Haar wavelets and multiresolution analysis

Jordan Bell jordan.bell@gmail.com Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

April 3, 2014

1 Introduction

Let

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0, \\ 1 & 0 \le x < \frac{1}{2}, \\ -1 & \frac{1}{2} \le x < 1, \\ 0 & x \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

For $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\psi_{n,k}(x) = 2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

 $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a complex Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx.$$

We will prove that ψ satisfies the following definition of an orthonormal $wavelet.^1$

Definition 1 (Orthonormal wavelet). If $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\Psi_{n,k}(x) = 2^{n/2}\Psi(2^nx - k)$, and the set $\{\Psi_{n,k} : n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then Ψ is called an *orthonormal wavelet*.

Lemma 2. $\{\psi_{n,k}: n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. If $n, n', k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{n,k}(x) \overline{\psi_{n',k'}(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2^{n/2} \psi(2^n x - k) 2^{n'/2} \psi(2^{n'} x - k') dx
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2^{(n'-n)/2} \psi(x - k) \psi(2^{n'-n} x - k') dx
= 2^{(n'-n)/2} \delta_{k,k'} \int_{0}^{1} \psi(x) \psi(2^{(n'-n)/2} x) dx
= \delta_{k,k'} \cdot \delta_{n,n'},$$

¹Mark A. Pinsky, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Wavelets*, p. 303, Definition 6.4.1.

hence $\{\psi_{n,k}: n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal set.

Bessel's inequality states that if $\mathscr E$ is an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space H, then for any $f \in H$ we have $\sum_{e \in \mathscr E} |\langle f, e \rangle|^2 \le \|f\|_2^2$, from which it follows that $\sum_{e \in \mathscr E} \langle f, e \rangle e \in H$. To say that a subset $\mathscr E$ of a Hilbert space H is an orthonormal basis is equivalent to saying that $\mathscr E$ is an orthonormal set and that

$$\mathrm{id}_H = \sum_{e \in \mathscr{E}} e \otimes e$$

in the strong operator topology. In other words, for $\mathscr E$ to be an orthonormal basis of H means that $\mathscr E$ is an orthonormal set and that for every $f\in H$ we have

$$f = \sum_{e \in \mathscr{E}} \langle f, e \rangle e.$$

From Lemma 2 and Bessel's inequality, we know that for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\left\langle f,\psi_{n,k}\right\rangle|^2 \le \|f\|_2^2, \qquad \sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle f,\psi_{n,k}\right\rangle \psi_{n,k} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

We have not yet proved that f is equal to the series $\sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \langle f,\psi_{n,k}\rangle \psi_{n,k}$, and this will not be accomplished until later in this note.

2 Coarser σ -algebras

For $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$I_{n,k} = \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right),\,$$

and let \mathscr{F}_n be the σ -algebra generated by $\{I_{k,n}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{n,k}$, and if $k \neq k'$ then $I_{n,k} \cap I_{n,k'} = \emptyset$. If n < n' then

$$\mathscr{F}_n \subset \mathscr{F}_{n'} \subset \mathscr{F}$$
.

where \mathscr{F} is the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of \mathbb{R} . An element of $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n)$ is an element of $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F})$ that is constant on each set $I_{n,k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, an element of $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n)$ is a function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then the image $f(I_{n,k})$ is a single element of \mathbb{R} and such that

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I_{n,k}} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot |f(I_{n,k})|^2 < \infty.$$

If n < n', then

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_{n'}) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}).$$

3 Integral kernels

We define

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0, \\ 1 & 0 \le x < 1, \\ 0 & x \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$K_n(x,y) = 2^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^n x - k) \phi(2^n y - k), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have

$$K_n(x,y) \in \{0,2^n\}.$$

 $K_n(x,y) = 2^n$ if and only if there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^n x - k, 2^n y - k \in [0,1)$, equivalently there is some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2^n x, 2^n y \in [k, k+1)$, which is equivalent to there being some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$x, y \in \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) = I_{n,k}.$$

We define

$$P_n f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_n(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ then there is a unique $k_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \in I_{n,k_x}$, and

$$P_n f(x) = 2^n \int_{I_{n,k_x}} f(y) dy. \tag{1}$$

It is straightforward to check that $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F})$, and in the following theorem we prove that P_n is the orthogonal projection onto $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n)$.

