

# Measure theory and Perron-Frobenius operators for continued fractions

Jordan Bell

April 18, 2016

## 1 The continued fraction transformation

For  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $[x]$  be the greatest integer  $\leq \xi$ , let  $R(\xi) = \xi - [\xi]$ , and let  $\|\xi\| = \min(R(\xi), 1 - R(\xi))$ , the distance from  $\xi$  to a nearest integer. Let  $I = [0, 1]$  and define the **continued fraction transformation**  $\tau : I \rightarrow I$  by

$$\tau(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-1} - [x^{-1}] & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is immediate that for  $x \in I$ ,  $x \in I \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $\tau(x) \in I \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $a_0(x) = [x]$ , and for  $n \geq 1$  define  $a_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$  by

$$a_n(x) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\tau^{n-1}(x - a_0(x))} \right\rceil.$$

For example, let  $x = \frac{13}{71}$ .

$$\tau(x) = \frac{71}{13} - \left\lceil \frac{71}{13} \right\rceil = \frac{71}{13} - 5 = \frac{6}{13}.$$

$$\tau^2(x) = \frac{13}{6} - \left\lceil \frac{13}{6} \right\rceil = \frac{13}{6} - 2 = \frac{1}{6}.$$

$$\tau^3(x) = \frac{6}{1} - \left\lceil \frac{6}{1} \right\rceil = 0.$$

Then  $\tau^n(x) = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Thus, with  $x = \frac{13}{71}$ ,

$$a_0(x) = 0, \quad a_1(x) = \left\lceil \frac{71}{13} \right\rceil = 5.$$

$$a_2(x) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\tau(x)} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{13}{6} \right\rceil = 2, \quad a_3(x) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\tau^2(x)} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{6}{1} \right\rceil = 6.$$

$$a_4(x) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\tau^3(x)} \right\rceil = \infty, \quad a_5(x) = \infty, \quad \dots$$

## 2 Convergents

For  $x \in \Omega = I \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  write  $a_n = a_n(x)$ , and define

$$q_{-1} = 0, \quad p_{-1} = 1, \quad q_0 = 1, \quad p_0 = 0,$$

and for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}, \quad p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}.$$

Thus

$$q_1 = a_1 q_0 + q_{-1} = a_1, \quad p_1 = a_1 p_0 + p_{-1} = 1.$$

One proves

$$p_n q_{n-1} - p_{n-1} q_n = (-1)^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Also,<sup>1</sup>

$$x = \frac{p_n + \tau^n(x) p_{n-1}}{q_n + \tau^n(x) q_{n-1}}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad n \geq 0.$$

From this,

$$x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{(-1)^n \tau^n(x)}{q_n(q_n + \tau^n(x) q_{n-1})}.$$

Now,

$$a_{n+1} + \tau^{n+1}(x) = \left[ \frac{1}{\tau^n(x)} \right] + \frac{1}{\tau^n(x)} - \left[ \frac{1}{\tau^n(x)} \right] = \frac{1}{\tau^n(x)},$$

and using this,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tau^n(x)}{q_n(q_n + \tau^n(x) q_{n-1})} &= \frac{1}{q_n(q_n \cdot (a_{n+1} + \tau^{n+1}(x)) + q_{n-1})} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_n(q_{n+1} + \tau^{n+1}(x) q_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})} < \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}}.$$

For  $n \geq 1$  let

$$r_n(x) = \frac{1}{\tau^{n-1}(x)} = a_n + \tau^n(x)$$

and

$$s_n = \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n}, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{s_n}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 9, Proposition 1.1.1.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
u_n &= q_{n-1}^{-2} \left| x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} \right|^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^2} \cdot \frac{q_{n-1}(q_{n-1} + \tau^{n-1}(x)q_{n-2})}{\tau^{n-1}(x)} \\
&= \frac{q_{n-1} + \tau^{n-1}(x)q_{n-2}}{\tau^{n-1}(x)q_{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{q_{n-1} \cdot (a_n + \tau^n(x)) + q_{n-2}}{q_{n-1}} \\
&= a_n + \tau^n(x) + \frac{q_{n-2}}{q_{n-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $s_0 = 0$ . It is worth noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
y_1 \cdots y_n &= \frac{q_1}{q_0} \cdots \frac{q_n}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{q_n}{q_0} = q_n. \\
\frac{1}{s_n} &= \frac{q_n}{q_{n-1}} = a_n + \frac{q_{n-2}}{q_{n-1}} = a_n + s_{n-1}. \\
u_n &= a_n + \tau^n(x) + \frac{q_{n-2}}{q_{n-1}} = r_n + s_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

### 3 Measure theory

Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space and  $\mu, \nu$  are probability measures on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu(A) = \nu(A)\}$ . First,  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ . Second, if  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $A \subset B$  then

