Lecture 13: Spatial Models (Cont.)

Big Data and Machine Learning for Applied Economics Econ 4676

Ignacio Sarmiento-Barbieri

Universidad de los Andes

September 22, 2020

0/33

Announcements

- ► Final Project
 - ► First deadline. Sept 25. Brief zoom hang out, short presentation (5 slides tops). Present idea and basic plan. soft deadline
 - ▶ Second deadline. October 25. Show data. hard deadline
 - ► Final work, December 17. Bonus for "complete papers" hard deadline
- ▶ Prof. Tomás Rodríguez Barraquer will jump in and I'll leave

Recap

- Closeness
- Weights matrix
- Examples of weight matrices weights matrix in R
- Traditional spatial regressions
- ► Prediction with spatial model

Agenda

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Spatial Lag Model
 - Maximum Likelihood Estimator
 - Two-Stage Least Squares estimators
- 3 Interpretation of Parameters
- 4 Further Readings

Motivation

"Everything is related to everything else, but close things are more related than things that are far apart" (Tobler, 1979).

- ▶ Independence assumption between observation is no longer valid
- Attributes of observation *i* may influence the attributes of observation *j*.
- ► Today we will dive into the estimation of spatial lag models.
- ▶ Think as a way to model f(X)
- ▶ Spatial dependence introduces a miss speficication problem

Let's consider the following model:

$$y = \lambda Wy + X\beta + u$$

with $|\lambda|$ < 1, we also assume that W is exogenous

If W is row standardized:

- Guarantees $|\lambda|$ < 1 (Anselin, 1982)
- ▶ [0,1] Weights
- ► *Wy* Average of neighboring values
- ▶ W is no longer symmetric $\sum_{j} w_{ij} \neq \sum_{i} w_{ji}$ (complicates computation)

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

Note that we can write

$$(I - \lambda W)y = X\beta + u$$

- We can think this model as a way to correct for loss of information coming from spatial dependence.
- $(1 \lambda W)y$ is a spatially filtered dependent variable, i.e., the effect of spatial autocorrelation taken out

In this case, endogeneity emerges because the spatially lagged value of y is correlated with the stochastic disturbance.

$$y = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u$$

$$E((Wy)u') = E(W(I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta u' + W(I - \lambda W)^{-1} uu')$$

$$= W(I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta E(u') + W(I - \lambda W)^{-1} E(uu')$$

$$= W(I - \lambda W)^{-1} E(uu')$$

$$= \sigma^2 W(I - \lambda W)^{-1} \neq 0$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- One solution that emerged in the literature is MLE
- ▶ We need an extra assumption, i.e., $u \sim_{iid} N(0, \sigma^2 I)$.

$$y = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u$$

note that

$$E(y) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u$$
$$= (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} E(u)$$
$$= (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

$$\begin{split} E(yy') &= ((I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u)((I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u) \\ &= (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta \beta' X' (I - \lambda W')^{-1} + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u \beta' X' (I - \lambda W')^{-1} \\ &+ (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta u' (I - \lambda W')^{-1} + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u u' (I - \lambda W')^{-1} \\ &= (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta \beta' X' (I - \lambda W')^{-1} + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} u u' (I - \lambda W')^{-1} \\ &= (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta \beta' X' (I - \lambda W')^{-1} + (I - \lambda W)^{-1} (I - \lambda W')^{-1} \sigma^2 \end{split}$$

then

$$V(y) = E(yy') - E(y)$$

$$= [(I - \lambda W)'(I - \lambda W)]^{-1} \sigma^{2}$$

$$= \Omega \sigma^{2}$$
(1)

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

The associated likelihood function is then

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\sigma^2,\lambda,y\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n |\sigma^2\Omega|^{-\frac{1}{2}} exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y-(I-\lambda W)^{-1}X\beta)'\Omega^{-1}(y-(I-\lambda W)^{-1}X\beta)\right\}$$

the log likelihood

$$l\left(\sigma^2,\lambda,y\right) = constant - \frac{1}{2}ln|\sigma^2\Omega| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta)'\Omega^{-1}(y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta)$$

note that $|\sigma^2\Omega| = \sigma^{2n}|\Omega|$, and that

$$|\Omega| = |[(I - \lambda W)'(I - \lambda W)]^{-1}|$$

$$= |(I - \lambda W)^{-1}(I - \lambda W')^{-1}|$$

$$= |(I - \lambda W)^{-1}||(I - \lambda W')^{-1}|$$

$$= |(I - \lambda W)|^{-2}$$

(2)



Maximum Likelihood Estimator

so returning to the log likelihood we have that the log likelihood is

$$l\left(\sigma^{2}, \lambda, y\right) = constant - \frac{n}{2}ln\left(\sigma^{2}\right) + ln\left(\left|\left(I - \lambda W\right)\right|\right)$$
$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}(y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta)'(I - \lambda W)'(I - \lambda W)(y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta)'(I - \lambda W)'(I - \lambda W)(y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta)'(I - \lambda W)'(I - \lambda W)(y - (I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta)'(I - \lambda W)(y - (I$$

then

$$l(\sigma^{2}, \lambda, y) = constant - \frac{n}{2}ln(\sigma^{2})$$
$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}((I - \lambda W)y - X\beta)'((I - \lambda W) - X\beta)$$
$$+ln(|(I - \lambda W)|)$$
(4)

11/33

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- ► The determinant $|(I \lambda W)|$ is quite complicated because in contrast to the time series, where it is a triangular matrix, here it is a full matrix.
- ► However, Ord (1975) showed that it can be expressed as a function of the eigenvalues ω_i

$$|(I - \lambda W)| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \lambda \omega_i)$$

So the log likelihood is simplified to

$$l(\sigma^{2}, \lambda, y) = constant - \frac{n}{2}ln(\sigma^{2})$$
$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}((I - \lambda W)y - X\beta)'((I - \lambda W) - X\beta)$$
$$+\sum_{i}ln(1 - \lambda\omega_{i})$$
(5)

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

Applying FOC, the ML estimates for β and σ^2 are:

$$\beta_{MLE} = (X'X)^{-1}X'(I - \lambda W)y$$

$$\sigma_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n}(y - \lambda Xy - X\beta_{MLE})'(y - \lambda Xy - X\beta_{MLE})$$

ightharpoonup Conditional on λ these estimates are simply OLS applied to the spatially filtered dependent variable and explanatory variables X.

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

ightharpoonup Substituting these in the log likelihood we have a concentrated log-likelihood as a nonlinear function of a single parameter λ

$$l(\lambda) = -\frac{n}{2}ln\left(\frac{1}{n}(e_0 - \lambda e_L)'(e_0 - \lambda e_L)\right) + \sum ln(1 - \lambda \omega_i)$$
 (6)

- \blacktriangleright where e_0 are the residuals in a regression of y on X and
- $ightharpoonup e_L$ of a regression of *Wy* on *X*.
- ► This expression can be maximized numerically to obtain the estimators for the unknown parameters λ .



Maximum Likelihood Estimator

The asymptotic variance follows as the inverse of the information matrix

$$AsyVarl\left(\lambda,\beta,\sigma^{2}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} tr(W_{A})^{2} + tr(W_{A}'W_{A}) + \frac{(W_{A}X\beta)'(W_{A}X\beta)}{\sigma^{2}} & \frac{(X'W_{A}X\beta)'}{\sigma^{2}} & \frac{tr(W_{A})'}{\sigma^{2}} \\ \frac{(X'W_{A}X\beta)'}{\sigma^{2}} & \frac{(X'X)}{\sigma^{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{tr(W_{A})'}{\sigma^{2}} & 0 & \frac{n}{2\sigma^{4}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$
(7)

- where $W_A = W(I \lambda W)^{-1}$.
- Note that
 - the covariance between β and σ^2 is zero, as in the standard regression model,
 - this is not the case for λ and σ^2 .

Two-Stage Least Squares estimators

- ▶ An alternative to MLE we can us 2SLS to eliminate endogeneity.
- Key is to identify proper instruments
 - ▶ Need to be uncorrelated with the error term
 - Correlated with WY

Two-Stage Least Squares estimators

Consider the following

$$E(y) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta$$

now, since $|\lambda| < 1$ we can use Neumann series property to expand the inverse matrix as

$$(I - \lambda W)^{-1} = I + \lambda W + \lambda^2 W^2 + \lambda^3 W^3 + \dots$$

hence

$$E(y) = (I + \lambda W + \lambda^2 W^2 + \lambda^3 W^3 + \dots) X\beta$$

$$= X\beta + \lambda WX\beta + \lambda^2 W^2 X\beta + \lambda^3 W^3 X\beta + \dots$$

so we can express E(y) as a function of X, WX, W^2X ,...

Two-Stage Least Squares estimators

We can use the first three elements of the expansion as instruments. Let's define *H* as the matrix with our instruments

$$H = [X, WX, W^2X]$$

Now,

$$y = \lambda Wy + X\beta + u$$

$$= M\theta + u$$

where M = [Wy, X] and $\theta = [\lambda, \beta]$.

Two-Stage Least Squares estimators

The first stage is

$$M = H\gamma + \eta$$

and

$$\hat{\gamma} = (H'H)^{-1}H'M$$

$$\hat{M} = H\hat{\gamma} = P_H M$$

and the second stage is

$$y = \hat{M}\theta + u \tag{8}$$

and

$$\hat{\theta}_{2SLS} = (\hat{M}'\hat{M})^{-1}\hat{M}'y$$

$$= (M'P_HM)^{-1}M'P_Hy$$

 \blacktriangleright Consider the following model for the i-th observation

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_1 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_1 x_{ir} + \dots + \beta_1 x_{ik} \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

▶ Recall that in OLS we have

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_{i1}}$$

or generically

$$eta_r = rac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_{ir}} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \ and \ r = 1, \dots, k$$
 $eta_r = rac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_{ir}} \quad \forall j \neq i \ and \ \forall r = 1, \dots, k$

- ► Interpretation is straight forward as long as we take into account units
- ► In spatial models the interpretation is less immediate and require some clarification

► Lets consider the case of a simple Spatial Lag model with a single regressor

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \lambda \sum w_{ij} y_j + \epsilon_i \tag{10}$$

with $|\lambda|$ < 1, and

$$\beta \neq \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_i}$$

$$\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_i} = diag(I - \lambda W)^{-1}\beta$$

- ▶ The impact depends also on the parameter λ
- ► The impact is different in each location



More generally consider

$$y = \lambda Wy + X\beta + u$$

= $(I - \lambda W)^{-1}X\beta + (I - \lambda W)^{-1}u$

Then

$$E(y) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} X \beta \tag{11}$$

we define

$$S_r(W) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} \beta_r \tag{12}$$

$$S_r(W) = (I - \lambda W)^{-1} \beta_r \tag{13}$$

we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} E(y_1) \\ \vdots \\ E(y_i) \\ \vdots \\ E(y_n) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{r=1}^k \begin{pmatrix} S_r(W)_{11} & S_r(W)_{12} & \dots & \dots & S_r(W)_{1n} \\ S_r(W)_{i1} & \dots & S_r(W)_{ii} & \dots & S_r(W)_{in} \\ \vdots \\ S_r(W)_{n1} & \dots & \dots & S_r(W)_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1r} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_{nr} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for the i - th observation

$$E(y_i) = \sum_{r=1}^k (S_r(W)_{i1}x_{1r} + S_r(W)_{i2}x_{2r} + \dots + S_r(W)_{ii}x_{ir} + \dots + S_r(W)_{in}x_{nr})$$

