

Lecture 13:

Spatial Models (Cont.)

Big Data and Machine Learning for Applied Economics
Econ 4676

Ignacio Sarmiento-Barbieri

Universidad de los Andes

September 22, 2020

Announcements

- ▶ Final Project
 - ▶ First deadline. Sept 25. Brief zoom hang out, short presentation (5 slides tops). Present idea and basic plan. [soft deadline](#)
 - ▶ Second deadline. October 25. Show data. **hard deadline**
 - ▶ Final work, December 17. Bonus for “complete papers” **hard deadline**
- ▶ Prof. Tomás Rodríguez Barraquer will jump in and I'll leave

Recap

- ▶ Closeness
- ▶ Weights matrix
- ▶ Examples of weight matrices weights matrix in R
- ▶ Traditional spatial regressions
- ▶ Prediction with spatial model

Agenda

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Spatial Lag Model
 - Maximum Likelihood Estimator
 - Two-Stage Least Squares estimators
- 3 Interpretation of Parameters
- 4 Further Readings

Motivation

“Everything is related to everything else, but close things are more related than things that are far apart” (Tobler, 1979).

- ▶ Independence assumption between observation is no longer valid
- ▶ Attributes of observation i may influence the attributes of observation j .
- ▶ Today we will dive into the estimation of spatial lag models.
- ▶ Think as a way to model $f(X)$
- ▶ Spatial dependence introduces a miss specification problem

typo

Spatial Lag Model

Let's consider the following model:

$$y = \lambda Wy + X\beta + u$$

with $|\lambda| < 1$, we also assume that W is exogenous \Leftrightarrow Anselin

If W is row standardized:

- ▶ Guarantees $|\lambda| < 1$ (Anselin, 1982)
- ▶ $[0, 1]$ Weights \hookrightarrow
- ▶ Wy Average of neighboring values
- ▶ W is no longer symmetric $\sum_j w_{ij} \neq \sum_i w_{ji}$ (complicates computation)

$$\begin{aligned} SAR &\rightarrow y = \lambda Wy + \varepsilon \\ SLM &\rightarrow y = \lambda Wy + X\beta + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_{ij}^* = \frac{w_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}} \quad \begin{aligned} &= \frac{(y_j - w_j y)}{\sum_{j \in N(i)} (y_j - w_j y)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j \in N(i)} y_j}{\#N(i)} \end{aligned}$$

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

Note that we can write

$$y = \lambda W y + X\beta + \varepsilon$$

$$y - \lambda W y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

$$(I - \lambda W)y = X\beta + u$$

$$\underbrace{y}_{\text{Filtered}} = X\beta + u$$

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

- ▶ We can think this model as a way to correct for loss of information coming from spatial dependence.
- ▶ $(1 - \lambda W)y$ is a spatially filtered dependent variable, i.e., the effect of spatial autocorrelation taken out

Spatial Lag Model

$$(1 - \lambda W)y = X\beta + u$$

In this case, endogeneity emerges because the spatially lagged value of y is correlated with the stochastic disturbance.

$$y = (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1}u$$

$$E((Wy)u') = E(W(I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta u' + W(I - \lambda W)^{-1}uu')$$

$$= \cancel{W(I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta E(u')} + W(I - \lambda W)^{-1}E(uu')$$

$E(u) = 0$ $E(uu') = \sigma^2 I$

$$= W(I - \lambda W)^{-1}E(uu')$$

$$= \sigma^2 W(I - \lambda W)^{-1} \neq 0$$

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- ▶ One solution that emerged in the literature is MLE
- ▶ We need an extra assumption, i.e., $u \sim_{iid} N(0, \sigma^2 I)$.

$$y = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u \quad \checkmark$$

note that

$$E(y) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u$$

$$= (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} E(u)$$

$$= (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta$$

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{y}y') &= ((I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1}u) \cancel{((I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1}u)} \\ &= (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta\beta'X'(I - \lambda W')^{-1} + (I - \lambda W)^{-1}u\beta'X'(I - \lambda W')^{-1} \\ &\quad + (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta u'(I - \lambda W')^{-1} + (I - \lambda W)^{-1}uu'(I - \lambda W')^{-1} \checkmark \\ &= (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta\beta'X'(I - \lambda W')^{-1} + (I - \lambda W)^{-1}uu'(I - \lambda W')^{-1} \\ &= \underbrace{(I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta\beta'X'(I - \lambda W')^{-1}}_{E(y)^2} + \underbrace{(I - \lambda W)^{-1}(I - \lambda W')^{-1}\sigma^2}_{\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} V(y) &= \underbrace{E(y y')}_{E(y)^2} - E(y)^2 \\ &= [(I - \lambda W)'(I - \lambda W)]^{-1}\sigma^2 \checkmark \\ &= \Omega\sigma^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