Lemma 3. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then P_n is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F})$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n)$.

Proof. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $P_n f$ is constant on the interval $I_{n,k}$, and

using (1) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$||P_n f||_2^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I_{n,k}} |P_n f(x)|^2 dx$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I_{n,k}} \left| 2^n \int_{I_{n,k}} f(y) dy \right|^2 dx$$

$$= 2^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{I_{n,k}} f(y) dy \right|^2$$

$$\leq 2^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{I_{n,k}} |f(y)|^2 dy \right) \left(\int_{I_{n,k}} dy \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I_{n,k}} |f(y)|^2 dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)|^2 dy.$$

Therefore, $P_n: L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n)$. Moreover, the left-hand side of the above inequality is equal to $\|P_n f\|_2^2$ and the right-hand side is equal to $\|f\|_2^2$, hence we have $\|P_n f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2$, giving $\|P_n\| \leq 1$. If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n)$, then

$$P_n f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_n(x, y) f(y) dy$$
$$= 2^n \int_{I_{n, k_x}} f(y) dy$$
$$= f(I_{n, k_x})$$
$$= f(x),$$

hence if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n)$ then $P_n f = f$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$L_n = K_{n+1} - K_n,$$

and the following lemma gives a different expression for L_n .²

Lemma 4. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$L_n(x,y) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{n,k}(x)\psi_{n,k}(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

²Mark A. Pinsky, Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Wavelets, p. 293, §6.3.2.

Proof. $\psi(2^nx-k)=1$ means that $0\leq 2^nx-k<\frac{1}{2}$, which is equivalent to $\frac{k}{2^n}\leq x<\frac{k+\frac{1}{2}}{2^n}$, which is equivalent to $\frac{2k}{2^{n+1}}\leq x<\frac{2k+1}{2^{n+1}}$, which is equivalent to $x\in I_{n+1,2k}$. $\psi(2^nx-k)=-1$ means that $\frac{1}{2}\leq 2^nx-k<1$, which is equivalent to $\frac{k+\frac{1}{2}}{2^n}\leq x<\frac{k+1}{2^n}$, and this is equivalent to $x\in I_{n+1,2k+1}$. $\psi(2^nx-k)=0$ if and only if $x\not\in I_{n+1,2k}\cup I_{n+1,2k+1}$. Therefore,

$$\psi_{n,k}(x)\psi_{n,k}(y) = \begin{cases} 2^n & (x,y) \in I_{n+1,2k} \times I_{n+1,2k} \cup I_{n+1,2k+1} \times I_{n+1,2k+1}, \\ -2^n & (x,y) \in I_{n+1,2k} \times I_{n+1,2k+1} \cup I_{n+1,2k+1} \times I_{n+1,2k}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If there is no $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(x,y) \in I_{n,k} \times I_{n,k}$, then $L_n(x,y) = 0$. Otherwise, suppose that $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that $(x,y) \in I_{n,k} \times I_{n,k}$. We have

$$I_{n,k} = I_{n+1,2k} \cup I_{n+1,2k+1}.$$

If $(x,y) \in I_{n+1,2k} \times I_{n+1,2k}$, then

$$L_n(x,y) = K_{n+1}(x,y) - K_n(x,y) = 2^{n+1} - 2^n = 2^n;$$

if $(x,y) \in I_{n+1,2k+1} \times I_{n+1,2k+1}$, then

$$L_n(x,y) = K_{n+1}(x,y) - K_n(x,y) = 2^{n+1} - 2^n = 2^n;$$

if $(x, y) \in I_{n+1, 2k} \times I_{n+1, 2k+1}$, then

$$L_n(x,y) = K_{n+1}(x,y) - K_n(x,y) = 0 - 2^n = -2^n;$$

and if $(x, y) \in I_{n+1, 2k+1} \times I_{n+1, 2k}$, then

$$L_n(x,y) = K_{n+1}(x,y) - K_n(x,y) = 0 - 2^n = -2^n.$$

It follows that

$$L_n(x,y) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{n,k}(x) \psi_{n,k}(y).$$

4 Continuous functions

Let $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ denote those continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is some compact subset K of \mathbb{R} such that $x \notin K$ implies that $|f(x)| < \epsilon$. We say that an element of $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is a continuous function that vanishes at infinity. Let $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of continuous functions $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp}(f) = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}}$$

is a compact set.

