

LOVE THY NEIGHBOR?

An empirical test of neighborhood ethnicity change and Schelling behavior

Jørgen Baun Høst

Department of Economics



CONTENTS

1. Introduction
2. Methods
3. Data
4. Results
5. Conclusion
6. Appendix

Hello

- **Motivation:** Demographic transition and Schelling's model
- **Research Question:** Does the ethnicity of your nearest neighbor affect propensity to move?
- **Methods:** Nearest-neighbor research design with comprehensive administrative data
- **Resulus:** Schelling behavior?
- **Heterogeneity Analysis:** SES
- **Conclusion**

Defintions:

1. Native households: All members are of Danish origin
2. Non-Western households: At least 1 member is of non-Western origin
3. Western households: At least 1 member is of Western origin (but no non-Western household members)

INTRODUCTION

- (Schelling, 1971) proposed that neighborhoods may “tip” when minority share reaches a threshold
- Even with relatively tolerant preferences toward diversity
- Three types of segregation:
 1. Organized segregation (e.g., historical Jim Crow laws) 123
 2. Economically induced segregation (clustering by income/education)
 3. **Individually motivated segregation** ← **Focus of this paper**

Schelling's key insight: Small individual preferences can lead to macro-level segregation

METHODS

$$V_{i,j,t} = f(Z_{i,t}, X_{j,t}, \xi_{j,t}) + \sum_k g(Z_{i,t}, Z_{k,t}, D_{i,k}) + \delta E[V_{i,j,t+1}] + \varepsilon_{i,j,t}$$

Where:

- $f(\cdot)$: Utility from neighborhood amenities
- $g(\cdot)$: Utility from characteristics of each neighbor k at distance $D_{i,k}$
- Z_i : Observable household attributes
- X_j : Observable neighborhood attributes
- ξ_j : Unobservable neighborhood attributes
- $\varepsilon_{i,j,t}$: Idiosyncratic preferences

Key identification challenges:

- Unobserved neighborhood amenities
- Dynamic preferences (expectations of future changes)
- Selection effectus (who moves where is not random)

Innovative approach from Bayer *et al.* (2022):

Compare households within the same neighborhood who receive different-type neighbors. Why does this work?
Consider two households:

- Household a : New different-type e' neighbor among their nearest (rank 1-3) neighbors
- Household b : New different-type e' neighbor slightly further away (rank 4-6)

Difference in moving propensity:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_a(e', k_{\text{nearest}}) - Y_b(e', k_{\text{near}}) &= (\mathbb{P}[e', k_{\text{nearest}}]) - \mathbb{P}[e', k_{\text{near}}]) \\ &\quad + (\xi_a B(e', k_{\text{nearest}}) - \xi_b B(e', k_{\text{near}})) \\ &\quad + (\rho_a - \rho_b) + (\omega_j - \omega_j) \leftrightarrow \\ &= \mathbb{P}[e', k_{\text{nearest}}]^* + \rho_a - \rho_b \end{aligned}$$

1. $\mathbb{P}[e', k_{\text{nearest}}]) - \mathbb{P}[e', k_{\text{near}}]) > 0$
2. $\xi_a B(e', k_{\text{nearest}}) - \xi_b B(e', k_{\text{near}}) \approx 0$: (almost) no difference in future neighborhood quality expectation

3. $Y_a(e', k_{\text{nearest}}) - Y_b(e', k_{\text{near}}) \perp \rho_a - \rho_b$: For existing households, location of new neighbors are not related to idiosyncratic factors ρ .

- **Treatment group:** Households with new different-type neighbors among their 3 nearest neighbors
- **Control group:** Households with new different-type neighbors “just down the road” (ranks 4-6)

$$Y_{i,j,t} = \beta_1 I[e', k = n_{\text{nearest}}] + \beta_2 I[e', k = n_{\text{near}}] + \beta_3 I[e', k = n_{\text{close}}] + \gamma Z_{i,j,t} + \omega_{j,t} + \varepsilon_{i,j,t}$$

Parameter of interest:

$$\beta_1 - \beta_2$$

This design addresses key identification challenges by comparing households experiencing same neighborhood conditions but different micro-geography of new neighbors.

DATA

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

1. Native Danish households increase moving propensity by 1.6% when receiving non-Western neighbors
2. Non-Western households show no significant response to new native neighbors
3. Heterogeneity by SES: Low-SES native households responding to low-SES non-Western neighbors show strongest effect (2.8%)
5. Magnitude in Denmark (1.6%) more modest than in U.S. context (4-6%)

- Do native households respond to new Western neighbors?
- How much are native households willing to pay in premium to live in a more homogenous neighborhood?
 - Variation?
- Those who show Schelling behavior, where do they move to?

Thank you for your
attention!

Questions?

REFERENCES

Bayer, P. *et al.* (2022) “Distinguishing Causes of Neighborhood Racial Change: A Nearest Neighbor Design,” *Social Science Research Network* [Preprint]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3386/w30487>.

Schelling, T.C. (1971) “Dynamic models of segregation,” *Journal of mathematical sociology*, 1(2), pp. 143–186.

APPENDIX

