

# The Bernstein and Nikolsky inequalities for trigonometric polynomials

Jordan Bell

January 28, 2015

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . For a function  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ , we define  $f_\tau : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_\tau(t) = f(t - \tau)$ . For measurable  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $0 < r < \infty$ , write

$$\|f\|_r = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(t)|^r dt \right)^{1/r}.$$

For  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , write

$$(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t)g(x - t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{T},$$

and for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , write

$$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t)e^{-ikt}dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This note works out proofs of some inequalities involving the support of  $\hat{f}$  for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}_n$  be the set of trigonometric polynomials of degree  $\leq n$ . We define the **Dirichlet kernel**  $D_n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$D_n(t) = \sum_{|j| \leq n} e^{ijt}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

It is straightforward to check that if  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$  then

$$D_n * T = T.$$

## 2 Bernstein's inequality for trigonometric polynomials

DeVore and Lorentz attribute the following inequality to Szegő.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>Ronald A. DeVore and George G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, p. 97, Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$  and  $T$  is real valued, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ ,*

$$T'(x)^2 + n^2 T(x)^2 \leq n^2 \|T\|_\infty^2.$$

*Proof.* If  $T = 0$  the result is immediate. Otherwise, take  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , and for real  $c > 1$  define

$$P_c(t) = \frac{T(t+x) \operatorname{sgn} T'(x)}{c \|T\|_\infty}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

$P_c \in \mathcal{T}_n$ , and satisfies

$$P'_c(0) = \frac{T'(x) \operatorname{sgn} T'(x)}{c \|T\|_\infty} \geq 0$$

and  $\|P_c\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{c} < 1$ . Since  $\|P_c\|_\infty < 1$ , in particular  $|P_c(0)| < 1$  and so there is some  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2n}$ , such that  $\sin n\alpha = P_c(0)$ . We define  $S \in \mathcal{T}_n$  by

$$S(t) = \sin n(t + \alpha) - P_c(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T},$$

which satisfies  $S(0) = \sin n\alpha - P_c(0) = 0$ . For  $k = -n, \dots, n$ , let  $t_k = -\alpha + \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n}$ , for which we have

$$\sin n(t_k + \alpha) = \sin \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2} = (-1)^{k+1}.$$

Because  $\|P_c\|_\infty < 1$ ,

$$\operatorname{sgn} S(t_k) = (-1)^{k+1},$$

so by the intermediate value theorem, for each  $k = -n, \dots, n-1$  there is some  $c_k \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$  such that  $S(c_k) = 0$ . Because

$$t_n - t_{-n} = \frac{(2n-1)\pi}{2n} - \frac{(-2n-1)\pi}{2n} = 2\pi,$$

it follows that if  $j \neq k$  then  $c_j$  and  $c_k$  are distinct in  $\mathbb{T}$ . It is a fact that a trigonometric polynomial of degree  $n$  has  $\leq 2n$  distinct roots in  $\mathbb{T}$ , so if  $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$  and  $S(t) = 0$ , then  $t = c_k$ . It is the case that  $t_1 = -\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2n} > 0$  and  $t_0 = -\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2} < 0$ , so  $0 \in (t_0, t_1)$ . But  $S(0) = 0$ , so  $c_0 = 0$ . Using  $S(t_1) = 1 > 0$  and the fact that  $S$  has no zeros in  $(0, t_1)$  we get a contradiction from  $S'(0) < 0$ , so  $S'(0) \geq 0$ . This gives

$$0 \leq P'_c(0) = n \cos n\alpha - S'(0) \leq n \cos n\alpha = n \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 n\alpha} = n \sqrt{1 - P_c(0)^2}.$$

Thus

$$P'_c(0) \leq n \sqrt{1 - P_c(0)^2},$$

or

$$n^2 P_c(0) + P'_c(0)^2 \leq n^2.$$

Because

$$P_c(0)^2 = \frac{T(x)^2}{c^2 \|T\|_\infty^2}, \quad P'_c(0)^2 = \frac{T'(x)^2}{c^2 \|T\|_\infty^2}$$

we get

$$n^2 T(x)^2 + T'(x)^2 \leq c^2 n^2 \|T\|_\infty^2.$$

Because this is true for all  $c > 1$ ,

$$n^2 T(x)^2 + T'(x)^2 \leq n^2 \|T\|_\infty^2,$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

Using the above we now prove Bernstein's inequality.<sup>2</sup>

**Theorem 2** (Bernstein's inequality). *If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ , then*

$$\|T'\|_\infty \leq n \|T\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* There is some  $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $|T'(x_0)| = \|T'\|_\infty$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $e^{i\alpha} T'(x_0) = \|T'\|_\infty$ . Define  $S(x) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} T(x))$  for  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ , which satisfies  $S'(x) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} T'(x))$  and in particular

$$S'(x_0) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} T'(x_0)) = e^{i\alpha} T'(x_0) = \|T'\|_\infty.$$