In the following lemma, we prove that the larger the intervals over which we average a continuous function vanishing at infinity, the smaller the supremum of the averaged function. 3

³Mark A. Pinsky, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Wavelets*, p. 295, Lemma 6.3.2.

Lemma 5. If $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, then $||P_n f||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $n \to -\infty$.

Proof. If $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$|P_n g(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_n(x, y) g(y) dy \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{\text{supp}(g)} K_n(x, y) g(y) dy \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{\text{supp}(g)} K_n(x, y) |g(y)| dy$$

$$\leq \int_{\text{supp}(g)} 2^n |g(y)| dy$$

$$\leq 2^n \cdot \mu(\text{supp}(g)) \cdot ||g||_{\infty},$$

hence

$$||P_n g||_{\infty} \le 2^n \cdot \mu(\operatorname{supp}(g)) \cdot ||g||_{\infty}. \tag{2}$$

If $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $\epsilon > 0$ then there is some $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ with $||f - g||_{\infty} < \epsilon$. Hence,

$$||P_n f||_{\infty} \le ||P_n (f - g)||_{\infty} + ||P_n g||_{\infty}.$$

If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$|P_n(f-g)(x)| = 2^n \left| \int_{I_{n,k_x}} (f-g)(y) dy \right| \le 2^n \int_{I_{n,k_x}} |(f-g)(y)| dy \le ||f-g||_{\infty},$$

hence $||P_n(f-g)||_{\infty} \leq ||f-g||_{\infty}$. Using this and (2) we obtain

$$||P_n f||_{\infty} \le ||f - g||_{\infty} + 2^n \cdot \mu(\operatorname{supp}(g)) \cdot ||g||_{\infty} < \epsilon + 2^n \cdot \mu(\operatorname{supp}(g)) \cdot ||g||_{\infty}.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{n \to -\infty} ||P_n f||_{\infty} \le \limsup_{n \to -\infty} \left(\epsilon + 2^n \cdot \mu(\operatorname{supp}(g)) \cdot ||g||_{\infty} \right) = \epsilon.$$

This is true for every $\epsilon > 0$, so

$$\lim_{n \to -\infty} ||P_n f||_{\infty} = 0.$$

Lemma 6. If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $||P_n f||_2 \to 0$ as $n \to -\infty$.

Proof. If $\epsilon > 0$ then there is some $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ such that $||f - g||_2 < \epsilon$. Say $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq [-K, K]$. If $2^m > K$, then we have by (1) and because $\text{supp}(g) \subseteq$

 $I_{-m,-1} \cup I_{-m,0}$

$$\begin{split} \|P_{-m}g\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| 2^{-m} \int_{I_{-m,k_x}} g(y) dy \right|^2 dx \\ &= 2^m \left| 2^{-m} \int_{I_{-m,-1}} g(y) dy \right|^2 + 2^m \left| 2^{-m} \int_{I_{-m,0}} g(y) dy \right|^2 \\ &= 2^{-m} \left| \int_{-K}^0 g(y) dy \right|^2 + 2^{-m} \left| \int_0^K g(y) dy \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2^{-m} \mu([-K,0]) \left\| g \right\|_2^2 + 2^{-m} \mu([0,K]) \left\| g \right\|_2^2 \\ &= 2K \cdot 2^{-m} \left\| g \right\|_2^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore, when $2^m > K$ we have $\|P_{-m}g\|_2 \le 2^{-\frac{m}{2}}\sqrt{2K}\|g\|_2$, and so, as the operator norm of P_{-m} on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{-m}f\|_{2} &\leq \|P_{-m}(f-g)\|_{2} + \|P_{-m}g\|_{2} \\ &\leq \|f-g\|_{2} + \|P_{-m}g\|_{2} \\ &< \epsilon + 2^{-\frac{m}{2}} \sqrt{2K} \|g\|_{2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \|P_{-m}f\|_2 \le \epsilon.$$

This is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, so we obtain

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|P_{-m}f\|_2 = 0.$$

The following lemma shows that if $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, then $P_n f$ converges to f in the L^2 norm and in the L^{∞} norm as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 7. If $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, then $P_n f \to f$ in the L^2 norm and in the L^{∞} norm as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq [-2^M, 2^M]$ for $M \ge 0$. f is uniformly continuous on the compact set $[-2^M, 2^M]$, thus, if $\epsilon > 0$ then there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in [-2^M, 2^M]$ and $|x - y| < \delta$ imply that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2^M}$. Let $2^{-n} \le \delta$.