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A) = \nu(B) - \nu(A) = \nu(B \setminus A),$$

so  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$ . Third, suppose that  $A_n \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $A_n \uparrow A$ . Because  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , and then, setting  $A_0 = \emptyset$ ,

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} (A_n \setminus A_{n-1})\right) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (\mu(A_n) - \mu(A_{n-1})),$$

whence  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Dynkin system. **Dynkin's theorem** says that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Dynkin system and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\pi$ -system (nonempty and closed under finite intersections), then  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ .<sup>2</sup>

Suppose now that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}$ , that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under finite intersections, and that  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ , so by Dynkin's theorem,

---

<sup>2</sup>Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Kim C. Border, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, third ed., p. 136, Lemma 4.11.

$\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ , hence  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A}$ . That is, for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ , meaning  $\mu = \nu$ .

We shall apply the above with  $(I, \mathcal{B}_I)$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$ . For

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(0, u] : 0 < u \leq 1\},$$

it is a fact that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}_I$ . Therefore if  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are probability measures on  $\mathcal{B}_I$  such that  $\mu((0, u]) = \nu((0, u])$  for every  $0 < u \leq 1$ , then  $\mu = \nu$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on  $I = [0, 1]$ . Define

$$d\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x)\log 2} d\lambda(x),$$

called the **Gauss measure**. If  $\mu$  is a Borel probability measure on  $I$ , for measurable  $T : I \rightarrow I$  and for  $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$  let

$$T_*\mu(A) = \mu(T^{-1}(A)).$$

$T_*\mu$ , called the **pushforward of  $\mu$  by  $T$** , is itself a Borel probability measure on  $I$ . We prove that  $\gamma$  is an invariant measure for  $\tau$ .<sup>3</sup>

**Theorem 1.**  $\tau_*\gamma = \gamma$ .

*Proof.* Let  $0 < u \leq 1$ . For  $x \in I$ ,  $0 < \tau(x) \leq u$  if and only if  $0 < \frac{1}{x} - \left[\frac{1}{x}\right] \leq u$  if and only if  $\left[\frac{1}{x}\right] < \frac{1}{x} \leq u + \left[\frac{1}{x}\right]$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{u + \left[\frac{1}{x}\right]} \leq x < \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{x}\right]}$ . Then, as  $0 \notin \tau^{-1}((0, u])$ ,

$$\tau^{-1}((0, u]) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \left[ \frac{1}{u+i}, \frac{1}{i} \right).$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\tau^{-1}((0, u])) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \gamma\left(\left[\frac{1}{u+i}, \frac{1}{i}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \int_{\left[\frac{1}{u+i}, \frac{1}{i}\right)} \frac{1}{(1+x)\log 2} d\lambda(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\log 2} \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{i}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{u+i}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\frac{1 + \frac{1}{i}}{1 + \frac{1}{u+i}} = \frac{1 + \frac{u}{i}}{1 + \frac{u}{i+1}},$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 17, Theorem 1.2.1; Manfred Einsiedler and Thomas Ward, *Ergodic Theory with a view towards Number Theory*, p. 77, Lemma 3.5.

this is

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(\tau^{-1}((0, u])) &= \frac{1}{\log 2} \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{u}{i} \right) - \log \left( 1 + \frac{u}{i+1} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\log 2} \sum_{i \geq 1} \int_{\frac{u}{i+1}}^{\frac{u}{i}} \frac{1}{1+x} d\lambda(x) \\
&= \gamma((0, u]).
\end{aligned}$$

Because  $\gamma(\tau^{-1}((0, u])) = \gamma((0, u])$  for every  $0 < u \leq 1$ , it follows that  $\tau_*\gamma = \gamma$ .  $\square$

We remark that for a set  $X$ ,  $X^0$  is a singleton. For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^0$  let  $I_0(i) = \Omega$ . For  $n \geq 1$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^n$ , let

$$I_n(i) = \{\omega \in \Omega : a_k(x) = i_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

For  $n \geq 1$  and for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^n$ , define

$$[i_1, \dots, i_n] = \frac{1}{i_1 + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{i_{n-1} + \frac{1}{i_n}}}}.$$

For  $x \in I_n(i)$ ,

$$\frac{p_n(x)}{q_n(x)} = [i_1, \dots, i_n], \quad \frac{p_{n-1}(x)}{q_{n-1}(x)} = [i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}].$$

The following is an expression for the sets  $I_n(i)$ .<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^n$ , and define*

$$u_n(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} & n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{p_n}{q_n} & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_n(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_n}{q_n} & n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} & n \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$I_n(i) = \Omega \cap (u_n(i), v_n(i)).$$

---

<sup>4</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 18, Theorem 1.2.2.

