

Definition 28 Suppose X is a (real or complex) vector space. An *inner product* on X is a mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ from $X \times X$ into \mathbb{C} such that

- i. $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$
- ii. $\langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle$
- iii. $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$
- iv. $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$ if $x \neq \theta$

It should be observed that properties (i) - (iv) imply also that $\langle x, y + z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle$ and $\langle x, \alpha y \rangle = \overline{\alpha} \langle x, y \rangle$.

(Check) By property (iii): $\langle x, y + z \rangle = \overline{\langle y + z, x \rangle}$. By property (i): $\overline{\langle y + z, x \rangle} = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle + \langle z, x \rangle}$. By properties (iii) again: $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$ and $\langle x, z \rangle = \overline{\langle z, x \rangle} \implies \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle$. For the second part, by property (iii) we have $\langle x, \alpha y \rangle = \overline{\langle \alpha y, x \rangle}$. Using property (ii) $\overline{\alpha} \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$ and by property (iii) again we have $\overline{\alpha} \langle x, y \rangle$.

Note also that if $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$, then x must be θ , and if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for all y in X , then $x = \theta$ since $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$.

For our case in question we will be considering X the space of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ and for our inner product we will use

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_a^b u(t) \overline{v(t)} w(t) dt, \quad w(t) \geq 0$$

(Check)

Property (i):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x + y, z \rangle &= \int_a^b (x(t) + y(t)) \overline{z(t)} w(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b x(t) \overline{z(t)} w(t) dt + \int_a^b y(t) \overline{z(t)} w(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b x(t) \overline{z(t)} w(t) dt + \int_a^b y(t) \overline{z(t)} w(t) dt \\ &= \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Property (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha x, y \rangle &= \int_a^b \alpha x(t) \overline{y(t)} w(t) dt \\ &= \alpha \int_a^b x(t) \overline{y(t)} w(t) dt \\ &= \alpha \langle x, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Property (iii)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, y \rangle &= \int_a^b x(t) \overline{y(t)} w(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b \overline{y(t)} x(t) w(t) dt \\ &= \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}\end{aligned}$$

Property (iv)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, x \rangle &= \int_a^b x(t) \overline{x(t)} w(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b |x(t)|^2 w(t) dt > 0 \text{ if } x \neq \theta\end{aligned}$$

We shall say that u and v are *orthogonal* if and only if $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ and that u is *normal* if $\langle u, u \rangle = 1$. Now define $\|u\|^2 = \langle u, u \rangle$.

Theorem 36 (Cauchy-Schwarz-Bunyakowski)

$$|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 \leq \|u\|^2 \cdot \|v\|^2 \leq \langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle$$

Proof. Notice that $0 \leq \langle v - \alpha u, v - \alpha u \rangle$ holds for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Set $\alpha = \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle}$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq \langle v - \alpha u, v - \alpha u \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, -\alpha u \rangle + \langle -\alpha u, v \rangle + \langle -\alpha u, -\alpha u \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, \alpha u \rangle - \langle \alpha u, v \rangle + \langle \alpha u, \alpha u \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - \overline{\alpha} \langle v, u \rangle - \alpha \langle u, v \rangle + \alpha \overline{\alpha} \langle u, u \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - \frac{\overline{\langle v, u \rangle}}{\langle u, u \rangle} \langle v, u \rangle - \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} \langle u, v \rangle + \left| \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} \right|^2 \langle u, u \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - \frac{\overline{\langle v, u \rangle}}{\langle u, u \rangle} \langle v, u \rangle - \frac{\overline{\langle u, v \rangle}}{\langle u, u \rangle} \langle u, v \rangle + \left| \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} \right|^2 \langle u, u \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - \frac{\overline{\langle v, u \rangle}}{\langle u, u \rangle} \langle v, u \rangle - \frac{\overline{\langle v, u \rangle}}{\langle u, u \rangle} \langle v, u \rangle + \left| \frac{\langle v, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle} \right|^2 \langle u, u \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - 2 \frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2}{\langle u, u \rangle} + \frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2}{\langle u, u \rangle} \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle - \frac{|\langle v, u \rangle|^2}{\langle u, u \rangle}\end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$|\langle v, u \rangle|^2 \leq \langle v, v \rangle \langle u, u \rangle = \|u\|^2 \|v\|^2$$

□

In our setting this says

$$\left| \int_a^b v(x) \overline{u(x)} w(x) \right| \leq \left[\int_a^b |v(x)|^2 w(x) \right] \left[\int_a^b |u(x)|^2 w(x) \right]$$

Theorem 37 $\|v\|^2 = \langle v, v \rangle$ defines a norm $\|v\|$ on $[C[a, b]]X$.

Proof. To be a norm $\|\cdot\|$ needs to be a function $\|\cdot\| : [C[a, b]]X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies three properties.

