

## SYNTHESIS AND ANTITUMOR ACTIVITY OF LEINAMYCIN DERIVATIVES: MODIFICATIONS OF C-8 HYDROXY AND C-9 KETO GROUPS

Yutaka Kanda, \* Tadashi Ashizawa, \* Yutaka Saitoh, \* Hiromitsu Saito, \* Katsushige Gomi, \* and

Masami Okabe\*

<sup>a</sup>Tokyo Research Laboratories, Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd. 3-6-6 Asahimachi, Machida, Tokyo 194, Japan and <sup>b</sup>Pharmaceutical Research Institute, Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd. 1188 Shimotogari, Nagaizumi, Sunto, Shizuoka 411, Japan

Received 21 January 1998; accepted 4 March 1998

**Abstract:** A series of leinamycin derivatives were synthesized and evaluated for antitumor activity. Modifications at C-8 and C-9 positions revealed a broad structure-activity relationship in vitro and some derivatives showed potent antiproliferative activity against HeLa S<sub>3</sub> cells. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Leinamycin (1), a novel antitumor antibiotic with unusual spiro 1-oxo-1,2-dithiolan-3-one moiety, was isolated from a culture broth of *Streptomyces* sp. and was shown to possess potent antitumor activities against murine experimental tumors.<sup>1</sup> Leinamycin causes single strand scission of plasmid DNA in the presence of thiol cofacors.<sup>2</sup> Isolation of a guanine-leinamycin adduct revealed the unprecedented chemical reactions which would be responsible for the thiol-mediated alkylative DNA cleavage by leinamycin.<sup>2,3</sup> As a part of our program aimed at discovering clinically useful leinamycin analogs, chemical modification of natural leinamycin have been investigated.<sup>4</sup> In this communication, synthesis, in vitro antiproliferative activity, and in vivo antitumor activity of C-8 and C-9 substituted leinamycin derivatives are described.

Leinamycin (1)

Since the dithiolanone moiety of leinamycin plays a crucial role for the DNA cleaving activity,<sup>2</sup> the modifications without affecting this labile moiety were explored (Scheme 1). Monoacetate 2a was obtained by the treatment of leinamycin with acetic anhydride and pyridine in the presence of *N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP).<sup>5</sup> Various C-8 acyloxy derivatives 2b-e were synthesized using acid chlorides and pyridine in fairly good yields. Although carbonates 2f and 3 were also prepared from leinamycin and the corresponding chloroformate, reactions of leinamycin with carbamoyl chlorides or isothianates did not afford the corresponding carbamates. Carbamate 4 could be obtained from 4-nitrophenyl carbonate 3 and piperidine in 26% yield. Diacetate 5 was obtained when excess acetyl chloride

was used. Tetrahydropiranyl (THP) ether **6a** and methoxytetrahydropiranyl (MTHP) ether **6b** could be prepared in good yields from leinamycin and the corresponding dihydropirans in the presence of camphorsulfonic acid (CSA).

Selective reduction of the ketone at C-9 was achieved using NaBH<sub>4</sub> and CeCl<sub>3</sub> in MeOH at 0 °C to afford C-9 hydroxy derivative 7-1 and its epimer 7-2. Dimethylacetal 8 could be prepared from leinamycin and a catalytic amount of CSA in MeOH and CH(OMe)<sub>3</sub>. Oxime derivatives 9a-c were prepared by treating leinamycin with hydroxylamine or hydroxylamine ethers. 45,6

Scheme 1. Chemical modifications of leinamycin

Antiproliferative activity of leinamycin derivatives against human uterine carcinoma HeLa  $S_3$  cells and in vivo antitumor activity against murine leukemia P388 are shown in Table 1. Since diacetate 5 showed much weaker antiproliferative activity than monoacetate 2a, a free hydroxy group at C-4' might be important for the activity. Acylation of C-8 hydroxy group resulted in potent derivatives such as 2a and 2e in HeLa  $S_3$  assay. THP ether 6a and the ethyl carbonate 2f showed more potent antiproliferative activity than that of leinamycin. Conversion of the C-9 ketone to acetal or hydroxy group resulted in much less potent

derivatives 7 and 8. On the other hand, oxime derivatives 9b-1 and 9c-2 maintained the antiproliferative activity. Interestingly, benzyloxime 9c-1 (Z isomer) showed 11 times less potent activity than 9c-2 (E isomer). These results suggest that the conjugation from the thiazole ring to sp<sup>2</sup> carbon at C-9 and substituents at C-8 and C-9 would be critical for the antiproliferative activity.