From the above, if  $n$  is odd and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(I_n(i)) &= v_n(i) - u_n(i) \\
&= \frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} \\
&= \frac{p_n q_{n-1} - p_{n-1} q_n}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})} \\
&= \frac{1}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})},
\end{aligned}$$

and if  $n$  is even then likewise

$$\lambda(I_n(i)) = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})}.$$

Kraaikamp and Iosifescu attribute the following to Torsten Brodén, in a 1900 paper.<sup>5</sup>

**Theorem 3.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $x \in I$ ,

$$\lambda(\tau^n < x|i) = \frac{x(s_n + 1)}{s_n x + 1}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\lambda(\tau^n < x|i) = \frac{\lambda((\tau^n < x) \cap I_n(i))}{\lambda(I_n(i))}.$$

Using

$$\omega = \frac{p_n + \tau^n(\omega)p_{n-1}}{q_n + \tau^n(\omega)q_{n-1}}, \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad n \geq 0,$$

if  $n$  is odd then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tau^n < x) \cap I_n(i) &= \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} < \omega < \frac{p_n}{q_n}, \tau^n(\omega) < x \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \frac{p_n + x p_{n-1}}{q_n + x q_{n-1}} < \omega < \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and if  $n$  is even then

$$(\tau^n < x) \cap I_n(i) = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \frac{p_n}{q_n} < \omega < \frac{p_n + x p_{n-1}}{q_n + x q_{n-1}} \right\}.$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 21, Corollary 1.2.6.

Therefore if  $n$  is odd,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda((\tau^n < x) \cap I_n(i)) &= \frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_n + xp_{n-1}}{q_n + xq_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{xp_nq_{n-1} - xp_{n-1}q_n}{q_n(q_n + xq_{n-1})} \\ &= \frac{x}{q_n(q_n + xq_{n-1})}\end{aligned}$$

and likewise if  $n$  is even then

$$\lambda((\tau^n < x) \cap I_n(i)) = \frac{x}{q_n(q_n + xq_{n-1})}.$$

Therefore for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\tau^n < x|i) &= \frac{x}{q_n(q_n + xq_{n-1})} \cdot q_n(q_n + q_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{x(q_n + q_{n-1})}{q_n + xq_{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using  $s_n + 1 = \frac{q_n + q_{n-1}}{q_n}$  and  $s_n x + 1 = \frac{xq_{n-1} + q_n}{q_n}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\tau^n < x|i) &= \frac{xq_n(s_n + 1)}{q_n(s_n x + 1)} \\ &= \frac{x(s_n + 1)}{s_n x + 1}.\end{aligned}$$

□

For  $j \geq 1$  and  $s \in I$  define

$$P_j(s) = \frac{s + 1}{(s + j)(s + j + 1)}.$$

We now apply Theorem 3 to prove the following.<sup>6</sup>

**Theorem 4.** For  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\lambda(a_1 = j) = \frac{1}{j(j + 1)}.$$

For  $n \geq 1$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,

$$\lambda(a_{n+1} = j|i) = P_j(s_n).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2,

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : a_1(\omega) = j\} = I_1(j) = \Omega \cap (u_1(j), v_1(j)).$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 22, Proposition 1.2.7.

In this case,  $q_1 = j$ , so  $u_1(j) = \frac{p_1+p_0}{q_1+q_0} = \frac{1+0}{j+1} = \frac{1}{j+1}$  and  $v_1(j) = \frac{p_1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{j}$ , so

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : a_1(\omega) = j\} = \Omega \cap \left( \frac{1}{j+1}, \frac{1}{j} \right).$$

Now,

$$a_{n+1}(\omega) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\tau^n(\omega)} \right\rceil = a_1(\tau^n(\omega)).$$

Thus

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : a_{n+1}(\omega) = j\} = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \tau^n(\omega) \in \left( \frac{1}{j+1}, \frac{1}{j} \right) \right\}.$$

Then using Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(a_{n+1} = j | i) &= \lambda\left(\tau^n < \frac{1}{j} \mid i\right) - \lambda\left(\tau^n < \frac{1}{j+1} \mid i\right) \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{j}(s_n + 1)}{s_n \frac{1}{j} + 1} - \frac{\frac{1}{j+1}(s_n + 1)}{s_n \frac{1}{j+1} + 1} \\ &= \frac{s_n + 1}{(s_n + 1)(s_n + j + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 4 Perron-Frobenius operators