The associated likelihood function is then

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma^2, \lambda, y) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n \underbrace{|\sigma^2 \Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}_{\text{determinant}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \underbrace{(y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta)' \Omega^{-1} (y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta)}_{\text{prop de determinantes (inverse)}} \right\}$$

the log likelihood

$$l(\sigma^2, \lambda, y) = \text{constant} - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\sigma^2 \Omega| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta)' \Omega^{-1} (y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta)$$

note that $|\sigma^2 \Omega| = \sigma^{2n} |\Omega|$, and that $\text{prop de determinantes (inverse)}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega| &= |[(I - \lambda W)'(I - \lambda W)]^{-1}| \\ &= |(I - \lambda W)^{-1} (I - \lambda W')^{-1}| \\ &= |(I - \lambda W)^{-1}| |(I - \lambda W')^{-1}| \quad] \text{prop de} \\ &= |(I - \lambda W)|^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

(2)

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

so returning to the log likelihood we have that the log likelihood is

$$l(\sigma^2, \lambda, y) = \text{constant} - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) + \ln(|(I - \lambda W)|) \\ - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta)' (I - \lambda W)' \underbrace{(I - \lambda W)}_{(3)} (y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta)$$

then

$$(I - \lambda W)y - X\beta = u$$

$$l(\sigma^2, \lambda, y) = \text{constant} - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) \\ - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((I - \lambda W)y - X\beta)' ((I - \lambda W)y - X\beta) \\ + \ln(|(I - \lambda W)|)$$

MLE \rightarrow Min $u'u$

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- ▶ The determinant $|(I - \lambda W)|$ is quite complicated because in contrast to the time series, where it is a triangular matrix, here it is a full matrix.
- ▶ However, Ord (1975) showed that it can be expressed as a function of the eigenvalues ω_i

$$|(I - \lambda W)| = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda \omega_i) \rightarrow \text{a product}$$

So the log likelihood is simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} l(\sigma^2, \lambda, y) = & \text{constant} - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) \\ & - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ((I - \lambda W)y - X\beta)' ((I - \lambda W)y - X\beta) \\ & + \sum \ln(1 - \lambda \omega_i) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

Applying FOC, the ML estimates for β and σ^2 are:

$$\hat{\beta}_{MLE} = (X'X)^{-1}X' \underbrace{(I - \lambda W)y}_{y^*}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{(y - \lambda Xy - X\hat{\beta}_{MLE})'}_{\sim y} (y - \lambda Xy - X\hat{\beta}_{MLE})$$

- Conditional on λ these estimates are simply OLS applied to the spatially filtered dependent variable and explanatory variables X .

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- ▶ Substituting these in the log likelihood we have a concentrated log-likelihood as a nonlinear function of a single parameter λ

$$l(\lambda) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{n} (e_0 - \lambda e_L)' (e_0 - \lambda e_L) \right) + \sum \ln(1 - \lambda \omega_i) \quad (6)$$

- ▶ where e_0 are the residuals in a regression of y on X and
- ▶ e_L of a regression of Wy on X .
- ▶ This expression can be maximized numerically to obtain the estimators for the unknown parameters λ .

Spatial Lag Model

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- Cons
- Norm
- EFA

The asymptotic variance follows as the inverse of the information matrix

$$\text{AsyVar}(\lambda, \beta, \sigma^2) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(W_A)^2 + \text{tr}(W_A' W_A) + \frac{(W_A X \beta)' (W_A X \beta)}{\sigma^2} & \frac{(X' W_A X \beta)'}{\sigma^2} & \frac{\text{tr}(W_A)'}{\sigma^2} \\ \frac{(X' W_A X \beta)'}{\sigma^2} & \frac{(X' X)}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ \frac{\text{tr}(W_A)'}{\sigma^2} & 0 & \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \quad (7)$$

- ▶ where $W_A = W(I - \lambda W)^{-1}$.
- ▶ Note that
 - ▶ the covariance between β and σ^2 is zero, as in the standard regression model,
 - ▶ this is not the case for λ and σ^2 .

