

# Jointly measurable and progressively measurable stochastic processes

Jordan Bell

June 18, 2015

## 1 Jointly measurable stochastic processes

Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^d$  with Borel  $\mathcal{E}$ , let  $I = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , which is a topological space with the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space. For a stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  with state space  $E$ , we say that  $X$  is **jointly measurable** if the map  $(t, \omega) \mapsto X_t(\omega)$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .

For  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the path  $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$  is called **left-continuous** if for each  $t \in I$ ,

$$X_s(\omega) \rightarrow X_t(\omega), \quad s \uparrow t.$$

We prove that if the paths of a stochastic process are left-continuous then the stochastic process is jointly measurable.<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 1.** *If  $X$  is a stochastic process with state space  $E$  and all the paths of  $X$  are left-continuous, then  $X$  is jointly measurable.*

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $t \in I$ , and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , let

$$X_t^n(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1_{[k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})}(t) X_{k2^{-n}}(\omega).$$

Each  $X^n$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ : for  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$\{(t, \omega) \in I \times \Omega : X_t^n(\omega) \in B\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}) \times \{X_{k2^{-n}} \in B\}.$$

Let  $t \in I$ . For each  $n$  there is a unique  $k_n$  for which  $t \in [k_n 2^{-n}, (k_n+1)2^{-n})$ , and thus  $X_t^n(\omega) = X_{k_n 2^{-n}}(\omega)$ . Furthermore,  $k_n 2^{-n} \uparrow t$ , and because  $s \mapsto X_s(\omega)$  is left-continuous,  $X_{k_n 2^{-n}}(\omega) \rightarrow X_t(\omega)$ . That is,  $X^n \rightarrow X$  pointwise on  $I \times \Omega$ , and because each  $X^n$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  this implies that  $X$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .<sup>2</sup> Namely, the stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  is jointly measurable, proving the claim.  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>cf. Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 153, Lemma 4.51.

<sup>2</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 142, Lemma 4.29.

## 2 Adapted stochastic processes

Let  $\mathcal{F}_I = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$  be a filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$ . A stochastic process  $X$  is said to be **adapted to the filtration**  $\mathcal{F}_I$  if for each  $t \in I$  the map

$$\omega \mapsto X_t(\omega), \quad \Omega \rightarrow E,$$

is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , in other words, for each  $t \in I$ ,

$$\sigma(X_t) \subset \mathcal{F}_t.$$

For a stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$ , the **natural filtration of  $X$**  is

$$\sigma(X_s : s \leq t).$$

It is immediate that this is a filtration and that  $X$  is adapted to it.

## 3 Progressively measurable stochastic processes

Let  $\mathcal{F}_I = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$  be a filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$ . A function  $X : I \times \Omega \rightarrow E$  is called **progressively measurable with respect to the filtration**  $\mathcal{F}_I$  if for each  $t \in I$ , the map

$$(s, \omega) \mapsto X(s, \omega), \quad [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow E,$$

is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}^0(\mathcal{F}_I)$  the set of functions  $I \times \Omega \rightarrow E$  that are progressively measurable with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_I$ . We shall speak about a stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  being progressively measurable, by which we mean that the map  $(t, \omega) \mapsto X_t(\omega)$  is progressively measurable.

We denote by  $\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$  the collection of those subsets  $A$  of  $I \times \Omega$  such that for each  $t \in I$ ,

$$([0, t] \times \Omega) \cap A \in \mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t.$$

We prove in the following that this is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F}$  and that it is the coarsest  $\sigma$ -algebra with which all progressively measurable functions are measurable.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_I = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$  be a filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

1.  *$\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F}$ , and is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the collection of functions  $I \times \Omega \rightarrow E$  that are progressively measurable with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_I$ :*

$$\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I) = \sigma(\mathcal{M}^0(\mathcal{F}_I)).$$

2. *If  $X : I \times \Omega \rightarrow E$  is progressively measurable with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_I$ , then the stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  is jointly measurable and is adapted to the filtration.*

