# Hensel's lemma, valuations, and p-adic numbers

#### Jordan Bell

#### jordan.bell@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto

November 2, 2014

#### 1 Hensel's lemma

Let p be prime and  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Suppose that  $0 \le a_0 < p$ , satisfies

$$f(a_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and

$$f'(a_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

Using the power series expansion

$$f(a_0 + h) = f(a_0) + f'(a_0)h + \frac{f''(a_0)}{2}h^2 + \cdots,$$

for any  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$f(a_0 + py) = f(a_0) + f'(a_0)py + \frac{f''(a_0)}{2}p^2y^2 + \cdots$$

so

$$\frac{f(a_0 + py)}{p} = \frac{f(a_0)}{p} + f'(a_0)y + \frac{f''(a_0)}{2}py^2 + \cdots$$

Because  $f(a_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , each term on the right-hand side is an integer. Then,  $f(a_0 + py) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(a_0)}{p} + f'(a_0)y + \frac{f''(a_0)}{2}py^2 + \dots \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

i.e.,

$$f'(a_0)y \equiv -\frac{f(a_0)}{p} \pmod{p}.$$

Because  $f'(a_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , there is a unique  $y \pmod{p}$  that solves the above congruence, so there is a unique  $y \pmod{p}$  that solves  $f(a_0 + py) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ . This y is

$$y \equiv -\frac{f(a_0)}{p}(f'(a_0))^{-1} \pmod{p}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hua Loo Keng, Introduction to Number Theory, Chapter 15, "p-adic numbers".

Let  $0 \le a_1 < p$  be  $a_1 \equiv y \pmod{p}$ . Suppose that

$$x = a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + \dots + a_{l-2} p^{l-2}, \qquad 0 \le a_j < p,$$

satisfies

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{l-1}}$$

and

$$f'(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

Using the power series expansion

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + f'(x)h + \frac{f''(x)}{2}h^2 + \cdots,$$

for any  $y \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$f(x+p^{l-1}y) = f(x) + f'(x)p^{l-1}y + \frac{f''(x)}{2}p^{2l-2}y^2 + \cdots,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{f(x+p^{l-1}y)}{p^{l-1}} = \frac{f(x)}{p^{l-1}} + f'(x)y + \frac{f''(x)}{2}p^{l-1}y^2 + \cdots$$

Because  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{l-1}}$ , each term on the right-hand side is an integer. Then,  $f(x + p^{l-1}y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(x)}{p^{l-1}} + f'(x)y + \frac{f''(x)}{2}p^{l-1}y^2 + \dots \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

i.e.,

$$f'(x)y \equiv -\frac{f(x)}{p^{l-1}} \pmod{p}.$$

Because  $f'(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , there is a unique  $y \pmod{p}$  that solves the above congruence, so there is a unique  $y \pmod{p}$  that solves  $f(x+p^{l-1}y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$ . This y is

$$y \equiv -\frac{f(x)}{p^{l-1}} (f'(x))^{-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Let  $0 \le a_{l-1} < p$  be  $a_{l-1} \equiv y \pmod{p}$ .

We have thus inductively defined a sequence  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots$ , with  $0 \le a_j < p$ , such that for any l,

$$f(a_0 + a_1 p + \dots + a_{l-1} p^{l-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}.$$

We wish to make sense of the infinite expression

$$a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + a_3 p^3 + \cdots$$

Calling this x, it ought to be the case that  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ ,  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$ , etc.

**Example 1.** Take p = 3 and  $f(x) = x^2 - 7$ , f'(x) = 2x. The two conditions  $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $f'(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  are satisfied both by  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_0 = 2$ . Take  $a_0 = 1$ . Then

$$a_1 \equiv -\frac{f(1)}{3}(f'(1))^{-1} \equiv -\frac{-6}{3}(2)^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

So  $a_1 = 1$ . Then,

$$a_2 \equiv -\frac{f(1+1\cdot 3)}{3^2} (f'(1+1\cdot 3))^{-1} \equiv -\frac{9}{9} (8)^{-1} \equiv -2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

