

Fenchel Game No Regret Dynamics

Jacob Abernethy

November 6, 2025

On Frank-Wolfe and Equilibrium Computation

Jacob Abernethy
Georgia Institute of Technology
prof@gatech.edu

Jun-Kun Wang
Georgia Institute of Technology
jimwang@gatech.edu

Acceleration through Optimistic No-Regret Dynamics

Jun-Kun Wang
College of Computing
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA 30313
jimwang@gatech.edu

Jacob Abernethy
College of Computing
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA 30313
prof@gatech.edu

Faster Rates for Convex-Concave Games

Jacob Abernethy
Georgia Tech

PROF@GATECH.EDU

Kevin A. Lai
Georgia Tech

KEVINLAI@GATECH.EDU

Kfir Y. Levy
ETH Zurich

YEHUDA.LEVY@INF.ETHZ.CH

Jun-Kun Wang
Georgia Tech

JIMWANG@GATECH.EDU

Linear Separation via Optimism

Rafael Hanashiro

College of Computing, Georgia Institute of Technology

RHANASHIRO3@GATECH.EDU

Jacob Abernethy

College of Computing, Georgia Institute of Technology

PROF@GATECH.EDU

ON ACCELERATED PERCEPTRONS AND BEYOND

Guanghui Wang¹, Rafael Hanashiro², Etash Guha¹, Jacob Abernethy^{1,3}

¹College of Computing, Georgia Tech, Atlanta, GA, USA

²Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA

³Google Research, Atlanta, GA 30309

{gwang369,etash}@gatech.edu, rafah@mit.edu, abernethyj@google.com

Faster Margin Maximization Rates for Generic Optimization Methods

Guanghui Wang¹, Zihao Hu¹, Vidya Muthukumar^{2,3}, Jacob Abernethy^{1,4}

¹College of Computing, Georgia Institute of Technology

²School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology

³School of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology

⁴Google Research, Atlanta

{gwang369,zihao,vmuthukumar8}@gatech.edu, abernethyj@google.com

Mathematical Programming
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10107-023-01976-y>

FULL LENGTH PAPER

Series A



No-regret dynamics in the Fenchel game: a unified framework for algorithmic convex optimization

Jun-Kun Wang¹ · Jacob Abernethy² · Kfir Y. Levy³

Mathematical Programming
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10107-025-02283-4>

FULL LENGTH PAPER

Series B



Faster margin maximization rates for generic and adversarially robust optimization methods

Guanghui Wang¹ · Zihao Hu⁴ · Claudio Gentile³ · Vidya Muthukumar¹ · Jacob Abernethy^{1,2}

The Min-Max Theorem (Linear Version)

- Let M be an $n \times m$ payoff matrix.
- We have a p -player choosing a distribution $p \in \Delta_n$ to minimize $p^\top Mq$.
- We have a q -player choosing a distribution $q \in \Delta_m$ to maximize $p^\top Mq$.
- The Min-Max Theorem states that:

$$\min_{p \in \Delta_n} \max_{q \in \Delta_m} p^\top Mq = \max_{q \in \Delta_m} \min_{p \in \Delta_n} p^\top Mq$$

A Repeated Game Protocol

- In each round $t = 1, \dots, T$:

① p -player chooses $p_t \in \Delta_n$ using Mult. Weights (MW), i.e.

$$p_{t+1,i} \propto p_{t,i} \exp(-\eta(Mq_t)_i)$$

② q -player chooses $j_t = \arg \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, m\}} p_t^\top M e_j$ (i.e. $q_t = e_{j_t}$)

The proof is simply two trivial inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T p_t^\top M q_t &:= \min_p p^\top M \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T q_t \right) + \frac{\text{Reg}_T}{T} \\ &\leq \max_q \min_p p^\top M q + \frac{1}{T} \text{Reg}_T \quad (\text{which} \rightarrow 0) \\ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T p_t^\top M q_t &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\max_q p_t^\top M q \right) \\ &\geq \max_q \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T p_t \right)^\top M q \geq \min_p \max_q p^\top M q \end{aligned}$$

What's amazing about this proof:

- ① Every step is a definition or trivial observation
- ② The proof is **actually a construction!**
 - Indeed the pair (\bar{p}_T, \bar{q}_T) is a $\frac{1}{T} \text{Reg}_T$ -approx minmax
- ③ It says nothing about the choice of online learning algorithm (except that $\text{Reg}_T = o(T)$)
- ④ It does not depend at all on the payoff matrix
 - Indeed can be trivially extended to convex-concave $g(p, q)$
- ⑤ It says nothing about the domain of p and q (besides they need be convex)
- ⑥ Who goes first? Can player 2 see what player 1 does?
- ⑦ It permits the q -player to use any online learning algorithm instead of Best Response
- ⑧ The rounds of the game need not be uniformly weighted!

