

The Voronoi summation formula

Jordan Bell

September 16, 2015

1 Mellin transform

The *Mellin transform* of $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} f(x) dx.$$

For example, $s \mapsto \Gamma(s)$ is the Mellin transform of $x \mapsto e^{-x}$.

Suppose that f continuous on $(0, \infty)$, that there is some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = O(x^{-\alpha})$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, and that for any $n \geq 1$, $\frac{f(x)}{x^n} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then [4, p. 107, Proposition 9.7.7] $\mathcal{M}(f)(s)$ is holomorphic on $\Re(s) > \alpha$, and for $\sigma > \alpha$ and $x > 0$,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\sigma} x^{-s} \mathcal{M}(f)(s) ds.$$

(The **Mellin inversion formula**.)

2 Generalized Poisson summation formula

Cohen [4, pp. 177–182, §10.2.5] presents a “generalized Poisson summation formula” which yields both the Poisson summation formula and the Voronoi summation formula.

We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ the Fréchet space of Schwartz functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1. *Let a be arithmetic function and define*

$$L(a, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{-s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1.$$

Suppose that $L(a, s)$ has an analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} whose only possible pole is at $s = 1$. Suppose also that there are $A, a_1, \dots, a_g > 0$ such that for

$$\gamma(s) = A^s \prod_{j=1}^g \Gamma(a_j s),$$

$L(a, s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\gamma(s)L(a, s) = \gamma(1-s)L(a, 1-s).$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and define for $x > 0$,

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} x^{-s} ds, \quad g(x) = \int_0^\infty f(y)K(xy)dy.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)f(n) = f(0)L(a, 0) + \text{Res}_{s=1} \mathcal{M}(f)(s)L(a, s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)g(n).$$

Proof. Since f is a Schwartz function, $\mathcal{M}(f)$ is holomorphic on $\Re(s) > 0$. Furthermore, for $\Re(s) > 0$, integrating by parts,

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} f(x) dx = f(x) \frac{x^s}{s} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty f'(x) \frac{x^s}{s} dx = -\frac{1}{s} \mathcal{M}(f')(s+1).$$

It follows that $\mathcal{M}(f)$ has an analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} possibly with poles at $0, -1, -2, -3, \dots$. Write $F = \mathcal{M}(f)$. By the Mellin inversion formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)f(n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} n^{-s} F(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} F(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} F(s) L(a, s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The only possible pole of $L(a, s)$ is at $s = 1$. From

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(s) = -\frac{1}{s} \mathcal{M}(f')(s+1),$$

the only possible pole of $F(s)$ in the half-plane $\Re(s) > -1$ is at $s = 0$, and the residue of $F(s)L(a, s)$ at $s = 0$ is

$$-\mathcal{M}(f')(1) = -\int_0^\infty f'(x) dx = -(f(\infty) - f(0)) = f(0),$$

so the residue of $F(s)L(a, s)$ at $s = 0$ is

$$f(0)L(a, 0).$$

Therefore, by the residue theorem, taking as given that $F(s)L(a, s) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \Re(s) \leq \frac{3}{2}$ as $|\Im(s)| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)f(n) = f(0)L(a, 0) + \text{Res}_{s=1} F(s)L(a, s) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=-\frac{1}{2}} F(s)L(a, s)ds.$$

Define

$$G(s) = F(1-s) \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)}.$$

Using the functional equation for $L(a, s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=-\frac{1}{2}} F(s)L(a, s)ds &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=-\frac{1}{2}} F(s) \frac{\gamma(1-s)}{\gamma(s)} L(a, 1-s)ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} F(1-s) \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} L(a, s)ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} G(s)L(a, s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, define

