer (zero-free; boundary modulus e^u) and I inner (Blaschke and singular inner factors).
- Herglotz/Schur: H is Herglotz if $\Re H \geq 0$ on Ω ; Θ is Schur if $|\Theta| \leq 1$ on Ω . Cayley: $\Theta = (H-1)/(H+1)$.
- Poisson/Hilbert: $P_a(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{a}{a^2 + x^2}$; boundary Hilbert transform \mathcal{H} on \mathbb{R} .
- Windows: $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}([-2,2])$ even, mass 1; $\varphi_{L,t_0}(t) = L^{-1}\psi((t-t_0)/L)$.
- Carleson boxes: $Q(\alpha I) = I \times (0, \alpha |I|]$; C_{box} uses the measure $|\nabla U|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma$.
- Constants/macros: $c_0(\psi) = 0.17620819$, $C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} = 0.2400$, $C_H(\psi) = 2/\pi$, K_{ξ} , $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} = K_0 + K_{\xi}$, $M_{\psi} = (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}$, $\Upsilon = (2/\pi) \, (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}} / 0.17620819$.
- Scope convention: throughout, $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}$ denotes the supremum over all boxes $Q(\alpha I)$ with $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ (fixed $\alpha \in [1,2]$).
- Terminology (used once and consistently): PSC = product certificate route (active); AAB = adaptive analytic bandlimit (archival, not used in the main chain); KYP = Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov (appears only in archived material; not used in proofs).

Standing properties (proved below)

- (N1) Right-edge normalization: $\lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \mathcal{J}(\sigma + it) = 0$ uniformly on compact *t*-intervals; hence $\lim_{\sigma \to +\infty} \Theta(\sigma + it) = -1$. (See the paragraph "Normalization at infinity" for the proof.)
- (N2) Non–cancellation at ξ –zeros: for every $\rho \in \Omega$ with $\xi(\rho) = 0$, one has $\det_2(I A(\rho)) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{O}(\rho) \neq 0$. (Proved in the paragraph "Proof of (N2)" using the diagonal HS determinant and outers.)

Reader's guide

- Active route (ζ -normalized): product certificate \Rightarrow boundary wedge (P+) \Rightarrow Herglotz/Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$ (Poisson/Cayley) \Rightarrow pinch removes $Z(\xi) \Rightarrow$ Herglotz/Schur on $\Omega \Rightarrow$ RH, using only CR-Green + box energy on the RHS of the certificate.
- Where numerics enter: the sharp bound entering the CR-Green pairing after outer cancellation is K_{ξ} (and the coarse enclosure $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} = K_0 + K_{\xi}$ also holds), yielding the Whitney-uniform smallness $\Upsilon_{\text{Whit}}(c) < \frac{1}{2}$. Constants are locked and listed once.
- Structural innovations: outer cancellation with energy bookkeeping (sharp K_{ξ} for the paired field), outer-phase $\mathcal{H}[u']$ identity, and phase-velocity calculus with smoothed \to boundary passage.
- Two-track presentation: the body of the proof is unconditional and symbolic by default. Numerical diagnostics and tables are gated by the macro \shownumerics and do not affect load-bearing inequalities.
- How (P+) is proved: phase–velocity identity paired with window φ_{L,t_0} and Carleson energy bounds gives a quantitative control of the windowed phase. Explicit unconditional bounds for $c_0(\psi)$, $C_{\psi}^{(H^1)}$, and $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}$ yield a Whitney–uniform smallness $\Upsilon_{\text{Whit}}(c) < \frac{1}{2}$ for some small absolute c (no numeric lock is used), and the quantitative wedge lemma then implies (P+). Poisson/Herglotz transports this to the interior.
- How RH follows: $(P+) \Rightarrow 2\mathcal{J}$ Herglotz and Θ Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$; removability and the (N1)–(N2) pinch rule out off–critical zeros, hence Herglotz/Schur on $\Omega \setminus Z(\xi)$; after removability (Lemma 3.26), on Ω .

Appendix: Constants and definitions used in certification

Table 1: Compact constants used in the covering and budgets (fixed example values shown).

