

M-Estimation, II: Inference with M-Estimators

Jesper Riis–Vestergaard Sørensen

University of Copenhagen, Department of Economics

Recap: Setting

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_o \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} E[q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta})], \quad (\text{M-estimand})$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N q(\mathbf{w}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (\text{M-estimator})$$

Under conditions, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ \sqrt{N} -asymptotically normal,

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1}).$$

To do inference (CIs, hypothesis testing, etc.)...

Q: How to estimate $\operatorname{Avar}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N$?

Outline

Variance Estimation

Example: Nonlinear Least Squares

Multivariate Nonlinear Least Squares

Nonlinear Hypothesis Testing

Variance Estimation

How to Estimate Asymptotic Variance?

$$\text{Avar}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N.$$

Recall:

$$\mathbf{A}_o = E [\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)],$$

$$\mathbf{B}_o = E [\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)'],$$

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} q(\mathbf{w}, \theta), \quad (P \times 1)$$

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} q(\mathbf{w}, \theta). \quad (P \times P)$$

Q: How to consistently estimate \mathbf{A}_o and \mathbf{B}_o ?

Estimator 1: Most Structural

One (naïve) **idea**:

1. Analytically solve for expectations

$$\mathbf{A}(\theta_o) := E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)],$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\theta_o) := E[\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o) \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o)'].$$

2. Substitute θ_o for $\hat{\theta}$.

Drawbacks:

- ▶ Requires complete specification of \mathbf{w} distribution.
- ▶ Obtaining closed-form expression difficult.

Rarely an option...

Estimator 2: Least Structural

- ▶ \mathbf{A}_o and \mathbf{B}_o expectations of functions of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$.
- ▶ Invoke analogy principle:
 1. Replace expectations with averages.
 2. Insert $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$.

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i, \quad \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i := \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}),$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{B}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i', \quad \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i := \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).$$

+ $\hat{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow_p \mathbf{A}_o$ and $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow_p \mathbf{B}_o$ under mild (add'l) cond's.

Estimator 2: Least Structural

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{A}} &:= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i, & \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i &:= \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), \\ \hat{\mathbf{B}} &:= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i', & \hat{\mathbf{s}}_i &:= \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).\end{aligned}$$

- + With twice cont diff q , always available.
- + If $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ interior, $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ at least positive semi-definite.
- ÷ Requires calculation of second-order derivatives.
- If $q(\mathbf{w}, \cdot)$ strictly convex, $N^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ p.d., *all* $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Estimator 3: Semistructural

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$.
- ▶ Let θ_o index feature of $\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}$ distribution.
 - ▶ E.g. mean, median, whole distribution.

- ▶ Define

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, \theta_o) := E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o) | \mathbf{x}].$$

- ▶ Estimator:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{A}}_i, \quad \hat{\mathbf{A}}_i := \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\theta}).$$

Estimator 3: Semistructural

Recall:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{A}}_i, \quad \hat{\mathbf{A}}_i = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).$$

- + Usually positive definite in sample.
- Useful when $E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) | \mathbf{x}]$ available in closed form.
- ... or easily approximated.
- ÷ Relies on more structure. Could be wrong.
 - Even more important for fully structural approach.

Asymptotic Variance Estimators

Least structural approach \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &:= \widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} \widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} / N \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i' \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{H}}_i \right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Semistructural approach \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\text{Avar}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) &:= \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{B}} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} / N \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i \widehat{\mathbf{s}}_i' \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\mathbf{A}}_i \right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

(Semi)Robust variance estimators.

Example: Nonlinear Least Squares

NLS Score and Hessian

In NLS,

$$q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = [y - m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]^2.$$

Chain and product rules \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= -2[y - m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})] \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &\quad - 2[y - m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})] \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}).\end{aligned}$$

Only $E(y|\mathbf{x})$ specified \Rightarrow fully structural impossible.