⁴Mark A. Pinsky, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Wavelets*, p. 296, Lemma 6.3.3.

For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there is some $k_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x \in I_{n,k_x}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P_n f(x) - f(x)| &= \left| 2^n \int_{I_{n,k_x}} f(y) dy - f(x) \right| \\ &= 2^n \left| \int_{I_{n,k_x}} f(y) - f(x) dy \right| \\ &\leq 2^n \int_{I_{n,k_x}} |f(y) - f(x)| dy \\ &< 2^n \int_{I_{n,k_x}} \frac{\epsilon}{2^M} dy \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2^M}. \end{aligned}$$

This tells us that if $2^{-n} \le \delta$ then $||P_n f - f||_{\infty} \le \frac{\epsilon}{2^M}$. Therefore, if $\epsilon > 0$ then for sufficiently large n we have $||P_n f - f||_{\infty} \le \frac{\epsilon}{2^M}$, showing that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||P_n f - f||_{\infty} = 0.$$

Furthermore, if $n \geq 0$ then

$$||P_n f - f||_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |P_n f(x) - f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-2^M}^{2^M} |P_n f(x) - f(x)|^2 dx \le 2 \cdot 2^M \cdot ||P_n f - f||_{\infty}^2,$$

and because $||P_n f - f||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ we get $||P_n f - f||_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. \square

From Lemma 4, we get

$$(P_{n+1} - P_n)f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{n+1}(x, y)f(y)dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_n(x, y)f(y)dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_n(x, y)f(y)dy$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{n,k}(x)\psi_{n,k}(y)f(y)dy$$
$$= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, \psi_{n,k} \rangle \psi_{n,k}(x),$$

thus

$$P_{n+1} - P_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{n,k} \otimes \psi_{n,k} \tag{3}$$

in the strong operator topology. Using (3), we obtain for $n \geq 0$ that

$$P_{n+1} = P_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{n} P_{j+1} - P_j$$
$$= P_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{j,k} \otimes \psi_{j,k}$$

in the strong operator topology. For n < 0,

$$P_{n} = P_{0} - \sum_{j=-n}^{-1} P_{j+1} - P_{j}$$
$$= P_{0} - \sum_{j=-n}^{-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{j,k} \otimes \psi_{j,k}$$

in the strong operator topology.

We have already shown in Lemma 2 that $\{\psi_{n,k}: n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and we now prove that it is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 8. In the strong operator topology,

$$\mathrm{id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{n,k} \otimes \psi_{n,k}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and suppose $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 6, there is some M such that $m \geq M$ implies that $\|P_{-m}f\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. There is some $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\|f - g\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$, and by Lemma 7 there is some N such that $n \geq N$ implies that $\|P_n g - g\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$. Hence, if $n \geq N$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n f - f\|_2 &\leq \|P_n f - P_n g\|_2 + \|P_n g - g\|_2 + \|g - f\|_2 \\ &\leq 2 \|f - g\|_2 + \|P_n g - g\|_2 \\ &< \frac{2\epsilon}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{6} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $m \geq M$ and $n \geq N$, then

$$\left\| (P_n - P_{-m} - \mathrm{id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}}) f \right\|_2 \le \|P_n f - f\|_2 + \|P_{-m} f\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

For m, n > 0, we have

$$P_{n+1} - P_{-m} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{j,k} \otimes \psi_{j,k} + \sum_{j=-m}^{-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{j,k} \otimes \psi_{j,k}$$
$$= \sum_{j=-m}^{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{j,k} \otimes \psi_{j,k}$$

in the strong operator topology.

5 Other function spaces

Let $C_b(\mathbb{R})$ denote those continuous functions $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ that are bounded. We have

$$C_c(\mathbb{R}) \subset C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}) \subset C(\mathbb{R}).$$

Lemma 9. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$, then $||P_n f||_{\infty} \leq ||f||_{\infty}$.

