

Using Jaatha with a Custom Simulation Method

Lisha Mathew, Paul R. Staab and Dirk Metzler

April 17, 2014

1 Introduction

We originally designed Jaatha for Demographic Inference in Population Genetics¹. As the algorithms turned out to work quite well there, we think that it might be useful in other situations as well. Jaatha should work in the following scenario:

- You have data that is (assumed to be) generated by a parametric model and is – at least approximately – a sample from independent Poisson variables under that model.
- You want maximum likelihood estimates for the parameter values generating your data, but the likelihood function is analytically untraceable.
- You can simulate data for different parameter values under your model.

In this document, we will explain how you can use Jaatha in such a situation and provide step-by-step instructions for a toy example. We recommend that you first read “The Jaatha HowTo” or the current publication about Jaatha (Mathew et al. [2013]) to understand how the algorithm works.

The shown example code was produced with Jaatha version 2.3.0.1. Please either update this manual or Jaatha if you are using a different version. Please note that the custom simulation interface changed after version 2.1 due to major internal restructurations. We are optimistic that it will remain stable in the future.

2 Toy example

For the sake of simplicity, we will assume that the toy model consists of 30 independent Poisson variables, where the first ten have mean x , the second ten have mean y and the last ten have mean z , with $x, y, z \in (0, \infty)$. For given values of x , y and z we can simulate data under this model with the function:

```
sampleFromModel <- function(x, y, z) {  
  return(c(rpois(10, x), rpois(10, y), rpois(10, z)))  
}
```

¹Please consult Jaatha’s other vignette, “The Jaatha HowTo”, if you want to use Jaatha for Demographic Inference.

Assume we have observed data that originated from a model with true but unknown parameters $x = 3$, $y = 5$ and $z = 7.5$. Lets try to estimate these values again from the data.

```
set.seed(5)
data.observed <- sampleFromModel(3, 5, 7.5)
data.observed

## [1] 2 4 6 2 1 4 3 4 6 1 4 5 4 5 3 3 4 8
## [19] 5 7 11 9 5 5 5 7 7 13 5 12
```

Of course, the arithmetic mean is a well-known unbiased, maximum likelihood estimator for x , y and z

```
c(x = mean(data.observed[1:10]), y = mean(data.observed[11:20]),
  z = mean(data.observed[21:30]))

## x y z
## 3.3 4.8 7.9
```

but for the sake of this example, we will try using Jaatha for the estimation.

3 Configuring Jaatha

We need three objects to run Jaatha with our model:

- A list of observed summary statistics `sum.stats`,
- a function `sim.func` that simulates data according to our model and
- A $n \times 2$ -Matrix `par.ranges` that gives the minimal and maximal values for the n model parameters.

3.1 Observed summary statistics

Since version 2.2 Jaatha supports using different independent groups summary statistics, where each group either is an array of independent Poisson variables or a vector valued transformation of an array, where the result vector again consists of independent Poisson variables. The two types of summary statistics are call `poisson.independent` and `poisson.transformed`, respectively. In our case, we can just stick to the first case.

To import the summary statistics in Jaatha, we create a list for each summary statistic, in which `method` gives on the two types above, and `value` gives the observed values

```
poisson.vector <- list(method = "poisson.independent", value = data.observed)
```

and combine all summary statistics into a list, indexed by a name

```
sum.stats <- list(poisson.vector = poisson.vector)
```

If we wanted to use the transformed type, say we wanted just to use the sum of all 30 vectors as summary statistics, we additionally need to give the transformation

```
poisson.sum <- list(method = "poisson.transformation", transformation = sum,
  value = data.observed)
```

and add this list to `sum.stats` instead.

3.2 Simulation function

The function for the simulation must take exactly two arguments, first the `jaatha` object and second a complete set of the n model parameters. The function should do the simulation and return the simulated summary statistics as a list, again indexed by the same names as in `sum.stats`. In our example $n = 3$ and we can write a simple wrapper functions for `sampleFromModel` to give it the required form:

```
sim.func <- function(sim.pars, jaatha) {
  list(poisson.vector = sampleFromModel(sim.pars[1], sim.pars[2],
    sim.pars[3]))
}
# An example call
sim.func(sim.pars = 1:3)

## $poisson.vector
## [1] 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 3 2 4 2 3 0 3 2 2 3 6 7 6 2 2 2
## [29] 1 1
```

Here we don't need the `jaatha` parameter. It could be used for passing additional parameters to the simulation function. We will explain how to do this in a moment.