NLS Variance Estimator: $\mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N$

Evaluate $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_o$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) &= -2u \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o), \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_o &= 4E \left[u^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Abbreviating

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}_i &:= y_i - m(\mathbf{x}_i, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), & (\text{NLS residuals}) \\ \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m}_i &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}_i, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), & (1 \times P) \\ \widehat{\mathbf{B}} &= \frac{4}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{u}_i^2 \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m}_i' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m}_i. \end{aligned}$$

NLS Variance Estimator: $\mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N$

Evaluate $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_o$,

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) - 2u \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o).$$

Abbreviate:

$$\widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^2 m_i} := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} m(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).$$

Least structural approach \Rightarrow

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i, \quad \hat{\mathbf{H}}_i = 2 \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} - 2 \hat{u}_i \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^2 m_i}.$$

\Rightarrow Fully robust estimator: $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \hat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} / N$.

NLS Variance Estimator: $\mathbf{A}_o^{-1} \mathbf{B}_o \mathbf{A}_o^{-1} / N$

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} m(\mathbf{x}, \theta_o) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} m(\mathbf{x}, \theta_o) - 2 \mathbf{u} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} m(\mathbf{x}, \theta_o).$$

Model well-specified $\Rightarrow E(u | \mathbf{x}) = 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, \theta_o) = E[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w}, \theta_o) | \mathbf{x}] = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} m(\mathbf{x}, \theta_o) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} m(\mathbf{x}, \theta_o).$$

Semistructural approach \Rightarrow

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{A}}_i, \quad \hat{\mathbf{A}}_i = 2 \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i'} \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}.$$

\Rightarrow **Semirobust estimator:** $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} / N$.
correct specification of the mean

NLS Variance Estimator

Semirobust estimator $\widetilde{\text{Avar}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) =$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{u}_i^2 \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\theta} m_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

► No restrictions on $\text{var}(y|\mathbf{x})$.

⇒ Heteroskedasticity-robust variance estimator for NLS.

► Output of standard software packages.

► Asymptotic standard errors = square root of diagonal.

NLS Variance Estimator: Special Cases

$$\widetilde{\text{Avar}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{u}_i^2 \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

y>0 (for instance wage)

Exponential regression:

always positive - easy to diff

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \exp(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ \Rightarrow \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) &= \exp(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \mathbf{x}, \\ \Rightarrow \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} &= \exp(2\mathbf{x}_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i, \\ \text{and } \widehat{u}_i &= y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}). \end{aligned}$$

NLS Variance Estimator: Special Cases

$$\widetilde{\text{Avar}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{u}_i^2 \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

Linear regression:

$$\begin{aligned} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\theta}, \\ \Rightarrow \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) &= \mathbf{x}, \\ \Rightarrow \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i}' \widehat{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} m_i} &= \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i, \\ \text{and } \widehat{u}_i &= y_i - \mathbf{x}_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{OLS residuals})$$

...usual heteroskedasticity-robust variance estimator for OLS.

Multivariate Nonlinear Least Squares

Nonlinear Vector Regression

- ▶ Now: outcome \mathbf{y} ($G \times 1$).
- ▶ Parametric model $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ for $E(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x})$.
- ▶ Multivariate NLS estimator = M-estimator with

$$q(\mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})\|^2 = \sum_{g=1}^G [y_g - m_g(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]^2.$$

⇒ general theorems apply.

Nonlinear Vector Regression

- ▶ Now $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)$.
- ▶ Asymptotic variance of sandwich form,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_o &:= E \left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)' \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \right], \\ \mathbf{B}_o &:= E \left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o)' \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}' \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \right].\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Robust estimation analogous to scalar case.
- ▶ Also robust to *cross-equation correlation*.

Example: Linear Panel Model

Consider linear panel model with strict exogeneity

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta}_o + c_i + u_{it}, \quad E(u_{it} | \mathbf{x}_i, c_i) = 0, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T.$$

First-differencing and stacking:

$$\Delta \mathbf{y}_i = \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_o + \Delta \mathbf{u}_i. \quad ((T-1) \times 1)$$

Strict exogeneity $\Rightarrow E(\Delta \mathbf{u}_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{0}$, so

$$E(\Delta \mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_o.$$

Suggests multivariate NLS!

