

# LIGN 167 final projectModel architecture

🕒 Date Created	@November 27, 2022 2:03 PM
📄 Status	

## Model architecture

- Option 1
  - Use model\_data.csv.
  - Encoder - decoder transformers. (translation from photometry to analog)
    - Training: seq of gcamp\_lp and seq lp\_duration (or lp success or not).
    - Testing: given a seq of gcamp\_lp, what is the expected seq of lp durations.
  - Good reasons:
    - Data in a nice temporal sequence → easier to train and test.
  - Bad reasons:
    - Uninteresting because **maybe** (or maybe not) that a great gcamp\_lp means successful lp.
    - gcamp\_lp and lp\_duration are continuous data.
- Option 2
  - Use raw gcamp and analog data.
  - Encoder - decoder transformers. (translation from photometry to analog)
    - Training: seq of gcamp activity and binary seq (lp or not).
    - Test: given a seq of gcamp activity, what's the expected binary sequence.
  - Good reasons:

- Granular information of the data. More rows = better model? and possible less obvious relation between gcamp and lp.
- Bad reasons:
  - Not a straight forward way to match both data sources because of different timestamps. Relation between the two data sources may vary across mice.
    - Possible solution: subset data when the two sources have records.
  - gcamp is continuous data.
  - Given the model prediction on sequence of lever presses, what is the timeframe in which those prediction occur?
    - Possible solution: assume each predicted event happens 4 milliseconds (eliminating decimals, each step is 4 milliseconds apart the next one) after the previous event.
- Option 3
  - Use encoder - decoder transformers in a timeseries application.
    - Encoder is a sequence of n lever presses.
    - Decoder is the first k lever presses of the encoder sequence.
  - Bad reasons:
    - How can we incorporate the photometry data?

## Issue

- Distribution in between sessions do not seem similar