Because  $S \in \mathcal{T}_n$  and  $S$  is real valued, Theorem 1 yields

$$S'(x_0)^2 + n^2 S(x_0)^2 \leq n^2 \|S\|_\infty^2.$$

A fortiori,

$$S'(x_0)^2 \leq n^2 \|S\|_\infty^2,$$

giving, because  $S'(x_0) = \|T'\|_\infty$  and  $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|T\|_\infty$ ,

$$\|T'\|_\infty^2 \leq n^2 \|T\|_\infty^2,$$

proving the claim.  $\square$

The following is a version of Bernstein's inequality.<sup>3</sup>

**Theorem 3.** *If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$  is a Borel set, there is some  $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  such that*

$$\int_A |T'(t)| dt \leq n \int_{A-x_0} |T(t)| dt.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Ronald A. DeVore and George G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, p. 98.

<sup>3</sup>Ronald A. DeVore and George G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, p. 101, Theorem 2.4.

*Proof.* Let  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$  be a Borel set with indicator function  $\chi_A$ . Define  $Q : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$Q(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) T(t+x) \operatorname{sgn} T'(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{T},$$

which we can write as

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) \sum_j \widehat{T}(j) e^{ij(t+x)} \operatorname{sgn} T'(t) dt \\ &= \sum_j \widehat{T}(j) \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) e^{ijt} \operatorname{sgn} T'(t) dt \right) e^{ijx}, \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $Q \in \mathcal{T}_n$ . Also,

$$Q'(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) T'(t+x) \operatorname{sgn} T'(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $|Q(x_0)| = \|Q\|_{\infty}$ . Applying Theorem 2 we get

$$\|Q'\|_{\infty} \leq n \|Q\|_{\infty}.$$

Using

$$Q'(0) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) T'(t) \operatorname{sgn} T'(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) |T'(t)| dt,$$

this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) |T'(t)| dt &\leq n \|Q\|_{\infty} \\ &= n |Q(t_0)| \\ &= n \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) T(t+x_0) \operatorname{sgn} T'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq n \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_A(t) |T(t+x_0)| dt \\ &= n \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi_{A-x_0}(t) |T(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

□

Applying the above with  $A = \mathbb{T}$  gives the following version of Bernstein's inequality, for the  $L^1$  norm.

**Theorem 4** ( $L^1$  Bernstein's inequality). *If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ , then*

$$\|T'\|_1 \leq n \|T\|_1.$$

### 3 Nikolsky's inequality for trigonometric polynomials

DeVore and Lorentz attribute the following inequality to Sergey Nikolsky.<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 5** (Nikolsky's inequality). *If  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$  and  $0 < q \leq p \leq \infty$ , then for  $r \geq \frac{q}{2}$  an integer,*

$$\|T\|_p \leq (2nr + 1)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|T\|_q.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m = nr$ . Then  $T^r \in \mathcal{T}_m$ , so  $T^r * D_m = T^r$ , and using this and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have, for  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T(x)^r| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} T(t)^r D_m(x-t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |T(t)|^r |D_m(x-t)| dt \\ &\leq \|T\|_{\infty}^{r-\frac{q}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |T(t)|^{\frac{q}{2}} |D_m(x-t)| dt \\ &\leq \|T\|_{\infty}^{r-\frac{q}{2}} \left\| |T|^{q/2} \right\|_2 \|D_m\|_2 \\ &= \|T\|_{\infty}^{r-\frac{q}{2}} \|T\|_q^{\frac{q}{2}} \left\| \widehat{D_m} \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})} \\ &= \sqrt{2m+1} \|T\|_{\infty}^{r-\frac{q}{2}} \|T\|_q^{\frac{q}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|T\|_{\infty}^r \leq \sqrt{2m+1} \|T\|_{\infty}^{r-\frac{q}{2}} \|T\|_q^{\frac{q}{2}},$$

thus

$$\|T\|_{\infty} \leq (2m+1)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|T\|_q.$$

Then, using  $\|T\|_p \leq \|T\|_{\infty}^{1-\frac{q}{p}} \|T\|_q^{\frac{q}{p}}$ , we have

$$\|T\|_p \leq (2m+1)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|T\|_q^{1-\frac{q}{p}} \|T\|_q^{\frac{q}{p}} = (2m+1)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|T\|_q.$$

□

### 4 The complementary Bernstein inequality

We define a **homogeneous Banach space** to be a linear subspace  $B$  of  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$  with a norm  $\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|f\|_B$  with which  $B$  is a Banach space, such that if  $f \in B$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  then  $f_{\tau} \in B$  and  $\|f_{\tau}\|_B = \|f\|_B$ , and such that if  $f \in B$  then  $f_{\tau} \rightarrow f$  in  $B$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .

<sup>4</sup>Ronald A. DeVore and George G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, p. 102, Theorem 2.6.

**Fejér's kernel** is, for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$K_n(t) = \sum_{|j| \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n+1}\right) e^{ijt} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_n(j) \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n+1}\right) e^{ijt} \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

One calculates that, for  $t \notin 4\pi\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{n+1}{2}t}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t} \right)^2.$$

Bernstein's inequality is a statement about functions whose Fourier transform is supported only on low frequencies. The following is a statement about functions whose Fourier transform is supported only on high frequencies.<sup>5</sup> In particular, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  is a homogeneous Banach space, and so is  $C(\mathbb{T})$  with the supremum norm.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $B$  be a homogeneous Banach space and let  $m$  be a positive integer. Define  $C_m$  as  $C_m = m+1$  if  $m$  is even and  $C_m = 12m$  if  $m$  is odd. If*

$$f(t) = \sum_{|j| \geq n} a_j e^{ijt}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T},$$

*is  $m$  times differentiable and  $f^{(m)} \in B$ , then  $f \in B$  and*

$$\|f\|_B \leq C_m n^{-m} \|f^{(m)}\|_B.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $m$  is even. It is a fact that if  $a_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is an even sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that  $a_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $|j| \rightarrow \infty$  and such that for each  $j > 0$ ,

$$a_{j-1} + a_{j+1} - 2a_j \geq 0,$$

then there is a nonnegative function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $\hat{f}(j) = a_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .<sup>6</sup> Define

$$a_j = \begin{cases} j^{-m} & |j| \geq n \\ n^{-m} + (n - |j|)(n^{-m} - (n+1)^{-m}) & |j| \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

It is apparent that  $a_j$  is even and tends to 0 as  $|j| \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n-2$ ,

$$a_{j-1} + a_{j+1} - 2a_j = 0.$$

For  $j = n-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j-1} + a_{j+1} - 2a_j &= n^{-m} + (n - (n-2))(n^{-m} - (n+1)^{-m}) + n^{-m} \\ &\quad - 2(n^{-m} + (n - (n-1))(n^{-m} - (n+1)^{-m})) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Yitzhak Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, third ed., p. 55, Theorem 8.4.

<sup>6</sup>Yitzhak Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, third ed., p. 24, Theorem 4.1.

The function  $j \mapsto j^{-m}$  is convex on  $\{n, n+1, \dots\}$ , as  $m \geq 1$ , so for  $j \geq n$  we have  $a_{j-1} + a_{j+1} - 2a_j \geq 0$ . Therefore, there is some nonnegative  $\phi_{m,n} \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$\widehat{\phi_{m,n}}(j) = a_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Because  $\phi_{m,n}$  is nonnegative, and using  $n^{-m} - (n+1)^{-m} < \frac{m}{n}n^{-m}$ ,

$$\|\phi_{m,n}\|_1 = \widehat{\phi_{m,n}}(0) = n^{-m} + n(n^{-m} - (n+1)^{-m}) < (m+1)n^{-m}.$$

Define  $d\mu_{m,n}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\phi_{m,n}(t)dt$ . For  $|j| \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f^{(m)} * \mu_{m,n}}(j) &= \widehat{f^{(m)}}(j) \widehat{\mu_{m,n}}(j) \\ &= (ij)^m \hat{f}(j) \widehat{\phi_{m,n}}(j) \\ &= (ij)^m \hat{f}(j) \cdot |j|^{-m} \\ &= i^m \hat{f}(j). \end{aligned}$$

For  $|j| < n$ , since  $\hat{f}(j) = 0$  we have

$$\widehat{f^{(m)} * \mu_{m,n}}(j) = (ij)^m \hat{f}(j) \widehat{\phi_{m,n}}(j) = 0 = i^m \hat{f}(j),$$

so for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\widehat{f^{(m)} * \mu_{m,n}}(j) = i^m \hat{f}(j).$$

This implies that  $f^{(m)} * \mu_{m,n} = i^m f$ , which in particular tells us that  $f \in B$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_B &= \|i^m f\|_B \\ &= \|f^{(m)} * \mu_{m,n}\|_B \\ &\leq \|f^{(m)}\|_B \|\mu_{m,n}\|_{M(\mathbb{T})} \\ &= \|\phi_{m,n}\|_1 \|f^{(m)}\|_B \\ &\leq (m+1)n^{-m} \|f^{(m)}\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

This shows what we want in the case that  $m$  is even, with  $C_m = m+1$ .