3.3 Parameter ranges

The matrix that gives the parameter ranges must be of dimension $m \times 2$. Each row consists of the minimal and maximal values of the range that the parameter can take. Restricting the range of the parameters is required at the moment. Jaatha also reads the names of the parameters from this matrix, so providing row names makes the output of Jaatha easier to read, but is not required for it to run. In our example, the matrix could look like this:

```
par.ranges <- matrix(c(0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 10, 10, 10), 3, 2)
rownames(par.ranges) <- c("x", "y", "z")
colnames(par.ranges) <- c("min", "max")
par.ranges
```

```
##      min max
## x 0.1  10
## y 0.1  10
## z 0.1  10
```

3.4 Initialization

Now, we can use these three objects to initialize Jaatha:

```
library(jaatha, quietly = TRUE)
jaatha <- new("Jaatha", sim.func, par.ranges, sum.stats)
```

From this point on, we can continue as described in “The Jaatha HowTo” by calling `Jaatha.initialSearch` and a `Jaatha.refinedSearch`. We will do so in a moment, but first cover a few open points.

First, if you want pass additional variables to the simulation function, you can use the `opts`-slot of the `jaatha` object, which holds a normal list. So say you don't want to hard code the ten variables per parameter in our model, you could call

```
jaatha@opts[["variable.number"]] <- 10
```

after you created the `jaatha` object with `new`, and use

```
jaatha@opts[["variable.number"]]
## [1] 10
```

in `sim.func` to access it again. Note that this values must be the same for all simulations. It is better to save variables need for the simulation in the `Jaatha` object rather than in the normal R-Workspace, as this ensures that `Jaatha` call are reproducible as long as the same `Jaatha`-Object is used.

Second, if your simulation requires temporary files, we strongly recommend to use the function `jaatha::getTempFile(`some.identifier`)` to generate a file name. This will makes sure that the different threats of `Jaatha` don't interact if the program is run on multiple cores in parallel.

Finally, the options `use.shm` for placing temporary files in memory, and `cores` and `sim.packes.size` for parallelization are implement in the base algorithm an can also be used along with your custom simulation function. Just add the options to the `new` call. These options are described in `?Jaatha.initialize`.

4 Running Jaatha

So, lets see how `Jaatha` performs in your toy example:

```
jaatha <- Jaatha.initialSearch(jaatha, 100, 2)
```

```

## *** Searching starting positions ***
## Creating initial blocks ...
## *** Block 1 : 0.1-1 x 0.1-1 x 0.1-1
## Best parameters 1 1 1 with estimated log-likelihood -229
##
## *** Block 2 : 0.1-1 x 0.1-1 x 1-10
## Best parameters 1 1 8.343 with estimated log-likelihood -129.7
##
## *** Block 3 : 0.1-1 x 1-10 x 0.1-1
## Best parameters 1 4.105 1 with estimated log-likelihood -175.1
##
## *** Block 4 : 0.1-1 x 1-10 x 1-10
## Best parameters 1 4.774 7.445 with estimated log-likelihood -84.82
##
## *** Block 5 : 1-10 x 0.1-1 x 0.1-1
## Best parameters 2.343 1 1 with estimated log-likelihood -203
##
## *** Block 6 : 1-10 x 0.1-1 x 1-10
## Best parameters 3.416 1 8.482 with estimated log-likelihood -96.39
##
## *** Block 7 : 1-10 x 1-10 x 0.1-1
## Best parameters 3.736 5.722 1 with estimated log-likelihood -154.4
##
## *** Block 8 : 1-10 x 1-10 x 1-10
## Best parameters 3.504 4.588 7.948 with estimated log-likelihood -64.52
##
##      log.likelihood      x      y      z
## [1,]          -64.52 3.504 4.588 7.948
## [2,]          -84.82 1.000 4.774 7.445
## [3,]          -96.39 3.416 1.000 8.482
## [4,]         -129.72 1.000 1.000 8.343
## [5,]         -154.40 3.736 5.722 1.000
## [6,]         -175.06 1.000 4.105 1.000
## [7,]         -203.04 2.343 1.000 1.000
## [8,]         -228.98 1.000 1.000 1.000

jaatha <- Jaatha.refinedSearch(jaatha, 2, 100)

## *** Search with starting Point in Block 1 of 2 ****
## -----
## Step No 1
## Best parameters 3.504 4.588 7.948 with estimated log-likelihood -63.95
##
## -----
## Step No 2
## Best parameters 3.439 4.384 8.319 with estimated log-likelihood -64.06
##
## -----
## Step No 3
## Best parameters 3.311 4.04 8.711 with estimated log-likelihood -65.33