Proof. If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then there is a unique $k_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \in I_{n,k_x}$, and

$$|P_n f(x)| = \left| 2^n \int_{I_{n,k_x}} f(y) dy \right| \le 2^n \int_{I_{n,k_x}} |f(y)| dy \le ||f||_{\infty}.$$

Theorem 10. If $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, then the series $\sum_{n,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, \psi_{n,k} \rangle \psi_{n,k}$ converges to f uniformly on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. If $\epsilon > 0$ then there is some $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ with $||f - g||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$. By Lemma 5, there is some M such that $m \geq M$ implies that $||P_{-m}g||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$, hence

$$\begin{split} \|P_{-m}f\|_{\infty} &\leq \|P_{-m}f - P_{-m}g\|_{\infty} + \|P_{-m}g\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \|f - g\|_{\infty} + \|P_{-m}g\|_{\infty} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 7, there is some N such that $n \ge N$ implies that $||P_n g - g||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$, hence

$$||P_n f - f||_{\infty} \le ||P_n f - P_n g||_{\infty} + ||P_n g - g||_{\infty} + ||g - f||_{\infty}$$

 $\le 2 ||f - g||_{\infty} + ||P_n g - g||_{\infty}$
 $< \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$

Therefore, if $n \geq N$ and $m \geq M$, then

$$||P_n f - P_{-m} f - f||_{\infty} \le ||P_n f - f||_{\infty} + ||P_{-m} f||_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

The following theorem states that P_n is an operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ with operator norm $\leq 1.^5$ In particular, it asserts that if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ then the averaged function $P_n f$ is also an element of $L^p(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 11. If $1 \le p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, then $||P_n f||_p \le ||f||_p$.

⁵Mark A. Pinsky, Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Wavelets, p. 297, Lemma 6.3.9.

Proof. Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, so $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. (If p = 1 then $q = \infty$.) If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then there is a unique $k_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \in I_{n,k_x}$, and using Hölder's inequality we get

$$|P_n f(x)| = \left| 2^n \int_{I_{n,k_x}} f(y) dy \right|$$

$$\leq 2^n \left(\int_{I_{n,k_x}} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} (\mu(I_{n,k_x}))^{1/q}$$

$$= 2^n \left(\int_{I_{n,k_x}} |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} 2^{-n/q}.$$

Therefore, if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

$$\int_{I_{n,k}} |P_n f(x)|^p dx \le \int_{I_{n,k}} 2^{np} 2^{-np/q} \int_{I_{n,k,k}} |f(y)|^p dy dx$$

$$= \int_{I_{n,k}} 2^{np} 2^{-np/q} \int_{I_{n,k}} |f(y)|^p dy dx$$

$$= 2^{-n} 2^{np} 2^{-np/q} \int_{I_{n,k}} |f(y)|^p dy$$

$$= \int_{I_{n,k}} |f(y)|^p dy.$$

We obtain

$$||P_n f||_p^p = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I_{n,k}} |P_n f(x)|^p dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I_{n,k}} |f(y)|^p dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)|^p dy$$

$$= ||f||_p^p,$$

giving $||P_n f||_p \le ||f||_p$.

6 Multiresolution analysis

For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $m_a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $m_a(x) = ax$, and we define $\tau_a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\tau_a(x) = x - a$.

Definition 12 (Multiresolution analysis). A multiresolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a set $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of closed subspaces of the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and a function $\Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

1. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f \in V_n$ if and only if $f \circ m_2 \in V_{n+1}$.

- 2. $V_n \subseteq V_{n+1}$.
- 3. $\overline{\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n}=L^2(\mathbb{R}).$
- 4. $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n = \{0\}.$
- 5. $\{\Phi \circ \tau_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is an orthonormal basis for V_0 .

It is straightforward to prove the following theorem using what we have established so far.

Theorem 13. The closed subspaces $\{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and the function $\phi = \chi_{[0,1)}$ is a multiresolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

The following lemma shows that if P_n is the projection onto V_n , where V_n is a closed subspace of a multiresolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $P_n \to 0$ in the strong operator topology as $n \to -\infty$.