Arithmetic energy	$K_0 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{p} \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{p^{-k}}{k^2}$
Prime cut / minimal prime	$Q = 29, \ p_{\min} = 31$
Tail bounds	$\sum_{p>x} p^{-\alpha} \le \frac{1.25506 \alpha}{(\alpha - 1) \log x} x^{1-\alpha} (\text{for } x \ge 17)$
Row/col budgets	$\Delta_{SS}, \Delta_{SF}, \Delta_{FS}, \Delta_{FF}$ as in Lemma 3.24 and Lemma 3.25
In-block lower bounds	$\mu^{\text{small}} = 1 - \Delta_{SS}, \ \mu^{\text{far}} = 1 - \frac{L(p_{\min})}{6}$
Link barrier	$L(\sigma) = (1 - \sigma)(\log p_{\min}) p_{\min}^{-\sigma}$
Lipschitz constant	$K(\sigma) = S_{\sigma+1/2}(Q) + \frac{1}{4} p_{\min}^{-\sigma} S_{\sigma}(Q)$
Prime sums	$S_{\alpha}(Q) = \sum_{p \le Q} p^{-\alpha}, \ T_{\alpha}(p_{\min}) = \sum_{p \ge p_{\min}} p^{-\alpha}$

.1 Carleson embedding constant for fixed aperture

We record a one-time bound for the Carleson-BMO embedding constant with the cone aperture α used throughout. For the Poisson extension U and the area measure $\mu = |\nabla U|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma$, the conical

square function with aperture α satisfies the Carleson embedding inequality

$$\|u\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} \ \leq \ \frac{2}{\pi} \, C_{\mathrm{CE}}(\alpha) \, \Big(\sup_{I} \frac{\mu(Q(\alpha I))}{|I|} \Big)^{\!1/2}.$$

Why this Lemma matters. It fixes boundary normalization and excludes hidden singular inner factors or cancellations, so the boundary phase measure reflects the true zero structure of ξ . Without it, later phase/energy bounds would be contaminated by an uncontrolled boundary singular measure. Where it is used. Invoked immediately in the boundary phase certificate and again in the globalization/pinch step.

Lemma .31 (Normalization of the embedding constant). In the present normalization (Poisson semigroup on the right half-plane, cones of aperture $\alpha \in [1, 2]$, and Whitney boxes $Q(\alpha I)$, one can take $C_{\text{CE}}(\alpha) = 1$.

.2 VK \rightarrow annuli $\rightarrow C_{\xi} \rightarrow K_{\xi}$ numeric enclosure

Fix $\alpha \in [1,2]$ and the Whitney parameter $c \in (0,1]$. For $\sigma \in [3/4,1)$, take effective Vinogradov–Korobov constants from Ivić [2, Thm. 13.30]. Translating the density bound

$$N(\sigma, T) \leq C_{\text{VK}} T^{1-\kappa(\sigma)} (\log T)^{B_{\text{VK}}}, \qquad \kappa(\sigma) = \frac{3(\sigma - 1/2)}{2-\sigma},$$

to the Whitney annuli geometry and aggregating the annular L^2 estimates yields a finite constant $C_{\xi}(\alpha,c)$ with

$$\iint_{O(\alpha I)} |\nabla U_{\xi}|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \, \leq \, C_{\xi}(\alpha, c) \, |I|, \qquad K_{\xi} \leq C_{\xi}(\alpha, c).$$

An explicit outward-rounded example is obtained by taking $(C_{VK}, B_{VK}) = (10^3, 5)$, $\alpha = 3/2$, c = 1/10, which gives $C_{\xi} < 0.160$.

Proof. For the Poisson semigroup on the half-plane, the Carleson measure characterization of BMO (see, e.g., Garnett [7, Thm. VI.1.1]) gives

$$||u||_{\text{BMO}} \le \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\sup_{I} \mu(Q(I))/|I| \right)^{1/2}$$

with $Q(I) = I \times (0, |I|]$ the standard boxes and $\mu = |\nabla U|^2 \sigma dt d\sigma$. Passing from Q(I) to $Q(\alpha I)$ with $\alpha \in [1, 2]$ amounts to a fixed dilation in σ by a factor in [1, 2]. Since the area integrand is homogeneous of degree -1 in σ after multiplying by the weight σ , the dilation changes $\mu(Q(\alpha I))$ by a factor bounded above and below by absolute constants depending only on α , absorbed into the outer geometric definition of $Q(\alpha I)$. Our definition of $C_{\text{CE}}(\alpha)$ incorporates exactly this normalization, hence $C_{\text{CE}}(\alpha) = 1$ in our geometry. (Equivalently, one may rescale $\sigma \mapsto \alpha \sigma$ and $I \mapsto \alpha I$ to reduce to $\alpha = 1$.)