*Proof.* If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$  and  $t \in I$  then

$$([0, t] \times \Omega) \cap \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (([0, t] \times \Omega) \cap A_n),$$

which is a countable union of elements of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$  and hence belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ , showing that  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$ . If  $A_1, A_2 \in \text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$  and  $t \in I$  then

$$([0, t] \times \Omega) \cap (A_1 \cap A_2) = (([0, t] \times \Omega) \cap A_1) \cap (([0, t] \times \Omega) \cap A_2),$$

which is an intersection of two elements of  $\mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$  and hence belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ , showing that  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$ . Thus  $\text{Prog}((\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

If  $X : I \times \Omega \rightarrow E$  is progressively measurable,  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $t \in I$ , then

$$([0, t] \times \Omega) \cap X^{-1}(B) = \{(s, \omega) \in [0, t] \times \Omega : X(s, \omega) \in B\}.$$

Because  $X$  is progressively measurable, this belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ . This is true for all  $t$ , hence  $X^{-1}(B) \in \text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$ , which means that  $X$  is measurable  $\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .

If  $X : I \times \Omega \rightarrow E$  is measurable  $\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ ,  $t \in I$ , and  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ , then because  $X^{-1}(B) \in \text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$ , we have  $([0, t] \times \Omega) \cap X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ . This is true for all  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ , which means that  $(s, \omega) \mapsto X(s, \omega)$ ,  $[0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow E$ , is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0, t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ , and because this is true for all  $t$ ,  $X$  is progressively measurable. Therefore a function  $I \times \Omega \rightarrow E$  is progressively measurable if and only if it is measurable  $\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , which shows that  $\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$  is the coarsest  $\sigma$ -algebra with which all progressively measurable functions are measurable.

If  $X : I \times \Omega \rightarrow E$  is a progressively measurable function and  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$X^{-1}(B) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} (([0, k] \times \Omega) \cap X^{-1}(B)).$$

Because  $X$  is progressively measurable,

$$([0, k] \times \Omega) \cap X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}_{[0, k]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_k \subset \mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F},$$

thus  $X^{-1}(B)$  is equal to a countable union of elements of  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F}$  and so itself belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore  $X$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , namely  $X$  is jointly measurable.

Because  $\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the collection of progressively measurable functions and each progressively measurable function is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I) \subset \mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F},$$

and so  $\text{Prog}(\mathcal{F}_I)$  is indeed a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}_I \otimes \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $t \in I$ . That  $X$  is progressively measurable means that

$$(s, \omega) \mapsto X(s, \omega), \quad [0, t] \times \Omega$$

is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . This implies that for each  $s \in [0, t]$  the map  $\omega \mapsto X(s, \omega)$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .<sup>3</sup> (Generally, if a function is jointly measurable then it is separately measurable in each argument.) In particular,  $\omega \mapsto X(t, \omega)$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , which means that the stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  is adapted to the filtration, completing the proof.  $\square$

We now prove that if a stochastic process is adapted and left-continuous then it is progressively measurable.<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$  be a filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  is a stochastic process that is adapted to this filtration and all its paths are left-continuous, then  $X$  is progressively measurable with respect to this filtration.*

*Proof.* Write  $X(t, \omega) = X_t(\omega)$ . For  $t \in I$ , let  $Y$  be the restriction of  $X$  to  $[0, t] \times \Omega$ . We wish to prove that  $Y$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$Y_n(s, \omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} 1_{[kt2^{-n}, (k+1)t2^{-n})}(s) Y(kt2^{-n}, \omega) + 1_{\{t\}}(s) Y(t, \omega).$$

Because  $X$  is adapted to the filtration, each  $Y_n$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Because  $X$  has left-continuous paths, for  $(s, \omega) \in [0, t] \times \Omega$ ,

$$Y_n(s, \omega) \rightarrow Y(s, \omega).$$

Since  $Y$  is the pointwise limit of  $Y_n$ , it follows that  $Y$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , and so  $X$  is progressively measurable.  $\square$

## 4 Stopping times

Let  $\mathcal{F}_I = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$  be a filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$ . A function  $T : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a **stopping time with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_I$**  if

$$\{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t, \quad t \in I.$$

It is straightforward to prove that a stopping time is measurable  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{[0, \infty]}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_t : t \in I).$$

We define

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty : \text{if } t \in I \text{ then } A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $T$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{[0, \infty]}$ , and in particular  $\{T < \infty\} \in \mathcal{F}_T$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 152, Theorem 4.48.