Example: Linear Panel Model

- ▶ Here $\nabla_{\theta} \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta) = \Delta \mathbf{X}_i$.

⇒ (semi)robust variance estimator:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta \mathbf{X}_i' \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta \mathbf{X}_i' \widehat{\Delta \mathbf{u}}_i \widehat{\Delta \mathbf{u}}_i' \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta \mathbf{X}_i' \Delta \mathbf{X}_i \right)^{-1}.$$

- ▶ Just robust estimator of $\text{Avar}(\widehat{\beta}_{FD})$!
- ▶ Robust to both heteroskedasticity and serial correlation.
- ▶ FE estimation similarly embedded. (Check!)

Nonlinear Hypothesis Testing

Nonlinear Hypotheses

Want to test $Q (\leq P)$ nonlinear restrictions

$$H_0 : \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (Q \times 1)$$

Ex. 1: $\theta_{o1} = \theta_{o2}^2$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 - \theta_2^2.$$

Ex. 2: $\theta_{o1}\theta_{o2} = 1$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1\theta_2 - 1.$$

Wald Statistic

- ▶ Suppose \mathbf{c} continuously differentiable.
- ▶ Let $\nabla \mathbf{c}$ denotes its Jacobian ($Q \times P$)
- ▶ Suppose $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ \sqrt{N} -asymptotically normal.
- ▶ Let $\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ be consistent for $\text{Avar}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$.
- ▶ Wald statistic:

$$W := \mathbf{c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' [\widehat{\mathbf{C}} \widehat{\text{Avar}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \widehat{\mathbf{C}}']^{-1} \mathbf{c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{C}} := \nabla \mathbf{c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}).$$

Wald Test

$$H_0 : \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (Q \times 1)$$

- ▶ Under $H_0 : W \rightarrow_d \chi_Q^2$.
- ▶ Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ denote significance level.
- ▶ Wald test:

Reject $H_0 \Leftrightarrow W > (1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of χ_Q^2 .

Discussion

Above testing procedure presumes:

1. \mathbf{c} continuously differentiable.
2. $\nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)$ full rank (Q).

Ex. 1: $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 - \theta_2^2 \Rightarrow \nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & , & -2\theta_{o2} \end{bmatrix}$.

► Rank? _____

Ex. 2: $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1\theta_2 - 1 \Rightarrow \nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{o2}, & \theta_{o1} \end{bmatrix}$.

► Rank? _____

Why Chi Square?

Q: Where does $W \rightarrow_d \chi_Q^2$ under null come from?

Two ingredients

(1) Normal/Chi-Square relation:

► If $\mathbf{Z} \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{G \times 1}, \mathbf{V})$ then $\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} \sim \chi_G^2$.

► Multivariate version of $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow (Z/\sigma)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$.

(2) Delta Method...

Delta Method

Suppose interest lies in $\mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)$, where

- ▶ $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_o) \rightarrow_d \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}_{P \times 1}, \mathbf{V})$
- ▶ $\mathbf{c} : \mathbb{R}^P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^Q$, continuously differentiable at $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$.

Then

$$\sqrt{N}[\mathbf{c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}_{Q \times 1}, \mathbf{CVC}'), \quad \mathbf{C} := \nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o).$$

Why?

Our Case

Have assumed

- ▶ $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ \sqrt{N} -asymptotically normal
- ▶ \mathbf{c} cont diff at $\boldsymbol{\theta}_o$
- ▶ $\mathbf{C} = \nabla \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)$ full rank (Q)

Hence

$$\sqrt{N}[\mathbf{c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)]'(\mathbf{CVC}')^{-1}\sqrt{N}[\mathbf{c}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \mathbf{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_o)] \xrightarrow{d} \chi_Q^2.$$

Wald arises from _____