Generalization: Online Convex Optimzation & Regret

- Imagine the following protocol - note weights $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_T > 0$
 - Input: set $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, len T , weights $\alpha_{1:T}$, alg OAlg
 - for $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ do
 - Return: $z_t \leftarrow \text{OAlg}$
 - Receive: $\alpha_t, \ell_t(\cdot) \rightarrow \text{OAlg}$
 - Evaluate Loss: $\alpha_t \ell_t(z_t)$
 - end for
- Goal: minimize weighted regret

$$\alpha\text{-REG}^{\mathcal{Z}} := \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t \ell_t(z_t) - \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t \ell_t(z)$$

$$\overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}^{\mathcal{Z}} := \frac{\alpha\text{-REG}^{\mathcal{Z}}}{A_T} \quad \text{where } A_T = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_T$$

- To whet your appetite: OGD (Online Gradient Descent)**
 - $x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla \ell_t(x_t)$
 - Proposition: OGD obtains an (average) regret rate of $O(1/\sqrt{T})$.

Master Protocol: dynamics of two-player game

Goal: find approx. min-max pair (x^*, y^*) for $g : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(x^*, y) - \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} g(x, y^*) \leq \epsilon$$

- 1 Input: len T , $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $\alpha_{1:T} > 0$, algs $\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{Y}}, \text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{X}}$
- 2 for $t = 1, \dots, T$ do
 - 1 Return: $y_t \leftarrow \text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{Y}}$
 - 2 Update: $\alpha_t, h_t(\cdot) \rightarrow \text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{X}}$ where $h_t(\cdot) := -g(\cdot, y_t)$
 - 3 Return: $x_t \leftarrow \text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{X}}$
 - 4 Update: $\alpha_t, \ell_t(\cdot) \rightarrow \text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{Y}}$ where $\ell_t(\cdot) := g(x_t, \cdot)$
- 3 end for
- 4 Output $(\bar{x}_T, \bar{y}_T) := (\frac{\sum \alpha_s x_s}{A_T}, \frac{\sum \alpha_s y_s}{A_T})$

Master Protocol MinMax Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{A_T} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g(x_t, y_t) &= \frac{1}{A_T} \sum_{t=1}^T -\alpha_t \ell_t(y_t) \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{A_T} \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left(\sum \alpha_t \ell_t(y) \right) - \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}^{\mathcal{Y}} \\ &= \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left(\frac{1}{A_T} \sum \alpha_t g(x_t, y) \right) - \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}^{\mathcal{Y}} \geq \sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(\bar{x}_T, y) - \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}^{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \frac{1}{A_T} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g(x_t, y_t) &= (\dots \text{ same steps } \dots) \\ &\leq \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} g(x, \bar{y}_T) + \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}^{\mathcal{X}}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} g(\bar{x}_T, y) - \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} g(x, \bar{y}_T) \leq \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}^{\mathcal{X}} + \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}^{\mathcal{Y}}$

OCO: There's (sort of) only one algorithm

Follow the Regularized Leader (FTRL)

- Select cvx regularizer $R : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, learning rate $\eta > 0$
- Receive loss fns $\ell_1(\cdot), \ell_2(\cdot), \dots$, weights $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$
- At time t set

$$z_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} R(z) + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \alpha_s \ell_s(z) \right)$$

- For a β -strongly convex regularizer $R(\cdot)$ and μ -strongly convex losses $\ell_t(\cdot)$, the regret is bounded by:

$$\operatorname{Reg}_T \leq \frac{1}{\eta} (R(z^*) - R(z_1)) + \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{2\alpha_t^2}{(\sum_{s=1}^t \alpha_s \mu) + \beta} \|\nabla \ell_t(z_t)\|^2$$

- With $\alpha_t = 1$ and $\mu > 0$: $\operatorname{Reg}_T \leq \frac{G \log(T+1)}{2\mu}$

Optimization \rightarrow MinMax: The Fenchel Game

- I have a convex optimization problem $\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x)$.
- We can convert it into the **Fenchel Game**:

$$g(x, y) := \langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y)$$

where $f^*(y)$ is the Fenchel conjugate of f ;

$$f^*(y) := \sup_x \langle x, y \rangle - f(x).$$

- **Key Lemma:** if (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) an ϵ -approx. minmax of $g(\cdot, \cdot)$, then
 - 1 $f(\hat{x}) - \min_{x^*} f(x^*) \leq \epsilon$, and
 - 2 $\hat{y} \approx \mathbf{0}$

Example: The Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (v1)

The Frank-Wolfe goes back to 1956; an excellent method for convex opt when you have a linear minimization oracle!

