

CS 180: Homework 6

Jonathan Woong

804205763

Winter 2017

Discussion 1B

Monday 20th March, 2017

Problem 1

Suppose that you are given as input a list of n birthdays (in the format $MMDDYYYY$) give an algorithm to check if two people in the list have the same birthday. Your algorithm should always be correct and run in expected time $O(n)$.

Let U be the set of all possible birthday combinations b .

Let $h(b)$ be the hashing function that maps a birthday b to an integer in $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let \mathcal{D} be the database that stores hashed birthdays obtained by $h(b)$.

Let L be the list of birthdays, with all $b \in L$.

ALGORITHM:

1. For $i = 1, \dots, n$: If $Lookup(L[i])$ on D returns true: RETURN *TRUE*.
 Else: *Insert*($L[i]$) into D .
2. RETURN *FALSE*.

Problem 2

Suppose you are writing a plagiarism detector. Students submit documents as part of a homework and each document is an (ordered) sequence of words. For some parameter m decided by the provost, we say two documents are copies of each other if one of them uses a sequences of m words (in that given order) from the other. Give an algorithm which given an integer m and N documents D_1, \dots, D_N as input, flags all submissions which are copies of some other submission. Your algorithm should run in expected $O(m + N + \text{total-length of documents})$ time (i.e., expected $O(m + N + n_1 + \dots + n_N)$ time where n_j is the length of j 'th document) and be always correct.

INPUT:

N = number of documents

$D = \{D_1, \dots, D_N\}$ documents

m = length of sequence

Let \mathcal{D} be the dictionary that stores $(key, value)$ pairs using hashing with chaining.

Let key match to sequences of m words.

Let $value$ match to integers.

Let $r = \sum_i n_i$.

ALGORITHM:

1. Initialize array C of length N , where $C[i] = 0 \ \forall i = \{1, \dots, N\}$.

2. For $i = 1, \dots, N$:

 For $j = 1, \dots, n_i - m$:

 Let $key = (D_i[j, j + m])$.

 Compute $value = \text{Lookup}(key)$.

 If $value = \text{NULL}$: Insert(key) into \mathcal{D} .

 Else if $value \neq i$:, set $C[i] = 1$ and $C[value] = 1$.

3. RETURN C .

Problem 3

Consider the problem FIND-CLIQUE defined as follows: “Given a graph G and a number k as input, find a clique of size k in G if one exists.” Recall the CLIQUE decision problem from class: “Given a graph G and a number k , does G contain a clique of size k ?”. Give a polynomial-time reduction from FIND-CLIQUE to CLIQUE.

We reduce FIND-CLIQUE to CLIQUE by using a polynomial number of calls to a black-box that solves CLIQUE.

Let K-CLIQUE be the altered CLIQUE algorithm such that the input graph F into K-CLIQUE is always size k ; K-CLIQUE only needs to check if F is a clique.

Divide $G = (V, E)$ into j subgraphs of size k . The maximum number of subgraphs of size k is n^k .

Let $g_i \in \{g_1, \dots, g_j\}$ be the subgraphs of G of size k .

ALGORITHM:

1. For $i = 1, \dots, j$:

 Let $clique = \text{K-CLIQUE}(g_i)$.

 If $clique = TRUE$, RETURN g_i .

2. RETURN $FALSE$.

This algorithm reduces the problem into at most n^k instances of CLIQUE.

Problem 4

Consider the problem LPS defined as follows: “Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, a vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an integer $k > 0$, does there exist a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with at most k non-zero entries such that $A \cdot x \geq b$ ”. Here $A \cdot x$ denotes the usual matrix-vector product and for two vectors u, v , we say $u \geq v$ if for every i , $u_i \geq v_i$. Give a polynomial-time reduction from 3SAT to LPS.

We can show that $3SAT \leq_p LPS$ by showing that $VERTEX-COVER \leq_p LPS$.

Since $3SAT \leq_p VERTEX-COVER$, if $VERTEX-COVER \leq_p LPS$, then by transitivity $3SAT \leq_p LPS$.

Let M-LPS be defined as: Given a matrix $A' \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and a vector $b' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and an integer $k > 0$, does there exist a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with at most k non-zero entries such that $A' \cdot x \geq b'$.

Show that $VERTEX-COVER \leq_p M-LPS$:

1. Given an instance of vertex cover with graph G and integer k , define an instance of M-LPS as: Let $G = (V, E)$ where $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ are the edges in G and $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ are the vertices. Let A' be the $m \times n$ matrix where $A'_{ij} = 1$ if edge e_i is adjacent to vertex v_j and 0 otherwise. Let $b' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be the vector with all entries being 1.

CLAIM: G has a vertex-cover of size k iff there is a vector y with at most k non-zero entries such that $A'y \geq b$.