For a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}_I$  and for  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  let  $d\mu_f = f d\mu$ . If  $\tau_*\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , check that  $\tau_*\mu_f$  is itself absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Then applying the Radon-Nikodym theorem, let

$$P_\mu f = \frac{d(\tau_*\mu_f)}{d\mu}.$$

For  $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ ,

$$\int_I g \cdot P_\mu f d\mu = \int_I g d(\tau_*\mu_f) = \int_I g \circ \tau d\mu_f = \int_I (g \circ \tau) \cdot f d\mu.$$

In particular, for  $g = 1_A$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$ ,

$$\int_I 1_A \cdot P_\mu f d\mu = \int_I 1_{\tau^{-1}(A)} \cdot f d\mu.$$

For  $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ ,

$$\int_I g \cdot P_\gamma 1 d\gamma = \int_I g \circ \tau d\gamma = \int_I g d(\tau_*\gamma),$$

hence  $P_\gamma 1 = 1$  if and only if  $\tau_*\gamma$ .



We shall be especially interested in

$$U = P_\gamma,$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Gauss measure on  $I$ . We establish almost everywhere an expression for  $Uf(x)$ .<sup>7</sup>

**Theorem 5.** *For  $f \in L^1(\gamma)$ , for  $\gamma$ -almost all  $x \in I$ ,*

$$Uf(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(x) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $I_i = \left(\frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{1}{i}\right]$  and let  $\tau_i$  be the restriction of  $\tau : I \rightarrow I$  to  $I_i$ . For  $u \in I_i$ ,  $i \leq \frac{1}{u} < i+1$ , hence  $\tau_i(u) = \tau(u) = \frac{1}{u} - i$ , i.e.  $u = \frac{1}{\tau_i(u)+i}$ , i.e.  $\tau_i^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{x+i}$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$ , if  $0 \notin A$  then

$$\tau^{-1}(A) = \tau^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \geq 1} (A \cap I_i)\right) = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \tau^{-1}(A \cap I_i),$$

and the sets  $\tau^{-1}(A \cap I_i)$  are pairwise disjoint, hence

$$\int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} f d\gamma = \sum_{i \geq 1} \int_{\tau^{-1}(A \cap I_i)} f d\gamma = \sum_{i \geq 1} \int_{\tau_i^{-1}(A)} f d\gamma.$$

Applying the change of variables formula, as  $\frac{d}{dx} \tau_i^{-1}(x) = -(x+i)^{-2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_i^{-1}(A)} f d\gamma &= \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_{\tau_i^{-1}(A)} \frac{f(u)}{u+1} d\lambda(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_A \frac{f \circ \tau_i^{-1}(x)}{\tau_i^{-1}(x)+1} \cdot (x+i)^{-2} d\lambda(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_A f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{(x+i+1)(x+i)} d\lambda(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_A f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \cdot P_i(x) \cdot \frac{1}{x+1} d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int_A f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \cdot P_i(x) d\gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} f d\gamma &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \int_A f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \cdot P_i(x) d\gamma(x) \\ &= \int_A \sum_{i \geq 1} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \cdot P_i(x) d\gamma(x). \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>7</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 59, Proposition 2.1.2.

Then

$$\int_A P_\gamma f d\gamma = \int_A \sum_{i \geq 1} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \cdot P_i(x) d\gamma(x).$$

Because this is true for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$  with  $0 \notin A$ , it follows that for  $\gamma$ -almost all  $x \in I$ ,

$$P_\gamma f(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \cdot P_i(x).$$

□

The following gives an expression for  $P_\mu f(x)$  under some hypotheses.<sup>8</sup>

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}_I$  that is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  and suppose that  $d\mu = h d\lambda$  with  $h(x) > 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in I$ . Let  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and define  $g(x) = (x+1)h(x)f(x)$ . For  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in I$ ,*

$$P_\mu f(x) = \frac{1}{h(x)} \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{h((x+i)^{-1})}{(x+i)^2} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) = \frac{Ug(x)}{(x+1)h(x)}.$$

For  $n \geq 1$ , for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in I$ ,

$$P_\mu^n f(x) = \frac{U^n g(x)}{(x+1)h(x)}.$$

We prove an expression for  $\mu(\tau^{-n}(A))$ .<sup>9</sup>

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}_I$  that is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ . Let  $h = \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}$  and let  $f(x) = (x+1)h(x)$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mu(\tau^{-n}(A)) = \int_A \frac{U^n f(x)}{x+1} d\lambda(x).$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 0$ ,

$$\mu(A) = \int_A d\mu = \int_A h d\lambda = \int_A \frac{f(x)}{x+1} d\lambda(x) = \int_A \frac{U^0 f(x)}{x+1} d\lambda(x).$$

---

<sup>8</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 60, Proposition 2.1.3.

<sup>9</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 61, Proposition 2.1.5.

