Bureaucratic Impermanence: How, When, and Why the Central Institutions of Local Government Choose Self-Termination

Jordan Duffin Wong

Washington University in St. Louis

March 23, 2022



Seneca NE: 2010 Population 33

• In 2013, a dispute arose over whether horses and other livestock could be kept within city limits

- In 2013, a dispute arose over whether horses and other livestock could be kept within city limits
- The issue went to the Village Board, which found an old town law that actually banned the practice but was unenforced for decades

- In 2013, a dispute arose over whether horses and other livestock could be kept within city limits
- The issue went to the Village Board, which found an old town law that actually banned the practice but was unenforced for decades
- The board, then unanimously voted to ban livestock in city limits altogether

• What do the villagers do then? Start a petition to call for the disincorporation of the town altogether.

- What do the villagers do then? Start a petition to call for the disincorporation of the town altogether.
- It got 13 signatures, exactly enough to put the issue on the ballot in 2014.

- What do the villagers do then? Start a petition to call for the disincorporation of the town altogether.
- It got 13 signatures, exactly enough to put the issue on the ballot in 2014.
- "We just don't want people telling us what to do. It was bound to be this and that (from the Village Board). We just want to be left alone." resident Terri Hartman

 On Election night, residents travelled 15 miles to the next town to vote on the issue

- On Election night, residents travelled 15 miles to the next town to vote on the issue
- Final vote: 16 voted to keep Seneca, 17 voted to disincorporate

- On Election night, residents travelled 15 miles to the next town to vote on the issue
- Final vote: 16 voted to keep Seneca, 17 voted to disincorporate
- So what's left? About 30 people in two factions who despise each other.

• Kaufman (1976): only 27 out of 421 government agencies created since 1923 had been eliminated by 1973.

- Kaufman (1976): only 27 out of 421 government agencies created since 1923 had been eliminated by 1973.
- More generally, there is this idea that government, once created, is exceptionally difficult to get rid of (Coate and Morris 1999, etc.)

- Kaufman (1976): only 27 out of 421 government agencies created since 1923 had been eliminated by 1973.
- More generally, there is this idea that government, once created, is exceptionally difficult to get rid of (Coate and Morris 1999, etc.)
- This is not necessarily true: research shows that federal agencies are regularly created, restructured, and even destroyed (Corder 2004, Bickers and Stein 1995, etc.)

Berry et. al. 2010: "in its first 10 years of life a program as a 4-5% chance of either mutating or dying, and a 1% chance of outright termination every year"

- Berry et. al. 2010: "in its first 10 years of life a program as a 4-5% chance of either mutating or dying, and a 1% chance of outright termination every year"
- Within 20 years, 15% of programs can be expected to actually die

- Berry et. al. 2010: "in its first 10 years of life a program as a 4-5% chance of either mutating or dying, and a 1% chance of outright termination every year"
- Within 20 years, 15% of programs can be expected to actually die
- This may apply to large organizations, especially if they expand too quickly (Corbett and Howard 2017)¹

¹This study looked at programs in Australia, but extends the logic to the US.

- Berry et. al. 2010: "in its first 10 years of life a program as a 4-5% chance of either mutating or dying, and a 1% chance of outright termination every year"
- Within 20 years, 15% of programs can be expected to actually die
- This may apply to large organizations, especially if they expand too quickly (Corbett and Howard 2017)¹
- This literature studies the termination of extensions of government, but not the central government itself.

¹This study looked at programs in Australia, but extends the logic to the US.

Some facts (primarily from Anderson 2012):

• Definition: *Municipal dissolution*, or *disincorporation*, is the termination of a political unit of an incorporated municipality

- Definition: *Municipal dissolution*, or *disincorporation*, is the termination of a political unit of an incorporated municipality
- The power to disincorporate comes from state constitutions and state laws

- Definition: Municipal dissolution, or disincorporation, is the termination of a political unit of an incorporated municipality
- The power to disincorporate comes from state constitutions and state laws
- Between 2000 and 2010, at least 130 American cities have dissolved, roughly the same number as cities that have incorporated.

- Definition: Municipal dissolution, or disincorporation, is the termination of a political unit of an incorporated municipality
- The power to disincorporate comes from state constitutions and state laws
- Between 2000 and 2010, at least 130 American cities have dissolved, roughly the same number as cities that have incorporated.
- 40 states have some kind of disincorporation code on the books

- Definition: *Municipal dissolution*, or *disincorporation*, is the termination of a political unit of an incorporated municipality
- The power to disincorporate comes from state constitutions and state laws
- Between 2000 and 2010, at least 130 American cities have dissolved, roughly the same number as cities that have incorporated.
- 40 states have some kind of disincorporation code on the books
- Disincorporation can be *involuntary* or *voluntary*, which usually happens when cities are struggling

Why do cities attempt to disincorporate? Anderson 2012 offers theories:

Economic decline

- Economic decline
- Tax rebellion

- Economic decline
- Tax rebellion
- Corruption and mismanagement

- Economic decline
- Tax rebellion
- Corruption and mismanagement
- (Historically) Race

Why do cities attempt to disincorporate? Anderson 2012 offers theories:

- Economic decline
- Tax rebellion
- Corruption and mismanagement
- (Historically) Race

The question, therefore, is descriptive: which is the most common? Which rationales are the most likely to "succeed?" Do certain theories complement or compound each other? How can we evaluate this?

Data:

 Anderson 2012 has a mostly-comprehensive list of dissolutions from 1957 to 2007 from Census of Governments

Data:

- Anderson 2012 has a mostly-comprehensive list of dissolutions from 1957 to 2007 from Census of Governments
- U.S. Census Gazetteer files have a comprehensive list of "places," including unincorporated status

Data:

- Anderson 2012 has a mostly-comprehensive list of dissolutions from 1957 to 2007 from Census of Governments
- U.S. Census Gazetteer files have a comprehensive list of "places," including unincorporated status
- Estimate 70-300 disincorporations since 2010

Data:

- Anderson 2012 has a mostly-comprehensive list of dissolutions from 1957 to 2007 from Census of Governments
- U.S. Census Gazetteer files have a comprehensive list of "places," including unincorporated status
- Estimate 70-300 disincorporations since 2010
- ullet Process: Create a list of possible disincorporations ullet gather news coverage of each place and categorize

Analysis:

• Descriptive statistics (yay!)

Analysis:

- Descriptive statistics (yay!)
- Linear probability/survival model estimation

Analysis:

- Descriptive statistics (yay!)
- Linear probability/survival model estimation
- ullet Extensions: disincorporation
 ightarrow outcomes