So  $a_2 = 1$ . Then,

$$a_3 \equiv -\frac{f(1+1\cdot 3+1\cdot 3^2)}{3^3} (f'(1+1\cdot 3+1\cdot 3^2))^{-1} \equiv -6\cdot 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

So,  $a_3 = 0$ . Then,

$$a_4 \equiv -\frac{f(1+1\cdot 3+1\cdot 3^2+0\cdot 3^3)}{3^4} (f'(1+1\cdot 3+1\cdot 3^2+0\cdot 3^3))^{-1} \equiv -2\cdot 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.$$

So,  $a_4 = 2$ , etc.

#### 2 Absolute values on fields

If K is a field, an **absolute value on** K is a map  $|\cdot|: K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that |x| = 0 if and only if x = 0, |xy| = |x||y|, and  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$ . The **trivial absolute value on** K is |0| = 0 and |x| = 1 for all nonzero  $x \in K$ .

If  $|\cdot|$  is an absolute value on K, then d(x,y) = |x-y| is a metric on K. The trivial absolute value yields the discrete metric. Two absolute values  $|\cdot|_1, |\cdot|_2$  on K are said to be **equivalent** if they induce the same topology on K.

The following theorem characterizes equivalent absolute values.<sup>2</sup>

**Theorem 2.** Two nontrivial absolute values  $|\cdot|_1, |\cdot|_2$  are equivalent if and only if there is some real s > 0 such that

$$|x|_1 = |x|_2^s, \qquad x \in K.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that s>0 and that  $|x|_1=|x|_2^s$  for all  $x\in K$ . Then

$$B_{d_1}(x,r) = \{ y \in K : |y - x|_1 < r \}$$

$$= \{ y \in K : |y - x|_2^s < r \}$$

$$= \{ y \in K : |y - x|_2 < r^{1/s} \}$$

$$= B_{d_2}(x, r^{1/s}).$$

 $<sup>^2</sup>Absolute\ values,\ valuations\ and\ completion,\ \texttt{https://www.math.ethz.ch/education/bachelor/seminars/fs2008/algebra/Crivelli.pdf}$ 

Since the collection of open balls for  $d_1$  is equal to the collection of open balls for  $d_2$ , the absolute values  $|\cdot|_1, |\cdot|_2$  induce the same topology on K.

Suppose that  $|\cdot|_1, |\cdot|_2$  are equivalent. If  $|x|_1 < 1$  then  $d_1(x^n, 0) = |x^n|_1 = |x|_1^n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus  $x^n \to 0$  in  $d_1$  and hence, because the topologies induced by  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  are equal,  $x^n \to 0$  in  $d_2$ , i.e.  $|x|_2^n = |x^n|_2 = d_2(x^n, 0) \to 0$ . Therefore  $|x|_2 < 1$ . Thus,  $|x|_1 < 1$  if and only if  $|x|_2 < 1$ .

Let  $y \in K$  such that  $|y|_1 > 1$  (there is such an element because  $|\cdot|_1$  is nontrivial and  $|y^{-1}|_1 = |y|_1^{-1}$ ) and let  $x \in K$  with  $|x|_1 \neq 0, 1$ . There is some nonzero  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|x|_1 = |y|_1^{\alpha}$ . Let  $\frac{m_i}{n_i} \in \mathbb{Q}$  all be greater than  $\alpha$  and

converge to  $\alpha$ . Then, because  $|y|_1>1$ , we have  $|x|_1=|y|_1^{\alpha}<|y|_1^{\frac{m_i}{n_i}}$ , hence  $|x|_1^{n_i}<|y|_1^{m_i}$ , hence  $\frac{|x^{n_i}|_1}{|y^{m_i}|_1}<1$ , hence

$$\left| \frac{x^{n_i}}{y^{m_i}} \right|_1 < 1.$$

Because  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  are equivalent,

$$\frac{|x|_2^{n_i}}{|y|_2^{m_i}} = \left| \frac{x^{n_i}}{y^{m_i}} \right|_2 < 1,$$

so  $|x|_2 < |y|_2^{\frac{m_i}{n_i}}$ . Taking  $i \to \infty$  gives

$$|x|_2 \le |y|_2^{\alpha}.$$

Similarly, we check that

$$|x|_2 \geq |y|_2^{\alpha}$$
.