- **Goal:** minimize $f(w)$ for $w \in \mathcal{K}$.
- For $t = 1, \dots, T$:

$$\gamma_t \leftarrow \frac{2}{t+1}$$

$$v_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{K}} \langle v, \nabla f(w_{t-1}) \rangle$$

$$w_t \leftarrow (1 - \gamma_t)w_{t-1} + \gamma_t v_t$$

- **Theorem 4 (orig):** Assuming $\|\mathcal{K}\| \leq D$ and $\|\nabla f\| \leq L$:

$$f(w_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) \leq \frac{8LD}{T+1}$$

The (almost) Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (v2)

Recall that $g(y, v) := \langle y, v \rangle - f^*(y)$

- For $t = 1, \dots, T$:

$$\gamma_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{t} \quad (\dots \text{ only change } \dots)$$

$$y_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_y \langle y, w_{t-1} \rangle - f^*(y)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_y \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} g(v_s, y) = \nabla f(w_{t-1})$$

$$v_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{K}} \langle v, y_t \rangle = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{K}} g(v, y_t)$$

$$w_t \leftarrow (1 - \gamma_t)w_{t-1} + \gamma_t v_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t v_s$$

- **Thm (v2):** $f(w_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) = O\left(\frac{LD \log T}{T}\right)$

The (almost) Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (v2)

Recall that $g(y, v) := \langle y, v \rangle - f^*(y)$

- For $t = 1, \dots, T$:

$$\gamma_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{t} \quad (\dots \text{ only change } \dots)$$

$$y_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_y \langle y, w_{t-1} \rangle - f^*(y)$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_y \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} g(v_s, y) = \nabla f(w_{t-1})$$

$$v_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{K}} \langle v, y_t \rangle = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{K}} g(v, y_t)$$

$$w_t \leftarrow (1 - \gamma_t)w_{t-1} + \gamma_t v_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t v_s$$

- **Thm (v2):** $f(w_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) = O\left(\frac{LD \log T}{T}\right)$

The (FIXED) Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (v1)

Recall that $g(y, v) := \langle y, v \rangle - f^*(y)$

- For $t = 1, \dots, T$:

$$\gamma_t \leftarrow \frac{2}{t+1} \iff \alpha_t \leftarrow t$$

$$y_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_y \langle w_{t-1}, y \rangle - f^*(y) \quad (\text{FTL})$$

$$= \operatorname{argmax}_y \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \alpha_s g(v_s, y) = \nabla f(w_{t-1})$$

$$v_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{K}} \langle v, y_t \rangle = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \mathcal{K}} g(v, y_t) \quad (\text{BestResp})$$

$$w_t \leftarrow (1 - \gamma_t)w_{t-1} + \gamma_t v_t = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_t} \sum_{s=1}^t \alpha_s v_s$$

- Thm (v1):** $f(w_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) \leq \frac{8LD}{T+1}$

The (FIXED) Frank-Wolfe Algorithm (v1)

Recall that $g(y, v) := \langle y, v \rangle - f^*(y)$

- **Thm:** $f(w_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) \leq \frac{8LD}{T+1}$
- **Proof (v2):**

$$\begin{aligned} f(w_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) &\leq \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}(\text{FTL}) + \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}(\text{BestResp}) \\ &= \frac{LD \sum_{t=1}^T O(\frac{\alpha_s^2}{A_t})}{A_T} + 0 \\ &= \frac{LD \sum_{t=1}^T O(\frac{t^2}{t^2})}{T^2} \\ &= O(\frac{LDT}{T^2}) = O(\frac{LD}{T}) \end{aligned}$$

Fenchel Game No Regret Dynamics (FGNRD)

- We have a recipe now!
 - Take any iterative optimization algorithm
 - Determine if the iterates can be decomposed into primal-dual
 - Determine primal player's algorithm $\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{X}}$
 - Determine dual player's algorithm $\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{Y}}$
 - Determine weights $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$
- **Bonus:** Convergence rate comes for free.

$$f(w_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) \leq \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}(\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{X}}) + \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}(\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{Y}})$$

Simple Example: Gradient Descent

- **EXAMPLE: Vanilla grad descent w/ iterate averaging**

- $\eta \leftarrow \frac{R}{G\sqrt{T}}$
- $w_t \leftarrow w_{t-1} - \eta \partial f(w_{t-1})$
- $\bar{w}_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t w_s$

- **FGNRD Equivalence:**

- $g(x, y) := \langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y)$
- $\alpha_t := 1$
- $\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{X}} := \text{OMD}[\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|_2^2, x_0, \eta]$
- $\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{Y}} := \text{BESTRESP}^+$

Theorem: Assuming f is convex we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{w}_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) &\leq \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}(\text{OMD}) + \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}(\text{BESTRESP}^+) \\ &= O\left(\eta GT + \frac{R}{\eta}\right) + 0 = O\left(\frac{GR}{\sqrt{T}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The Heavy Ball Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_t &\leftarrow \frac{t}{4(t+1)L}, & \beta_t &\leftarrow \frac{t-1}{t+2} \\ v_t &\leftarrow w_{t-1} - w_{t-2} \\ w_t &\leftarrow w_{t-1} - \eta_t \nabla f(w_{t-1}) \\ &\quad + \beta_t v_t\end{aligned}$$