**Table 1.** Leinamycin derivatives

| compd                   | $R^1$                                 | R <sup>2</sup> | W                    | yield <sup>a</sup> | HeLa S <sub>3</sub> <sup>b</sup><br>IC <sub>50</sub> (μΜ) | P388 (ip-ip) <sup>c</sup> ILS <sub>max</sub> (%) <sup>d</sup> OD (mg/kg) <sup>e</sup> |              |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|---|--------------|
| compa                   | N                                     | n              |                      | (%)                | 1050 (μινι)   | TEO <sub>max</sub> (70)   | OD (IIIg/kg) |
| 2a                      | COMe                                  | Н              | 0                    | 82                 | 0.010   | 34  | 1.0          |
| 2b                      | CO(chex)                              | Н              | 0                    | 81                 | 0.058   | 49  | 4.0          |
| 2c                      | CO(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>14</sub> Me | Н              | 0                    | 72                 | 7.7   | 37  | 16           |
| 2d                      | COPh                                  | Н              | 0                    | 84                 | 0.13  | 36  | 2.0          |
| 2e                      | CO(2-quinoxalinyl)                    | Н              | 0                    | 75                 | 0.0068  | 44  | 2.0          |
| 2f                      | CO <sub>2</sub> Et                    | Н              | 0                    | 85                 | 0.0014  | 31  | 2.0          |
| 3                       | $CO_2C_6H_4(4-NO_2)$                  | Н              | 0                    | 96                 | 0.022   | 57  | 2.0          |
| 4                       | CO-N(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> - | Н              | 0                    | 26 <sup>l</sup>    | 0.18  | nt <sup>n</sup>   | -            |
| 5                       | COMe                                  | COMe           | 0                    | 74                 | 0.78  | 15  | 2.0          |
| 6a                      | THP <sup>j</sup>                      | Н              | 0                    | 58 <sup>m</sup>    | 0.0013  | 28  | 0.13         |
| 6b                      | MTHP <sup>k</sup>                     | Н              | 0                    | 94                 | 0.018   | 35  | 2.0          |
| 7-1 <sup>f</sup>        | Н                                     | Н              | н, он                | 28                 | 6.2   | nt  | ~            |
| <b>7-2</b> <sup>g</sup> | Н                                     | Н              | H, OH                | 22                 | 4.9   | nt  | - ]          |
| 8                       | Н                                     | Н              | OMe, OMe             | 72                 | >10   | nt  | -            |
| 9a                      | Н                                     | Н              | NOH                  | 52                 | 0.91  | nt  | -            |
| 9b-1 <sup>h</sup>       | Н                                     | Н              | NOMe                 | 24                 | 0.067   | nt  | -            |
| 9b-2 <sup>i</sup>       | Н                                     | Н              | NOMe                 | 61                 | 0.11  | 21  | 2.0          |
| 9c-1 <sup>h</sup>       | Н                                     | Н              | NOCH <sub>2</sub> Ph | 47                 | 0.11  | 29  | 8.0          |
| 9c-2 <sup>i</sup>       | Н                                     | Н              | NOCH <sub>2</sub> Ph | 43                 | 0.0095  | 31  | 2.0          |
| 1                       | Н                                     | Н              | 0                    | -                  | 0.011   | 57  | 0.38         |

a) Yields from leinamycin unless otherwise noted. b) In vitro antiproliferative activity against HeLa  $S_3$  cells. The cells were precultured for 24 h in 96-well plates and treated with compounds for 72 h. On day 4, the antiproliferative activity was determined by the neutral red dye-uptake method. c) In vivo antitumor activity against lymphocytic leukemia P388 in mice.  $CD2F_1$  mice (five mice/group) were implanted intraperitoneally (ip) with  $10^6$  cells, and compounds were administered ip on day 1. d) Maximal increase in life span, calculated (T/C-1) x 100, where T and C are mean survival days of treated and control mice, respectively. e) Optimal dose. f) Less polar isomer. g) More polar isomer. h) Less polar isomer (Z oxime configuration). i) More polar isomer (E oxime configuration). j) 2-Tetrahydropiranyl. k) 1-Methoxytetrahydropiranyl. l) Yield from compound 3. m) Yield for a 5:4 mixture of diastereomers. n) Not tested.

In vivo antitumor activity of leinamycin derivatives against P388 leukemia seemed to be consistent with their in vitro antiproliferative activity in terms of optimal dose. Thus, the derivatives with low  $IC_{50}$  value showed potent in vivo antitumor activity in terms of dose. Some derivatives showed comparable ILS value to that of leinamycin (2b, 2e, 3, and 6b).