Therefore,

$$|x|_2 = |y|_2^{\alpha}$$
.

Using this and  $|x|_1 = |y|_1^{\alpha}$ , we have

$$\log|x|_1 = \alpha \log|y|_1, \qquad \log|x|_2 = \alpha \log|y|_2,$$

and so, as  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,

$$\frac{\log |x|_1}{\log |x|_2} = \frac{\log |y|_1}{\log |y|_2}.$$

This is true for any  $x \in K$  with  $|x|_1 \neq 0, 1$ . We define  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to be this common value. The fact that  $|y|_1 > 1$  implies, because  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  are equivalent, that  $|y|_2 > 1$ , and so s > 0.

Now take  $x \in K$ . If x = 0 then  $|x|_1 = 0 = 0^s = |x|_2^s$ . Because  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  are equivalent,  $|x|_2 > 1$  implies that  $|x|_1 > 1$  and  $|x|_2 < 1$  implies that  $|x|_1 < 1$ , so if  $|x|_1 = 1$  then  $|x|_2 = 1$  and hence  $|x|_1 = 1 = 1^s = |x|_2^s$ . If  $|x|_1 \neq 0, 1$ , then the above shows that

$$\frac{\log|x|_1}{\log|x|_2} = s,$$

i.e.,  $|x|_1 = |x|_2^s$ , proving the claim.

An absolute value  $|\cdot|: K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is said to be **non-Archimedean** if

$$|x + y| \le \max\{|x|, |y|\}, \quad x, y \in K.$$

An absolute value is called **Archimedean** if it is not non-Archimedean. For example, the absolute value on the field  $\mathbb{R}$  is Archimedean, since, for example,  $|1+1|=2>\max\{|1|,|1|\}=1$ .

**Lemma 3.** If  $|\cdot|$  is a non-Archimedean absolute value on a field K and  $|x| \neq |y|$ , then

$$|x + y| = \max\{|x|, |y|\}.$$

#### 3 Valuations

A valuation on a field K is a function  $v: K \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  satisfying  $v(x) = \infty$  if and only if x = 0, v(xy) = v(x) + v(y), and

$$v(x+y) > \min\{v(x), v(y)\}.$$

The **trivial valuation** is v(x) = 0 for  $x \neq 0$  and  $v(0) = \infty$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let v be a valuation on a field K. If  $v(x) \neq v(y)$ , then  $v(x+y) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ .

*Proof.* Take  $v(y) < v(x) \le \infty$ . For x = 0,

$$v(x + y) = v(y) = \min\{\infty, v(y)\} = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}.$$

For  $x \neq 0$ , assume by contradiction that  $\min\{v(x+y),v(x)\}=v(x)$ . Then, since  $v(-x)=v(-1\cdot x)=v(-1)+v(x)=v(x)$ ,

$$v(x) > v(y) = v(x + y - x) \ge \min\{v(x + y), v(x)\} = v(x),$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\min\{v(x+y),v(x)\}=v(x+y)$ . Then

$$v(y) = v(x + y - x)$$

$$\geq \min\{v(x + y), v(x)\}$$

$$= v(x + y)$$

$$\geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$$

$$= v(y).$$

Hence  $v(x+y) = v(y) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ , completing the proof.

**Theorem 5.** Let K be a field. If  $|\cdot|$  is a non-Archimedean absolute value on K and s > 0, then  $v_s : K \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined by  $v_s(x) = -s \log |x|$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $v_s(0) = \infty$  is a valuation on K.