Spatial Lag Model

Two-Stage Least Squares estimators

$$u \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$$

- ▶ An alternative to MLE we can use 2SLS to eliminate endogeneity.
- ▶ Key is to identify proper instruments
 - ▶ Need to be uncorrelated with the error term

- ▶ Correlated with ~~WY~~

$\overline{WY} \rightarrow \text{endogeneity}$

$$E(WY u) \neq 0 \\ = \sigma^2 ()$$

Spatial Lag Model

Two-Stage Least Squares estimators

Consider the following

$$E(y) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta$$

Handwritten note: μw with an arrow pointing to λW

now, since $|\lambda| < 1$ we can use Neumann series property to expand the inverse matrix as

$$(I - \lambda W)^{-1} = I + \lambda W + \lambda^2 W^2 + \lambda^3 W^3 + \dots$$

hence

$$E(y) = (I + \lambda W + \lambda^2 W^2 + \lambda^3 W^3 + \dots) X\beta$$

$$= X\beta + \lambda W X\beta + \lambda^2 W^2 X\beta + \lambda^3 W^3 X\beta + \dots$$

so we can express $E(y)$ as a function of X , WX , W^2X ,...

Spatial Lag Model

Two-Stage Least Squares estimators

We can use the first three elements of the expansion as instruments.
Let's define H as the matrix with our instruments

$$\underline{H} = [X, WX, W^2X]$$

Now,

$$y = \lambda Wy + X\beta + u$$

$$\mathcal{I} = M\theta + u$$

where $M = [W'y, X']$ and $\theta = [\lambda', \beta']$.

Spatial Lag Model

Two-Stage Least Squares estimators

The first stage is

$$[w_1, X] \rightarrow \text{instruments } \lambda, wX, w2X$$

$$\underline{M} = H\gamma + \eta$$

and

$$\hat{\gamma} = (H'H)^{-1}H'M \quad \text{OLS}$$

$$\underline{\hat{M}} = H\hat{\gamma} = P_H M \quad \text{where } P_H = H(H'H)^{-1}H'$$

and the second stage is

$$y = \underline{\hat{M}}\theta + u \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_{2SLS} &= (\hat{M}'\hat{M})^{-1}\hat{M}'y \\ &= (M'\underline{P_H}M)^{-1}M'\underline{P_H}y \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Interpretation of Parameters

- Consider the following model for the i – th observation

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_1 x_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_1 x_{ir} + \cdots + \beta_1 x_{ik} \quad i = \underline{1, \dots, n}$$

- Recall that in OLS we have

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_{i1}}$$

or generically

$$\beta_r = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_{ir}} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } r = 1, \dots, k$$

$$\beta_r = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_{jr}} \quad \forall j \neq i \text{ and } \forall r = 1, \dots, k$$

- Interpretation is straight forward as long as we take into account units
- In spatial models the interpretation is less immediate and require some clarification

Interpretation of Parameters

- ▶ Lets consider the case of a simple Spatial Lag model with a single regressor

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \lambda \sum w_{ij} y_j + \epsilon_i \quad (10)$$

with $|\lambda| < 1$, and

$$\beta \neq \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_i} \quad \rightarrow \text{no}$$

$$\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_i} = \text{diag}(I - \lambda W)^{-1} \beta$$

- ▶ The impact depends also on the parameter λ
- ▶ The impact is different in each location

Interpretation of Parameters

More generally consider

$$\begin{aligned}y &= \lambda W y + X\beta + u \\ &= (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$E(y) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X\beta \quad (11)$$

we define

$$S_r(W) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} \beta_r \quad (12)$$

Interpretation of Parameters

$$S_r(W) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} \beta_r \quad (13)$$

we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} E(y_1) \\ \vdots \\ E(y_i) \\ \vdots \\ E(y_n) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{r=1}^k \begin{pmatrix} S_r(W)_{11} & S_r(W)_{12} & \dots & \dots & S_r(W)_{1n} \\ S_r(W)_{i1} & \dots & S_r(W)_{ii} & \dots & S_r(W)_{in} \\ S_r(W)_{n1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & S_r(W)_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1r} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_{nr} \end{pmatrix}$$