Lemma 14. If $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a multiresolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $P_n : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to V_n$ is the orthogonal projection onto V_n , and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\lim_{n \to -\infty} P_n f = 0.$$

Proof. Define $\Phi_{n,k}(x) = 2^{n/2}\Phi(2^nx - k)$. The set $\{\Phi_{0,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V_0 , and one checks that the set $\{\Phi_{n,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V_n . Therefore

$$P_n = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_{n,k} \otimes \Phi_{n,k}$$

in the strong operator topology.

For R > 0, let $f_R = f\chi_{[-R,R]}$. If $2^n R < \frac{1}{2}$, then, using the Cauchy-Schwarz

⁶Mark A. Pinsky, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Wavelets*, p. 313, Lemma 6.4.28.

inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{n}f_{R}\|_{2}^{2} &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle P_{n}f_{R}, \Phi_{n,k} \rangle|^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f_{R}, \Phi_{n,k} \rangle|^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f_{R}, \chi_{[-R,R]} \Phi_{n,k} \rangle|^{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{-R}^{R} |f_{R}(x)|^{2} dx \right) \left(\int_{-R}^{R} |\Phi_{n,k}(x)|^{2} dx \right) \\ &= \|f_{R}\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-R}^{R} |\Phi_{n,k}(x)|^{2} dx \\ &= \|f_{R}\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{n} \int_{-R}^{R} |\Phi(2^{n}x - k)|^{2} dx \\ &= \|f_{R}\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-2^{n}R - k}^{2^{n}R - k} |\Phi(x)|^{2} dx \\ &= \|f_{R}\|_{2}^{2} \int_{U_{n}} |\Phi(x)|^{2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U_n = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-k - 2^n R, -k + 2^n R);$$

the intervals are disjoint because $2^nR < \frac{1}{2}$. Define $F_n(x) = |\Phi(x)|^2 \chi_{U_n}(x)$. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|F_n(x)| \leq |\Phi(x)|^2$, and if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\lim_{n \to -\infty} F_n(x) \to |\Phi(x)|^2 \chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(x),$$

where $\mathbb{Z} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_n$. Thus by the dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\lim_{n \to -\infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} F_n(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\Phi(x)|^2 \chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(x) dx = 0,$$

because $\mu(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to -\infty} \|P_n f_R\|_2 = 0.$$

If $\epsilon > 0$ then there is some R such that $||f - f_R||_2 < \epsilon$. We have, because P_n is an orthogonal projection,

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{n \to -\infty} \|P_n f\|_2 & \leq \limsup_{n \to -\infty} \|P_n f - P_n f_R\|_2 + \limsup_{n \to -\infty} \|P_n f_R\|_2 \\ & = \limsup_{n \to -\infty} \|P_n f - P_n f_R\|_2 \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \to -\infty} \|f - f_R\|_2 \\ & \leq \epsilon. \end{split}$$

This is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, so we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to -\infty} \|P_n f\|_2 = 0.$$

If $S_{\alpha}, \alpha \in I$, are subsets of a Hilbert space H, we denote by $\bigvee_{\alpha \in I} S_{\alpha}$ the closure of the span of $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} S_{\alpha}$. If S is a subset of H, let S^{\perp} be the set of all $x \in H$ such that $y \in S$ implies that $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. If $S_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are mutually orthogonal closed subspaces of a Hilbert space, we write

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} S_n = \bigvee_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} S_n.$$

The following theorem shows a consequence of Definition 12.

Theorem 15. If $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are the closed subspaces of a multiresolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $W_n = V_{n+1} \cap V_n^{\perp}$, then

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n.$$

Proof. Because $W_n = V_{n+1} \cap V_n^{\perp}$ is the intersection of two closed subspaces, it is itself a closed subspace. Suppose that n < n', $f \in W_n$, $g \in W_{n'}$. $n+1 \le n'$, and hence $V_{n+1} \subseteq V_{n'}$. Therefore

$$W_{n'} = V_{n'+1} \cap V_{n'}^{\perp} \subset V_{n'}^{\perp} \subseteq V_{n+1}^{\perp}$$
.