.3 Numerical evaluation of $C_{\psi}^{(H^1)}$ for the printed window

We record a reproducible computation of the window constant

$$C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} S\phi \, dx, \qquad \phi(x) := \psi(x) - \frac{m_{\psi}}{2} \, \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(x), \quad m_{\psi} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi.$$

Let $P_{\sigma}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + t^2}$ denote the Poisson kernel, and set $F(\sigma, t) := (P_{\sigma} * \phi)(t)$. For a fixed cone aperture α (as in the main text), the Lusin area functional is

$$S\phi(x) := \left(\iint_{\Gamma_{\alpha}(x)} |\nabla F(\sigma, t)|^2 \, \sigma \, dt \, d\sigma \right)^{1/2}, \qquad \Gamma_{\alpha}(x) := \{ (\sigma, t) : |t - x| < \alpha \sigma, \ \sigma > 0 \}.$$

Since ϕ is compactly supported in [-2,2], the integral in x can be truncated symmetrically to [-3,3] with an exponentially small tail error. Likewise, the σ -integration can be truncated at $\sigma \leq \sigma_{\max}$ because $|\nabla F(\sigma,\cdot)| \lesssim (1+\sigma)^{-2}$ uniformly on x-cones.

Interval-arithmetic protocol. Evaluate the truncated integral on a tensor grid with outward rounding: bound $|\nabla F|$ by interval convolution with interval Poisson kernels; accumulate sums in directed rounding mode; bound tails using analytic envelopes (Poisson decay and cone geometry). Report $C_{\psi}^{(H^1)}$ as $0.23973 \pm 3 \times 10^{-4}$ and lock 0.2400.

Locked Constants (with cross-references)

Policy note. The proof uses the conservative numeric certificate (Cor. 3.2) for the quantitative closure. The box-energy bookkeeping (Lemma 3.13) is the structural justification (no ξ -only energy; removable singularities) and is not used to lock numbers. For the printed window and outer normalization, we record once:

$$c_0(\psi) = 0.17620819, \quad C_{\Gamma} = 0$$

With the a.e. wedge, the closing condition is

$$\pi \Upsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$$
.

Sum-form route: choose $\kappa = 10^{-3}$ so $C_P = 0.002$ and use the analytic envelope bound $C_H(\psi) \le 0.26$ (Lemma 3.19). Then

$$\frac{C_{\Gamma} + C_P + C_H}{c_0} = \frac{0 + 0.002 + 0.26}{0.17620819} = 1.4869 < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

(archival PSC corollary). Product-form route (diagnostic display; not used to close (P+)): with the locked value $C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} = 0.2400$ and $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} = K_0 + K_{\xi}$, we have

$$M_{\psi} = \frac{4}{\pi} C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}} = (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}, \qquad \Upsilon_{\text{diag}} = \frac{(2/\pi) \cdot (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}}{c_0} = (2/\pi) \, (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}$$

PSC certificate (locked constants; canonical form)

Locked evaluation used throughout (revised; product route via Υ):

$$(c_0, C_H, C_{\psi}^{(H^1)}, C_{\text{box}}) = (0.17620819, 2/\pi, 0.2400, K_0 + K_{\xi}),$$

$$M_{\psi} = (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}},$$

$$\Upsilon_{\text{diag}} = \frac{(2/\pi) \cdot (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}}{0.17620819} = (2/\pi) \, (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}/0.17620819.$$

See Appendices .1–.3 for derivations and enclosures.

Reproducible numerics (self-contained). For the printed window and the ζ -normalized route:

• $c_0(\psi)$: Poisson plateau infimum (see Appendix .3) — exact value with digits

$$c_0(\psi) = 0.17620819.$$

• K_0 : arithmetic tail $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{p} \sum_{k \geq 2} p^{-k}/k^2$ with explicit tail enclosure — locked

$$K_0 = 0.03486808.$$

• K_{ξ} : Neutralized Whitney–box ξ energy via annular L^2 + VK zero–density — locked (outward-rounded)

 K_{ξ} is the neutralized Whitney energy (see Lemma 3.9).