Iterative Description

...

$$\begin{aligned}g(x, y) &:= \langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y) \\ \alpha_t &:= t \text{ for } t = 1, \dots, T \\ \text{OAlg}^Y &:= \text{FTL}[\nabla f(w_0)] \\ \text{OAlg}^X &:= \text{MD}[\tfrac{1}{2} \|\cdot\|_2^2, \tfrac{1}{8L}]\end{aligned}$$

FGNRD Equivalence

Can we benefit from “coupling” the players?

- We might have oversimplified things by decomposing
- Recall the Fenchel game decomposition:

$$f(w_T) - \min_{w \in \mathcal{K}} f(w) \leq \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}(\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{X}}) + \overline{\alpha\text{-REG}}(\text{OAlg}^{\mathcal{Y}})$$

- Must we bound these two regrets independently?
- **Key Idea:** The two regret bounds can sometimes cancel each other out.
- Technique goes back to *Rakhlin & Sridharan 2013*, *Daskalakis et al 2015*, and *Syrgkanis et al 2015*.

OptimisticFTRL: Ok there's one more algorithm of note

Optimistic Follow the Regularized Leader (OptFTRL)

- Select cvx regularizer $R : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, learning rate $\eta > 0$
- Receive loss fns $\ell_1(\cdot), \ell_2(\cdot), \dots$, weights $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$
- Select a “guess” $m_t : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of ℓ_t .
- At time t set

$$z_t \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} R(z) + \alpha_t m_t(z) + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \alpha_s \ell_s(z) \right)$$

Regret Bound for Optimistic FTRL

Given $\eta > 0$ and a β -strcvx R , weights and losses $\{\alpha_t \ell_t(\cdot)\}_{t=1}^T$ where ℓ_t is μ_t -strcvx. Let $m_1, \dots, m_T : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the $\hat{\mu}_t$ -strcvx *hints* given to OptimFTRL. Then OptimFTRL[$R(\cdot), \eta$] satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - \text{Reg}(z^*) &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t (\ell_t(z_t) - \ell_t(w_{t+1}) - m_t(z_t) + m_t(w_{t+1})) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\eta} (R(z^*) - R(w_1)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{\beta}{\eta} + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \alpha_s \mu_s \right) \|z_t - w_t\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\frac{\beta}{\eta} + \alpha_t \hat{\mu}_t + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \alpha_s \mu_s \right) \|z_t - w_{t+1}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $w_t := \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \alpha_s \ell_s(z) + \frac{1}{\eta} R(z)$; $z^* \in \mathcal{Z}$ arbitrary.

Nesterov Accelerated Gradient Descent

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_t &\leftarrow \frac{2}{t+1}, \gamma_t \leftarrow \frac{t}{4L} \\ z_t &\leftarrow (1 - \beta_t)w_{t-1} + \beta_t v_{t-1} \\ v_t &\leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathcal{K}} \left\{ \gamma_t \langle \nabla f(z_t), x \rangle + D_{v_{t-1}}^\phi(x) \right\} \\ w_t &\leftarrow (1 - \beta_t)w_{t-1} + \beta_t v_t\end{aligned}$$

Iterative Description

$$\begin{aligned}g(x, y) &:= \langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y) \\ \alpha_t &:= t \text{ for } t = 1, \dots, T \\ \text{OAlg}^Y &:= \text{OptimFTL}[\nabla f(v_0)] \\ \text{OAlg}^X &:= \text{MD}[\phi(\cdot), \tfrac{1}{4L}]\end{aligned}$$

FGNRD Equivalence

Nesterov's ∞ -memory method

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_t &\leftarrow \frac{2}{t+1}, \gamma_t \leftarrow \frac{t}{4L} \\ z_t &\leftarrow (1 - \beta_t)w_{t-1} + \beta_t v_{t-1} \\ v_t &\leftarrow \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathcal{K}} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^t \gamma_s \langle \nabla f(z_s), x \rangle + R(x) \right\} \\ w_t &\leftarrow (1 - \beta_t)w_{t-1} + \beta_t v_t\end{aligned}$$

Iterative Description

$$\begin{aligned}g(x, y) &:= \langle x, y \rangle - f^*(y) \\ \alpha_t &:= t \text{ for } t = 1, \dots, T \\ \text{OAlg}^Y &:= \text{OptimFTL}[\nabla f(v_0)] \\ \text{OAlg}^X &:= \text{FTRL}+[R(\cdot), \frac{1}{4L}]\end{aligned}$$

FGNRD Equivalence