

PMMS

Jordan Pinglin Chou
18348691

Operating Systems COMP2006 Assignment

May 8, 2016

Abstract

The purpose of this short document is to provide a brief overview of how mutual exclusion was achieved in the multi-process as well as the multi-threaded components of the assignment. Also included are the test inputs and outputs, a README, as well as the source code. The coding components of the assignment were written in C99.

1 Process PMMS

Mutual exclusion was achieved in the multi-process program by having the parent process (consumer) waiting until the buffer (in this case the subtotal data structure) had an item in it (indicated by the sem_full semaphore) to acquire the mutex lock. The child processes (producers) would wait until the buffer was empty (indicated by sem_empty semaphore) to then acquire the lock. Therefore achieving mutual exclusion between the processes.

Access to the matrices did not require any mutual exclusion as child processes will only be reading from matrix A and matrix B. Writing to matrix C only involved writing to each child processes row therefore each child process will be writing to a separate place. No critical section

The required semaphores (mutex, sem_full, and sem_empty), buffer (subtotal) and matrices were implemented using shared memory.

2 Thread PMMS

Mutual exclusion was achieved in the multi-threaded program by having the parent lock the mutex and wait until the is_full condition was signalled (showing that there is a subtotal available). Before the parent leaves the critical section the is_full condition is set to false allowing the child threads to stop waiting. The parent releases the mutex and the children waiting on the full condition are signalled. In the children threads the signals are achieved using a broadcast.

Whereas the process PMMS used shared memory the thread PMMS used global variables as threads can share data declared as global in the parent. The data declared as global were the required semaphores, subtotal and matrices.

3 Testing

3.1 Method

Testing was done using various size matrices from the 3x2x4 (given to us in the assignment specification) to 850x10x850 (for obvious reasons that matrix is not included in this report). By doing a range of test inputs I was able to see whether the process-pmms and thread-pmms deadlocked and whether they scaled well to large calculations.

3.2 Known Issues

There are no known issues. However, memory leaks or other memory related issues could occur in the event that shared memory fails to be opened/mapped. I have tried my best to handle these errors but some could still leak through.

3.3 Input Files

$$\text{matrix_a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{matrix_b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{matrix_E} = \begin{bmatrix} 61 & 78 & 80 & 70 & 49 & 37 & 84 & 60 & 31 & 100 \\ 85 & 3 & 9 & 53 & 20 & 96 & 36 & 36 & 50 & 64 \\ 97 & 41 & 67 & 34 & 76 & 76 & 40 & 20 & 32 & 4 \\ 41 & 69 & 91 & 38 & 33 & 76 & 91 & 16 & 2 & 72 \\ 42 & 97 & 15 & 92 & 51 & 59 & 7 & 72 & 75 & 42 \\ 15 & 32 & 52 & 53 & 40 & 36 & 50 & 57 & 2 & 21 \\ 98 & 25 & 13 & 45 & 3 & 38 & 10 & 39 & 90 & 84 \\ 44 & 23 & 83 & 8 & 5 & 73 & 92 & 84 & 95 & 46 \\ 67 & 13 & 25 & 87 & 82 & 69 & 91 & 85 & 53 & 60 \\ 47 & 18 & 18 & 83 & 16 & 24 & 53 & 19 & 57 & 38 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{matrix_F} = \begin{bmatrix} 88 & 97 & 80 & 53 & 40 & 40 & 100 & 13 & 8 & 37 \\ 31 & 79 & 40 & 2 & 10 & 42 & 48 & 32 & 7 & 30 \\ 76 & 77 & 29 & 95 & 85 & 32 & 92 & 73 & 17 & 85 \\ 26 & 17 & 52 & 65 & 9 & 35 & 1 & 85 & 25 & 51 \\ 62 & 24 & 48 & 13 & 89 & 39 & 44 & 48 & 74 & 82 \\ 42 & 10 & 51 & 68 & 97 & 79 & 18 & 65 & 52 & 81 \\ 97 & 55 & 94 & 72 & 91 & 22 & 92 & 87 & 26 & 76 \\ 94 & 95 & 8 & 85 & 36 & 99 & 81 & 28 & 35 & 100 \\ 76 & 14 & 57 & 99 & 95 & 63 & 87 & 45 & 59 & 43 \\ 78 & 69 & 100 & 70 & 57 & 97 & 92 & 80 & 66 & 48 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.4 Outputs

3.4.1 $\text{matrix_a} \times \text{matrix_b}$

$$\text{expected result} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 14 & 17 & 20 \\ 23 & 30 & 37 & 44 \\ 35 & 46 & 57 & 68 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{expected subtotals} = \begin{bmatrix} 62 \\ 134 \\ 206 \end{bmatrix}$$

expected total = 402

Results:

Process:

```
[18348691@scada-16 Process]$ ./pmms matrix_a matrix_b 3 2 4
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22864: 62
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22865: 134
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22866: 206
Total: 402
```

Thread:

```
[18348691@scada-16 Thread]$ ./pmms matrix_a matrix_b 3 2 4
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3078155120: 62
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3067665264: 134
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3057175408: 206
Total: 402
```

Figure 2: Example of multi-threaded variant of pmms running with test input matrix_a and matrix_b.

3.4.2 $matrix_E \times matrix_F$

expected result =

44222	38629	383427	39909	37049	35001	44581	38219	22407	40499
30575	22032	28715	30681	28734	27747	28599	25073	18021	27677
32191	25793	26779	27982	32055	23168	31030	24742	16520	31244
34988	30154	32111	32173	33867	26767	35108	33353	17498	34180
32298	27443	27625	31489	27763	32185	30499	28208	20311	32365
23632	19850	17870	22325	20858	18773	21604	21496	12184	25428
31367	25010	28421	30852	25285	27979	32694	22194	16982	23662
42105	31006	30561	42201	39686	32411	42447	31343	20263	37789
43968	31550	36839	40589	39505	35296	39949	36410	25311	42319
24443	17542	23493	25206	21177	18961	23309	22632	13229	21501

expected subtotals =

378858
267854
271504
310199
290186
204020
264446
349812
371736
211493

expected total = 2920108

Results:

Process:

```
[18348691@scada-16 Process]$ ./pmms matrix_E matrix_F 10 10 10
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22956: 378858
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22957: 267854
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22958: 271504
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22959: 310199
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22960: 290186
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22961: 204020
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22962: 264446
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22963: 349812
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22964: 371736
Subtotal produced by process with ID 22965: 211493
Total: 2920108
```

Thread:

```
[18348691@scada-16 Thread]$ ./pmms matrix_E matrix_F 10 10 10
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3077573488: 378858
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 2983164784: 211493
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3035614064: 290186
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3004144496: 349812
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3056593776: 271504
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3025124208: 204020
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3046103920: 310199
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3067083632: 267854
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 3014634352: 264446
Subtotal produced by thread with ID 2993654640: 371736
Total: 2920108
```

4 ReadMe

Purpose

Matrix multiplication program using multiple processes and multiple threads written in C99.

The program prints out the subtotal calculated for each row along with the ID of the thread/process that computed it. The total is then printed out after all the rows have been calculated.

Files Included

Process

- makefile
- pmms.c
- pmms.h

Thread

- makefile
- pmms.c
- pmms.h

Other

- test files

Compile

- make

Execution

```
./pmms matrix_one matrix_two M N K
```

matrix_one = first matrix file

matrix_two = second matrix file

M = matrix one columns

N = matrix one rows/matrix two cols

K = matrix two rows