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{1-s} \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} x^{1-s} ds,$$

which satisfies

$$J'(x) = K(x).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} x^{-s} G(s)ds &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} x^{-s} F(1-s) \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} x^{-s} \left(-\frac{1}{1-s} \mathcal{M}(f')(2-s) \right) \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} x^{-s} \left(-\frac{1}{1-s} \int_0^\infty y^{1-s} f'(y) dy \right) \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{x} \int_0^\infty f'(y) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{1-s} \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} (xy)^{1-s} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{x} \int_0^\infty f'(y) J(xy) dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{x} f(y) J(xy) \Big|_0^\infty + \frac{1}{x} \int_0^\infty f(y) J'(xy) x dy \\ &= 0 + \int_0^\infty f(y) J'(xy) dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(y) K(xy) dy \\ &= g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)g(n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} n^{-s} G(s) ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} G(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{-s} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} G(s) L(a, s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)f(n) = f(0)L(a, 0) + \text{Res}_{s=1} F(s)L(a, s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)g(n)$$

□

Take $a(n) = 1$ for all n . Then,

$$L(a, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} = \zeta(s).$$

The Riemann zeta function satisfies the functional equation

$$\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) = \pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s).$$

So with

$$\gamma(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right),$$

we have

$$\gamma(s)\zeta(s) = \gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s).$$

Using

$$\Gamma(1-z)\Gamma(z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}$$

and

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{1-2z} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2z),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) &= \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{s+1}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi(s+1)}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi(s+1)}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
&= \frac{\pi \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi(s+1)}{2} 2^{1-s} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s)},
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\pi^{-(1-s)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)} &= \pi^{-s+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{\sin\frac{\pi(s+1)}{2}2^{1-s}\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(s)}{\pi\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} \\ &= \sin\frac{\pi(s+1)}{2} \cdot 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s) \\ &= \cos\frac{\pi s}{2} \cdot 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} x^{-s} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=\frac{3}{2}} \cos\frac{\pi s}{2} \cdot 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s) x^{-s} ds$$

But, taking as known

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(2\pi x) x^{s-1} dx = (2\pi)^{-s} \cos\frac{\pi s}{2} \Gamma(s),$$

it follows that

$$K(x) = 2 \cos 2\pi x.$$

Thus Theorem 1 tells us that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n) = f(0)\zeta(0) + \text{Res}_{s=1} \mathcal{M}(f)(s)\zeta(s) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y) \cos(2\pi ny) dy,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n) = -\frac{1}{2}f(0) + \int_0^\infty f(x) dx + 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y) \cos(2\pi ny) dy.$$

If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is even, this is the **Poisson summation formula**.

Take $a(n) = d(n)$ for all n . Then,

$$L(d, s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty d(n)n^{-s} = \zeta^2(s).$$

For

$$\gamma(s) = \pi^{-s}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^2,$$

it follows from the functional equation for the Riemann zeta function that $L(d, s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\gamma(s)L(d, s) = \gamma(1-s)L(d, 1-s).$$

We worked out above that

$$\frac{\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\pi^{-(1-s)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)} = \cos\frac{\pi s}{2} \cdot 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s),$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\gamma(s)}{\gamma(1-s)} &= (2\pi)^{-2s} 4 \cos^2 \frac{\pi s}{2} \Gamma(s)^2 \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2s} (2 + 2 \cos \pi s) \Gamma(s)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Taking as given two identities for Bessel functions

$$\int_0^\infty x^{s-1} K_0(4\pi x^{1/2}) dx = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-2s} \Gamma(s)^2$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty x^{s-1} Y_0(4\pi x^{1/2}) dx = -\frac{1}{\pi} (2\pi)^{-2s} \cos \pi s \Gamma(s)^2,$$

it follows that

$$K(x) = 4K_0(4\pi x^{1/2}) - 2\pi Y_0(4\pi x^{1/2}).$$

Thus Theorem 1 tells us that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^\infty d(n)f(n) &= f(0)\zeta^2(0) + \text{Res}_{s=1} \mathcal{M}(f)(s)\zeta^2(s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^\infty d(n) \int_0^\infty f(y) \left(4K_0(4\pi(ny)^{1/2}) - 2\pi Y_0(4\pi(ny)^{1/2}) \right) dy.\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\zeta^2(s) = \frac{1}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{2\gamma}{s-1} + O(1), \quad s \rightarrow 1,$$

