



# AME

## Week 10: Conditional Logit

Sophie Bindslev, November 2022



UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

---

# Today's Plan

- Random Utility Model
- Conditional Logit (CL)
- Scaling
- Partial Effects for CL
- Elasticities
- Additional Features of CL
- Tastes in CL
- Your time to shine!

## Random Utility Model

- The Random Utility Model (RUM) serves as a framework which allows us to model  $N$  individuals' choices over  $J$  alternatives

$$u_{ij} = v_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim \text{IID Extreme Value Type I} \quad (1)$$

$$y_i = \underset{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} u_{ij} \quad (2)$$

where  $i$  is the individual,  $j$  is the alternative,  $y_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}$  is the alternative chosen by  $i$ ,  $u_{ij}$  is  $i$ 's utility from choosing alternative  $j$ .  $u_{ij}$  consists of deterministic utility,  $v_{ij}$ , and stochastic utility,  $\varepsilon_{ij}$

## RUM and Conditional and Multinomial Logit

- How we model deterministic, observable utility  $v_{ij}$  determines which model we estimate
- Conditional Logit:  $v_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_j\beta$ 
  - Individuals' choices depend on the **observable attributes of different alternatives  $\mathbf{x}_j$**  e.g. if alternatives are cars then attributes include price, colour, whether the car is electric etc.
  - People with different characteristics e.g. income or household size are assumed to respond **in the same way** to e.g. price increases
- Multinomial Logit:  $v_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_i\beta_j$ 
  - Individuals' choices depend on **their observable characteristics  $\mathbf{x}_i$**  e.g. a richer person may be less price sensitive or have a stronger preference for luxury cars, a bigger household may care more about car size and so on
  - People with different characteristics e.g. income or household size are allowed to respond **differently** to e.g. price increases

## Conditional Logit (CL)

- Choice probabilities are given by:

$$P(y = j \mid \mathbf{X}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_j\beta)}{\sum_{h=1}^J \exp(\mathbf{x}_h\beta)} \quad (3)$$

- Therefore the log-likelihood function is:

$$\ell_i = \mathbf{x}_{y_i}\beta - \log \left( \sum_{h=1}^J \exp(\mathbf{x}_h\beta) \right) \quad (4)$$

which is derived by maximising the choice probabilities for the chosen discrete choice for each  $i$ .  $\mathbf{x}_{y_i}$  contains attributes of the alternative  $y_i$  chosen by  $i$

- If you use macro rather than micro data the estimated choice probabilities will be equal to market shares

## Scaling for Numerical Stability

- $\exp(\cdot)$  explodes for high values which can lead to overflow. This leads to numerical instability which we seek to avoid by max-rescaling our deterministic utilities.
- Choice probabilities are unaffected by scaling (Why?)

$$P(\mathbf{y} = j \mid \mathbf{X}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_j\beta)}{\sum_{h=1}^J \exp(\mathbf{x}_h\beta)} = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_j\beta - K)}{\sum_{h=1}^J \exp(\mathbf{x}_h\beta - K)} \quad (5)$$

- Therefore, we can max-rescale:

$$v_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_j\beta - \max_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}} \mathbf{x}_j\beta \quad (6)$$

## Partial Effects

- As in the binary setting discussed last week we cannot interpret  $\beta$  as the partial effects of  $\mathbf{x}_j$  on the probability of  $j$  being chosen  
 $p_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i) = P(y_i = j \mid \mathbf{x}_i)$
- Instead, we consider the own- and cross-effects:

$$\frac{\partial p_j(\mathbf{X})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j,k}} = p_j(\mathbf{X})(1 - p_j(\mathbf{X}))\beta_k \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_j(\mathbf{X})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{h,k}} = -p_j(\mathbf{X})p_h(\mathbf{X})\beta_k \quad (8)$$

where  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$  is an attribute of the  $j^{\text{th}} \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}$  alternative

- Interpretation:** How does the probability of choosing alternative  $j$  change when the  $k^{\text{th}}$  attribute of alternative  $h \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}$  changes by one unit?

## Elasticities

- We can compute own- and cross-price elasticities using choice probabilities  $p_j(\mathbf{X})$  (which are  $N \times 1$  vectors):

$$\epsilon_{jk} = \frac{\partial p_j(\mathbf{X})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{h,k}} \frac{\mathbf{x}_{h,k}}{p_j(\mathbf{X})} = \frac{\partial p_j(\mathbf{X})}{p_j(\mathbf{X})} / \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{h,k}}{\mathbf{x}_{h,k}} \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{\text{Rel. change in } p_j(\mathbf{X})}{\text{Rel. change in } \mathbf{x}_{h,k}} \quad (10)$$

- **Interpretation:**
  - |  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  | < 1: inelastic, choice prob. responds less than attributes
  - |  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  | = 1: unit elastic, choice prob. responds like attributes
  - |  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  | > 1: elastic, choice prob. responds by more than attributes
- For **inference** on these you will need standard errors: use Delta Method (last week) or Bootstrap (next week)



## Additional Features of CL

- **Identification:** In CL we cannot hope to estimate the intercept nor the variance of the stochastic component of utility. Why?
- **Interpretation** of  $\beta_k$ : marginal utility of attribute  $k$ . Means we can compute the marginal utility of income if we have a price variable (v useful)! Why is this marginal utility of income useful?
- **Welfare Measure:** Compensating Variation using the logsum

## Tastes in Conditional Logit

- **IIA: independence of irrelevant alternatives.**

What does IIA mean for choice probabilities? What does IIA imply for *substitution patterns across alternatives* following a change in one alternative's attributes and thereby its market share?

- **Systematic taste variation, not random taste variation can be captured by CL.**

How can we see this in the model specification? (Hint: remember how we specified  $u_{ij}$ )

## Your time to shine!

- You'll be working with 3-dimensional arrays today. They are well worth getting comfortable with (also the type of data used in assignment 3)
- Take a look at `estimation.py` which allows you to compute maximum likelihood estimates and their variances
- Fill in `clogit_ante.py` and solve the problem set
- The "Conditional Logit" Note is helpful additional info