Suppose by hypothesis that the claim is true for some  $n \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\tau^{-n-1}(A)) &= \mu(\tau^{-n}(\tau^{-1}(A))) \\
&= \int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} \frac{U^n f(x)}{x+1} d\lambda(x) \\
&= \log 2 \cdot \int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} U^n f(x) d\gamma(x) \\
&= \log 2 \cdot \int_A U^{n+1} f(x) d\gamma(x) \\
&= \log 2 \cdot \int_A \frac{U^{n+1} f(x)}{x+1} d\lambda(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

For  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x+1}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_A P_\lambda f d\lambda &= \int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} \frac{1}{x+1} d\lambda(x) \\
&= \log 2 \cdot \int_{\tau^{-1}(A)} d\gamma \\
&= \log 2 \cdot \int_A d\gamma \\
&= \int_A f d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Because this is true for all Borel sets  $A$ ,

$$P_\lambda \frac{1}{x+1} = \frac{1}{x+1}.$$

For  $f \in L^1(\lambda)$  and  $x \in I$ , let

$$\Pi_1 f(x) = \frac{1}{(x+1) \log 2} \int_I f d\lambda.$$

Define

$$T_0 = P_\lambda - \Pi_1.$$

For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\Pi_1^n = \Pi_1$ . For  $f \in L^1(\lambda)$ ,

$$P_\lambda \Pi_1 f = \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_I f d\lambda \cdot P_\lambda \frac{1}{x+1} = \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_I f d\lambda \cdot \frac{1}{x+1} = \Pi_1 f(x)$$

and

$$\Pi_1 P_\lambda f = \frac{1}{(x+1) \log 2} \int_I P_\lambda f d\lambda = \frac{1}{(x+1) \log 2} \int_I f d\lambda = \Pi_1 f(x),$$

hence

$$P_\lambda \Pi_1 = \Pi_1 = \Pi_1 P_\lambda.$$

Moreover,

$$T_0 \Pi_1 = (P_\lambda - \Pi_1) \Pi_1 = P_\lambda \Pi_1 - \Pi_1^2 = 0$$

and

$$\Pi_1 T_0 = \Pi_1 (P_\lambda - \Pi_1) = \Pi_1 P_\lambda - \Pi_1^2 = 0.$$

Because  $P_\lambda = \Pi_1 + T_0$ , using  $\Pi_1^2 = \Pi_1$ ,  $T_0 \Pi_1 = 0$ , and  $\Pi_1 T_0 = 0$ , we have

$$P_\lambda^n = \Pi_1 + T_0^n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Theorem 6 tells us that for  $f \in L^1(\lambda)$ , for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $x \in I$ ,

$$P_\lambda f(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{(x+i)^2} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right).$$

With  $h(x) = x + 1$  and  $g = hf$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $x \in I$ ,

$$P_\lambda^n f(x) = \frac{U^n g(x)}{x+1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} U^n g &= h P_\lambda^n f \\ &= h \Pi_1 f + h T_0^n f \\ &= \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_I f d\lambda + h T_0^n f \\ &= \int_I g d\gamma + h T_0^n (g/h). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $I_\gamma : L^1(\gamma) \rightarrow L^1(\gamma)$  by

$$I_\gamma f = 1 \cdot \int_I f d\gamma.$$

We have

$$I_\gamma U f = \int_I P_\gamma f d\gamma = \int_I f d\gamma = I_\gamma f,$$

meaning  $I_\gamma U = I_\gamma$ . Furthermore, because  $\tau_* \gamma = \gamma$  we have  $P_\gamma 1 = 1$ , so

$$U I_\gamma f = \int_I f d\gamma \cdot U 1 = \int_I f d\gamma \cdot 1 = I_\gamma f,$$

meaning  $U I_\gamma = I_\gamma$ .

Let  $h(x) = x + 1$ .  $h, \frac{1}{h} \in L^\infty(\gamma)$ . Now define  $T : L^1(\gamma) \rightarrow L^1(\gamma)$  by

$$Tg = h \cdot T_0(g/h),$$

which makes sense because  $\frac{1}{h} \in L^\infty(\gamma)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 g &= T(h \cdot T_0(g/h)) \\ &= h \cdot T_0\left(\frac{h \cdot T_0(g/h)}{h}\right) \\ &= h \cdot T_0^2(g/h). \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$T^n g = h \cdot T_0^n(g/h).$$

Recapitulating the above, for  $n \geq 1$  and  $g \in L^1(\gamma)$ ,

$$U^n g = I_\gamma g + h T_0^n(g/h) = I_\gamma g + T^n g,$$

meaning

$$U^n = I_\gamma + T^n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

It is a fact that  $T^n$  converges to 0 in the strong operator topology on  $\mathcal{L}(L^1(\gamma))$ , the bounded linear operators  $L^1(\gamma) \rightarrow L^1(\gamma)$ , that is, for each  $f \in L^1(\gamma)$ ,  $T^n f \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1(\gamma)$ , i.e.  $\|T^n f\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$ .<sup>10</sup> Then  $U^n \rightarrow I_\gamma$  in the strong operator topology: for  $f \in L^1(\gamma)$ ,

$$\int_I \left| U^n f(x) - \int_I f d\gamma \right| d\lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Iosifescu and Kraaikamp state that has not been determined whether for  $\gamma$ -almost all  $x \in I$ ,  $U_n f(x) \rightarrow I_\gamma f$ .