and

$$x^{s-1} = 1 + (s-1) \log x + O(|s-1|^2),$$

we have

$$\text{Res}_{s=1} \mathcal{M}(f)(s)\zeta^2(s) = 2\gamma + \log x,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^\infty d(n)f(n) &= \frac{1}{4}f(0) + \int_0^\infty f(x)(2\gamma + \log x)dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^\infty d(n) \int_0^\infty f(y) \left(4K_0(4\pi(ny)^{1/2}) - 2\pi Y_0(4\pi(ny)^{1/2}) \right) dy.\end{aligned}$$

3 Bernoulli numbers

The **Bernoulli polynomials** are defined by

$$\frac{te^{tx}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{m=0}^\infty B_m(x) \frac{t^m}{m!}.$$

The **Bernoulli numbers** are defined by $B_m = B_m(0)$.

We denote by $[x]$ the greatest integer $\leq x$, and we define $\{x\} = x - [x]$, namely, the fractional part of x . We define $P_m(x) = B_m(\{x\})$, the **Bernoulli functions**.

4 Wigert

The following result is proved by Wigert [18]. Our proof follows Titchmarsh [13, p. 163, Theorem 7.15]. Cf. Landau [10].

Theorem 2. For $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ and $N \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)e^{-nz} = \frac{\gamma}{z} - \frac{\log z}{z} + \frac{1}{4} - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{B_{2n+2}^2}{(2n+2)!(2n+2)} z^{2n+1} + O(|z|^{2N})$$

as $z \rightarrow 0$ in any angle $|\arg z| \leq \lambda$.

Proof. For $\sigma > 1$, $s = \sigma + it$,

$$\zeta^2(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n)}{n^s}.$$

Using this, for $\Re z > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \Gamma(s) \zeta^2(s) z^{-s} ds &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \Gamma(s) (nz)^{-s} ds \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) e^{-nz}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Define $F(s) = \Gamma(s) \zeta^s(s) z^{-s}$. F has poles at $1, 0$, and the negative odd integers. (At each negative even integer, Γ has a first order pole but ζ^2 has a second order zero.) First we determine the residue of F at 1 . We use the asymptotic formula

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma + O(|s-1|), \quad s \rightarrow 1,$$

the asymptotic formula

$$\Gamma(s) = 1 - \gamma(s-1) + O(|s-1|^2), \quad s \rightarrow 1,$$

and the asymptotic formula

$$z^{-s} = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{\log z}{z} (s-1) + O(|s-1|^2), \quad s \rightarrow 1,$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(s)\zeta^s(s)z^{-s} &= (1 - \gamma(s-1) + O(|s-1|^2)) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{2\gamma}{s-1} + O(|s-1|^2) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{\log z}{z}(s-1) + O(|s-1|^2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{z(s-1)^2} - \frac{\gamma}{z(s-1)} + \frac{2\gamma}{z(s-1)} - \frac{\log z}{z(s-1)} + O(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{z(s-1)^2} + \frac{\gamma}{z(s-1)} - \frac{\log z}{z(s-1)} + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the residue of F at 1 is

$$\frac{\gamma}{z} - \frac{\log z}{z}.$$

Now we determine the residue of F at 0. The residue of Γ at 0 is 1, and hence the residue of F at 0 is

$$1 \cdot \zeta^2(0) \cdot z^0 = \zeta^2(0) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Finally, for $n \geq 0$ we determine the residue of F at $-(2n+1)$. The residue of Γ at $-(2n+1)$ is $\frac{(-1)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$, hence the residue of F at $-(2n+1)$ is

