

# Chapter 8: Hypothesis Testing 1

## Section 8.1: Basics of Hypothesis Testing

Stat 50

GOAL: Make a decision about  $p$  or  $\mu$  based on  $\hat{p}$  or  $\bar{x}$  using probability.  $p$   $\mu$

**Chapter 7:** Find a sample then **estimate** whether the population fits within a certain interval. CI

**Chapter 8:** Given a past claim of the parameter, we will test whether or not it has changed.

### STRUCTURE OF A HYPOTHESIS TEST

- 1) Make an **assumption** about reality
- 2) Look at a **sample evidence**
- 3) Determine whether it **contradicts** our assumption.

- make a **hypothesis** about population **parameter**
- find a **point estimate** to test pop. parameter
- make a **decision** if  $p$  or  $\mu$  has **changed**.

We won't be 100% certain, we will just be able to tell if sample data substantiates/supports a statement or not.

### HYPOTHESES STATEMENTS (GTX)

NULL HYPOTHESIS ( $H_0$ )	ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS ( $H_1$ ) or $H_A$
A statement of <u>no change</u> , no effect, no difference and is assumed true until evidence indicates otherwise. <u>statistical</u>	A statement that we are trying to find evidence to <u>support</u> <u>that</u> <u>Null Hyp (<math>H_0</math>) is "not true"</u>

### THREE TYPES OF HYPOTHESIS TESTS

LEFT-TAILED	TWO-TAILED	RIGHT-TAILED
$H_0$ : parameter = # $H_1$ : parameter < #	$H_0$ : parameter = # $H_1$ : parameter $\neq$ #	$H_0$ : parameter = # $H_1$ : parameter > #

EX: 1) What's the parameter?  $p$   $\mu$  2) What do "they say"? "past claim" 3) What do we think? "HA" 4) What type of test? tail

<p>The packaging on a light bulb says it should last 500 hours. Consumer Reports wants to know if the <u>mean</u> lifetime is actually <u>less</u> than that.</p> <p>1) parameter: <math>\mu</math></p> <p>2) and 3)</p> <p>past claim: <math>H_0</math>: <math>\mu = 500</math> hrs</p> <p>think: <math>H_A</math>: <math>\mu &lt; 500</math> hrs</p> <p>4) Test: left tailed Test</p>	<p>The <u>standard deviation</u> of the rate of return for some mutual funds is 0.08%. A manager believes the standard deviation might be <u>higher</u> than that.</p> <p>1) parameter: <math>\sigma</math></p> <p>2) and 3)</p> <p>past <math>H_0</math>: <math>\sigma = 0.0008</math></p> <p>think <math>H_1</math>: <math>\sigma &gt; 0.0008</math></p> <p>4) Test: Right-Tailed Test</p>	<p>According to a Gallup poll in 2008, 80% of Americans felt <u>satisfied</u> with the way things are going in their lives. A researcher wonders if the <u>percentage</u> is different now</p> <p>1) parameter: proportion</p> <p>2) and 3)</p> <p>past: <math>H_0</math>: <math>p = 0.8</math></p> <p>think <math>H_A</math>: <math>p \neq 0.8</math></p> <p>4) Two tailed test</p>
---	--	--

## TWO POSSIBLY CORRECT CONCLUSIONS:

**NOTE** we are not saying "accept  $H_0$ " or not saying we "proved"  $H_0$  is true

1) We decide there <u>is</u> evidence to support $H_1$ "reject the null hypothesis" or "reject $H_0$ "	2) We decide there <u>is NOT</u> enough evidence to support $H_1$ "failed to reject the null hypothesis" ↳ "failed to reject $H_0$ "
--	--

Ex: Historically, Jimbo's pizza had a mean delivery time of 48 minutes. After getting a new pizza oven, he takes a sample of 50 orders and finds that the mean delivery time is now 45 minutes, which makes Jimbo think that the mean delivery time has been reduced. Note is  $\mu = 45$  statistically less or is it simply due to "sample variability"?

State Jimbo's hypotheses in statistical notation:

State the conclusion if the **null is rejected**:

State the conclusion if the **null is not rejected**:

$$H_0: \mu = 48 \text{ min/deliv.}$$

$$H_A: \mu < 48 \text{ min/deliv.}$$

"There is enough statistical evidence to support that the true (pop. para.) mean pizza delivery time is less than 48 min/deliv."