If v is a valuation on K and q > 1, then the function  $|\cdot|_q : K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  defined by  $|x|_q = q^{-v(x)}$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $|0|_q = 0$  is a non-Archimedean absolute value on K

*Proof.* Suppose that  $|\cdot|$  is a non-Archimedean absolute value on K and that s > 0. Let  $x, y \in K$ . If either is 0, then it is immediate that  $v_s(xy) = \infty = v_s(x) + v_s(y)$ . If neither is 0, then

$$v_s(xy) = -s\log|xy| = -s\log(|x||y|) = -s\log|x| - s\log|y| = v_s(x) + v_s(y).$$

Now, if both x, y are 0 then

$$v_s(x+y) = v_s(0) = \infty = \min\{\infty, \infty\} = \min\{v_s(x), v_s(y)\}.$$

If x = 0 and  $y \neq 0$  then

$$v_s(x+y) = v_s(y) = -s\log|y| = \min\{-s\log|y|, \infty\} = \min\{v_s(y), v_s(x)\}.$$

If neither x, y is 0 but x = -y, then

$$v_s(x+y) = v_s(0) = \infty \ge \min\{v_s(x), v_s(y)\}.$$

Finally, if neither x, y is 0 and  $x \neq -y$ , then, because  $|\cdot|$  is non-Archimedean,

$$\begin{aligned} v_s(x+y) &= -s \log |x+y| \\ &\geq -s \log(\max\{|x|,|y|\}) \\ &= \min\{-s \log |x|, -s \log |y|\} \\ &= \min\{v_s(x), v_s(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $v_s$  is a valuation on K.

Suppose that v is a valuation on K and that q > 1. If x, y are nonzero, then

$$|xy|_q = q^{-v(xy)} = q^{-v(x)-v(y)} = q^{-v(x)}q^{-v(y)} = |x|_q|y|_q.$$

Let  $x,y\in K$ . To show that  $|x+y|_q\leq |x|_q+|y|_q$ , it suffices to show that  $|x+y|_q\leq \max\{|x|_q,|y|_q\}$ ; proving this will establish that  $|\cdot|_q$  is an absolute value and furthermore that  $|\cdot|_q$  is non-Archimedean. If x,y are both 0, then  $|x+y|_q=|0|_q=0=\max\{0,0\}=\max\{|x|_q,|y|_q\}$ . If x=0 and  $y\neq 0$ , then  $|x+y|_q=|y|_q=q^{-v(y)}=\max\{q^{-v(y)},0\}=\max\{|y|_q,|x|_q\}$ . If neither x,y is 0 but x=-y, then

$$|x+y|_q = |0|_q = 0 \le \max\{|x|_q, |y|_q\}.$$

Finally, if neither x, y is 0 and  $x \neq -y$ , then

$$\begin{split} |x+y|_q &= q^{-v(x+y)} \\ &\leq q^{-\min\{v(x),v(y)\}} \\ &= \max\{q^{-v(x)},q^{-v(y)}\} \\ &= \max\{|x|_q,|y|_q\}. \end{split}$$

Two valuations  $v_1, v_2$  on a field K are said to be **equivalent** if there is some real s > 0 such that

$$v_1 = sv_2$$
.

A valuation v on a field K is said to be **discrete** if there is some real s>0 such that

$$v(K^*) = s\mathbb{Z}.$$

A valuation is said to be **normalized** if

$$v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

### 4 Valuation rings

**Theorem 6.** If K is a field and v is a nontrivial valuation on K, then

$$\mathcal{O}_v = \{ x \in K : v(x) \ge 0 \}$$

is a maximal proper subring of K, and for all  $x \neq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$  or  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . The set

$$\{x \in K : v(x) = 0\}$$

is the group of invertible elements of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ , and the set

$$\mathfrak{p}_v = \{ x \in K : v(x) > 0 \}$$

is the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ .

Proof. It is immediate that  $0, 1 \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$ ,  $v(-x) = v(x) \ge 0$ , so  $-x \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . For  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_v$ ,  $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y) \ge 0$ , so  $xy \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . And  $v(x+y) \ge \min\{v(x), v(y)\} \ge 0$ , so  $x+y \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is a subring of K. For nonzero  $x \in K$ , if  $v(x) \ge 0$  then  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$ , and if v(x) < 0 then  $v(x^{-1}) = -v(x) > 0$ , so  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ .

Since v is nontrivial, there is some  $x \in K$  with  $v(x) \neq 0, \infty$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$  then v(x) > 0 and so  $v(x^{-1}) = -v(x) < 0$ , giving  $x^{-1} \notin \mathcal{O}_v$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}_v \neq K$ , showing that  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is a proper subring of K.

To show that  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is a maximal proper subring, it suffices to show that if  $z \in K \setminus \mathcal{O}_v$  then  $\mathcal{O}_v[z] = K$ , i.e., that the smallest ring containing  $\mathcal{O}_v$  and z is K. As  $z \notin \mathcal{O}_v$ , v(z) < 0. Let  $y \in K$ . For any positive integer j we have  $v(yz^{-j}) = v(y) - jv(z)$ , and because v(z) < 0, there is some j = j(y) such that  $v(yz^{-j}) > 0$ . For this j,  $yz^{-j} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . Hence  $y \in \mathcal{O}_v[z]$ , and so  $\mathcal{O}_v[z] = K$ , showing that  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is a maximal proper subring.

Suppose that  $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$  and  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . If v(x) > 0, then  $v(x^{-1} = -v(x) < 0$ , contradicting that  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . Hence v(x) = 0. If v(x) = 0, then, as  $x^{-1} \in K$ ,  $v(x^{-1}) = -v(x) = 0$ , so  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ , hence x is an element of  $\mathcal{O}_v$  whose inverse is in  $\mathcal{O}_v$ .

Let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}_v$ . Then, since v(x) > 0 and v(y) > 0,

$$v(x - y) \ge \min\{v(x), v(-y)\} = \min\{v(x), v(y)\} > 0,$$

showing that  $x - y \in \mathfrak{p}_v$ , and thus that  $\mathfrak{p}_v$  is an additive subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . Let  $x \in \mathfrak{p}_v$  and  $z \in \mathcal{O}_v$ . Then, since  $v(z) \geq 0$  and v(x) > 0,

$$v(zx) = v(z) + v(x) \ge v(x) > 0,$$

showing that  $zx \in \mathfrak{p}_v$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{p}_v$  is an ideal in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . Since v(1) = 0,  $1 \notin \mathfrak{p}_v$ , so  $\mathfrak{p}_v$  is a proper ideal.

The fact that  $\mathfrak{p}_v$  is maximal follows from it being the set of noninvertible elements of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . Suppose that B is a maximal ideal B of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . Because B is a proper ideal it contains no invertible elements, and hence is contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_v$ , the set of noninvertible elements of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . Since B is maximal, it must be that  $B = \mathfrak{p}_v$ . Therefore, any maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is  $\mathfrak{p}_v$ , showing that  $\mathfrak{p}_v$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ .

The above ring  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is called the **valuation ring**. Generally, a ring that has a unique maximal ideal is called a **local ring**, and thus the above theorem shows that the valuation ring is a local ring. We call the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v$  the **residue** field of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ .

**Lemma 7.** If v is a normalized valuation on a field K then for all nonzero  $x \in K$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{p}_v$ , v(t) = 1, there is some  $u \in \mathcal{O}_v^*$  such that

$$x = ut^n, \qquad n = v(x).$$

*Proof.* Since  $x \neq 0$ ,  $v(x) = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $v(xt^{-n}) = v(x) - nv(t) = v(x) - n = 0$ , and therefore  $u = xt^{-n} \in \mathcal{O}^*$ . Then  $x = ut^n$ , completing the proof.

**Theorem 8.** If v is a normalized valuation on a field K, then  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is a principal ideal domain. If A is a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ , then there is some  $t \in \mathfrak{p}$ , v(t) = 1 and  $n \geq 0$  such that

$$A = t^n \mathcal{O}_v = \{ x \in K : v(x) \ge n \} = \mathfrak{p}_v^n,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}_v^n/\mathfrak{p}_v^{n+1} \cong \mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v,$$

as  $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v$ -linear vector spaces.

