

## MAT389 Fall 2013, Problem Set 3

### Functions

**3.1** For each of the functions defined below, describe the domain of definition that is understood:

$$(i) f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 + 1}, \quad (ii) f(z) = \operatorname{Arg} \frac{1}{z}, \quad (iii) f(z) = \frac{z}{z + \bar{z}}, \quad (iv) f(z) = \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

- (i) The function is well-defined at all points in which the denominator does not vanish. Thus the domain is the set  $\mathbb{C} - \{\pm i\}$ .
  - (ii) The domain of both  $1/z$  and the principal argument  $\operatorname{Arg} z$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ . On the other hand,  $1/z$  does not take the value 0 at any point of the complex plane, so the largest set on which  $f(z)$  is defined is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  itself.
  - (iii)  $z + \bar{z} = 0$  if and only if  $z$  lies on the imaginary axis. Hence, the domain of  $f(z)$  can be taken to be  $\mathbb{C} - \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) = 0\}$ .
  - (iv) Once again, the problem is the vanishing of the denominator. That happens over the circle  $|z| = 1$ , and so  $\operatorname{dom} f = \mathbb{C} - \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ .
- 

### Limits

**3.2** Let  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $z_0$  be an interior point of  $\Omega$ . Prove that the limit  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z)$  is unique (if it exists).

**Hint:** write out what it means for  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = \alpha$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = \beta$ . Using this information, what can you say about  $|\alpha - \beta|$ ?

By definition, we have

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_1 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta_1 \implies |f(z) - \alpha| < \epsilon$$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_2 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 < |z - z_0| < \delta_2 \implies |f(z) - \beta| < \epsilon$$

Fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $z^* \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|f(z^*) - \alpha| < \epsilon$  and  $|f(z^*) - \beta| < \epsilon$  simultaneously. Indeed, it is enough for  $z^*$  to satisfy  $0 < |z^* - z_0| < \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . By the triangle inequality,

$$|\alpha - \beta| = |(f(z^*) - \beta) - (f(z^*) - \alpha)| \leq |f(z^*) - \alpha| + |f(z^*) - \beta| < 2\epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, the only possibility is  $|\alpha - \beta| = 0$ ; equivalently,  $\alpha = \beta$ .

---

**3.3** The following statement is false:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = \infty \implies \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0)} u(x,y) \text{ and } \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0)} v(x,y) \text{ exist}$$

Can you provide a counterexample?

Let  $z_0 = 0$ , and choose

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{|x|} + i \sin \frac{1}{y}$$

We have  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} 1/|x| = \infty$ , but  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sin(1/y)$  does not exist. On the other hand,  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f(z) = \infty$  because  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} 1/f(z) = 0$ . Indeed,

$$0 \leq \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{1}{f(z)} \right|^2 = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1/x^2 + \sin^2(1/y)} \leq \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1/x^2} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} x^2 = 0.$$

So  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} |1/f(z)|^2 = 0$ , which is equivalent to saying that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} 1/f(z) = 0$ .

## Derivatives

**3.4** Apply the definition of derivative to prove that  $f(z) = \operatorname{Re} z$  is nowhere differentiable.

Remember that a function  $f(z)$  is differentiable at  $z = z_0$  if and only if the limit

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z}$$

exists. For  $f(z) = \operatorname{Re} z$ , we have

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(z_0 + \Delta z) - \operatorname{Re} z_0}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re} z_0 + \operatorname{Re} \Delta z - \operatorname{Re} z_0}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \Delta z}{\Delta z}$$

Since the last expression is independent of  $z_0$ , the existence of the limit determines the differentiability of  $f(z)$  at every point of the complex plane. But  $\operatorname{Re}(\Delta z)/\Delta z$  approaches different values along different trajectories  $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$ . For example, when  $\Delta z = \Delta x$ , we have

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\Delta z)}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta x} = 1,$$

while for  $\Delta z = i\Delta y$  it is

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\Delta z)}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{i\Delta y} = 0.$$

**3.5** Where is  $f(z) = |z|^2$  differentiable?

We have  $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  with  $u(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$  and  $v(x, y) = 0$ . The Cauchy-Riemann equations for  $f(z)$  are then

$$u_x = 2x = 0 = v_y \quad \text{and} \quad u_y = 2y = 0 = -v_x,$$

whose only solution is  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ . On the other hand, the partial derivatives  $u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  are certainly continuous at that point, from which we conclude  $f(z)$  is differentiable only at  $z = 0$ .

---

**3.6** Let  $f$  denote the function whose values are  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$f(z) = \frac{(\bar{z})^2}{z}, \quad \text{for } z \neq 0$$

Show that the Cauchy-Riemann equations are satisfied at the point  $z = 0$  but that the derivative of  $f$  fails to exist there.

**Hint:** to prove that the derivative does not exist, calculate the limit in the definition when approaching  $z = 0$  horizontally ( $\Delta y = 0$ ), and along the line  $y = x$  ( $\Delta x = \Delta y$ ).

For  $z \neq 0$ , we calculate

$$f(z) = \frac{(\bar{z})^2}{z} = \frac{(\bar{z})^3}{|z|^2} = \frac{(x - iy)^3}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{x^3 - 3ix^2y - 3xy^2 + iy^3}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{x^3 - 3xy^2 - i(3x^2y - y^3)}{x^2 + y^2}$$

Hence,

$$u(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^3 - 3xy^2}{x^2 + y^2} & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases} \quad v(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y^3 - 3yx^2}{x^2 + y^2} & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

The partial derivatives are

$$u_x(0, 0) = 1, \quad u_y(0, 0) = 0, \quad v_x(0, 0) = 0, \quad v_y(0, 0) = 1,$$

and so the Cauchy-Riemann equations are satisfied at  $z = 0$ .