"There is not enough statistical evidence <sup>to support</sup> that the true mean delivery time is less than 48 min/deliv."

## FOUR POSSIBLE OUTCOMES (2 ERRORS)

**Example:** In a court case  
 $H_0$ : the defendant is innocent  
 $H_1$ : the defendant is guilty

		Truth about the Population (Reality)	
		$H_0$ is true (innocent)	$H_0$ is false (guilty)
Decision Based On Sample (Our Conclusion)	Fail to Reject $H_0$ "keep"	Conclude <u>innocent</u> when <u>innocent</u> Good! 😊	Conclude <u>innocent</u> when <u>guilty</u> Bad 😞 Type II Error
	Reject $H_0$	Conclude <u>guilty</u> when <u>innocent</u> Bad 😞 Type I Error	Conclude <u>guilty</u> when <u>guilty</u> Good 😊

**\*NOTE:** The defendant is NEVER declared INNOCENT!!

## TYPE I AND TYPE II ERRORS

**Type I error:** The mistake of rejecting the null hypothesis when it is actually true.  
The symbol  $\alpha$  (alpha) is used to represent the probability of such an error.

**Type II error:** The mistake of failing to reject the null hypothesis when it is actually false.  
The symbol  $\beta$  (beta) is used to represent the probability of such an error

Ex: On average, it used to take 30 minutes to find parking, but we think we have sufficient evidence to say that the time has decreased. But, in fact, the true parking time is still 30 minutes. What kind of error did we make?

$$H_0: \mu = 30 \text{ min}$$

$$H_A: \mu < 30 \text{ min}$$

conclude statistically: reject  $H_0$  (ie time decreased) support  $H_A$

in reality:  $H_0$  is true

↳ this is Type I Error

**Note:** The majority is a number or percentage equaling more than 50% of a total. To test a claim about a majority, your null hypothesis will be  $p = 0.5$ . So  $H_A: p > 0.5$ . "Right Tailed Test"

**EX:** A Gallup survey reports that 57% of 504 randomly selected gun owners support stricter gun laws. Test the claim that a majority of gun owners favor stricter gun laws. Write out the hypotheses for this example. What would a Type II error be in this scenario?

Hypotheses

$$H_0: p = 0.5$$

$$H_A: p > 0.5$$

When testing majority

	$H_0$ T	$H_0$ F
FTR $H_0$		II
R $H_0$	I	

$H_0$  F: it is not true that the proportion of gun owners support stricter gun laws is 0.5.

FTR  $H_0$ : FTR that proportion of gun owners support stricter gun laws is 0.5.

Type II Error

"We conclude that there's no majority of gun owners that supp. str. gun laws when in that fact there is a majority support."

**EX:** Your company markets a computerized device to test a patient's mean resting heart rate. Based on the sample results, the device determines whether there is significant evidence that the patient's mean resting heart rate is greater than 100 beats per minute. If so, your company recommends that the person seeks medical attention.

a. State appropriate null and alternative hypotheses in this setting.

b. Which error is worse for your company?

$H_0$ :

$H_1$ :

	$H_0$ is true	$H_0$ is false
Fail to Reject $H_0$		Type II Error
Reject $H_0$	Type I Error	

Seek Med Attention? YES NO  
Did They Need It? YES NO

Seek Med Attention? YES NO  
Did They Need It? YES NO

We will NOT know 100% if our conclusion of our Hypothesis Test is \_\_\_\_\_, but we can assign \_\_\_\_\_ to making Type I and Type II Errors when we complete a hypothesis test.

<b>Level of Significance</b>	The probability of making a Type I Error. In other words, we take a sample that makes $H_0$ look WRONG when it's actually TRUE.
------------------------------	---

**Note:** As you \_\_\_\_\_ the probability of one type of error, then the probability of the other type \_\_\_\_\_.

CHOOSING A SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL

Typically the significance level,  $\alpha$  is given to be greater than \_\_\_\_\_ and less than \_\_\_\_\_.

When a Type I error is...

