

Message Sends are Plans for Reuse

S.Ducasse, L. Fabresse, G. Polito, and P. Tesone



About this lecture

- Related to the "Essence of Dispatch" (sending a message is making a choice)
- Relevant to any object-oriented language
- Another essential aspect of object-oriented design



What you will learn

- Message sends are **hooks** for subclasses
- Message sends are places where subclasses code can be invoked



Let's start thinking

Anecdotes

- *I like big methods because I can see all the code*
- *I do not like small methods*



Questions

- Why large methods lead to *under-optimal* design?
- Why writing small methods is a sign of good design?



Remember...

- A message send makes a choice
- A class hierarchy defines the possible choices
- self **always represents the receiver**
- Method lookup starts in the **class of the receiver** (except for super)



An example

```
Node >> setWindowWithRatioForDisplay  
| defaultNodeSize |  
defaultNodeSize := mainCoordinate / maximizeViewRatio.  
self window add: (UINode new with: bandWidth * 55 / defaultWindowSize).  
previousNodeSize := defaultNodeSize.
```

What are the possible solutions to change the `defaultNodeSize` formula in a subclass?



Bad solution: duplication

Duplicate the code in a subclass

```
Node << #NodeWithMargins  
...
```

```
NodeWithMargins >> setWindowWithRatioForDisplay  
| defaultNodeSize |  
defaultNodeSize := (mainCoordinate / maximizeViewRatio) + 10.  
self window add: (UINode new with: bandWidth * 55 / defaultWindowSize).  
previousNodeSize := defaultNodeSize.
```




Avoid duplication

- Duplication is not a good practice:
 - duplication copies bugs
 - changing one copy requires changing others
- Note that in Java-like languages, using `private` attributes makes duplication in subclasses impossible



Essence of a better solution

- Define small methods
- Send messages
- Subclasses can override such methods



Applying it to our example

We can refactor this:

```
Node >> setWindowWithRatioForDisplay  
| defaultNodeSize |  
defaultNodeSize := (mainCoordinate / maximizeViewRatio).  
self window add: (UINode new with: bandWidth * 55 / defaultWindowSize).  
previousNodeSize := defaultNodeSize.
```

into:

```
Node >> setWindowWithRatioForDisplay  
| defaultNodeSize |  
defaultNodeSize := self ratio.  
self window add: (UINode new with: bandWidth * 55 / defaultWindowSize).  
previousNodeSize := defaultNodeSize.
```

```
Node >> ratio  
^ mainCoordinate / maximizeViewRatio
```



Subclasses can now reuse the superclass logic

```
Node >> ratio  
  ^ mainCoordinate / maximizeViewRatio
```

A subclass can redefine this behavior into:

```
NodeWithMargins >> ratio  
  ^ super ratio + 10
```

How is computed defaultNodeSize evaluating this expression:

```
NodeWithMargins new setWindowWithRatioForDisplay
```



Another step

```
Node >> setWindowWithRatioForDisplay  
| defaultNodeSize |  
defaultNodeSize := self ratio.  
self window add: (UINode new with: bandWidth * 55 / defaultWindowSize).  
previousNodeSize := defaultNodeSize.
```

How to use a different UINode in subclasses?



Another step: same solution applied

We can also extract the `UINode` instantiation into a separate method.

```
Node >> setWindowWithRatioForDisplay  
| defaultNodeSize |  
defaultNodeSize := self ratio.  
self window add: self createUINode.  
previousNodeSize := defaultNodeSize.
```

```
Node >> createUINode  
^ UINode new with: bandwidth * 55 / defaultWindowSize
```



Improvement: do not hardcode class use

Refactor this:

```
Node >> createUINode  
  ^ UINode new with: bandWidth * 55 / defaultWindowSize
```

into:

```
Node >> createUINode  
  ^ self uiNodeClass new with: bandWidth * 55 / defaultWindowSize.
```

```
Node >> uiNodeClass  
  ^ UINode
```

It is a good practice to define methods that return classes. BTW, this is easy in Pharo because classes are regular objects!

Many take-away messages


Small methods are a sign of good design, because:

- they give a **name** to expressions
- they are a potential **hook** for extensibility in subclasses (redefinition)
- they encapsulate complexity (no need to read all method definitions) if their name is meaningful



Emmental-oriented programming

Object-oriented programming is
Emmental-oriented programming!
Subclasses fill up the holes



Dieter Seeger, CC BY-SA 2.0, via
Wikimedia Commons



Conclusion

- Code can be reused and refined in subclasses
- Sending a message in a class defines a **hook**:
 - i.e., a place where subclasses can **inject variations**
- Prefer **small** methods because:
 - it gives names to expressions
 - each one is an extensibility point for subclasses



Produced as part of the course on <http://www.fun-mooc.fr>

Advanced Object-Oriented Design and Development with Pharo

A course by

S.Ducasse, L. Fabresse, G. Polito, and P. Tesone



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 France
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/fr/>