On the other hand, the limit

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\Delta z) - f(0)}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\overline{\Delta z})^2}{(\Delta z)^2}$$

does not exist. Indeed, if  $\Delta z = i\Delta y$ , we have

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\overline{\Delta z})^2}{(\Delta z)^2} = \lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{(-i\Delta y)^2}{(i\Delta y)^2} = 1,$$

while, for  $\Delta z = \Delta x + i\Delta x = (1 + i)\Delta x$ ,

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\overline{\Delta z})^2}{(\Delta z)^2} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{[(1 - i)\Delta x]^2}{[(1 + i)\Delta x]^2} = -1.$$

---

**3.7** Suppose  $u(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y)$  have first-order partial derivatives with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  at some point  $z_0 = (x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$ .

(i) Use the change of coordinates  $x = r \cos \theta, y = r \sin \theta$  and the chain rule to show that

$$\begin{aligned} u_r &= u_x \cos \theta + u_y \sin \theta, & u_\theta &= -u_x r \sin \theta + u_y r \cos \theta, \\ v_r &= v_x \cos \theta + v_y \sin \theta, & v_\theta &= -v_x r \sin \theta + v_y r \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

at the point  $z = z_0$ .

(ii) Using these identities and the Cauchy-Riemann equations in rectangular coordinates, deduce the *polar form of the Cauchy-Riemann equations*:

$$u_r = \frac{1}{r} v_\theta, \quad \frac{1}{r} u_\theta = -v_r$$

(iii) Solve the equations  $(*)$  for  $u_x, u_y, v_x$  and  $v_y$  to show that

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= u_r \cos \theta - u_\theta \frac{\sin \theta}{r}, & u_y &= u_r \sin \theta + u_\theta \frac{\cos \theta}{r}, \\ v_x &= v_r \cos \theta - v_\theta \frac{\sin \theta}{r}, & v_y &= v_r \sin \theta + v_\theta \frac{\cos \theta}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

(iv) Use these identities to deduce the Cauchy-Riemann equations in rectangular coordinates from their polar form.

(v) Prove that the derivative of  $f(re^{i\theta}) = u(r, \theta) + iv(r, \theta)$  at  $z = z_0$  can be expressed in any of the following two forms:

$$f'(z_0) = (\cos \theta_0 - i \sin \theta_0) \left[ u_r(r_0, \theta_0) + iv_r(r_0, \theta_0) \right] = \frac{-i}{z_0} \left[ u_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) + iv_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) \right]$$

(i) The chain rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u_r &= u_x x_r + u_y y_r = u_x \cos \theta + u_y \sin \theta, & u_\theta &= u_x x_\theta + u_y y_\theta = -u_x r \sin \theta + u_y r \cos \theta, \\ v_r &= v_x x_r + v_y y_r = v_x \cos \theta + v_y \sin \theta, & v_\theta &= v_x x_\theta + v_y y_\theta = -v_x r \sin \theta + v_y r \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The Cauchy Riemann equations  $u_x = v_y, u_y = -v_x$  imply

$$u_r = u_x \cos \theta + u_y \sin \theta = v_y \cos \theta - v_x \sin \theta = \frac{1}{r} v_\theta$$

$$v_r = v_x \cos \theta + v_y \sin \theta = -u_y \cos \theta + u_x \sin \theta = -\frac{1}{r} u_\theta$$

(iii) Write  $(*)$  in matrix notation as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_r & v_r \\ u_\theta & v_\theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -r \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_x & v_x \\ u_y & v_y \end{pmatrix}$$

and isolate the matrix containing the partial derivatives of  $u$  and  $v$  with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_x & v_x \\ u_y & v_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -r \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_r & v_r \\ u_\theta & v_\theta \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} r \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ r \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_r & v_r \\ u_\theta & v_\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

(iv) Assuming the Cauchy-Riemann equations in polar coordinates

$$u_r = \frac{1}{r} v_\theta, \quad \frac{1}{r} u_\theta = -v_r,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= u_r \cos \theta - u_\theta \frac{\sin \theta}{r} = \frac{1}{r} v_\theta \cos \theta + v_r \sin \theta = v_y \\ u_y &= u_r \sin \theta + u_\theta \frac{\cos \theta}{r} = \frac{1}{r} v_\theta \sin \theta - v_r \cos \theta = -v_x \end{aligned}$$

(v) We know that

$$f'(z_0) = u_x(x_0, y_0) + iv_x(x_0, y_0) = v_y(x_0, y_0) - iv_x(x_0, y_0).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z_0) &= u_x(x_0, y_0) + iv_x(x_0, y_0) \\ &= u_r(r_0, \theta_0) \cos \theta_0 + v_r(r_0, \theta_0) \sin \theta_0 + i \left[ v_r(r_0, \theta_0) \cos \theta_0 - u_r(r_0, \theta_0) \sin \theta_0 \right] \\ &= (\cos \theta_0 - i \sin \theta_0) \left[ u_r(r_0, \theta_0) + iv_r(r_0, \theta_0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z_0) &= v_y(x_0, y_0) - iv_x(x_0, y_0) \\ &= \frac{-u_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) \sin \theta_0 + v_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) \cos \theta_0}{r_0} - i \frac{u_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) \cos \theta_0 + v_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) \sin \theta_0}{r_0} \\ &= \frac{-\sin \theta_0 - i \cos \theta_0}{r_0} \left[ u_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) + iv_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) \right] = \frac{-i}{r_0 e^{i\theta_0}} \left[ u_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) + iv_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) \right] \\ &= \frac{-i}{z_0} \left[ u_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) + iv_\theta(r_0, \theta_0) \right] \end{aligned}$$


---