But $f \in W_n \subset V_{n+1}$ and $g \in W_{n'} \subset V_{n+1}^{\perp}$, so $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$. Therefore $W_n \perp W_{n'}$. If $f \in V_n$ and $f \neq 0$, then there is a minimal N such that $f \in V_N$; this minimal N exists because $V_n \subseteq V_{n+1}$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n = \{0\}$. We have

$$V_N = V_{N-1} \oplus W_{N-1}$$

hence $f = f_{N-1} + g_{N-1}$, with $f_{N-1} \in V_{N-1}$ and $g_{N-1} \in W_{N-1}$. Likewise,

$$V_{N-1} = V_{N-2} \oplus W_{N-2},$$

hence $f_{N-1}=f_{N-2}+g_{N-2}$, with $f_{N-2}\in V_{N-2}$ and $g_{N-2}\in W_{N-2}$. In this way, for any $M\geq 0$ we obtain

$$f = f_{N-M} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} g_{N-m},$$

where $f_{N-M} \in V_{N-M}$ and $g_{N-m} \in W_{N-m}$. Check that f_{N-M} is the orthogonal projection of f onto V_{N-M} . It thus follows from Lemma 14 that $f_{N-M} \to 0$ as $M \to \infty$. Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is some M with $||f_{N-M}||_2 < \epsilon$ and

 $f \in f_{N-M} + \bigoplus_{m=1}^{M} W_{N-m}$. Therefore, if $f \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ then there is some $g \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n$ satisfying $||f - g||_2 < \infty$. Thus

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n}\subseteq\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}W_n,$$

and so

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n.$$

7 The unit interval

 $L^2([0,1))$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx.$$

If $n \ge 0$, then $I_{n,0} = \left[0, \frac{1}{2^n}\right)$ and $I_{n,2^n-1} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2^n}, 1\right)$, and we have

$$[0,1) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^n - 1} I_{n,k}.$$

Let $n \ge 0$, let \mathscr{G}_n be the σ -algebra generated by $\{I_{n,k} : 0 \le k \le 2^n - 1\}$, and let \mathscr{G} be the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of [0,1). If n < n', then

$$\mathscr{G}_n \subset \mathscr{G}_{n'} \subset \mathscr{G}$$
.

An element of $L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_n)$ is an element of $L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G})$ that is constant on each set $I_{n,k}, 0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$. Equivalently, an element of $L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_n)$ is a function $f:[0,1) \to \mathbb{C}$ that is constant on each set $I_{n,k}, 0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$; because [0,1) is a union of finitely many $I_{n,k}$, any such function will be an element of $L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G})$. It is apparent that

$$L^{2}([0,1),\mathscr{G}_{n}) \subset L^{2}([0,1),\mathscr{G}_{n'}) \subset L^{2}([0,1),\mathscr{G}).$$

We check that $L^2([0,1),\mathcal{G}_n)$ is a complex vector space of dimension 2^n .

 $I_{n,k} = I_{n+1,2k} \cup I_{n+1,2k+1}. \text{ If } x \in I_{n+1,2k}, \text{ then } \frac{2k}{2^{n+1}} \leq x < \frac{2k+1}{2^{n+1}}, \text{ so } \frac{k}{2^n} \leq x < \frac{k}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \text{ hence } 0 \leq 2^n x - k < \frac{1}{2}. \text{ If } x \in I_{n+1,2k+1}, \text{ then } \frac{2k+1}{2^{n+1}} \leq x < \frac{2k+2}{2^{n+1}}, \text{ hence } \frac{k}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \leq x < \frac{k+1}{2^n}, \text{ and so } \frac{1}{2} \leq 2^n x - k < 1. \text{ Thus, if } x \in I_{n+1,2k} \text{ then } 1 \leq x \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n}, \text{ th$

$$\psi_{n,k}(x) = 2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k) = 2^{n/2}$$

and if $x \in I_{n+1,2k+1}$ then

$$\psi_{n,k}(x) = 2^{n/2}\psi(2^n x - k) = -2^{n/2}.$$

Otherwise $x \notin I_{n,k}$, for which $\psi_{n,k}(x) = 0$. It follows that $\psi_{n,k} \in L^2([0,1), \mathcal{G}_{n+1})$.