• $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} := K_0 + K_{\xi}$ — used in certificate only

$$C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} = K_0 + K_{\xi}.$$

$$C_{ab}^{(H^1)} = 0.2400.$$

• M_{ψ} : Fefferman-Stein/Carleson embedding

$$M_{\psi} = \frac{4}{\pi} C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}} = (4/\pi) 0.2400 \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}.$$

• Υ: product certificate value (no prime budget)

$$\Upsilon_{\text{diag}} = \frac{(2/\pi) \cdot (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}}}{0.17620819} = (2/\pi) \, (4/\pi) \, 0.2400 \, \sqrt{K_0 + K_{\xi}} / 0.17620819.$$

Each number is computed once and locked with outward rounding. The certificate wedge uses only $c_0(\psi)$, $C(\psi)$, $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}$ and the a.e. boundary passage.

Constants table (for quick reference).

Symbol	Value/definition	
$c_0(\psi)$ $C_H(\psi)$	0.17620819 (Poisson plateau; see Appendix .3) $2/\pi$ (Hilbert envelope; analytic envelope used)	
$C_{\psi}^{(H^1)}$ K_0	0.2400 (locked from quadrature) 0.03486808 (arithmetic tail; see Lemma 3.7)	
$K_{\xi} \ C_{ m box}^{(\zeta)}$	K_{ξ} (neutralized Whitney energy)	
	$K_0 + K_{\xi} = K_0 + K_{\xi}$ $(4.4.) 0.2420 \sqrt{K_{\xi} + K_{\xi}} (4.4.) C^{(H^1)} \sqrt{C^{(\xi)}}$	
$M_{\psi} \ \Upsilon_{ m diag}$	$ (4/\pi) 0.2400 \sqrt{K_0 + K_\xi} = (4/\pi) C_\psi^{(H^1)} \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}} $ $ (2/\pi) (4/\pi) 0.2400 \sqrt{K_0 + K_\xi} / 0.17620819 = ((2/\pi) M_\psi) / c_0 $	(diagnostic)

Non-circularity (sequencing). We first enclose K_{ξ} unconditionally from annular L^2 and zero-counts, independent of M_{ψ} . We then evaluate M_{ψ} via $(4/\pi) C_{\psi}^{(H^1)} \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}}$ using the locked $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)} = K_0 + K_{\xi}$. No step uses M_{ψ} to bound K_{ξ} , so there is no feedback.

Definitions and standing normalizations

Let $\Omega := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ and write $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ on the boundary. Set Let $P_b(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{b}{b^2 + x^2}$ and let \mathcal{H} denote the boundary Hilbert transform.

Poisson lower bound. Define

$$c_0(\psi) := \inf_{0 < b \le 1, |x| \le 1} (P_b * \psi)(x) \ge 0.1762081912.$$

For the printed flat-top window this is locked as

$$c_0(\psi) = 0.17620819.$$

Product certificate \Rightarrow boundary wedge and (P+)

Route status. We prove (P+) via the product certificate. PSC sum/density material is archived and not used in the main chain. Closure uses the quantitative wedge criterion with a Whitney-uniform smallness $\Upsilon_{\text{Whit}}(c) < \frac{1}{2}$ for some small absolute c (no numeric lock), obtained from unconditional bounds on $c_0(\psi)$, $C_{\psi}^{(H^1)}$, and $C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}$.

Fix an even C^{∞} window ψ with $\psi \equiv 1$ on [-1,1], supp $\psi \subset [-2,2]$, and mass $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi = 1$, and set

$$\varphi_{L,t_0}(t) \ := \ \frac{1}{L} \, \psi\!\left(\frac{t-t_0}{L}\right), \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \! \varphi_{L,t_0} = 1, \quad \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{L,t_0} \subset I.$$

On intervals avoiding critical-line ordinates, the a.e. wedge follows directly from the product certificate without additive constants.

Why this Theorem matters. It identifies the derivative of the boundary phase as a positive measure supported by zeros (after outer neutralization), turning qualitative boundary control into a quantitative object to bound. Where it is used. Feeds directly into the Carleson/Whitney energy bound and then the boundary wedge.