Interpretation of Parameters

Then for the $i - th$ observation

$$E(y_i) = \sum_{r=1}^k (S_r(W)_{i1}x_{1r} + S_r(W)_{i2}x_{2r} + \cdots + S_r(W)_{ii}x_{ir} + \cdots + S_r(W)_{in}x_{nr})$$

then

$$\frac{\partial E(y_i)}{\partial x_{jr}} = S_r(W)_{ij} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial E(y_i)}{\partial x_{ir}} = S_r(W)_{ii} \quad (15)$$

where $S_r(W)_{ij}$ denotes the $(i, j) - th$ element of the matrix $S_r(W)$

Interpretation of Parameters

Therefore the impact of *each variable* x_r on y can be described through the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial E(y)}{\partial x_r}$ which can be arranged in the following matrix:

$$S_r(W) = \frac{\partial E(y)}{\partial x_r} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial E(y_1)}{\partial x_{1r}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial E(y_1)}{\partial x_{nr}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial E(y_i)}{\partial x_{1r}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial E(y_i)}{\partial x_{nr}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial E(y_n)}{\partial x_{1r}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial E(y_n)}{\partial x_{nr}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Interpretation of Parameters

On this basis, LeSage and Pace (2009) suggested the following impact measures that can be calculated for each independent variable X_i included in the model

- *Average Direct Impact*: this measure refers to the impact of changes in the i – th observation of x_r , which we denote x_{ir} , on y_i . This is the average of all diagonal entries in S

$$\begin{aligned}ADI &= \frac{tr(S_r(W))}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S_r(W)_{ii}\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

Interpretation of Parameters

- *Average Total Impact To an observation*: this measure is related to the impact produced on one single observation y_i from changing of the $r - th$ independent variable across all other observations. For each observation this is calculated as the sum of the $i - th$ row of matrix S

$$\begin{aligned} ATIT_j &= \frac{\iota' S_r(W)}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S_r(W)_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Interpretation of Parameters

- *Average Total Impact From* an observation: this measure is related to the total impact on all other observations y_i from changing the r – *th* variable in j – *th* observation. For each observation this is calculated as the sum of the j – *th* column of matrix S

$$\begin{aligned} ATIF_i &= \frac{1}{n} S_r(W)_i \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n S_r(W)_{ij}}{n} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Interpretation of Parameters

- ▶ A Global measure of the average impact obtained from the two previous measures.
- ▶ It is simply the average of all entries of matrix S

$$ATI = \frac{1}{n} \iota' S_r(W) \iota = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ATIT_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n ATIF_j \quad (20)$$

- ▶ The numerical values of the summary measures for the two forms of average total impacts are equal.
- ▶ The ATIF relates how changes in a single observation j influences all observations.
- ▶ In contrast, the ATIT considers how changes in all observations influence a single observation i.

Interpretation of Parameters

- ▶ *Average Indirect Impact* obtained as the difference between ATI and ADI

$$AII = ATI - ADI \quad (21)$$

- ▶ It is simply the average of all off-diagonal entries of matrix S_r

Interpretation of Parameters: Example

- ▶ We have data on 20 Italian regions on GDP and unemployment.
- ▶ We want to estimate the effect of GDP on Unemployment (Okun's Law)

| | OLS | Spatial Lag Model |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Intercept | 10.971*** | 3.12275*** |
| GDP | -3.326*** | -1.13532*** |
| λ | - | 0.7476*** |
| ADI | - | -1.542448 |
| AII | - | -2.95571 |
| ATI | - | -4.498159 |

Review & Next Steps

- ▶ Today:
 - ▶ Details on Spatial Lag Model
 - ▶ Interpretation
- ▶ Next class: Model assessment and model selection
- ▶ Questions? Questions about software?

Further Readings

- ▶ Arbia, G. (2014). A primer for spatial econometrics with applications in R. Palgrave Macmillan. (Chapter 2 and 3)
- ▶ Anselin, Luc, & Anil K Bera. 1998. "Spatial Dependence in Linear Regression Models with an Introduction to Spatial Econometrics." Statistics Textbooks and Monographs 155. MARCEL DEKKER AG: 237–90.
- ▶ Anselin, L. (1982). A note on small sample properties of estimators in a first-order spatial autoregressive model. Environment and Planning A, 14(8), 1023-1030.
- ▶ Tobler, WR. 1979. "Cellular Geography." In Philosophy in Geography, 379–86. Springer.