```

```

##
## -----
## Step No 4
## Best parameters 3.21 3.976 7.763 with estimated log-likelihood -63.71
##
## -----
## Step No 5
## Best parameters 3.351 4.117 7.742 with estimated log-likelihood -63.76
##
## -----
## Step No 6
## Best parameters 3.403 4.281 7.739 with estimated log-likelihood -63.47
##
## -----
## Step No 7
## Best parameters 3.454 4.575 8.129 with estimated log-likelihood -64.06
##
## -----
## Step No 8
## Best parameters 3.191 5.069 7.917 with estimated log-likelihood -62.91
##
## -----
## Step No 9
## Best parameters 2.931 5.396 8.018 with estimated log-likelihood -64.72
##
## -----
## Step No 10
## Best parameters 3.288 5.134 7.53 with estimated log-likelihood -63.44
##
## -----
## Step No 11
## Best parameters 3.312 5.127 7.579 with estimated log-likelihood -64.36
##
## -----
## Step No 12
## Best parameters 3.54 4.781 7.918 with estimated log-likelihood -63.04
##
## -----
## Step No 13
## Best parameters 3.795 4.874 7.902 with estimated log-likelihood -63.66
##
## -----
## Step No 14
## Best parameters 3.872 4.997 8.455 with estimated log-likelihood -64.5
##
## -----
## Step No 15
## Best parameters 3.507 4.819 7.909 with estimated log-likelihood -63.19
##

```

```

## -----
## Step No 16
## Best parameters 3.844 5.338 8.053 with estimated log-likelihood -64.84
##
## -----
## Step No 17
## Best parameters 3.426 4.757 8.477 with estimated log-likelihood -63.64
##
## *** Finished search ***
## Seems we can not improve the likelihood anymore.
## Calculating log-composite-likelihoods for best estimates:
## * Parameter combination 1 of 10
## * Parameter combination 2 of 10
## * Parameter combination 3 of 10
## * Parameter combination 4 of 10
## * Parameter combination 5 of 10
## * Parameter combination 6 of 10
## * Parameter combination 7 of 10
## * Parameter combination 8 of 10
## * Parameter combination 9 of 10
## * Parameter combination 10 of 10
##
## *** Search with starting Point in Block 2 of 2 ****
## -----
## Step No 1
## Best parameters 1 4.774 7.445 with estimated log-likelihood -80.15
##
## -----
## Step No 2
## Best parameters 1.122 4.506 6.636 with estimated log-likelihood -78.81
##
## -----
## Step No 3
## Best parameters 1.259 4.913 6.985 with estimated log-likelihood -76.57
##
## -----
## Step No 4
## Best parameters 1.413 4.723 7.837 with estimated log-likelihood -72.27
##
## -----
## Step No 5
## Best parameters 1.403 5.299 7.683 with estimated log-likelihood -72.8
##
## -----
## Step No 6
## Best parameters 1.574 5.946 8.62 with estimated log-likelihood -71.86
##
## -----
## Step No 7

```

```

## Best parameters 1.766 5.778 8.536 with estimated log-likelihood -69.35
##
## -----
## Step No 8
## Best parameters 1.981 5.246 7.607 with estimated log-likelihood -68.58
##
## -----
## Step No 9
## Best parameters 2.223 5.407 7.369 with estimated log-likelihood -66.1
##
## -----
## Step No 10
## Best parameters 2.158 5.455 8.268 with estimated log-likelihood -67.47
##
## -----
## Step No 11
## Best parameters 2.421 5.776 7.369 with estimated log-likelihood -66.58
##
## -----
## Step No 12
## Best parameters 2.49 5.379 7.674 with estimated log-likelihood -65.4
##
## -----
## Step No 13
## Best parameters 2.794 5.345 7.706 with estimated log-likelihood -64.75
##
## -----
## Step No 14
## Best parameters 2.843 4.764 7.167 with estimated log-likelihood -64.74
##
## -----
## Step No 15
## Best parameters 3.027 5.046 7.167 with estimated log-likelihood -64.16
##
## -----
## Step No 16
## Best parameters 3.287 5.299 7.872 with estimated log-likelihood -63.91
##
## -----
## Step No 17
## Best parameters 3.539 5.946 8.515 with estimated log-likelihood -65.2
##
## -----
## Step No 18
## Best parameters 3.648 5.778 8.052 with estimated log-likelihood -65.2
##
## -----
## Step No 19
## Best parameters 3.556 5.15 7.176 with estimated log-likelihood -64.15