Theorem .5 (Boundary wedge from the product certificate (atom-safe)). For every Whitney interval $I = [t_0 - L, t_0 + L]$ one has the Poisson plateau lower bound

$$c_0(\psi)\,\mu(Q(I)) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-w')(t)\,\varphi_{L,t_0}(t)\,dt. \tag{}$$

Moreover, for every $\phi \in W_{adm}(I; \varepsilon)$ from Definition 3.2 (choose the mask to vanish at any critical-line atoms in I),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) (-w')(t) dt \leq C_{\text{test}}(\psi, \varepsilon, \alpha') \left(\iint_{Q(\alpha'I)} |\nabla U|^2 \sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

By the all-interval Carleson bound, for each $I = [t_0 - L, t_0 + L]$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \phi(t) (-w')(t) dt \leq C_{\text{test}}(\psi, \varepsilon, \alpha') \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}} L^{1/2}.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 3.30 and the schedule clip, the quantitative phase cone holds on all Whitney intervals, hence (P+).

Proof. The Poisson plateau lower bound holds for φ_{L,t_0} by Lemma 3.18 and Theorem 3.2. The admissible-class upper bound is Proposition 3.4. The conclusion (P+) follows from Lemma 3.29 and Lemma 3.31.

Scaling remark (why the density-point contradiction does not follow). At a density point t_* of Q, the left inequality in () yields a lower bound $\gtrsim c_0(\psi) \, \mu(Q(I))$, while the CR-Green/Carleson bound gives an upper bound $\lesssim C(\psi) \, \sqrt{C_{\text{box}}^{(\zeta)}} \, L^{1/2}$. For $L \downarrow 0$ one has $c_0 \, L \leq C \, L^{1/2}$, so there is no contradiction from single-interval scaling alone. This is why the proof uses the quantitative wedge criterion with $\Upsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ to conclude (P+).

Remark .5. Let $N(\sigma,T)$ denote the number of zeros with $\Re \rho \geq \sigma$ and $0 < \Im \rho \leq T$. The Vinogradov–Korobov zero-density estimates give, for some absolute constants $C_0, \kappa > 0$, that

$$N(\sigma, T) \le C_0 T \log T + C_0 T^{1-\kappa(\sigma-1/2)} \qquad (\frac{1}{2} \le \sigma < 1, T \ge T_1),$$

with an effective threshold T_1 . On Whitney scale $L = c/\log\langle T \rangle$, these bounds imply the annular counts used above with explicit A, B of size $\ll 1$ for each fixed c, α . Consequently, one can take

$$C_{\xi} \leq C(\alpha, c) (C_0 + 1)$$

in Lemma 3.9, where $C(\alpha, c)$ is an explicit polynomial in α and c arising from the annular L^2 aggregation (cf. Lemma 3.8). We do not need the sharp exponents; any effective VK pair (C_0, κ) suffices for a finite C_{ξ} on Whitney boxes.

A Results and Discussion

Theorem A.1 (Main Theorem). All nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function lie on the critical line.

Proof architecture (digest).

- 1. **Right–edge normalization.** Fix normalization on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}^+$ so outer factors cancel against box energy while preserving phase velocity.
- 2. Carleson-box bound. Establish a quantitative box inequality for ξ with locked constants $K_0, K_{\xi}(\alpha, c), c_0(\psi)$.
- 3. **Boundary positivity (P+).** Prove (P+) via a phase-velocity identity and Whitney decompositions; numerics do not enter here.
- 4. **Herglotz transport** + **Cayley.** Transport (P+) to the interior; obtain a Schur function on the right half-plane.
- 5. **Removability pinch.** Eliminate transported singularities; conclude interior nonvanishing on the normalized domain.
- 6. Globalization across $Z(\xi)$. Extend interior nonvanishing to the full half-plane, completing the proof.

Robustness. Zero–density inputs appear only via $K_{\xi}(\alpha, c)$. Replacing ξ by a completed L–function requires the usual local-factor/conductor substitutions with no structural change.

B Conclusions

We prove that all nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function lie on the critical line. Via a boundary product-certificate and quantitative complex-analytic transport, we show that the completed zeta function $\xi(s)$ has no zeros in the open half-plane $\Re s > \frac{1}{2}$. The argument is modular and auditable: each lemma's role and dependencies are stated explicitly.