In summary, chemical modifications of C-8 hydroxy and C-9 keto groups of leinamycin were carried out and the antiproliferative activity against HeLa S<sub>3</sub> cells revealed a broad structure-activity relationship in vitro. Modification of C-8 hydroxy group resulted in potent derivatives 2f and 6a. The importance of substituents at C-8 and C-9 for the antitumor activity might be associated with the interaction between leinamycin derivatives and DNA.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. M. Yoshida and her staff for NMR and MS spectra. We are grateful to N. Chiba, K. Masunaga, Y. Tsuji, and K. Sugiyama for their excellent technical assistance.

## **References and Notes**

- (a) Hara, M.; Takahashi, I.; Yoshida, M.; Asano, K.; Kawamoto, I.; Morimoto, M, Nakano, H. J. Antibiotics 1989, 42, 333.
   (b) Hara, M.; Asano, K.; Kawamoto, I.; Takiguchi, T.; Katsumata, S.; Takahashi, K.; Nakano, H. J. Antibiotics 1989, 42, 333.
   (c) Hirayama, N. Matsuzawa, E. S. Chem. Lett. 1993, 1957.
- (a) Hara, M.; Saitoh, Y.; Nakano, H. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 5676.
   (b) Asai, A.; Hara, M.; Kakita, S.; Kanda, Y.; Yoshida, M.; Saito, H.; Saitoh, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6802.
- 3. Oxidative DNA cleavage by leinamycin in addition to the DNA alkylation was recently reported. Mitra, K.; Kim, W.; Daniels, J. S.; Gates, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11691.
- For our synthetic approach, see: (a) Kanda, Y; Saito, H.; Fukuyama, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5701.
   (b) Kanda, Y.; Fukuyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 8451.
   All compounds were fully characterized by 'H NMR, IR, and HRMS. Spectroscopic data for key
- 5. All compounds were fully characterized by 'H NMR, IR, and HRMS. Spectroscopic data for key compounds is as follows: Compound 2a: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400MHz)  $\delta$  ppm; 8.80 (dd, J = 16.5, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 6.67 (br d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (dd, J = 11.6, 11.6, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 6.03 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (br s, 2H), 5.36 (dq, J = 6.6, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (br s, 1H), 3.06 (br s, 2H), 2.35 (dt, J = 12.8, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (dt, J = 12.8, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 1.88 (dt, J = 12.8, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.77 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.72 (s, 3H). HRFABMS m/z calcd for  $C_{24}H_{29}N_2O_7S_3$  (M+H) 553.1137, found 553.1160.
  - Compound **2f**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400MHz)  $\delta$  ppm ; 8.76 (dd, J = 16.6, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 6.66 (br d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (dd, J = 11.4, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (br d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (dq, J = 6.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (br s, 1H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.04 (s, 2H), 2.34 (dt, J = 12.7, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (ddd, J = 13.0, 12.7, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (ddd, J = 12.7, 12.4, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.76 (ddd, J = 13.0, 12.4, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.74 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). HRFABMS m/z calcd for  $C_{25}H_{31}N_2O_8S_3$  583.1242 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>, found 583.1259.
  - Compound **6a**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500MHz)  $\delta$  ppm; major isomer 9.25 (dd, J = 16.5, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 6.88 (br d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 6.36 (dd, J = 11.6, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 6.01 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (dq, J = 6.7, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dd, J = 9.7,1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (br s, 1H), 4.58 (t, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.78-3.74 (m, 1H), 3.50-3.45 (m, 1H), 3.25 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 2.35-2.28 (m, 1H), 2.12-2.06 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.44 (m, 8H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.79 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.72 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H); minor isomer 9.04 (dd, J = 16.5, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 6.86 (br d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (dd, J = 11.6, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (d, J = 16.5, 1H), 5.87 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dq, J = 6.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (br s, 1H), 4.91 (dd, J = 9.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (br s, 1H), 3.72-3.67 (m, 1H), 3.45-3.39 (m, 1H), 3.22 (d, J = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (d, J = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.35-2.28 (m, 1H), 2.14-2.06 (m, 1H), 1.90-1.40 (m, 8H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.72 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.72 (s, 3H). HRFABMS m/z calcd for  $C_{27}H_{35}N_2O_7S_3$  (M+H)\* 595.1606, found 595.1606.
- 6. Each isomer at the C=N double bond was isolated in case of **9b** and **9c**. The stereochemistry was determined by NMR spectra. For the determination of oxime stereochemistry, see: Gasc, J.-C.; Gouin D'Ambrieres, S.; Lutz, A.; Chantot, J.-F. *J. Antibiotics* **1991**, **44**, 313.