

# Compulsory exercise 1: Group 21

TMA4268 Statistical Learning V2018

Jørgen Opheim, Ole-Andreas Sandnes and Sander Coates

12 February, 2018

## Problem 1 - Core concepts in statistical learning [2 points]

### a) Training and test MSE [1 point]

- *Figure 2* shows that variance is reduced for increased values of  $K$ , but at the cost of increased bias.
- A low value of  $K$  gives the most flexible fit.
- As expected from lower flexibility for higher  $K$ , the training MSE increases with  $K$ . This is due to the reduced fitting of the model to the specific data. However, when introducing the test data, overfitting by a too flexible model leads to increased test MSE for the lowest values of  $K$ . This suggests a slightly less flexible and thus less biased model (more on the bias-variance trade-off later) is better.
- By observation, it seems that  $K = 3$  gives the lowest test MSE, and hence is the best choice of  $K$  for modelling  $f(x)$  based on observed values  $y = f(x) + \epsilon$ .

### b) Bias-variance trade-off [1 point]

- The variance is calculated by use of R's own function `var` over all experiments  $M$  for a given  $x$  and  $K$ . The squared bias is then found by squaring the difference between the mean over all  $M$  experiments for a given  $x$  and  $K$  and the true value of  $y$  for that particular  $x$  (equal for all values of  $K$ ). **Add some formulae?**
- As flexibility increases ( $K$  decreases)
  - the squared bias decreases (as expected by less fitting to the specific training data),
  - variance increases (as expected from closer fitting to specific training data),
  - and the irreducible error is left unchanged. The irreducible error is caused by variance of the underlying data and is not affected by modelling.
- By observation of the total test MSE the optimal value of  $K$  seems to be  $K = 3$  (for which the total error is smallest), as suggested in a).
- [Extra] In *Figure 5* the optimal value of  $K$  seems to be greater than that previously identified. This is however for four specific values of  $x$ , none of which are at the boundaries of  $x$ 's domain ( $x \in [-3, 3]$ ) **Possible to do analysis for more values of  $x$  to test validity of reasoning?**

## Problem 2 - Linear regression [4 points]

Here you see an R chunk that is evaluated (when knitting) and code is displayed.

```
library(ggplot2)
data = read.table("https://www.math.ntnu.no/emner/TMA4268/2018v/data/SYSBPreg3uid.txt")
dim(data)
colnames(data)
```

```
modelA=lm(-1/sqrt(SYSBP) ~ .,data = data)
summary(modelA)
```

a) Understanding model output [1 point]

Hva skjer bri?

b) Model fit [1 point]

Fitting models is my forte

c) Confidence interval and hypothesis test [1 points]

d) Prediction [1 point]