Suppose that  $m$  is odd. For  $l$  a positive integer, define  $\psi_l : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\psi_l(t) = \left( e^{2lit} + \frac{1}{2}e^{3lit} \right) K_{l-1}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

There is a unique  $l_n$  such that  $n \in \{2l_n, 2l_n + 1\}$ . For  $k \geq 0$  an integer, define  $\Psi_{n,k} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\Psi_{n,k}(t) = \psi_{l_n 2^k}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

$\Psi_{n,k}$  satisfies

$$\|\Psi_{n,k}\|_1 \leq \frac{3}{2} \|K_{k-1}\|_1 = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |K_{k-1}(t)| dt = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} K_{k-1}(t) dt = \frac{3}{2}.$$

On the one hand, for  $j \leq 0$ , from the definition of  $\psi_l$  we have  $\widehat{\Psi_{n,k}}(j) = 0$ , hence  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\Psi_{n,k}}(j) = 0$ . On the other hand, for  $j \geq n$  we assert that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\Psi_{n,k}}(j) = 1.$$

We define  $\Phi_n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\Phi_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Psi_{n,k} * \phi_{1,n2^k})(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

We calculate the Fourier coefficients of  $\Phi_n$ . For  $j \geq n$ ,

$$\widehat{\Phi_n}(j) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\Phi_{n,k}}(j) \widehat{\phi_{1,n2^k}}(j) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\Phi_{n,k}}(j) = \frac{1}{j}.$$

As well,

$$\|\Phi_n\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\Psi_{n,k} * \phi_{1,n2^k}\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\Psi_{n,k}\|_1 \|\phi_{1,n2^k}\|_1 \leq \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2(n2^k)^{-1} = \frac{6}{n}$$

We now define

$$d\mu_{1,n}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\Phi_n(t) - \Phi_n(-t))dt,$$

which satisfies for  $|j| \geq n$ ,

$$\widehat{\mu_{1,n}}(j) = \widehat{\Phi_n}(j) - \widehat{\Phi_n}(-j) = \frac{1}{j}$$

and hence

$$\widehat{f' * \mu_{1,n}}(j) = \widehat{f'}(j) \widehat{\mu_{1,n}}(j) = ij \widehat{f}(j) \cdot \frac{1}{j} = i \widehat{f}(j).$$

Because  $\widehat{f}(j) = 0$  for  $|j| < n$ ,  $\widehat{f' * \mu_{1,n}}(j) = 0$  for  $|j| < n$ , it follows that for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\widehat{f' * \mu_{1,n}}(j) = i \widehat{f}(j),$$

and therefore,

$$f' * \mu_{1,n} = if.$$

Then

$$\|f\|_B = \|if\|_B = \|f' * \mu_{1,n}\|_B \leq \|\mu_{1,n}\|_{M(\mathbb{T})} \|f'\|_B \leq 2 \|\Phi_n\|_1 \|f'\|_B \leq \frac{12}{n} \|f'\|_B.$$

That is, with  $C_1 = 12$  we have

$$\|f\|_B \leq 12n^{-1} \|f'\|_B.$$



For  $m = 2\nu + 1$ , we define

$$\mu_{m,n} = \mu_{1,n} * \mu_{2\nu,n},$$

for which we have, for  $|j| \geq n$ ,

$$\widehat{f^{(m)} * \mu_{m,n}}(j) = (ij)^m \widehat{f}(j) \widehat{\mu_{1,n}}(j) \widehat{\mu_{2\nu,n}}(j) = (ij)^m \widehat{f}(j) \cdot \frac{1}{j} \cdot j^{-2\nu} = i^m \widehat{f}(j).$$

It follows that

$$f^{(m)} * \mu_{m,n} = i^m f,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_B &= \|i^m f\|_B \\ &= \|f^{(m)} * \mu_{m,n}\|_B \\ &\leq \|\mu_{m,n}\|_{M(\mathbb{T})} \|f^{(m)}\|_B \\ &\leq \|\mu_{1,n}\|_{M(\mathbb{T})} \|\mu_{2\nu,n}\|_{M(\mathbb{T})} \|f^{(m)}\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{12}{n} \cdot (2\nu + 1)n^{-2\nu} \|f^{(m)}\|_B \\ &= 12mn^{-m} \|f^{(m)}\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

That is, with  $C_m = 12m$ , we have

$$\|f\|_B \leq C_m n^{-m} \|f^{(m)}\|_B,$$

completing the proof. □