## EVALUATION ONLINE LEARNING LINKS WITH OPTIMIZATION AND GAMES

Université Paris-Saclay



## APPROACHABILITY ALGORITHMS BASED ON TIME-DEPENDENT NORMS

Consider the approachability framework from the course and corresponding notation. Let  $\mathscr{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a closed convex set satisfying Blackwell's condition and  $\alpha: \mathscr{C}^{\circ} \to \mathscr{A}$  an associated oracle such that

$$x' = \lambda x \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \alpha(x') = \alpha(x).$$

The goal of this project is to define two families of parameter-free algorithms for approachability and apply them to regret minimization on the simplex, and then study their practical behavior in the context of solving games.

Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $(\|\cdot\|_{(t)})_{t \geqslant 1}$  be a sequence of norms in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\|\cdot\|_{(t+1)} \geqslant \|\cdot\|_{(t)}$  for all  $t \geqslant 1$ .

1) Consider regularizers

$$h_t(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} \|x\|_{(t)}^{\beta} + \mathbf{I}_{\mathscr{C}^{\circ}}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t \geqslant 1.$$

Consider the DA algorithm for approachability associated with regularizers  $(h_t)_{t\geq 1}$ , constant parameter 1, and oracle  $\alpha$ :

$$a_t = lpha \left( 
abla h_t^* \left( \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} r_s 
ight) 
ight), \quad t \geqslant 1.$$

Let  $\mathscr{X}_0 \subset \mathscr{C}^{\circ}$  be a nonempty closed set. Let  $T \geqslant 1$ .

a) For all  $\lambda > 0$ , establish an upper bound on

$$\max_{x \in \lambda \mathcal{X}_0} \left\langle \sum_{t=1}^{T} r_t, x \right\rangle,\,$$

where  $y_t = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} r_s$  for all  $t \ge 1$ .

b) By dividing the above by  $\lambda$ , using the properties of regularizers  $(h_t)_{t\geqslant 1}$ , and considering a judicious value for  $\lambda$ , deduce an upper bound on

$$\max_{x \in \mathcal{X}_0} \left\langle \sum_{t=1}^{T} r_t, x \right\rangle.$$

c) Let L > 0. Study the special case where  $\beta = 2$  and for each  $t \ge 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{A}_t = \sqrt{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{I}_d + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \operatorname{diag}(r_s r_s^\top)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_{(t)} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}_t},$$

where the square root is to be understood component-wise.

2) Consider mirror maps

$$H_t(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} \|x\|_{(t)}^{\beta}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t \geqslant 1.$$

a) Consider the OMD algorithm for approachability associated with regularizers  $(H_t)_{t\geqslant 1}$ , constant step-size 1, oracle  $\alpha$ , and initial action  $a_1=\alpha(0)$ . Let  $T\geqslant 1$ . With a similar analysis as in the previous question, establish an upper bound on

$$\max_{x \in \mathcal{X}_0} \left\langle \sum_{t=1}^{T} r_t, x \right\rangle.$$

- b) Study the special case of AdaGrad-Diagonal.
- 3) Let M>0. In the context of regret minimization on the simplex, assume that payoff vectors  $(u_t)_{t\geqslant 1}$  are bounded as  $\|u_t\|_{\infty}\leqslant M$  for all  $t\geqslant 1$ . Then derive guarantees for the above algorithms.

- 4) In the context of regret learning in finite two-player zero sum games, conduct numerical experiments to compare the performance of the above algorithms studied in questions 1c) and 2b), the exponential weights algorithm, RM and RM+.
- 5) Bonus. Include in numerical experiments the *optimistic* counterpart of each algorithm.
- 6) Bonus. Conduct numerical experiments in the context of extensive-form games.

