

# Machine Learning

Jorell Linsangan - 767816 - [linsangj@myumanitoba.ca](mailto:linsangj@myumanitoba.ca)

April 6, 2016

1. Bitmap indexes

- (a) Create a bitmap for each value of Boolean attribute “evenNum”.

$BV(evenNum = Y)$	$BV(evenNum = N)$
1	0
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1

- (b) Create a bitmap vector for each numeric attribute “numDigits”

$BV(numDigits = 1)$	$BV(numDigits = 2)$
1	0
1	0
1	0
1	0
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1

- (c) Create a bitmap vector for each Boolean attribute “endsWith3”

$BV(endsWith3 = Y)$	$BV(endsWith3 = N)$
0	1
1	0
0	1
0	1
0	1
1	0
0	1
0	1
1	0
0	1

- (d) Find the rIDs of all the records with 2-digit values that end with 3.

$$BV(numDigits = 2) = 0000111111$$

$$BV(endsWith3 = Y) = 0100010010$$

$$BV(Result) = 0000010010$$

Which means that the rids of records with 2-digit values that end with 3 are 6 & 9.

2. I/O Cost

- (a) 2-way external mergesort.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Cost &= 2(N)(1 + \lceil \log_2(N) \rceil) \\
 &= 2(200)(1 + \lceil \log_2(200) \rceil) \\
 &= 400(1 + \lceil \log_2(200) \rceil) \\
 &= 400 + 3200 \\
 \text{Total Cost} &= 3600 \text{ I/Os}
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) General multi-way external mergesort with B = 15

$$\begin{aligned}
 Cost &= 2(N)(1 + \lceil \log_{B-1}(\lceil \frac{N}{B} \rceil) \rceil) \\
 &= 2(200)(1 + \lceil \log_{14}(\lceil \frac{200}{15} \rceil) \rceil) \\
 &= 400(2) \\
 \text{Total Cost} &= 800 \text{ I/Os}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Something...Not sure what to name this question. Query Processing? If there are 2000 tuples that are sorted in ascending order and a page can hold 10 student tuples.  $P_r = 200$ .

- (a) Binary Search. I am assuming that sID is the primary key, therefor it is unique.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Cost to locate tuple} &= \lceil \log_2(200) \rceil \\
 &= 8 \text{ I/Os}
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) 3 I/Os

- (c) 4 I/Os

4. (a) Root to leaf traversal is 3. There are 200 pages and there are 2000 tuples where 2% of those are 'G. Raymond'. So  $3 + (.02)200 = 7$  I/Os for clustered index.

- (b) For non-clustered, it is  $3 + (0.02)2000 = 43$  I/Os. \*

5. (a) We create a tuple of only the wanted attribute/s which is 'sName'. Let this tuple be tuple T. Since  $\text{size}(sName) == \text{size}(sID)$ , we can assume that we can fit 20 student tuples in a page now. So  $P_t = 2000/20 = 100$  and  $P_E = 2000/10 = 200$ . The formula for cost is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_E + P_T + (2(P_T) \lceil (\log_{B-1} \lceil \frac{P_T}{B} \rceil) \rceil - 1) + P_T \\
 200 + 100 + (2(100) \lceil (\log_{20} \lceil \frac{100}{21} \rceil) \rceil - 1) + 100 = 400
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b)  $P_E + 2P_T$  is the formula to get the number of I/Os for hash based projection.  $P_E = 200$  and depending if I should assume that  $\text{size}(sName) == \text{size}(sID)$ .  $P_T$  will have different values.  $P_T = 100$  if I make the assumption or  $P_T = 200$  if no assumption.

Answer with assumption:  $200 + (2)(100) = 400$

Answer without assumption  $200 + (2)(200) = 600$

6.  $P_S = 200$ ,  $P_E = 800$

(a) Inner: Enrolled, Outer: Student. Cost:  $P_S + N_S * P_E = 200 + 2000(800) = 1600200$ .

(b) Inner: Student, Outer: Enrolled. Cost:  $P_E + N_R * P_S = 800 + 8000(200) = 1600800$ .

(c)  $P_E + P_E(P_S) = 800 + 800(200) = 160800$

(d) “Block” nested-loop join.

With  $E$  as outer.  $B - 2 = 19$  pages of  $E$  per chunk.

Cost of scanning  $E$ :  $P_E = 800$  pages.

Number of chunks =  $\lceil \frac{800}{19} \rceil = 43$  chunks.

Per chunk of  $E$ , we scan  $S$ :  $\lceil \frac{P_E}{B-2} \rceil * P_S = 43(200)$  pages.

Total cost =  $800 + 8600 = 9400$  pages.

(e) Sort-merge join.

Cost for sorting enrolled is  $2(800) * (1 + \lceil \log_{20} \lceil \frac{800}{21} \rceil \rceil) = 4800$

The cost for the merging phase is  $P_E + P_S = 800 + 200 = 1000$ .

Cost for Sort-merge join is  $4800 + 1000 = 5800$ .

7. Schedules

(a) Schedule 1:

i. Yes

ii. Yes

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
R(B)	
W(B)	
	R(A)
	W(A)

iii. Yes

T1	T2
R(A)	
W(A)	
R(B)	
W(B)	
	R(A)
	W(A)

(b) Schedule 2:

i. No

ii. Yes

T3	T4
	R(C)
	W(C)
	R(D)
	W(D)
R(C)	
W(C)	

iii. Yes

T3	T4
	R(C)
	W(C)
	R(D)
	W(D)
R(C)	
W(C)	

(c) Schedule 3:

i. No

ii. No

iii. Yes

T5	T6
R(E)	
W(E)	
	W(E))
	W(E)

(d) Schedule 4:

i. No

ii. No

iii. No