$$\frac{(-1)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \cdot \zeta^2(2n+1) \cdot z^{2n+1} = -\frac{B_{2n+2}^2}{(2n+2)!(2n+2)} z^{2n+1}$$

using

$$\zeta(-m) = -\frac{B_{m+1}}{m+1}, \quad m \geq 1.$$

Let $M > 0$, and let C be the rectangular path starting at $2-iM$, then going to $2+iM$, then going to $-2N+iM$, then going to $-2N-iM$, and then ending at $2-iM$. By the residue theorem,

$$\int_C F(s)ds = 2\pi i \left(\frac{\gamma}{z} - \frac{\log z}{z} + \frac{1}{4} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} -\frac{B_{2n+2}^2}{(2n+2)!(2n+2)} z^{2n+1} \right). \quad (2)$$

Denote the right-hand side of (2) by $2\pi i R$. We have

$$\int_C F(s)ds = \int_{2-iM}^{2+iM} F(s)ds + \int_{2+iM}^{-2N+iM} F(s)ds + \int_{-2N+iM}^{-2N-iM} F(s)ds + \int_{-2N-iM}^{2-iM} F(s)ds.$$

We shall show that the second and fourth integrals tend to 0 as $M \rightarrow \infty$. For $s = \sigma + it$ with $-2N \leq \sigma \leq 2$, Stirling's formula [14, p. 151] tells us that

$$|\Gamma(s)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|} |t|^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |t| \rightarrow \infty.$$

As well [13, p. 95], there is some $K > 0$ such that in the half-plane $\sigma \geq -2N$,

$$\zeta(s) = O(|t|^K).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-s} &= e^{-s \log z} \\ &= e^{-(\sigma+it)(\log |z|+i \arg z)} \\ &= e^{-\sigma \log |z|+t \arg z-i(\sigma \arg z+t \log |z|)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so for $|\arg z| \leq \lambda$,

$$|z^{-s}| = e^{-\sigma \log |z|+t \arg z} \leq e^{-\sigma \log |z|+\lambda |t|} = |z|^{-\sigma} e^{\lambda |t|}.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \int_{2+iM}^{-2N+iM} F(s) ds \right| \leq (2+2N) \sup_{-2N \leq \sigma \leq 2} |F(\sigma+iM)| = O(e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}M} M^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} M^{2K} |z|^{-\sigma} e^{\lambda M}),$$

and because $\lambda < \frac{\pi}{2}$ this tends to 0 as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Likewise,

$$\left| \int_{-2N-iM}^{2-iM} F(s) ds \right| \rightarrow 0$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} F(s) ds + \int_{-2N+i\infty}^{-2N-i\infty} F(s) ds = 2\pi i R.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} F(s) ds = 2\pi i R + \int_{-2N-i\infty}^{-2N+i\infty} F(s) ds.$$

We bound the integral on the right-hand side. We have

$$\int_{-2N-i\infty}^{-2N+i\infty} F(s) ds = \int_{\sigma=-2N, |t| \leq 1} F(s) ds + \int_{\sigma=-2N, |t| > 1} F(s) ds.$$

The first integral satisfies

$$\left| \int_{\sigma=-2N, |t| \leq 1} F(s) ds \right| \leq \int_{\sigma=-2N, |t| \leq 1} |\Gamma(s)\zeta^2(s)| |z|^{-\sigma} e^{\lambda |t|} ds = |z|^{2N} \cdot O(1) = O(|z|^{2N}),$$

because $\Gamma(s)\zeta^2(s)$ is continuous on the path of integration. The second integral satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\sigma=-2N, |t| > 1} F(s) ds \right| &\leq \int_{\sigma=-2N, |t| > 1} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|} |t|^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} |t|^K |z|^{-\sigma} e^{\lambda |t|} ds \\ &= |z|^{2N} \int_{\sigma=-2N, |t| > 1} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|} |t|^{-2N-\frac{1}{2}} |t|^K e^{\lambda |t|} dt \\ &= |z|^{2N} \cdot O(1) \\ &= O(|z|^{2N}), \end{aligned}$$

because $\lambda < \frac{\pi}{2}$. This establishes

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} F(s)ds = R + O(|z|^{2N}).$$