Theorem 16. If

$$\mathscr{B}_0 = \{\chi_{[0,1)}\}$$

and, for $n \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{n+1} = \{ \psi_{n,k} : 0 \le k \le 2^n - 1 \},\,$$

then

$$\bigcup_{n=0}^{N} \mathscr{B}_n$$

is an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0,1),\mathcal{G}_N)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} \mathscr{B}_n$ is orthonormal in $L^2([0,1))$, as it is a subset of an orthonormal set. If $0 \le n \le N$ then $\mathscr{B}_n \subset L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_N)$, hence $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} \mathscr{B}_n \text{ is orthonormal in } L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_N). \text{ If } 0 < n \leq N \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1} - 1,$ then $\psi_{n-1,k} \in \mathscr{B}_n$ and

$$\begin{split} \left<\psi_{n-1,k},\chi_{[0,1)}\right> &= \int_0^1 \psi_{n-1,k}(x)\overline{\chi_{[0,1)}(x)}dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \psi_{n-1,k}(x)dx \\ &= \int_{I_{n,2k}} \psi_{n-1,k}(x)dx + \int_{I_{n,2k+1}} \psi_{n-1,k}(x)dx \\ &= \int_{I_{n,2k}} 2^{(n-1)/2}dx + \int_{I_{n,2k+1}} -2^{(n-1)/2}dx \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\bigcup_{n=0}^{N} \mathscr{B}_n$ is orthonormal in $L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_N)$. $|\mathscr{B}_0| = 1$, and if $n \geq 1$ then $|\mathscr{B}_n| = 2^{n-1}$. Therefore the number of elements of $\bigcup_{n=0}^{N} \mathscr{B}_n$ is

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2^{n-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 2^n = 2^N.$$

As dim $L^2([0,1),\mathcal{G}_N)=2^N$, the orthonormal set $\bigcup_{n=0}^N \mathcal{B}_n$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2([0,1),\mathcal{G}_N)$.

By Theorem 16, if $N \geq 0$ then $\bigcup_{n=0}^{N} \mathscr{B}_n$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2([0,1))$. Hence

$$\mathscr{B} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{B}_n$$

is an orthonormal set in $L^2([0,1))$: if $f,g\in \mathscr{B}$ then there is some N with $f,g \in \bigcup_{n=0}^N \mathscr{B}_n$, which is an orthonormal set. The following theorem shows that \mathscr{B} is an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space $L^2([0,1))$.

⁷John K. Hunter and Bruno Nachtergaele, Applied Analysis, p. 177, Lemma 7.13.

Theorem 17. \mathscr{B} is an orthonormal basis for $L^2([0,1))$.

Proof. If $f \in L^2([0,1))$ and $\epsilon > 0$ then there is some $g \in C([0,1])$ with $\|f-g\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. g is uniformly continuous on the compact set [0,1], so there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $|x-y| < \delta$ implies that $|g(x)-g(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Let $2^{-n} \le \delta$, and define $h: [0,1) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} g\left(\frac{k}{2^{n}}\right) \chi_{I_{n,k}}(x).$$

If $x \in [0,1)$ then there is a unique $k_x, 0 \le k_x \le 2^n - 1$, with $x \in I_{n,k_x}$, and for this k_x we have $\left|x - \frac{k_x}{2^n}\right| < 2^{-n} \le \delta$, and hence

$$|g(x) - h(x)| = \left| g(x) - g\left(\frac{k_x}{2^n}\right) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore $\|g-h\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. We have $h \in L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_n)$, and

$$\|f - h\|_2 \leq \|f - g\|_2 + \|g - h\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \|g - h\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon.$$

We have shown that if $f \in L^2([0,1))$ and $\epsilon > 0$ then there is some n and some $h \in L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_n)$ with $||f-h||_2 < \epsilon$. This tells us that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_n)$ is a dense subset of $L^2([0,1))$. Since \mathscr{B} is orthonormal and span $\mathscr{B} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} L^2([0,1),\mathscr{G}_n)$, \mathscr{B} is an orthonormal basis for $L^2([0,1))$.

8 References

Useful references on wavelets and multiresolution analysis are Mark A. Pinsky, Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Wavelets; P. Wojtaszczyk, A Mathematical Introduction to Wavelets; Yves Meyer, Wavelets and Operators; Eugenio Hernández and Guido Weiss, A First Course on Wavelets.