Heuristic Analysis

By: Jordan L. Carson

Date: 2018-02-03

Custom Score Analysis

The first custom_score functions goal is to obtain the heuristic value as a difference of number of legal moves available for player and its opponent. The intuition behind this is to subtract the number of moves between each player.

The code can be seen below:

```
# getting opponent
opponent = game.get_opponent(player)
# obtaining locations
playerMoves = game.get_legal_moves(player)
opponentMoves = game.get_legal_moves(opponent)

# returning heuristic
return float(len(playerMoves) = len(opponentMoves))
```

Custom Score 2

The second custom score is very similar to the first heuristic calculation, however this is set to calculate the heuristic value as a difference of number of legal moves available for the player and its opponent plus add an incentive to take central location.

See the code below:

```
# Calculating center position of the game board
mid_w, mid_h = game.height // 2 + 1, game.width // 2 + 1
center_location = (mid_w, mid_h)

# getting players location
player_location__ = game.get_player_location(player)
# checking if player is the center location # returning heuristic1 with incentive
if center_location == player_location:
    return custom_score(game, player)+100
else:_# returning heuristic1
    return custom_score(game, player)
```

Custom Score 3

The third custom heuristic function is based on the assumption that during later stages of the game, local properties become more important. Thus, a conditional is written that explicitly calculates the size of the board and the number of blank spaces if this is greater than 30% of the board size we then filter out moves that are within the local area

See the code below.

Proximity Helper Function

```
idef proximity(location1, location2):
i     """Function return extra score as function of proximity between two positions....""
i     return abs(location1[0]-location2[0])+abs(location1[1]-location2[1])
```

Custom Heuristic Results

Jordan L. Carson - Artificial Intelligence Nanodegree									
Match # Opponent		AB_Improved		AB_Custon		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	19	1	19	1	19	1	20	0
2	MM_Open	15	5	16	4	16	4	16	4
3	NM_Center	16	4	19	1	16	4	18	2
4	MN_Improved	14	6	16	4	14	6	15	5
5	AB_0pen	10	10	10	10	9	11	10	10
6	AB Center	9 j	11	10	10	11	9	13	7
7	AB_Improved	12	8	10	10	12	8	9	11
	Win Rate:	67.9%		71.4%		69.3%		72	1%
Process finished with exit code 0									

Conclusion

In conclusion, we can see from the test results that the third custom heuristic function (custom_3) works best, however the first heuristic has relatively the same results. This is due to if the player has more available moves than his opponent, the chances to win are higher. In the third heuristic, we can see that in later stages of the game, the moves are more important thus we use the same function as the first, however we only grant the results in the later portion of the game. Thus it can be seen that the position on the game board is very important. Considering the results in the above screenshot, I would recommend using the AB_Custom_3 heuristic function because the winning rate is the highest, is easy to implement and understand. The second is the first custom heuristic, AB_Custom, as this is a oversimplified version of the third heuristic we selected as our selected heuristic model.