Using (1) and (2), this becomes

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)e^{-nz} = \frac{\gamma}{z} - \frac{\log z}{z} + \frac{1}{4} - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{B_{2n+2}^2}{(2n+2)!(2n+2)} z^{2n+1} + O(|z|^{-2N}),$$

completing the proof. \square

For example, as $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, B_6 = \frac{1}{42}$, the above theorem tells us that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)e^{-nz} = \frac{\gamma}{z} - \frac{\log z}{z} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{z}{144} - \frac{z^3}{86400} - \frac{z^5}{7620480} + O(|z|^6).$$

5 Other works on the Voronoi summation formula

Voronoi's papers on the Voronoi summation formula are [15] and [16] and [17].

- Iwaniec and Kowalski [9, Chaper 4]
- Stein and Shakarchi [12, p. 392, Theorem 8.11].
- Ivic [8, pp. 83ff., Chapter 3] and [7]
- Miller and Schmid [11]
- Hejhal [6]
- Flajolet, Gourdon and Dumas [5]
- Bettin and Conrey [1]
- Chandrasekharan and Narasimhan [3]
- Chandrasekharan [2, Chapter VIII]

References

- [1] Sandro Bettin and Brian Conrey, *Period functions and cotangent sums*, Algebra Number Theory **7** (2013), no. 1, 215–242.
- [2] K. Chandrasekharan, *Arithmetical functions*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 167, Springer, 1970.
- [3] K. Chandrasekharan and Raghavan Narasimhan, *Hecke's functional equation and arithmetical identities*, Ann. of Math. (2) **74** (1961), 1–23.
- [4] Henri Cohen, *Number theory, volume II: Analytic and modern tools*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 240, Springer, 2007.

- [5] Philippe Flajolet, Xavier Gourdon, and Philippe Dumas, *Mellin transforms and asymptotics: harmonic sums*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **144** (1995), no. 1-2, 3–58.
- [6] Dennis A. Hejhal, *A note on the Voronoï summation formula*, Monatsh. Math. **87** (1979), no. 1, 1–14.
- [7] Aleksandar Ivić, *The Voronoi identity via the Laplace transform*, Ramanujan J. **2** (1998), no. 1-2, 39–45.
- [8] Aleksandar Ivić, *The Riemann zeta-function: Theory and applications*, Dover Publications, 2003.
- [9] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [10] Edmund Landau, *Über die Wigertsche asymptotische Funktionalgleichung für die Lambertsche Reihe*, Archiv der Mathematik und Physik, 3. Reihe **27** (1918), 144–146, Collected Works, volume 7, pp. 135–137.
- [11] Stephen D. Miller and Wilfried Schmid, *Summation formulas, from Poisson and Voronoi to the present*, Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis: In Honor of Jacques Carmona (Patrick Delorme and Michèle Vergne, eds.), Progress in Mathematics, vol. 220, Birkhäuser, 2004, pp. 419–440.
- [12] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi, *Functional analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis, vol. IV, Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [13] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, second ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [14] ———, *The theory of functions*, second ed., Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [15] Georges Voronoï, *Sur un problème du calcul des fonctions asymptotiques*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **126** (1903), no. 4, 241–282.
- [16] ———, *Sur une fonction transcendante et ses applications à la sommation de quelques séries*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, troisième série **21** (1904), 207–267.
- [17] ———, *Sur une fonction transcendante et ses applications à la sommation de quelques séries, seconde partie*, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, troisième série **21** (1904), 459–533.
- [18] S. Wigert, *Sur la série de Lambert et son application à la théorie des nombres*, Acta Math. **41** (1916), 197–218.