```



```

##
## -----
## Step No 20
## Best parameters 3.216 5.009 7.631 with estimated log-likelihood -63.95
##
## -----
## Step No 21
## Best parameters 2.866 4.885 8.003 with estimated log-likelihood -64.41
##
## -----
## Step No 22
## Best parameters 3.216 4.689 7.706 with estimated log-likelihood -64.13
##
## -----
## Step No 23
## Best parameters 3.426 4.848 8.417 with estimated log-likelihood -64.41
##
## -----
## Step No 24
## Best parameters 3.492 4.684 7.501 with estimated log-likelihood -63.76
##
## -----
## Step No 25
## Best parameters 3.384 5.236 7.452 with estimated log-likelihood -63.57
##
## -----
## Step No 26
## Best parameters 3.236 5.173 8.361 with estimated log-likelihood -64.54
##
## -----
## Step No 27
## Best parameters 3.43 4.7 8.432 with estimated log-likelihood -64.37
##
## -----
## Step No 28
## Best parameters 3.205 4.519 9.407 with estimated log-likelihood -64.5
##
## -----
## Step No 29
## Best parameters 3.225 4.686 8.04 with estimated log-likelihood -63.09
##
## -----
## Step No 30
## Best parameters 3.242 5.258 7.982 with estimated log-likelihood -63.23
##
## -----
## Step No 31
## Best parameters 3.354 5.159 7.397 with estimated log-likelihood -63.53
##

```

```

## -----
## Step No 32
## Best parameters 3.671 4.598 7.431 with estimated log-likelihood -64.16
##
## -----
## Step No 33
## Best parameters 3.549 4.543 8.135 with estimated log-likelihood -65.02
##
## -----
## Step No 34
## Best parameters 3.507 4.928 7.869 with estimated log-likelihood -63.33
##
## -----
## Step No 35
## Best parameters 3.935 5.151 7.013 with estimated log-likelihood -64.61
##
## -----
## Step No 36
## Best parameters 3.993 5.234 7.477 with estimated log-likelihood -64.31
##
## -----
## Step No 37
## Best parameters 3.559 4.962 7.696 with estimated log-likelihood -64.36
##
## -----
## Step No 38
## Best parameters 3.263 4.829 8.273 with estimated log-likelihood -63.85
##
## *** Finished search ***
## Seems we can not improve the likelihood anymore.
## Calculating log-composite-likelihoods for best estimates:
## * Parameter combination 1 of 10
## * Parameter combination 2 of 10
## * Parameter combination 3 of 10
## * Parameter combination 4 of 10
## * Parameter combination 5 of 10
## * Parameter combination 6 of 10
## * Parameter combination 7 of 10
## * Parameter combination 8 of 10
## * Parameter combination 9 of 10
## * Parameter combination 10 of 10
##
##
## Best log-composite-likelihood values are:
##   log.cl block      x      y      z
## 10 -63.11      1 3.507 4.819 7.909
##  7 -63.17      1 3.191 5.069 7.917
##  9 -63.18      1 3.288 5.134 7.530
##  6 -63.21      1 3.454 4.575 8.129

```

```
## 8 -63.36      1 3.795 4.874 7.902
```

Hence, Jaatha's best estimates are quite comparable to the direct maximum likelihoods estimates for this simple model.

References

Lisha A. Mathew, Paul R. Staab, Laura E. Rose, and Dirk Metzler. Why to account for finite sites in population genetic studies and how to do this with jaatha 2.0. *Ecology and Evolution*, 2013. doi: 10.1002/ece3.722. URL <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ece3.722/abstract>.