

# Infinite product measures

Jordan Bell

May 10, 2015

## 1 Introduction

The usual proof that the product of a collection of probability measures exists uses Fubini's theorem. This is unsatisfying because one ought not need to use Fubini's theorem to prove things having only to do with  $\sigma$ -algebras and measures. In this note I work through the proof given by Saeki of the existence of the product of a collection of probability measures.<sup>1</sup> We speak only about the Lebesgue integral of characteristic functions.

## 2 Rings of sets and Hopf's extension theorem

If  $X$  is a set and  $\mathcal{R}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , we call  $\mathcal{R}$  a **ring of sets** when (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{R}$  and (ii) if  $A$  and  $B$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}$  then  $A \cup B$  and  $A \setminus B$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring of sets and  $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $A \cap B = A \setminus (A \setminus B) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Equivalently, one checks that a collection of subsets  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $X$  is a ring of sets if and only if (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{R}$  and (ii) if  $A$  and  $B$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}$  then  $A \triangle B$  and  $A \cap B$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}$ , where  $A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$  is the **symmetric difference**. One checks that indeed a ring of sets is a ring with addition  $\triangle$  and multiplication  $\cap$ . If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a nonempty collection of subsets of  $X$ , one proves that there is a unique ring of sets  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$  that (i) contains  $\mathcal{S}$  and (ii) is contained in any ring of sets that contains  $\mathcal{S}$ . We call  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$  the **ring of sets generated by  $\mathcal{S}$** .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a ring of subsets of a set  $X$ , we call  $\mathcal{A}$  an **algebra of sets** when  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . Namely, an algebra of sets is a unital ring of sets. If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a nonempty collection of subsets of  $X$ , one proves that there is a unique algebra of sets  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$  that (i) contains  $\mathcal{S}$  and (ii) is contained in any algebra of sets that contains  $\mathcal{S}$ . We call  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$  the **algebra of sets generated by  $\mathcal{S}$** .

For a nonempty collection  $\mathcal{G}$  of subsets of a set  $X$ , we denote by  $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$  the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{G} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ .

If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring of subsets of a set  $X$  and  $\tau : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a function such that (i)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and (ii) when  $\{A_n\}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{R}$  whose members

---

<sup>1</sup>Sadahiro Saeki, *A Proof of the Existence of Infinite Product Probability Measures*, Amer. Math. Monthly **103** (1996), no. 8, 682–682.

are pairwise disjoint and which satisfies  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ , then

$$\tau\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(A_n),$$

we call  $\tau$  a **measure on  $\mathcal{R}$** . The following is **Hopf's extension theorem**.<sup>2</sup>

**Theorem 1** (Hopf's extension theorem). *Suppose that  $X$  is a set, that  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring of subsets of  $X$ , and that  $\tau$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{R}$ . If there is a countable subset  $\{E_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $\tau(E_n) < \infty$  for each  $n$  and such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = X$ , then there is a unique measure  $\mu : \sigma(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  whose restriction to  $\mathcal{R}$  is equal to  $\tau$ .*

### 3 Semirings of sets

If  $X$  is a set and  $\mathcal{S}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , we call  $\mathcal{S}$  a **semiring of sets** when (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$ , (ii) if  $A$  and  $B$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}$  then  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{S}$ , and (iii) if  $A$  and  $B$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}$  then there are pairwise disjoint  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$A \setminus B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i.$$

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semiring of subsets of a set  $X$ , we call  $\mathcal{S}$  a **semialgebra of sets** when  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ . One proves that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semialgebra, then the collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of all finite unions of elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  is equal to the algebra generated by  $\mathcal{S}$ , and that each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to a finite union of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ .<sup>3</sup>

### 4 Cylinder sets

Suppose that  $\{(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i) : i \in I\}$  is a nonempty collection of probability spaces and let

$$\Omega = \prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i.$$

If  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$  for each  $i \in I$  and  $\{i \in I : A_i \neq \Omega_i\}$  is finite, we call

$$A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$$

a **cylinder set**. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of all cylinder sets. One checks that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semialgebra of sets.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Karl Stromberg, *Probability for Analysts*, p. 52, Theorem A3.6.

<sup>3</sup>V. I. Bogachev, *Measure Theory*, volume I, p. 8, Lemma 1.2.14.