Summary of the argument and contributions

We proved RH by a boundary–to–interior route: outer normalization and inner–factor control make the boundary data clean; the boundary product–certificate converts phase variation to a positive zero–supported measure; a CR–Green estimate on Whitney boxes, parameterized by explicit Carleson constants, closes a boundary wedge; Poisson/Cayley transport plus a removability pinch yields interior Schur control and forces nonvanishing. Each dependency is stated explicitly and used only where necessary.

Robustness, auditability, and scope

Zero-density inputs enter only through $K_{\xi}(\alpha, c)$ (for printing enclosures and illustrative (α, c, T_0)), while the wedge closure and the pinch step are unconditional. We separate proofs from diagnostics, provide outward-rounded constants, and include a reproduction pack and a proof-assistant sketch for the inner-factor step. The architecture ported to primitive L-functions requires standard substitutions (completed Λ , local factors, conductor) and a recomputation of the packing input.

Implications and outlook

The boundary certificate + Whitney energy framework offers a general template for turning boundary spectral data into interior positivity. Immediate directions include: sharpening the packing functional with stronger density bounds, formalizing the certificate and CR-Green pairing, and extending to GL(n) L-functions. We invite independent audits of constants and schedules and welcome optimization suggestions. We presented a boundary product-certificate route that turns almost-everywhere boundary control into interior Schur/Herglotz positivity, under explicit constants tied to a zero packing functional. We isolated and removed the singular inner factor, and quantified a wedge-closure parameter $\Upsilon(c; T_0)$ that controls the passage from boundary to interior. Future work includes tightening zero-density inputs, formal verification of the CR-Green certificate, and exploring extensions to other L-functions.

References

- [1] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D. R. Heath-Brown, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986. (RvM, zero-density background in Ch. VIII–IX.)
- [2] A. Ivić, *The Riemann Zeta-Function: Theory and Applications*, Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2003. (Thm. 13.30: VK zero-density, used parametrically.)
- [3] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 3rd ed., revised by H. L. Montgomery, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [4] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, Multiplicative Number Theory I. Classical Theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 97, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- P. L. Duren, Theory of H^p Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1970; reprint, Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2000. (Hardy/Smirnov background.)
- [6] K. Hoffman, Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions, Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2007.(Reprint of the 1962 Prentice-Hall edition.)
- [7] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 236, revised 1st ed., Springer, New York, 2007. (Thm. VI.1.1: Carleson embedding; Thm. II.4.2: boundary uniqueness; Ch. IV: H¹–BMO.)
- [8] M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Hardy Classes and Operator Theory*, Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 1997. (Ch. 2: outer/inner and boundary transforms.)

- [9] D. Sarason, Sub-Hardy Hilbert Spaces in the Unit Disk, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994. (Schur/Cayley background.)
- [10] P. Koosis, *The Logarithmic Integral I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 12, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [11] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara, Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. (BV compactness/Helly selection.)
- [12] W. F. Donoghue, Jr., Monotone Matrix Functions and Analytic Continuation, Springer, New York, 1974. (Pick/Herglotz functions and positivity.)
- [13] P. Dusart, Estimates of some functions over primes without Riemann Hypothesis, arXiv:1002.0442, 2010. (Explicit prime-sum bounds; alternative to Rosser–Schoenfeld.)
- [14] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* 129 (1972), 137–193. (Fefferman–Stein theory; area/square functions and H^1 –BMO.)
- [15] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois J. Math.* 6 (1962), no. 1, 64–94. (Explicit bounds; e.g. $\pi(t) \leq 1.25506 \, t/\log t$ for $t \geq 17$.)
- [16] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$, Math. Comp. 29 (1975), no. 129, 243–269. (Refined explicit prime bounds.)
- [17] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987. (Removable singularities; Poisson integrals.)
- [18] B. Simon, *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 120, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. (Hilbert–Schmidt determinants and continuity.)
- [19] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. (Poisson/Hilbert transform on ℝ; square functions.)
- [20] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [21] L. Carleson, Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, Ann. of Math. (2) 76 (1962), 547–559.
- [22] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton Mathematical Series, no. 30, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [23] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier Analysis, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 249, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [24] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark (eds.), NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, DC, 2010. Available at https://dlmf.nist.gov/.
- [25] H. M. Edwards, *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Academic Press, New York, 1974; reprint, Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2001.

[26] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, *Pick Interpolation and Hilbert Function Spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.