*Proof.* Let  $A \neq \{0\}$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . For any  $y \in A$ ,  $v(y) \geq 0$ , and we take  $x \in A$  such that

$$v(x) = \min\{v(y) : y \in A\}. \tag{1}$$

Since  $v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$ , there is some  $t \in K$  with v(t) = 1, and because v(t) > 0,  $t \in \mathfrak{p}_v$ . By Lemma 7, there is some  $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$  such that  $x = ut^n$ , n = v(x). For any  $z \in \mathcal{O}$ ,  $xz \in A$  and so  $t^nz \in A$ . Thus  $t^n\mathcal{O}_v \subset A$ . On the other hand, let  $y \in A$ . Then also by Lemma 7 there is some  $w \in \mathcal{O}_v^*$  such that  $y = wt^m$ ,

m=v(y). By (1),  $m=v(y)\geq v(x)=n$ , so  $v(t^{m-n})=(m-n)v(t)=m-n\geq 0$  so  $t^{m-n}\in\mathcal{O}_v$ , giving

$$y = wt^m = t^n(wt^{m-n}) \in t^n \mathcal{O}_v.$$

Therefore  $A \subset t^n \mathcal{O}_v$ , and so  $A = t^n \mathcal{O}_v$ . That is, A is the principal ideal generated by  $t^n$ , which shows that  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is a principal ideal domain.

Let  $t \in \mathfrak{p}_v$  with v(t) = 1, and define  $\phi : \mathfrak{p}_v^n \to \mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_v$  by  $v(at^n) = a + \mathfrak{p}$ , for  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$ .

**Lemma 9.** If  $v_1, v_2$  are discrete valuations on a field K such that  $\mathcal{O}_{v_1} = \mathcal{O}_{v_2}$ , then  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are equivalent.

### 5 p-adic valuations

Fix a prime number p. For nonzero  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there are unique integers n, r, s satisfying

$$a = \frac{r}{s}p^n$$
,

where r, s are coprime, s > 0, and  $p \nmid rs$ . We define  $v_p(a) = n$ . Furthermore, we define  $v_p(0) = \infty$ .

**Theorem 10.**  $v_p: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a normalized valuation.

*Proof.* For nonzero  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , write

$$a = \frac{r_1}{s_1} p^m, \qquad b = \frac{r_2}{s_2} p^n,$$

where  $gcd(r_1, s_1) = gcd(r_2, s_2) = 1$ ,  $s_1, s_2 > 0$ , and  $p \nmid r_1 s_1, p \nmid r_2 s_2$ . Then,

$$ab = \frac{r_1 r_2}{s_1 s_2} p^{m+n},$$

where  $p \nmid r_1s_1r_2s_2$ ; the fraction  $\frac{r_1r_2}{s_1s_2}$  need not be in lowest terms. So  $v_p(ab) = m + n = v_p(a) + v_p(n)$ .

Suppose that  $v_p(a) \leq v_p(b)$ . Then

$$a+b=\frac{r_1}{s_1}p^m+\frac{r_2}{s_2}p^n=\left(\frac{r_1}{s_1}+\frac{r_2}{s_2}p^{n-m}\right)p^m=\frac{r_1s_2+r_2s_1p^{n-m}}{s_1s_2}p^m.$$

Since  $p \nmid s_1$  and  $p \nmid s_2$ , then

$$v_n(a+b) \ge m = v_n(a) = \min\{v_n(a), v_n(b)\}.$$

We call  $v_p$  the p-adic valuation. The valuation ring of  $\mathbb Q$  corresponding to  $v_p$  is

$$\mathcal{O}_p = \{ x \in \mathbb{Q} : v_p(x) \ge 0 \},$$

in other words, those rational numbers such that in lowest terms, p does not divide their denominator. For example,  $\frac{11}{169}, -\frac{9}{35} \in \mathcal{O}_3$ , and  $\frac{5}{3} \notin \mathcal{O}_3$ . By Theorem 6, the group of units of the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is

$$\mathcal{O}_p^* = \{ x \in \mathbb{Q} : v_p(x) = 0 \},$$

in other words, those rational numbers such that in lowest terms, p divides neither their numerator nor their denominator. As well by Theorem 6,  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is a local ring whose unique maximal ideal is

$$\mathfrak{p}_p = \{ x \in \mathbb{Q} : v_p(x) > 0 \},$$

in other words, those rational numbers such that in lowest terms, p divides their numerator and does not divide their denominator. We see that  $p \in \mathfrak{p}_p$  and  $v_p(p) = 1$ , so the nonzero ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_p$  are of the form

$$p^n \mathcal{O}_n$$
.