then

$$\frac{\partial E(y_i)}{\partial x_{jr}} = S_r(W)_{ij} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial E(y_i)}{\partial x_{ir}} = S_r(W)_{ii} \tag{15}$$

where $S_r(W)_{ij}$ denotes the (i,j) - th element of the matrix $S_r(W)$

4□ > 4□ > 4 = > 4 = > = 9 < 0</p>

Therefore the impact of *each variable* x_r on y can be described through the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial E(y)}{\partial x_r}$ which can be arranged in the following matrix:

$$S_{r}(W) = \frac{\partial E(y)}{\partial x_{r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial E(y_{1})}{\partial x_{1r}} & \dots & \frac{\partial E(y_{1})}{\partial x_{nr}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial E(y_{i})}{\partial x_{1r}} & \dots & \frac{\partial E(y_{i})}{\partial x_{nr}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial E(y_{n})}{\partial x_{1r}} & \dots & \frac{\partial E(y_{n})}{\partial x_{nr}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(16)$$

On this basis, LeSage and Pace (2009) suggested the following impact measures that can be calculated for each independent variable X_i included in the model

▶ Average Direct Impact: this measure refers to the impact of changes in the i-th observation of x_r , which we denote x_{ir} , on y_i . This is the average of all diagonal entries in S

$$ADI = \frac{tr(S_r(W))}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_r(W)_{ii}$$
(17)

▶ Average Total Impact To an observation: this measure is related to the impact produced on one single observation y_i from changing of the r - th independent variable across all other observations. For each observation this is calculated as the sum of the i - th row of matrix S

$$ATIT_{j} = \frac{\iota' S_{r}(W)}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{r}(W)_{ij}$$
(18)

Nerage Total Impact From an observation: this measure is related to the total impact on all other observations y_i from changing the r - th variable in j - th observation. For each observation this is calculated as the sum of the j - th column of matrix S

$$ATIF_{i} = \frac{1}{n} S_{r}(W) \iota$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{r}(W)_{ij}}{n}$$
(19)

- ► A Global measure of the average impact obtained from the two previous measures.
- ► It is simply the average of all entries of matrix S

$$ATI = \frac{1}{n}\iota' S_r(W)\iota = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n ATIT_i = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n ATIF_i$$
 (20)

- ► The numerical values of the summary measures for the two forms of average total impacts are equal.
- ► The ATIF relates how changes in a single observation j influences all observations.
- ► In contrast, the ATIT considers how changes in all observations influence a single observation i.

 Average Indirect Impact obtained as the difference between ATI and ADI

$$AII = ATI - ADI (21)$$

ightharpoonup It is simply the average of all off-diagonal entries of matrix S_r

Interpretation of Parameters: Example

- ▶ We have data on 20 Italian regions on GDP and unemployment.
- We want to estimate the effect of GDP on Unemployment (Okun's Law)

	OLS	Spatial Lag Model
Intercept	10.971***	3.12275***
GDP	-3.326***	-1.13532***
λ	-	0.7476***
ADI	-	-1.542448
AII	-	-2.95571
ATI	-	-4.498159

Review & Next Steps

- ► Today:
 - Details on Spatial Lag Model
 - Interpretation
- Next class: Model assessment and model selection
- Questions? Questions about software?

Further Readings

- ▶ Arbia, G. (2014). A primer for spatial econometrics with applications in R. Palgrave Macmillan. (Chapter 2 and 3)
- ► Anselin, Luc, & Anil K Bera. 1998. "Spatial Dependence in Linear Regression Models with an Introduction to Spatial Econometrics." Statistics Textbooks and Monographs 155. MARCEL DEKKER AG: 237–90.
- ► Anselin, L. (1982). A note on small sample properties of estimators in a first-order spatial autoregressive model. Environment and Planning A, 14(8), 1023-1030.
- ► Tobler, WR. 1979. "Cellular Geography." In Philosophy in Geography, 379–86. Springer.