

CS 161: Homework 5

Jonathan Woong

804205763

Fall 2017

Discussion 1A

Thursday 9th November, 2017

Problem 1. Use truth tables (worlds) to show that the following pairs of sentences are equivalent:

- $P \implies \neg Q, Q \implies \neg P$

$$P \implies \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$$Q \implies \neg P \rightarrow \neg Q \vee \neg P$$

P	Q	$\neg P \vee \neg Q$	$\neg Q \vee \neg P$
F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T
T	T	F	F

- $P \Leftrightarrow \neg Q, ((P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge Q))$

$$P \Leftrightarrow \neg Q \equiv (P \implies \neg Q) \wedge (\neg Q \implies P)$$

$$P \implies \neg Q \equiv \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$$\neg Q \implies P \equiv Q \vee P$$

P	Q	$\neg P \vee \neg Q$	$Q \vee P$	$((P \vee \neg Q) \wedge (Q \vee P))$	$P \wedge \neg Q$	$\neg P \wedge Q$	$((P \wedge \neg Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge Q))$
F	F	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	F

Problem 2. Consider the following sentences and decide for each whether it is valid, unsatisfiable, or neither:

- $(\text{Smoke} \implies \text{Fire}) \implies (\neg \text{Smoke} \implies \neg \text{Fire}) \equiv (\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \implies (\text{Smoke} \vee \neg \text{Fire})$

Smoke	Fire	$\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}$	$\text{Smoke} \vee \neg \text{Fire}$
F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F
T	F	F	T
T	T	T	T

This is not valid and not unsatisfiable, but it is satisfiable.

- $(\text{Smoke} \implies \text{Fire}) \implies ((\text{Smoke} \vee \text{Heat}) \implies \text{Fire}) \equiv (\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \implies ((\neg \text{Smoke} \wedge \neg \text{Heat}) \vee \text{Fire})$

Smoke	Fire	Heat	$\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}$	$\neg \text{Smoke} \wedge \neg \text{Heat}$	$(\neg \text{Smoke} \wedge \neg \text{Heat}) \vee \text{Fire}$
F	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	F
T	T	T	T	F	T

This is valid.

- $((\text{Smoke} \wedge \text{Heat} \implies \text{Fire}) \Leftrightarrow ((\text{Smoke} \implies \text{Fire}) \vee (\text{Heat} \implies \text{Fire})))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv ((\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire}) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \vee (\neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire}))) \\
&\equiv (\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire}) \implies ((\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \vee (\neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire})) \\
&\quad \wedge ((\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \vee (\neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire})) \implies (\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire})
\end{aligned}$$

Smoke	Fire	Heat	$(\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire})$	$\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}$	$\neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire}$	$((\neg \text{Smoke} \vee \text{Fire}) \vee (\neg \text{Heat} \vee \text{Fire}))$
F	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	F
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	T	T	T	T	T	T

This is valid.

Problem 3. Consider the following:

If the unicorn is mythical, then it is immortal, but if it is not mythical, then it is a mortal mammal. If the unicorn is either immortal or a mammal, then it is horned. The unicorn is magical if it is horned.

- (a) Represent the above information using a propositional logic knowledge base (set of sentences in propositional logic).

MYTHICAL = unicorn is mythical

IMMORTAL = unicorn is immortal

MAMMAL = unicorn is mammal

MAGICAL = unicorn is magical

HORNED = unicorn is horned

- I. MYTHICAL \implies IMMORTAL
- II. \neg MYTHICAL $\implies \neg$ IMMORTAL \wedge MAMMAL
- III. (IMMORTAL \vee MAMMAL) \implies HORNED
- IV. HORNED \implies MAGICAL

- (b) Convert the knowledge base into CNF.

- I. \neg MYTHICAL \vee IMMORTAL
- II. MYTHICAL $\vee (\neg$ IMMORTAL \wedge MAMMAL)
- III. $(\neg$ IMMORTAL $\wedge \neg$ MAMMAL) \vee HORNED $\equiv (\neg$ IMMORTAL \vee HORNED) $\wedge (\neg$ MAMMAL \vee HORNED)
- IIIa. \neg IMMORTAL \vee HORNED
- IIIb. \neg MAMMAL \vee HORNED

IV. $\neg \text{HORNED} \vee \text{MAGICAL}$

- (c) Can you use the knowledge base to prove that the unicorn is mythical? How about magical?
Horned?

- 1) Resolve I and II: $\text{IMMORTAL} \vee (\neg \text{IMMORTAL} \wedge \text{MAMMAL})$
- 2) Expand 1: $(\text{IMMORTAL} \vee \neg \text{IMMORTAL}) \wedge (\text{IMMORTAL} \vee \text{MAMMAL})$
- 3) Simplify 2: $(\text{IMMORTAL} \vee \text{MAMMAL})$
- 4) Resolve 3 and IIIa: $\text{HORNED} \vee \text{MAMMAL}$
- 5) Resolve 4 and IIIb: $(\text{HORNED} \vee \text{HORNED})$
- 6) Simplify 5: HORNED
- 7) Resolve 6 and IV: MAGICAL

Step 1 shows that the unicorn is mythical, step 6 shows that the unicorn is horned, and step 7 shows that the unicorn is magical.