Lemma 11.  $\mathcal{O}_p/\mathfrak{p}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

### 6 p-adic absolute values and metrics

We define  $|\cdot|_p:\mathbb{Q}\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  by  $|a|_p=p^{-v_p(n)}$  for  $a\neq 0$  and  $|0|_p=0$ . This is a non-Archimedean absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which we call the *p*-adic absolute value.

**Example 12.** For p = 3 and  $a = -\frac{57}{10}$ , we have n = 1, r = -19, s = 10. Thus  $\left|-\frac{57}{10}\right|_3 = 3^{-1}$ .

For 
$$p = 5$$
 and  $a = \frac{28}{75}$ , we have  $n = -2, r = 28, s = 3$ . Thus  $\left| \frac{28}{75} \right|_5 = 5^2$ .

We define  $d_p(x,y) = |x-y|_p$ . The sequences  $x_l = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \cdots + a_{l-1}p^{l-1}$  constructed when applying Hensel's lemma satisfy, for m < n,

$$x_n - x_m = a_m p^m + a_{m+1} p^{m+1} + \dots + a_{n-1} p^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m},$$

so

$$|x_n - x_m|_p \le p^{-m}$$

and

$$f(x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n},$$

so

$$|f(x_n)|_p \le p^{-n}.$$

Thus,  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in the *p*-adic metric  $d_p(x,y) = |x-y|_p$ , and  $f(x_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 13.** If  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  are Cauchy sequences in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$ , then  $x_n + y_n$  and  $x_n \cdot y_n$  are Cauchy sequences in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$ .

*Proof.* The claim follows from

$$|x_n + y_n - (x_m + y_m)|_p \le |x_n - x_m|_p + |y_n - y_m|_p$$

and

$$|x_n \cdot y_n - x_m \cdot y_m|_p = |x_n \cdot y_n - x_m \cdot y_n + x_m \cdot y_n - x_m \cdot y_m|_p$$
  

$$\leq |x_n - x_m|_p |y_n|_p + |x_m|_p |y_n - y_m|_p,$$

and the fact that  $x_n, y_n$  being Cauchy implies that  $|x_n|_p, |y_n|_p$  are bounded.  $\square$ 

## 7 Completions of metric spaces

If (X,d) is a metric space, a **completion** of X is a complete metric space  $(Y,\rho)$  and an isometry  $i:X\to Y$  such that for every metric space (Z,r) and isometry  $j:X\to Z$ , there is a unique isometry  $J:Y\to Z$  such that  $J\circ i=j$ . It is a fact that any metric space has a completion, and that if  $(Y_1,\rho_1)$  and  $(Y_2,\rho_2)$  are completions then there is a unique isometric isomorphism  $f:Y_1\to Y_2$ .

For p prime, let  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, d_p)$  be the completion of  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$ . Elements of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are called p-adic numbers. For  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , there are Cauchy sequences  $x_n, y_n$  in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$  such that  $x_n \to x$  and  $y_n \to y$  in  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, d_p)$ . We define addition and multiplication on the set  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  by

$$x + y = \lim(x_n + y_n), \qquad x \cdot y = \lim(x_n \cdot y_n);$$

that these limits exists follows from Lemma 13. If  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , then there is a sequence  $x_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ , each term of which is  $\neq 0$ , such that  $x_n \to x$  in  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, d_p)$ . Then  $x_n^{-1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$  hence converges to some  $y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  which satisfies  $x \cdot y = 1$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a field.

We define  $v_p: \mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ 

$$v_p(x) = \lim v_p(x_n), \qquad x_n \to x.$$

One proves that  $v_p$  is a normalized valuation on the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .<sup>3</sup> We then define  $|\cdot|_p:\mathbb{Q}_p\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  by  $|x|_p=p^{-v_p(x)}$  for  $x\neq 0$  and  $|0|_p=\infty$ .