Let  $B(I)$  be the set of bounded Borel measurable functions  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and write  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in I} |f(x)|$ . For  $f \in B(I)$ , define for  $x \in I$ ,

$$Uf(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(x) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{x+1}{(x+i)(x+i+1)} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right).$$

$1 \in B(I)$ , and for  $x \in I$ ,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{x+1}{(x+i)(x+i+1)} = \frac{m}{m+x+1},$$

hence

$$U1(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{x+1}{(x+i)(x+i+1)} = 1.$$

For  $f \in B(I)$  and  $x \in I$ ,

$$|Uf(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot U1(x),$$

---

<sup>10</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 63, Proposition 2.1.7.

hence

$$\|U\|_{B(I) \rightarrow B(I)} = 1.$$

Say that  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing if  $x \leq y$  implies  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ . An increasing function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belongs to  $B(I)$ . We prove that if  $f$  is increasing then  $Uf$  is decreasing.<sup>11</sup>

**Theorem 8.** *If  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing then  $Uf$  is decreasing.*

*Proof.* Take  $x < y$  and let

$$S_1 = \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(y) \left( f\left(\frac{1}{y+i}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \right)$$

and

$$S_2 = \sum_{i \geq 1} (P_i(y) - P_i(x)) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Uf(y) - Uf(x) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( P_i(y) f\left(\frac{1}{y+i}\right) - P_i(x) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \right) \\ &= S_1 + S_2. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $f$  is increasing,  $S_1 \leq 0$ . Using  $\sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(u) = 1$  for any  $u \in I$ ,

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} (P_i(y) - P_i(x)) f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) = 0,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \right) (P_i(y) - P_i(x)) \\ &= \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+2}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \right) (P_2(y) - P_2(x)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \geq 3} \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \right) (P_i(y) - P_i(x)). \end{aligned}$$

For  $i \geq 2$ , using that  $f$  is increasing,

$$f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \leq f\left(\frac{1}{x+2}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \leq 0.$$

We calculate

$$P'_i(u) = -\frac{-i^2 + i + (u+1)^2}{(u+i)^2(u+i+1)^2}.$$

---

<sup>11</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 65, Proposition 2.1.11.

The roots of the above rational function are  $u = -\sqrt{(i-1)i} - 1, \sqrt{(i-1)i} - 1$ . Thus,  $P'_i(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u = \sqrt{(i-1)i} - 1$ . But  $\sqrt{(i-1)i} - 1 \in I$  if and only if  $i^2 - i - 1 \geq 0$  and  $i^2 - i - 4 \leq 0$ . This is possible if and only if  $i = 2$ . And

$$P'_i(0) = \frac{i^2 - i - 1}{i^2(i+1)^2},$$

so  $P'_1(u) \leq 0$  for all  $u \in I$  and for  $i \geq 3$ ,  $P'_i(u) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in I$ . For  $i = 2$ , check that if  $0 \leq u \leq \sqrt{2} - 1$  then  $P'_2(u) \geq 0$  and if  $\sqrt{2} - 1 \leq u \leq 1$  then  $P'_2(u) \leq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\leq \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+2}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \right) (P_2(y) - P_2(x)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \geq 3} \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+2}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \right) (P_i(y) - P_i(x)) \\ &= \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+2}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \right) (P_2(y) - P_2(x)) \\ &\quad + \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+2}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \right) (-P_1(y) - P_2(y) - (-P_1(x) - P_2(x))) \\ &= \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+2}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right) \right) (P_1(x) - P_1(y)) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that  $S_1 \leq 0$  and  $S_2 \leq 0$ , so

$$Uf(y) - Uf(x) = S_1 + S_2 \leq 0,$$

which means that  $Uf : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is decreasing.  $\square$

For  $J = [a, b] \subset I$ , a **partition** of  $J$  is a sequence  $P = (t_0, \dots, t_n)$  such that  $a = t_0 < \dots < t_n = b$ . For  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  define

$$V(f, P) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})|.$$

Define

$$V_J f = \sup\{V(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } J\}.$$

Let  $v_f(x) = V_{[0,x]} f$ , the **variation of  $f$** .  $v_f(1) = V_{[0,1]} f$ . We say that  $f$  has **bounded variation** if  $v_f(1) < \infty$ , and denote by  $BV(I)$  the set of functions  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with bounded variation. It is a fact that with the norm

$$\|f\|_{BV} = |f(0)| + V_I f,$$

$BV(I)$  is a Banach algebra.

If  $f$  is increasing then  $V_I f = f(1) - f(0)$ . We will use the following to prove the theorem coming after it.<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 66, Proposition 2.1.12.

**Lemma 9.** *If  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing then*

$$V_I(Uf) \leq \frac{1}{2}V_I f.$$

*Proof.* Because  $Uf$  is decreasing,

$$V_I(Uf) = Uf(0) - Uf(1) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( P_i(0)f\left(\frac{1}{i}\right) - P_i(1)f\left(\frac{1}{1+i}\right) \right).$$

$$\text{As } P_i(u) = \frac{u+1}{(u+i)(u+i+1)},$$

$$P_i(1) = \frac{2}{(i+1)(i+2)} = 2P_{i+1}(0),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} V_I(Uf) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( P_i(0)f\left(\frac{1}{i}\right) - P_i(1)f\left(\frac{1}{1+i}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( P_i(0)f\left(\frac{1}{i}\right) - P_{i+1}(0)f\left(\frac{1}{1+i}\right) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i \geq 1} P_{i+1}(0)f\left(\frac{1}{1+i}\right) \\ &= P_1(0)f(1) - \sum_{i \geq 1} P_{i+1}(0)f\left(\frac{1}{1+i}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}f(1) - \sum_{i \geq 1} P_{i+1}(0)f\left(\frac{1}{1+i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $f\left(\frac{1}{1+i}\right) \geq f(0)$  we have  $-f\left(\frac{1}{1+i}\right) \leq -f(0)$ , hence

$$V_I(Uf) \leq \frac{1}{2}f(1) - f(0) \sum_{i \geq 1} P_{i+1}(0) = \frac{1}{2}f(1) - \frac{1}{2}f(0),$$

using  $\sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(0) = 1$  and  $P_1(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ . As  $f$  is increasing this means

$$V_I(Uf) \leq \frac{1}{2}(f(1) - f(0)) = \frac{1}{2}V_I f.$$

□

**Theorem 10.** *If  $f \in BV(I)$  then*

$$V_I(Uf) \leq \frac{1}{2}V_I f.$$



*Proof.* Let

$$p_f(x) = \frac{v_f(x) + f(x) - f(0)}{2}, \quad n_f(x) = \frac{v_f(x) - f(x) + f(0)}{2},$$

the **positive variation** of  $f$  and the **negative variation** of  $f$ . It is a fact that  $0 \leq p_f \leq v_f$ ,  $0 \leq n_f \leq v_f$ , and  $p_f$  and  $n_f$  are increasing. Using this,

$$\begin{aligned} V_I(Uf) &= V_I(Up_f + Un_f) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}V_I p_f + \frac{1}{2}V_I n_f \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(p_f(1) - p_f(0)) + \frac{1}{2}(n_f(1) - n_f(0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(v_f(1) - v_f(0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}V_I f. \end{aligned}$$

□

For  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , let

$$s(f) = \sup_{x, y \in I, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}.$$

We denote by  $\text{Lip}(I)$  the set of  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $s(f) < \infty$ .<sup>13</sup>

**Theorem 11.** For  $f \in \text{Lip}(I)$ ,

$$s(Uf) \leq (2\zeta(3) - \zeta(2))s(f).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $x, y \in I$ ,  $x > y$ . We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{Uf(y) - Uf(x)}{y - x} \\ &= \frac{1}{y - x} \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( P_i(y) f\left(\frac{1}{y+i}\right) - P_i(y) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{y - x} \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( P_i(y) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) - P_i(x) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(y) \cdot \frac{f\left(\frac{1}{y+i}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right)}{y - x} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{P_i(y) - P_i(x)}{y - x} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>13</sup>Marius Iosifescu and Cor Kraaikamp, *Metrical Theory of Continued Fractions*, p. 67, Proposition 2.1.14.

