Machine Learning

Jorell Linsangan - 767816 - linsangj@myumanitoba.ca ${\it April~6,~2016}$

- 1. Bitmap indexes
 - (a) Create a bitmap for each value of Boolean attribute "evenNum".

BV(evenNum = Y)	BV(evenNum = N)
1	0
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1

(b) Create a bitmap vector for each numeric attribute "numDigits"

BV(numDigits = 1)	BV(numDigits = 2)
1	0
1	0
1	0
1	0
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	1

(c) Create a bitmap vector for each Boolean attribute "endsWith3"

BV(endsWith3 = Y)	BV(endsWith3 = N)
0	1
1	0
0	1
0	1
0	1
1	0
0	1
0	1
1	0
0	1

(d) Find the rIDs of all the records with 2-digit values that end with 3.

$$BV(numDigits = 2) = 00001111111$$

 $BV(endWith3 = Y) = 0100010010$
 $BV(Result) = 0000010010$

Which means that the rids of records with 2-digit values that end with 3 are 6 & 9.

- 2. I/O Cost
 - (a) 2-way external mergesort.

$$Cost = 2(N)(1 + \lceil \log_2(N) \rceil)$$

$$= 2(200)(1 + \lceil \log_2(200) \rceil)$$

$$= 400(1 + \lceil \log_2(200) \rceil)$$

$$= 400 + 3200$$
Total Cost = 3600 I/Os

(b) General multi-way external mergesort with B=15

$$\begin{split} Cost &= 2(N)(1+\lceil\log_{B-1}(\lceil\frac{N}{B}\rceil)\rceil)\\ &= 2(200)(1+\lceil\log_{14}(\lceil\frac{200}{15}\rceil)\rceil)\\ &= 400(2)\\ \text{Total Cost} &= 800 \text{ I/Os} \end{split}$$

- 3. Something...Not sure what to name this question. Query Processing? If there are 2000 tuples that are sorted in ascending order and a page can hold 10 student tuples. $P_r = 200$.
 - (a) Binary Search. I am assuming that sID is the primary key, therefor it is unique.

Cost to locate tuple =
$$\lceil \log_2(200) \rceil$$

= 8 I/Os

- (b) 3 I/Os
- (c) 4 I/Os
- 4. (a) Root to leaf traversal is 3. There are 200 pages and there are 2000 tuples where 2% of those are 'G. Raymond'. So 3 + (.02)200 = 7 I/Os for clustered index.
 - (b) For non-clustered, it is 3 + (0.02)2000 = 43 I/Os.
- 5. (a) We create a tuple of only the wanted attribute/s which is 'sName'. Let this tuple be tuple T. Since size(sName) == size(sID), we can assume that we can fit 20 student tuples in a page now. So $P_t = 2000/20 = 100$ and $P_E = 2000/10 = 200$. The formula for cost is:

$$P_E + P_T + (2(P_T)\lceil (\log_{B-1}\lceil \frac{P_T}{B}\rceil)\rceil - 1) + P_T$$
$$200 + 100 + (2(100)\lceil (\log_{20}\lceil \frac{100}{21}\rceil)\rceil - 1) + 100 = 400$$

(b) $P_r + 2P_T$ is the formula to get the number of I/Os for hash based projection. $P_r = 200$ and depending if I should assume that size(sName) == size(sID). P_T will have different values. $P_T = 100$ if I make the assumption or $P_T = 200$ if no assumption.

Answer with assumption: 200 + (2)(100) = 400Answer without assumption 200 + (2)(200) = 600

6.
$$P_S = 200, P_E = 800$$

- (a) Inner: Enrolled, Outer: Student. Cost: $P_S + N_S * P_E = 200 + 2000(800) = 1600200$.
- (b) Inner: Student, Outer: Enrolled. Cost: $P_E + N_R * P_S = 800 + 8000(200) = 1600800$.
- (c) $P_E + P_E(P_S) = 800 + 800(200) = 160800$
- (d) "Block" nested-loop join.

With E as outer. B-2=19 pages of E per chunk.

Cost of scanning E: $P_E = 800$ pages. Number of chunks = $\lceil \frac{800}{19} \rceil = 43$ chunks.

Per chunk of E, we scan S: $\lceil \frac{P_E}{B-2} \rceil * P_S = 43(200)$ pages.

Total cost = 800 + 8600 = 9400 pages.

(e) Sort-merge join.

Cost for sorting enrolled is $2(800)*(1+\lceil\log_{20}\lceil\frac{800}{21}\rceil\rceil)=4800$ The cost for the merging phase is $P_E+P_S=800+200=1000$.

Cost for Sort-merge join is 4800 + 1000 = 5800.

7. Schedules

- (a) Schedule 1:
 - i. Yes
 - ii. Yes

$$\begin{array}{c|c} T1 & T2 \\ \hline R(A) & \\ W(A) & \\ R(B) & \\ W(B) & \\ & &$$

iii. Yes

$$\begin{array}{c|c} T1 & T2 \\ \hline R(A) & \\ W(A) & \\ R(B) & \\ W(B) & \\ & R(A) \\ & W(A) & \\ \end{array}$$

- (b) Schedule 2:
 - i. No
 - ii. Yes

iii. Yes

- (c) Schedule 3:
 - i. No
 - ii. No
 - iii. Yes

$$\begin{array}{c|c} T1 & T2 \\ \hline R(E) & \\ W(E) & \\ W(E)) & \\ W(E) \end{array}$$

- (d) Schedule 4:
 - i. No
 - ii. No
 - iii. No