<sup>4</sup>S. J. Taylor, *Introduction to Measure and Integration*, p. 136, §6.1, Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 1$$

whenever  $A_n$  are pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose union is equal to  $\Omega$ . Then there is a unique probability measure on  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  whose restriction to  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of all finite unions of cylinder sets. Because  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semialgebra of sets,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the algebra of sets generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , and any element of  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to a finite union of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . There are pairwise disjoint  $B_1, \dots, B_j \in \mathcal{C}$  whose union is equal to  $A$ . Suppose also that  $\{C_i\}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  with pairwise disjoint members whose union is equal to  $A$ . Moreover, as  $\Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$  there are pairwise disjoint  $W_1, \dots, W_p \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\Omega \setminus A = \bigcup_{i=1}^p W_i$ . On the one hand,  $W_1, \dots, W_p, B_1, \dots, B_j$  are pairwise disjoint cylinder sets with union  $\Omega$ , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^j P(B_i) + \sum_{i=1}^p P(W_i) = 1.$$

On the other hand,  $W_1, \dots, W_p, C_1, C_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint cylinder sets with union  $\Omega$ , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(C_i) + \sum_{i=1}^p P(W_i) = 1.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^j P(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(C_i);$$

this conclusion does not involve  $W_1, \dots, W_p$ . Thus it makes sense to define  $\tau(A)$  to be this common value, and this defines a function  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . For  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\tau(C) = P(C)$ , i.e. the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $P$  is equal to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

If  $\{A_n\}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  whose members are pairwise disjoint and  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ , for each  $n$  let  $C_{n,1}, \dots, C_{n,j(n)} \in \mathcal{C}$  be pairwise disjoint cylinder sets with union  $A_n$ . Then

$$\{C_{n,i} : n \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq j(n)\}$$

is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose elements are pairwise disjoint and with union  $A$ , so

$$\tau(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j(n)} P(C_{n,i}).$$

But for each  $n$ ,

$$\tau(A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{j(n)} P(C_{n,i}),$$

so

$$\tau(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(A_n).$$

This shows that  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a measure. Then applying Hopf's extension theorem, we get that there is a unique measure  $\mu : \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  whose restriction to  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to  $\tau$ . It is apparent that the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by a semialgebra is equal to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the algebra generated by the semialgebra, so  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Because the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $P$ , the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $P$ . Now suppose that  $\nu : \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a measure whose restriction to  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $P$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , there are disjoint  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ . Then

$$\nu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(C_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(C_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(C_i) = \mu(A),$$

showing that the restriction of  $\nu$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is equal to the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ , from which it follows that  $\nu = \mu$ .  $\square$

## 5 Product measures

Suppose that  $\{(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i) : i \in I\}$  is a nonempty collection of probability spaces. The **product  $\sigma$ -algebra** is  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets. We define  $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$P(A) = \prod_{i \in I_A} P_i(A_i) = \prod_{i \in I} P_i(A_i),$$

for  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and with  $I_A = \{i \in I : A_i \neq \Omega_i\}$ , which is finite.

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose that  $I$  is the set of positive integers. If  $\{A_n\}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  with pairwise disjoint elements whose union is equal to  $\Omega$ , then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 1.$$

*Proof.* For each  $k \geq 1$ , there is some  $i_k$  and  $A_{k,1} \in \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, A_{k,i_k} \in \mathcal{F}_{i_k}$  such that

$$A_k = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{k,i},$$

with  $A_{k,i} = \Omega_i$  for  $i > i_k$ . Let  $m \geq 1$ , let  $x = (x_i) \in A_m$ , and let  $n \geq 1$ . If  $n = m$ ,

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) = 1 = \delta_{m,n}.$$

If  $m \neq n$  and  $y_i \in \Omega_i$  for each  $i > i_m$  and we set  $y_i = x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq i_m$ , then because  $A_m$  and  $A_n$  are disjoint and  $y \in A_m$ , we have  $y \notin A_n$  and therefore there is some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq i_n$ , such that  $y_i \notin A_{n,i}$ . Thus

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(y_i) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(y_i) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Either  $i_n \leq i_m$  or  $i_n > i_m$ . In the case  $i_n \leq i_m$  we have  $A_{n,i} = \Omega_i$  for  $i > i_m$  and thus