- i. $\|v\| > 0$ and $\|v\| = 0$ if and only if $v = 0 \forall v \in [C[a, b]]X$
- ii. $\|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \|v\| \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall v \in [C[a, b]]X$
- iii. $\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\| \forall u, v \in [C[a, b]]X$

Property (i) and (ii) are true by the definition of the inner product. We need only check property (iii) the triangle inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \|u + v\|^2 &= \langle u + v, u + v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u + v \rangle + \langle v, u + v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \overline{\langle u, v \rangle} + \langle v, v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle \\ &\leq \langle u, u \rangle + 2|\langle u, v \rangle| + \langle v, v \rangle \\ &\leq [\|u\| + \|v\|]^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

Our space of functions is now $X, \mu \equiv (C[a, b], \mu)$ as a metric space and a normed space with norm being the L_2 norm with weight function $w(x)$:

$$\|f - g\|^2 = \int_a^b w(x) |f(x) - g(x)|^2 dx$$

and $\mu(f, g) = \|f - g\|$ being the metric. This space of course has a completion which is unique up to isometric isomorphisms and we denote this space by $L_{2w}([a, b])$. As we saw earlier the Riemann integral is uniformly continuous on this original space and thus has a unique extension to the entire space L_{2w} .

Definition 29 A *Hilbert Space* is a complete inner product space.

Exercise 39

1. Show that if u and v are points in an inner product space, then

$$\|u + v\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2 = 2[\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2]$$

If the inner product is real valued, then this is called the Parallelogram Law.

Proof. Expanding the first term on the left hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\|^2 &= \langle u + v, u + v \rangle = \langle u, u + v \rangle + \langle v, u + v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle \\ &= \|u\|^2 + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \|v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly expand the second term on the left hand side

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v\|^2 &= \langle u - v, u - v \rangle = \langle u, u - v \rangle - \langle v, u - v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle - [\langle v, u \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle] \\ &= \|u\|^2 - \langle u, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle + \|v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Add these two together and terms cancel to arrive at

$$\|u + v\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2 = 2 [\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2]$$

□

2. Show that $\|u + v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2$ if $u \perp v$, that is $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$. This is called the Pythagorean Theorem.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\|^2 &= \langle u + v, u + v \rangle = \langle u, u + v \rangle + \langle v, u + v \rangle \\ &= \langle u, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle \\ &= \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Find an example of a complex inner product space in which (2) above fails. Also give an example where the converse fails.

If $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ then $\|u + v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2$. An example of an complex inner product space where this fails is:... If $\|u + v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2$ then $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$. An example of an complex inner product space where this fails is:...

⋮

p. 177

⋮

Definition 30

1. If $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a given inner product space and $x \in X$, then $x^\perp = \{y \in X | \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$ and is called the *orthogonal complement* of x .

Note: Clearly x^\perp is a vector subspace of X since if $y_1 \in x^\perp$ and $y_2 \in x^\perp$, then so is $\alpha y_1 + \beta y_2$. Moreover, x^\perp is closed, that is if $y_n \in x^\perp$, and $y_n \rightarrow y$, then $|\langle x, y_n - y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$ and since $\langle x, y_n \rangle = 0$, we have that $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ also.

2. Suppose M is a subset of X , then we define $M^\perp \equiv \bigcap_{x \in M} x^\perp$. Since the intersection of vector spaces is again a vector space; moreover, since the intersection of closed sets is closed, M^\perp is a closed vector subspace of X for all subsets M of X .
3. A subset $N \in M$ is said to be convex if $x \in N$ and $y \in N$ and also $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in N$; this says that the segment joining x and y lies in N .

Exercise 40

1. Show that the intersection of a vector space is again a vector space.

Proof. Suppose X and Y are both vector spaces. To show that $X \cap Y$ is a vector space we need to verify that it contains θ (the zero vector), and that it is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication.

Since X is a vector space we know that it contains θ . Since Y is also a vector space it also contains θ which implies that $\theta \in \{x \mid x \in X \text{ and } x \in Y\} = X \cap Y$. First property verified.

Suppose $x, y \in X \cap Y$. If $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ since X is a vector space $x + y \in X$. If $x \in Y$ and $y \in Y$ since Y is a vector space $x + y \in Y$. Which implies that $x + y \in \{x \mid x \in X \text{ and } x \in Y\} = X \cap Y$, thus the second property is verified.

Finally, suppose $x \in X \cap Y$ and $\lambda \in F$, where F is any scalar field. Since $x \in X$ and X is a vector space this means that $\lambda x \in X$. Similarly since $x \in Y$ and Y is a vector space this means that $\lambda x \in Y$ which implies that $\lambda x \in \{x \mid x \in X \text{ and } x \in Y\} = X \cap Y$. Third property verified. \square

2. Show that the intersection of convex space is convex.

Proof. Suppose X, Y are two convex spaces and that $x, y \in X \cap Y$. Since X is convex and since $x, y \in X$ this means $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in X$. Similarly since Y is convex and since $x, y \in Y$ this means $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in Y$. Thus $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in X \cap Y$ which shows that $X \cap Y$ is convex. \square

3. Show that the intersection of closed spaces is closed.

Proof. Suppose X, Y are closed spaces. This means that X^c and Y^c are open. This implies that $X^c \cup Y^c$ is open. The complement of $X^c \cup Y^c$ is therefore closed which by DeMorgan's Law is $X \cap Y$. \square