Calculating further,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Uf(y) - Uf(x)}{y - x} &= - \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(y) \cdot \frac{f\left(\frac{1}{y+i}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right)}{\frac{1}{y+i} - \frac{1}{x+i}} \cdot \frac{1}{(x+i)(y+i)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{P_i(y) - P_i(x)}{y - x} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$P_i(u) = \frac{u+1}{(u+i)(u+i+1)} = \frac{i}{u+i+1} - \frac{i-1}{u+i},$$

whence

$$P_i(y) - P_i(x) = \frac{(x-y)i}{(x+i+1)(y+i+1)} + \frac{(y-x)(i-1)}{(x+i)(y+i)},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{P_i(y) - P_i(x)}{y - x} f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( \frac{i-1}{(x+i)(y+i)} - \frac{i}{(x+i+1)(y+i+1)} \right) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Summation by parts tells us

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} f_i(g_{i+1} - g_i) = -f_1g_1 - \sum_{i \geq 1} g_{i+1}(f_{i+1} - f_i),$$

and here this yields, for  $g_i = \frac{i-1}{(x+i)(y+i)}$  and  $f_i = f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i \geq 1} \left( \frac{i-1}{(x+i)(y+i)} - \frac{i}{(x+i+1)(y+i+1)} \right) f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} g_{i+1}(f_{i+1} - f_i) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i}{(x+i+1)(y+i+1)} \left( f\left(\frac{1}{x+i+1}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i}{(x+i+1)(y+i+1)} \cdot \frac{f\left(\frac{1}{x+i+1}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right)}{\frac{1}{x+i+1} - \frac{1}{x+i}} \cdot \frac{-1}{(x+i)(x+i+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recapitulating the above,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Uf(y) - Uf(x)}{y - x} \\ &= - \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(y) \cdot \frac{f\left(\frac{1}{y+i}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right)}{\frac{1}{y+i} - \frac{1}{x+i}} \cdot \frac{1}{(x+i)(y+i)} \\ & \quad - \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i}{(x+i)(x+i+1)^2(y+i+1)} \cdot \frac{f\left(\frac{1}{x+i+1}\right) - f\left(\frac{1}{x+i}\right)}{\frac{1}{x+i+1} - \frac{1}{x+i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{Uf(y) - Uf(x)}{y - x} \right| &\leq s(f) \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(y) \frac{1}{(x+i)(y+i)} \\ & \quad + s(f) \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i}{(x+i)(x+i+1)^2(y+i+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using that  $x > y$ ,

$$\left| \frac{Uf(y) - Uf(x)}{y - x} \right| \leq s(f) \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( P_i(y) \frac{1}{(y+i)^2} + \frac{i}{(y+i)(y+i+1)^3} \right).$$

Because  $y \in I = [0, 1]$ ,  $y \geq 0$  so

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i}{(y+i)(y+i+1)^3} \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{(i+1)^3} = -1 + \zeta(3).$$

Let  $h(u) = u^2$ , with which

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(y) \frac{1}{(y+i)^2} = Uh(y).$$

$h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing, so  $Uh$  is decreasing. Because  $P_i(0) = \frac{1}{i(i+1)}$ ,

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(y) \frac{1}{(y+i)^2} = Uh(y) \leq Uh(0) = \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(0) \frac{1}{i^2} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i^3(i+1)}.$$

Doing partial fractions,

$$\frac{1}{i^3(i+1)} = \frac{1}{i^3} - \frac{1}{i^2} + \frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{1+i},$$

so

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i^3(i+1)} = \zeta(3) - \zeta(2) + 1.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{Uf(y) - Uf(x)}{y - x} \right| \leq s(f) (\zeta(3) - \zeta(2) + 1 - 1 + \zeta(3)) = s(f)(2\zeta(3) - \zeta(2)).$$

□

For example, let  $f(x) = x$ , for which  $s(f) = 1$ . Now,

$$Uf(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} P_i(x) \frac{1}{x+i}.$$

We remind ourselves that

$$P_i(x) = \frac{x+1}{(x+i)(x+i+1)}, \quad P'_i(x) = \frac{i^2 - i - (x+1)^2}{(x+i)^2(x+i+1)^2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (Uf)'(x) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( P'_i(x) \frac{1}{x+i} - P_i(x) \frac{1}{(x+i)^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( \frac{i^2 - i - (x+1)^2}{(x+i)^3(x+i+1)^2} - \frac{x+1}{(x+i)^3(x+i+1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i^2 - i - (x+1)^2 - (x+1)(x+i+1)}{(x+i)^3(x+i+1)^2} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{-2x^2 - ix - 4x + i^2 - 2i - 2}{(x+i)^3(x+i+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Check that  $x \mapsto (Uf)'(x)$  is increasing and negative. Then  $\|(Uf)'\| \leq |(Uf)'(0)|$ , with

$$(Uf)'(0) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{i^2 - 2i - 2}{i^3(i+1)^2} = -2\zeta(3) + \zeta(2).$$

Therefore for  $f(x) = x$ ,

$$s(f) = \|(Uf)'\|_{\infty} = 2\zeta(3) - \zeta(2),$$

which shows that the above theorem is sharp.