## 8 The exponential function

**Lemma 14.** For  $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,

$$|a_1 + \dots + a_r|_p \le \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_r|\}.$$

 $<sup>^3</sup> cf.$  Paul Garrett, Classical definitions of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{A}, \texttt{http://www.math.umn.edu/~garrett/m/mfms/notes/05_compare_classical.pdf}$ 

**Lemma 15.** A sequence  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  is Cauchy if and only if  $a_{i+1} - a_i \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty$ 

*Proof.* Assume that  $a_{i+1} - a_i \to 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there is some  $i_0$  such that  $i \ge i_0$  implies  $|a_{i+1} - a_i|_p < \epsilon$ . For  $i_0 \le i < j$ ,

$$|a_{j} - a_{i}|_{p} = |a_{j} - a_{j-1} + a_{j-1} + \dots - a_{i+1} + a_{i+1} - a_{i}|_{p}$$

$$= |(a_{j} - a_{j-1}) + \dots + (a_{i+1} - a_{i})|_{p}$$

$$\leq \max\{|a_{j} - a_{j-1}|, \dots, |a_{i+1} - a_{i}|\}$$

$$< \epsilon.$$

The above shows that if  $a_i \to 0$  in  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, d_p)$  then the series  $\sum a_i$  converges in  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, d_p)$ .

**Lemma 16** (Exponential power series). If  $v_p(x) > \frac{1}{p-1}$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

converges in  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, d_p)$ .

Proof.

$$v_p(n!) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{p^j} \right] \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p^j} = \frac{1}{np} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} = \frac{n}{p-1}.$$

Then

$$v_p\left(\frac{x^n}{n!}\right) = nv_p(x) - v_p(n!) \ge nv_p(x) - \frac{n}{p-1} = n\left(v_p(x) - \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

As  $n \to \infty$  this tends to  $+\infty$ , hence

$$\left| \frac{x^n}{n!} \right|_p = p^{-v_p\left(\frac{x^n}{n!}\right)} \to 0,$$

and thus the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$  converges.

**Lemma 17** (Logarithm power series). If  $v_p(x) > 0$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}$$

converges in  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, d_p)$ .

*Proof.* For n a positive integer we have  $v_p(n) \leq \log_p n$ . Then,

$$v_p\left(\frac{x^n}{n}\right) = nv_p(x) - v_p(n) \ge nv_p(x) - \log_p n.$$

If  $v_p(x) > 0$  then this tends to  $+\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

### 9 Topology

We define  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to be the valuation ring of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are called p-adic integers. For  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and real r > 0, write

$$\overline{B}_p(r, x) = \{ y \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - y|_p \le r \} = \{ y \in \mathbb{Q}_p : v_p(x - y) \ge -\log_p r \}.$$

In particular,

$$\overline{B}_p(0,1) = \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Because  $v_p$  is discrete, there is some  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\{y \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - y|_p \le r\} = \{y \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x - y|_p < r + \epsilon\}.$$

This shows that  $\overline{B}_p(x,r)$  is open in the topology induced by  $v_p$ , and thus is both closed and open. It follows that  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is **totally disconnected**.<sup>4</sup>

**Theorem 18.**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is totally bounded.

The fact that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a totally bounded subset of a complete metric space implies that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is compact. Then because

$$\overline{B}_d(0, p^k) = \{ y \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |y|_p \le p^k \} = \{ y \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |p^k y|_p \le 1 \} = p^{-k} \mathbb{Z}_p$$

and translation is a homeomorphism, any closed ball in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is compact. Therefore  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is locally compact.

 $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a locally compact abelian group under addition, and we take Haar measure on it satisfying  $\mu(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$ . One can explicitly calculate the characters on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Gerald B. Folland, A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis, pp. 34–36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Gerald B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, pp. 91-93, 104. Cf. Keith Conrad, *The character group of Q*, http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/gradnumthy/characterQ.pdf