PROOF:

FORWARD: Suppose there is a vertex cover $Y \subset [n]$ of size at most k . Let $y = 1(Y)$ be the vector with $y_j = 1$ if $j \in Y$ and 0 otherwise. For any $i \in [m]$, $(A'y)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (A'_{ij}y_j) = \sum_{j \in Y} A'_{ij} \geq 1$ (since e_i should be adjacent to at least one vertex of Y).

$$\therefore A'y \geq b'$$

BACKWARD: Suppose there is a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with at most k non-zero entries such that $A'y \geq b'$. Let $Y = \{j : y_j \neq 0\}$. $|Y| \leq k$ and Y is a vertex-cover. This is because for an index $i \in [m]$, $(A'y)_i \geq b_i = 1$ and $(A'y)_i \neq 0$. We know that $(A'y)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A'_{ij}y_j$, and for $(A'y)_i$ to be non-zero, one of the summands of $A'_{ij}y_j$ should be non-zero. This is only true when the edge e_i is adjacent to a vertex in Y .

$$\therefore Y \text{ is a vertex-cover.}$$

This shows that G has a vertex-cover of size k iff there is a vector y with at most k non-zero entries such that $A'y \geq b'$. Since an instance of M-LPS can be built in polynomial time, we can use a black-box for M-LPS to solve VERTEX-COVER.

$$\therefore VERTEX-COVER \leq_p M-LPS.$$

2. Consider an instance of M-LPS given by A', b', k . If $m = n$, we have an instance of LPS.

If $m > n$, build a matrix $A \in R^{m \times m}$ by adding $m - n$ columns of length m filled with zeroes to the matrix A' . A new instance of *LPS* will be specified by A, b', k . We can check that the instance of M-LPS has a solution iff our constructed instance A, b', k has a solution.

If $m < n$, build a matrix $A \in R^{n \times n}$ by adding $n - m$ rows of length n filled with zeroes to the matrix A' . Let $b \in R^n$ be the vector obtained by adding $n - m$ zeroes to the entries of b' . A new instance of *LPS* is specified by A, b, k . We can check that the instance of M-LPS has a solution iff our constructed instance A, b, k of *LPS* has a solution.

We can use a black-box for *LPS* to solve M-LPS because the instances of *LPS* can be constructed in polynomial time.

\therefore M-LPS \leq_p LPS.

Arguments 1 and 2 show that VERTEX-COVER \leq_p LPS.

Problem 5

For this problem we need the notion of multi-variate polynomials over variables x_1, \dots, x_n and how they are specified. To review some terminology, we say a *monomial* is a product of a real-number co-efficient c and each variable x_i raised to some non-negative integer power a_i : we can write this as $cx_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}\dots x_n^{a_n}$. A polynomial is then a sum of a finite set of monomials.

We say a polynomial P is of degree at most d , if for any monomial $cx_1^{a_1}x_2^{a_2}\dots x_n^{a_n}$ appearing in P , $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n \leq d$. (For example, the degree of the previous polynomial is 7). One can represent a n -variable polynomial of degree d by at most $(n+1)^d$ numbers.

Consider the problem POLY-ROOT defined as follows: “Given a polynomial with integer coefficients of degree at most 6 as input, decide if there exists a $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $P(x) = 0$.” Show that 3SAT reduces to POLY-ROOT. You don’t have to write down the coefficients of the polynomials explicitly in your reduction - you can leave them as summations if it is more convenient for you.

For a term y , let P_y be the polynomial defined as:

If $y = x_i$ for a variable: $P_y = 1 - x_i$.

Else if $y = \bar{x}_i$: $P_y = x_i$.

For every clause C , let P_C be the polynomial obtained by multiplying the polynomials P_y for every term y that appears in C .

Given a 3SAT instance $\phi = C_1 \vee \dots \vee C_k$, let $P_\phi = P_{C_1}^2 + \dots + P_{C_k}^2$.

CLAIM: ϕ is satisfiable iff there exists an $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $P_\phi(x) = 0$.

PROOF:

FORWARD: Suppose that ϕ is satisfiable and let a be a satisfying assignment for ϕ . Then $P_{C_j}(a) = 0$ for every clause C_j , since at least one of the terms in C_j would be satisfied by a .

$\therefore P_\phi(a) = 0$.

BACKWARD: Suppose there exists a vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $P_\phi(b) = 0$. Define an assignment a as:

For each $i \in [n]$:

If $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$: set $a_i = b_i$.

Else: set a_i to 1.

CLAIM: a is a satisfying assignment for ϕ .

PROOF: If $P_\phi(b) = 0$, then $P_{C_j}(b) = 0$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let $C_j = y_{j1} \vee \dots \vee y_{j3}$. Since $P_{C_j} = P_{y_{j1}}P_{y_{j2}}P_{y_{j3}}$, $P_{C_j}(b) = 0$ iff one of $P_{y_{j1}}(b), P_{y_{j2}}(b), P_{y_{j3}}(b) = 0$. Any of these polynomials is zero iff the corresponding value of $b \in \{0, 1\}$ and satisfies the associated term.

\therefore From our definition of a , a satisfies the clause C_j . Since this applies to every clause, a satisfies the CNF formula ϕ .

The arguments show that ϕ has a satisfying assignment iff the polynomial P_ϕ has a root. Since we can build P_ϕ in polynomial time and it has degree at most 6, we can use a black-box for POLY-ROOT to solve 3SAT.

$\therefore 3\text{SAT} \leq_p \text{POLY-ROOT}$.