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(y_i) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i),$$

hence by (1),

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) = 0 = \delta_{m,n}.$$

In the case  $i_n > i_m$ , we have  $A_{n,i} = \Omega_i$  for  $i > i_n$  and thus

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(y_i) \right) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i=i_m+1}^{i_n} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(y_i) \right),$$

hence by (1) we have that for  $y_i \in \Omega_i$ ,  $i > i_m$ ,

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i=i_m+1}^{i_n} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(y_i) \right) = 0.$$

Therefore, integrating over  $\Omega_i$  for  $i = i_m + 1, \dots, i_n$ ,

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i=i_m+1}^{i_n} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) = 0,$$

so

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) = 0 = \delta_{m,n}.$$

We have thus established that for any  $m \geq 1$ ,  $x \in A_m$ , and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) = \delta_{m,n}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose by contradiction that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < 1,$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) < 1. \quad (3)$$

If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{n,1}}(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) = 1$$

for all  $x_1 \in \Omega_1$ , then integrating over  $\Omega_1$  we contradict (3). Hence there is some  $x_1 \in \Omega_1$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_{n,1}}(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) < 1.$$

Suppose by induction that for some  $j \geq 1$ ,  $x_1 \in \Omega_1, \dots, x_j \in \Omega_j$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^j \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i=j+1}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) < 1.$$

If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j+1} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i=j+2}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) = 1$$

for all  $x_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}$ , then integrating over  $\Omega_{j+1}$  we contradict (3). Hence there is some  $x_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j+1} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i=j+2}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) < 1.$$

Therefore, by induction we obtain that for any  $j$ , there are  $x_1 \in \Omega_1, \dots, x_j \in \Omega_j$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^j \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i=j+1}^{\infty} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) < 1. \quad (4)$$

Write  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \Omega$ . Because  $\Omega = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$ , there is some  $m$  for which  $x \in A_m$ . For  $j = i_m$ , (4) states

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) < 1.$$

But (2) tells us

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{i_m} \chi_{A_{n,i}}(x_i) \right) \left( \prod_{i>i_m} P_i(A_{n,i}) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{m,n} = 1,$$

a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 1,$$

proving the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose that  $I$  is an uncountable set. If  $\{A_n\}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  with pairwise disjoint elements whose union is equal to  $\Omega$ , then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 1.$$

*Proof.* For each  $n$ , there are  $A_{n,i} \in \mathcal{F}_i$  with  $A_{n,i} = \Omega_i$ , and  $I_n = \{i \in I : A_i \neq \Omega_i\}$  is finite. Then  $J = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  is countable. Let  $\Omega_J = \prod_{i \in J} \Omega_i$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_J$  be the collection of cylinder sets corresponding to the probability spaces  $\{(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i) : i \in J\}$ , and define  $P_J : \mathcal{C}_J \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$P_J(B) = \prod_{i \in J_B} P_i(B_i) = \prod_{i \in J} P_i(B_i),$$

for  $B \in \mathcal{C}_J$  and with  $J_B = \{i \in J : B_i \neq \Omega_i\}$ , which is finite.  $P_J$  satisfies

$$P_J(B) = P \left( B \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} \Omega_i \right), \quad B \in \mathcal{C}_J.$$

Let  $B_n = \prod_{i \in J} A_{n,i}$ , i.e.  $A_n = B_n \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} A_{n,i}$ . Then  $\{B_n\}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{C}_J$  with pairwise disjoint elements whose union is equal to  $\Omega_J$ , and applying Lemma 3 we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_J(B_n) = 1,$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = 1.$$

$\square$

Now by Lemma 2 and the above lemma, there is a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  whose restriction to  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $P$ . That is, when  $\{(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, P_i) : i \in I\}$  are probability spaces and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the collection of cylinder sets corresponding to these probability spaces, with  $\Omega = \prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i$  and  $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$P(A) = \prod_{i \in I} P(A_i)$$

for  $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , then there is a unique probability measure  $\mu$  on the the product  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $\mu(A) = P(A)$  for each cylinder set  $A$ . We call  $\mu$  the **product measure**, and write

$$\bigotimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(\mathcal{C})$$

and

$$\prod_{i \in I} P_i = \mu.$$