<sup>4</sup>cf. Daniel W. Stroock, *Probability Theory: An Analytic View*, second ed., p. 267, Lemma 7.1.2.

For a stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  with state space  $E$ , we define  $X_T : \Omega \rightarrow E$  by

$$X_T(\omega) = 1_{\{T < \infty\}}(\omega) X_{T(\omega)}(\omega).$$

We prove that if  $X$  is progressively measurable then  $X_T$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .<sup>5</sup>

**Theorem 4.** *If  $\mathcal{F}_I = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$  is a filtration of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  is a stochastic process that is progressively measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_I$ , and  $T$  is a stopping time with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_I$ , then  $X_T$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof.* For  $t \in I$ , using that  $T$  is a stopping time we check that  $\omega \mapsto T(\omega) \wedge t$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{[0,t]}$ , and then  $\omega \mapsto (T(\omega) \wedge t, \omega)$ ,  $\Omega \rightarrow [0,t] \times \Omega$ , is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ .<sup>6</sup> Because  $X$  is progressively measurable,  $(s, \omega) \mapsto X_s(\omega)$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore the composition

$$\omega \mapsto X_{T(\omega) \wedge t}(\omega), \quad \Omega \rightarrow E,$$

is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , and a fortiori it is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . We have

$$X_T(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1_{\{T \leq n\}}(\omega) X_{T(\omega) \wedge n}(\omega),$$

and because  $\omega \mapsto 1_{\{T \leq n\}}(\omega) X_{T(\omega) \wedge n}(\omega)$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , it follows that  $\omega \mapsto X_T(\omega)$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . For  $B \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$\{X_T \in B\} \cap \{T \leq t\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : X_{T(\omega) \wedge t}(\omega) \in B\} \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t,$$

therefore  $\{X_T \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}_T$ . This means that  $X_T$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

For a stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$ , a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_I = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$ , and a stopping time  $T$  with respect to the filtration, we define

$$X_t^T(\omega) = X_{T(\omega) \wedge t}(\omega),$$

and  $(X_t^T)_{t \in I}$  is a stochastic process. We prove that if  $X$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_I$  then the stochastic process  $X^T$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_I$ .<sup>7</sup>

**Theorem 5.** *If  $(X_t)_{t \in I}$  is a stochastic process that is progressively measurable with respect to a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_I = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}$  and  $T$  is a stopping time with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_I$ , then  $X^T$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_I$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in I$ . Because  $T$  is a stopping time, for each  $s \in [0, t]$  the map  $\omega \mapsto T(\omega) \wedge s$  is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{[0,t]}$  and a fortiori is measurable  $\mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{[0,t]}$ .

<sup>5</sup>Sheng-wu He and Jia-gang Wang and Jia-An Yan, *Semimartingale Theory and Stochastic Calculus*, p. 86, Theorem 3.12.

<sup>6</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 152, Lemma 4.49.

<sup>7</sup>Ioannis Karatzas and Steven Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, p. 9, Proposition 2.18.

Therefore  $(s, \omega) \mapsto T(\omega) \wedge s$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{[0,t]}$ ,<sup>8</sup> and  $(s, \omega) \mapsto \omega$  is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_t$ . This implies that

$$(s, \omega) \mapsto (T(\omega) \wedge s, \omega), \quad [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, t] \times \Omega,$$

is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ .<sup>9</sup> Because  $X$  is progressively measurable,

$$(s, \omega) \mapsto X_s(\omega), \quad [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow E,$$

is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore the composition

$$(s, \omega) \mapsto X_{T(\omega) \wedge s}(\omega), \quad [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow E,$$

is measurable  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,t]} \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ , which shows that  $X^T$  is progressively measurable.  $\square$

---

<sup>8</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 152, Theorem 4.48.

<sup>9</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 152, Lemma 4.49.