Functions of bounded variation and a theorem of Khinchin

Jordan Bell
jordan.bell@gmail.com
Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

March 12, 2016

For $q \ge 1$ let

$$\mathscr{A}_q = \left\{ \frac{a}{q} : 0 \le a \le q, \gcd(a, q) = 1 \right\}.$$

The sets \mathscr{A}_q are pairwise disjoint. In particular $0\in\mathscr{A}_1$ and $0\not\in\mathscr{A}_q$ for q>1. We have

$$[0,1]\cap \mathbb{Q}=\bigcup_{q\geq 1}\mathscr{A}_q.$$

Write μ for Lebesgue measure on [0,1].

The following is a version of a theorem of Khinchin about continued fractions.¹ In the literature on Diophantine approximation it is usually proved with the Borel-Cantelli lemma, rather than the machinery of bounded variation and almost everywhere differentiability.

Theorem 1 (Khinchin). Let $F: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and let A be the set of those $x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that there are infinitely many q for which there is some $\frac{a}{q} \in \mathscr{A}_q$ satisfying

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{qF(q)}.$$

If

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F(q)} < \infty,$$

then $\mu(A) = 0$.

Proof. Define $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{qF(q)} & x \in \mathscr{A}_q \\ 0 & x \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

 $^{^{1}{\}rm John}$ J. Benedetto and Wojciech Czaja, $\it Integration~and~Modern~Analysis,~p.~183,~Theorem~4.3.3.$

Let
$$N \ge 1$$
. If

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = 1,$$

then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} f(t_j) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N} f(t_j) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{A}_q}(t_j)$$
$$= \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{1}{qF(q)} \cdot 1_{\mathcal{A}_q}(t_j)$$
$$\leq \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F(q)},$$

and so

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} |f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})| \le 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} f(t_j) \le 2 \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F(q)}.$$

Therefore

$$V(f) \leq 2\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F(q)} < \infty$$

by hypothesis, where V(f) denotes the variation of f on [0,1]. The set D_f of points at which f is differentiable is a Borel set,² and because f has bounded variation, $\mu(D_f) = 1$.³ Let $E = D_f \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, whose measure is $\mu(E) = 1$. Now let $x \in E$. There are $x_n \in [0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, $x_n \neq x$, $x_n \to x$, with which

$$f'(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x_n) - f(x)}{x_n - x} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{0 - 0}{x_n - x} = 0.$$

If $\frac{a_n}{q_n} \to x$ with $\frac{a_n}{q_n} \in \mathscr{A}_{q_n}$, then

$$\frac{f(a_n/q_n) - f(x)}{\frac{a_n}{q_n} - x} = \frac{1}{q_n F(q_n) \left(\frac{a_n}{q_n} - x\right)} \to f'(x) = 0,$$

so $q_n F(q_n) \left| \frac{a_n}{q_n} - x \right| \to \infty$. There is thus some N such that if $n \ge N$ then

$$q_n F(q_n) \left| \frac{a_n}{q_n} - x \right| \ge 1,$$

i.e. if $n \geq N$ then

$$\left| x - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \right| \ge \frac{1}{q_n F(q_n)}.$$

²V. I. Bogachev, Measure Theory, volume 1, p. 371, Theorem 5.8.12.

³V. I. Bogachev, *Measure Theory*, volume 1, p. 335, Theorem 5.2.6.

Assume by contradiction that $x \in A$, so there are $\frac{a_n}{q_n} \in \mathscr{A}_{q_n}$, $\frac{a_n}{q_n} \neq \frac{a_m}{q_m}$ for $n \neq m$, with

$$\left| x - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{q_n F(q_n)},$$

and because $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F(q)} < \infty$ it holds that $F(q) \to \infty$ and thus $\frac{1}{q_n F(q_n)} \to 0$. This means that $x - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \to 0$, which implies that $x \notin E$. We have shown that if $x \in A$ then $x \notin E$, so $A \subset [0,1] \setminus E$ and hence $\mu(A) \le 1 - \mu(E) = 0$.