CSE138 Lecture 3 - happens-before recap - partial orders / total orders - Lamport clocks n-vector clocks if time happens-before relation (->) Given events e_1 and e_2 in an execution, we say $e_1 \longrightarrow e_2$ if: e, and ez on same process de, with e, before cz - e, is a send and cz is a entrez * - there is some event e' such that

e, -> e' and e' -> ez

e, -> fe'

jcz

"partial order" is short for partially ordered set: -A set S- A binary relation \leq that lets you compare elements of \leq and has the following properties: not 5 - Reflexivity: for all a ES, a \(\alpha\). - Antisymmetry: true for all a,b & S, for (if a & b) and b & a | then a = b. - Transitivity: in a for all $a, b, c \in S$,

meaningful if $a \le b$ and $b \le c$, $a \le c$ when CB 2 A, B, C, D, E 3

OK, what about a real gartially ordered set? set of all subsets of: ³ □, Δ, ο } S= { Ø, { [] }, { [] } ξ □, Δ ξ, ξ □, 0 3, (ξ Δ, 0 3) €0, 4, 0 3 3 ordering relation: Hasse diagram: (c) is a partial order on the cet S ξ D, Δ, O ξ (¿0,43) ¿0,03 (¿4,03) (EUF) (EA3) E03 example of portiality: 103£243 303 E 203 what about a totally ordered set? example: N, ordered by the usual () Hasse Glagram: any two elements of are to pick are ordered by E. L and 3 2 < 3 ur 352. (N, \leq)

Logical clocks, and in particular Lamport docks. A way of assigning natural numbers to events. LC(A) = 3Clock condition: If $c_1 \rightarrow c_2$, then $LC(e_1) < LC(e_2)$. we say that Lamport clocks are consistent with the nappens-before relation. (Farcier way to say it:
"consistent with causality") P. LC=XXX3 Pz (C=X) X 3 2 B

2 B

3 E

3 C LC algorithm: 1) Every process will keep a counter, initially 0. 2) On every event, a process increments its counter by I. 3) when sending a message, a process includes its counter with the message. 4) when receiving a message,
set your clock to max (I local clock,
received clock)
+ 1. What about the other way around? if LC(ei) < LC(ez), do we know that $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$? P, 15=0 Pz independent of all events on P. 3 ¢ c we bon't know that E-D. what's actually true is that E and D are concurrent: we know only the clock condition: if $e_i \rightarrow e_z$ then $LC(e_i) \leq LC(e_z)$. Clock condition: \Rightarrow Lc(e₁) < Lc(e₂), e, rez $P \Rightarrow Q$ contrapositive: 7Q⇒7P. contrapositive of the clack condition: $\neg (L((e_i) < L((e_z)) \Rightarrow \neg (e_i \rightarrow e_z))$ or $LC(e_1) = LC(e_2)$ \Rightarrow ei ther e, and e, are concurrent, $e_z \rightarrow e_i$.

