## LIOUVILLE'S THEOREM AND GIBBS MEASURES

## JORDAN BELL

Let M be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form  $\omega$ . Define  $\omega^{\sharp}:TM\to T^*M$  by

$$\omega^{\sharp}(X)Y = \omega(X,Y), \qquad Y \in C^{\infty}(M,TM),$$

in other words,

$$(\omega^{\sharp}(X))_x v = \omega_x(X_x, v), \qquad x \in M, v \in T_x M.$$

 $\omega^{\sharp}:TM\to T^*M$  is a vector bundle isomorphism.

Let  $H \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ . We define

$$X_H = (\omega^{\sharp})^{-1} (dH),$$

i.e.,

$$X_H(x) = (\omega^{\sharp})^{-1}(dH(x)), \qquad x \in M$$

Thus,  $X_H$  is the unique element of  $C^{\infty}(M, TM)$  such that

$$\omega(X_H, Y) = dH(Y), \qquad Y \in C^{\infty}(M, TM).$$

We call  $X_H \in C^{\infty}(M, TM)$  the Hamiltonian vector field of H, or the symplectic gradient  $\nabla_{\omega} H$  of H.

If  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dq^i \wedge dp_i$ , define  $X \in C^{\infty}(M, TM)$  by

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

We have

$$i_X dq^i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial dp_i}$$

and

$$i_X dp_i = -\frac{\partial H}{dq^i},$$

hence, as  $i_X(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (i_X \alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge (i_X \beta)$  for  $\alpha \in \Omega^k$  [1, p. 115, Theorem 2.4.13],

$$i_X \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n i_X (dq^i \wedge dp_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (i_X dq^i) \wedge dp_i - dq^i \wedge (i_X dp_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} dp_i + \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} dq^i$$

$$= dH.$$

Date: May 26, 2013.

Hence  $X = X_H$ .

For a vector field X, the Lie derivative  $L_X\omega$  of  $\omega$  is defined by,

$$L_X \omega = (F_t^*)^{-1} \frac{d}{dt} F_t^* \omega,$$

which one checks is independent of t, where  $F_t^*\omega$  is the pull-back of  $\omega$  by  $F_t$ .

Let  $F_t$  be the flow of  $X_H$ , for  $t \in I$  where I is some open interval with  $0 \in I$ . For  $t \in I$ , we have by [1, p. 115, Theorem 2.3.13],

$$\frac{d}{dt} (F_t^* \omega) = F_t^* (L_{X_H} \omega)$$

$$= F_t^* (i_X d\omega + d(i_{X_H} \omega))$$

$$= F_t^* (i_X 0 + ddH)$$

$$= F_t^* (0 + 0)$$

$$= 0.$$

Thus, for  $t \in I$  we have  $F_t^* \omega = F_0^* \omega = \omega$ . So for each  $t \in I$ , the map  $F_t : M \to M$  is a symplectomorphism.

Let

$$\mu = \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{n!} \underbrace{\omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega}.$$

 $\mu$  is equal to the degree 2n term in

$$\exp(\omega)$$
.

We have, as  $F_t^*$  is a homomorphism of differential algebras [1, p. 113, Theorem 2.4.9] and as  $F_t$  is a symplectomorphism,

$$F_t^* \mu = \frac{1}{n!} (F_t^* \omega) \wedge \cdots (F_t^* \omega)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n!} \omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega$$
$$= \mu.$$

If  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dq^i \wedge dp_i$  then

$$\omega^n = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} n! dq^1 \wedge \cdots dq^n \wedge dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dp_n;$$

the sign comes up getting all the  $q^i$ 's together; since we have to reorder both the  $q^i$ 's and the  $p_i$ 's the signs we get from doing those cancel.

If  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ , then, as  $H \circ F_t = H$  for all  $t \in I$ ,

$$F_t^*((f \circ H)\mu) = (f \circ H \circ F_t)F_t^*\mu$$
  
=  $(f \circ H)\mu$ .

Let  $\mu_{\beta} = e^{-\beta H} \mu$ . We call  $\mu_{\beta} \in \Omega^{2n}(M)$  a Gibbs measure on M.

One can motivate the choice of  $e^{-\beta H}$  as a function by which to multiply  $\mu$  (rather than any other function invariant under the Hamiltonian flow  $F_t$ ) through equivariant cohomology. See [2, pp. 197–198]. Let z be a formal variable. An equivariant differential form (for the Hamiltonian flow of H) is a finite sum  $\alpha = \sum_n \alpha_n z^n$ , where  $\alpha_n$  is a differential form on M such that  $L_{X_H} \alpha_n = 0$ . We define the equivariant differential D (for the Hamiltonian flow of H) by

$$D\alpha = d\alpha - zi_{X_H}\alpha = \sum_n d(\alpha_n)z^n - z\sum_n i_X(\alpha_n)z^n.$$

But

$$D^{2}\alpha = d^{2}\alpha - zdi_{X_{H}}\alpha - zi_{X_{H}}d\alpha + z^{2}i_{X_{H}}i_{X_{H}}\alpha$$

$$= -z\sum_{n} (d(i_{X_{H}}\alpha_{n}) + i_{X_{H}}(d\alpha_{n}))z^{n} + z^{2}\sum_{n} i_{X_{H}}i_{X_{H}}\alpha_{n}z^{n}$$

$$= -z\sum_{n} L_{X_{H}}\alpha_{n}z^{n} + 0$$

$$= 0.$$

Thus  $D^2 = 0$ . If  $L_{X_H}\alpha = 0$ , then  $L_{X_H}(D\alpha) = 0$ , while the differential of a regular differential form that is invariant under a Hamiltonian flow is not necessarily itself invariant under the Hamiltonian flow.

 $D\omega = d\omega - zi_{X_H}\omega = -(dH)z$ . As  $i_{X_H}f = 0$  for a function f, we have  $D(\omega + zH) = 0$ ; thus while  $\omega$  is closed under the usual differential d,  $\omega + zH$  is closed under the equivariant differential D. The degree 2n term of  $\exp(\omega + zH)$  is

$$e^{zH}\frac{\omega^n}{n!} = e^{zH}\mu.$$

Taking  $z = -\beta$  gives us the Gibbs measure  $\mu_{\beta}$ .

## References

- 1. Ralph Abraham and Jerrold E. Marsden, *Foundations of mechanics*, second ed., AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2008.
- 2. Ana Cannas da Silva, Lectures on symplectic geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1764, Springer, 2001.

E-mail address: jordan.bell@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA