

Spectra of Gases and Solids

ASTR 102

Jordan ELL
V00660306

January 14, 2013

Date Performed: 1/1/2012
Instructor: Paolo turri

1 Objective

The object of this lab and report are to three fold. First is to observe the three types of spectra as described by Kirchoff's Laws. Second is to observe emission spectra from a range of elements for contrast and comparison. Lastly is to determine the wavelength of emission lines in hydrogen.

2 Introduction

3 Equipment

For this report, the following list of equipment was used. A diffracting grating was used to break light into spectral lines. A light bulb with a solid tungsten filament was used for giving off a continuous black body spectrum. A neon type light bulb was used to give off an emission spectrum. Multiple glass tubes filled with various gases were used with electrical current to produce emission spectrum. The glass tubes are placed inside a wooden box with a thin slit to allow only a small strip of light to emit. A web cam was used to image emission spectrum and display it with video software on a computer. For spectrum sketches, a single blank sheet of paper was used while for graphs of hydrogen and helium wavelength vs. distance, a single piece of graph paper was used. Finally, coloured pencils were used to sketch spectrum.

4 Procedure

First, a continuous spectrum was observed and sketched. To do this, a solid tungsten filament is heated in a glass bulb in order to produce light. A diffract-

ing grating was then used to view the light through. Looking through the grating produces a continuous spectrum of light with 1st to approximately 3rd order. The 1st order spectrum was sketched and can be seen in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Continuous spectrum of 1st order.

Secondly, an emission spectrum was observed and sketched. To do this, a glass bulb containing a mix of elements was heated with electrical current. Once again, A diffracting grating was then used to view the light emitted from the bulb. Looking through the grating produces an emission spectrum of light once again from 1st to approximately 3rd order. The 1st order of the spectrum was then sketched and can be seen in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Emission spectrum of 1st order.

Thirdly, an absorption spectrum was observed and sketched. To do this, a window was used with blinds to only allow a small slit of light to enter the room. Once again, a diffracting grating was then used to view the light entering the window. Looking through the grating produces an absorption spectrum of light from 1st to approximately 3rd order. The 1st order of the spectrum was then sketched and can be seen in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Absorption spectrum of 1st order.

The next steps of this report outline the observing and sketching of the following element's emission spectrum: hydrogen, helium, mercury, and an unknown element. Using the wooden box filled with glass tubes containing various elements as outlined in Section 3, we measure each element's spectrum and sketch them. To do this, the wooden box is turned on which allows an electrical current to pass through a given element thus heating it and emitting light. A diffracting grating was then used to view the light through. Looking through the grating

produces an emission spectrum for the selected element. The spectrum was then sketched and can be seen in Figures 1 - 7. This process was repeated for each of the elements listed above.

Figure 4: Hydrogen emission spectrum.

Figure 5: Helium emission spectrum.

Figure 6: Mercury emission spectrum.

Figure 7: Unknown emission spectrum.

Lastly, the wavelengths of emission lines from hydrogen were measured. This was accomplished in a two step procedure. First a base line was established using helium. In order to get the wavelengths of helium, the following steps were taken. First, the aforementioned wooden box was once again used, however, this time the web cam was used to take a picture of the emission spectrum of helium. To do this, the grating was placed in front of the web cam before the picture was taken. Once the picture was taken, a measurement of the 0 order emission to each of the emission lines of helium was taken. These measurements were done in pixels and can be seen in Table 1. Once each spectral line was measured, a plot was made, in Figure 8, to map distance of spectral line from the 0 order emission vs. the wavelengths of emission lines in angstroms. The wavelengths of emission lines of helium were provided in the lab by the instructor. This graph produces the baseline of the visible part of the electromagnetic spectrum for emission lines distance and their wavelengths. Next, the web cam, grating, and

wooden box setup was once again used to obtain an image of hydrogen emission lines. These measurements can be found in Table 2. These measurements were then projected onto the graph made in the previous step in order to determine their wavelengths. This can be seen in the back of the lab report labelled as Figure 8.

The determining of the unknown element in the wooden box as well as the reading of hydrogen emission line wavelengths were then carried out.

5 Observations

All observations for this report were made on the day of 2013-Jan-14. The only calculation affected by time of day (observing of daylight absorption spectrum) was made at approximately 16:30. The observation of all spectrum in this report can be found in the back of this report labelled as Figure 1. The measurements of emission line distances of both helium and hydrogen can be found in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively. Table 2 also contains the observed wavelengths of emission lines from hydrogen as described in Section 4. The plotting of helium and hydrogen emission lines can be seen in the back of this lab report labelled as Figure 2.

6 Tables and Measurements

Line Colour	Distance (px)
Pink/Purple	223
Purple	256
Blue	272
Green	290
Yellow	338

Table 1: Measurements of helium emission lines.

Wavelength (\AA)	Line Colour	Distance (px)
4880	Purple	278
5490	Blue	312
N/A	Red	421

Table 2: Measurements of helium emission lines.

7 Graphs

The graph of helium and hydrogen emission lines can be found at the back of this report labelled as Figure 2.

8 Results

This report consists of two results. The first result is that of the unknown element inside the aforementioned wooden box setup. From the data presented, it is determined that the unknown element is that of mercury. The unknown element's emission lines are a match to the emission lines of mercury which can be seen in Figure 1. Objects which emit the same spectral lines are the same element.

The second result of this lab involves the determination of hydrogen emission lines. From previous knowledge, it is known that hydrogen emits 5 spectral lines in the visible spectrum at 3970Å, 4100Å, 4340Å, 4860Å, and 6560Å [1]. This report outline the measuring of only 3 spectral lines as seen in Table 2, which measured at wavelengths 4850Å, 5450Å, and 7075Å. An obvious discrepancy is found here.

Problems and possible threats to validity of these results are dicussed in Section 9 below.

9 Threats to Validity

The sketching of all continuous, emission, and absorption spectrum was performed by hand. Naturally this leads to a large amount of judgemental error in all sketches presented in Figure 1. Because of these free hand sketches, the matching of the unknown element in the wooden box and mercury can not be exact. The match performed in this lab is an approximation made by only comparing the free hand sketches of each element's emission spectrum which could potentially lead to an inaccurate result presented above.

The largest threat of validity to this report comes in the procedure of measuring hydrogen's emission line wavelengths. For one comes the construction of the helium baseline. The measuring of 0 order center to 1st order spectral lines was not exact due to a poor quality web cam. The plot made of the helium emission line distances was done by hand causing obvious errors. These errors are also repeated for the measuring and plotting of hydrogen emission lines. The camera was also displaced between the two measurements causing the largest region of error by far. The compilation of these errors can be seen in the results presented in Section 8. Here we can see the 3 measured emission lines approximately match the last 3 known emission lines if 500Å is subtracted from

their value. This discrepancy probably comes mostly from the movement of the web cam in between measurements.

10 Conclusions

This report has shown a number of items. First it has shown how diffracting gratings can be used in order to view continuous, absorption, and emission spectra. This report has shown how previously known spectral lines can be used to identify what elements are present in an unknown gas. This report has shown how to measure the wavelength of an element against a baseline of a previously known element's wavelengths. Finally, an attempt was made as measuring the wavelength of hydrogen emission lines against a baseline of helium emission lines, however, this measurement suffered from physical experimental procedures.

11 Evaluation

Overall I enjoyed this lab, although at times it was quite slow. The ability to find hydrogen's emission line wavelengths was very neat to see in process as well as getting hands on experience with a spectroscopy setup was great. In the future it would be great to be able to use the 38 inch telescope to perhaps perform some real spectroscopy on the Sun or stars at night.

References

- [1] Balmer, J. J. (1885), "Notiz uber die Spectrallinien des Wasserstoffs", *Annalen der Physik* 261 (5): 8087