Intro to complexity and asymptotic analysis

Textbook: 7.1

## Running time

- ► A deterministic **halting** TM has a max number of steps it will ever take
- ▶ This is usually dependent on the input, most often the length
- ▶ We customarily let *n* be the length of the input

**Def:** Let M be a deterministic TM that always halts. The **running time** or **time complexity** of M is the function  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  where f(n) is the maximal number of steps M will take on input w.

▶ It's often easier to only look at very large inputs, where we can neglect lower-order terms. This is called **asymptotic analysis** 

# Big "O"

- If we wanted to say f(n) grows at a rate no faster than g(n), we could say f(n) is O(g(n))
  - ▶ In some texts, f(n) = O(g(n)) or  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$
  - ► Colloquially, f(n) is order g(n)

**Def:** Big-O notation. Formally, if there exist positive real numbers c and  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ 

$$f(n) \leq cg(n)$$

then we say g(n) is an asymptotic upper bound on f(n) and f(n) is O(g(n)).

► Means *f* is less than or equal to *g* if we disregard differences up to a constant factor

#### Big "O"

- Weirdly, we don't need to specify a base when logarithms are involved!
  - ▶ Since  $log_c(n)$  is always proportional to  $log_d(n)$
  - ▶ We usually use log(n), ln(n), or lg(n)
- ▶ f(n) being O(1) means that f(n) is bounded by some constant
- ▶ Big-O is the most common type of analysis, since it analyzes the "worst-case" scenario
  - ▶ It was popularized by Donald Knuth in the 70's

#### Little "o"

- ▶ Big-O gave us the option to say f(n) is asymptotically no more than g(n): What if we want to say f(n) is less than g(n)? We use **little-o** notation!
- ► Little-o can be thought of as saying something grows insignificantly when compared to something else

**Def:** For functions f, g, we say f is o(g(n)) if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=0$$

In other words, as  $n \to \infty$ , g(n) grown infinitely larger and faster than f(n).

► The difference is subtle, but far-reaching!

$$f(n)$$
 is  $O(g(n)) \iff \exists c \exists n_0 \forall n [n_0 < n \to f(n) \le cg(n)]$   
 $f(n)$  is  $o(g(n)) \iff \forall c \exists n_0 \forall n [n_0 \le n \to f(n) < cg(n)]$ 

# Polynomiality and nonpolynomiality

- Many algorithms run in time EG O(n),  $O(n^2)$ ,  $O(n^{100})$
- ▶ In real life, anything as bad as or worse than  $O(n^2)$  is too costly: We don't even bother with EG  $O(2^n)$
- ▶ However,  $O(2^n)$  is very theoretically interesting!

**Def:** An algorithm with time complexity of the form  $O(n^c)$  for constant c is said to be **polynomial**. If the complexity is not of this form, it is said to be **nonpolynomial**. This is called the **polynomiality/nonpolynomiality** of the algorithm.

# Complexity classes and the TIME operator

- There are many problems which can be decided by a deterministic TM in f(n) time
- ► We introduce the TIME operator to find the set of all languages decidable in some time limit

**Def:** For some function f, the **time complexity class** TIME(t(n)) is the set of all **languages** decidable in O(t(n)) time by a **deterministic** Turing Machine.

**Note:** Computationally equivalent models solving the same problem may have different time complexities! Namely, dTMs and nTMs are computationally equivalent but have vastly different complexity classes.

# Nondeterministic Running Time

- Running time makes sense on dTMs, but what about nTMs?
- Some branches of the nondeterminism may live longer than others
- ► We'll just use the longest running time of any branch

**Def:** Let N be a nondeterministic decider Turing machine on input of length n. The **running time** f(n) of N is the maximum number of steps that N uses on any branch of its computation.

- We previously gave an algorithm for simulating an nTM with a dTM
- ► That algorithm ran in O(2<sup>n</sup>) deterministic timesteps for n nondeterministic timesteps
- ► Therefore, any algorithm running in f(n) nondeterministic time runs in **at worst**  $O(2^{f(n)})$ .

## The NTIME operator

It is also interesting to study the set of all languages decidable in f(n) nondeterministic time: We use the operator NTIME

**Def:** For some function f, the **time complexity class** NTIME(f(n)) is the set of all languages decidable by a **nondeterministic** TM in O(f(n)) time.

**Note:** All "reasonable" (textbook's word) deterministic models of computation are polynomial-time convertible to one another

# Next up: P and NP $\,$