



□ FACULTY OF SCIENCE,  
TECHNOLOGY  
AND MEDICINE

UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG

THESIS FOR THE BACHELOR OF MATHEMATICS

---

# High Dimensional Regression Models

---

BY JORIS LIMONIER

*Supervisor:* Mark PODOLSKIJ

*Submitted:* June 4, 2021



# Abstract

Abstract goes here.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Notes for chapter 1 . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Classical theory of Linear Regression</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Linear models . . . . .	3
2.2	The least squares method . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Theory for LASSO in high dimensions</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Assuming the truth is linear . . . . .	7
3.2	Linear approximation of the truth . . . . .	12



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Notes for chapter 1





# Chapter 2

## Classical theory of Linear Regression

### 2.1 Linear models

We consider the setting of having a sample of  $n$  observations

$$(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_n)$$

where  $X_i \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $Y_i \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Definition 2.1** (The linear model). *The relationship between an observation  $\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathcal{X}$  and its outcome  $\mathbf{Y}_i \in \mathcal{Y}$  can be established by a linear model, that is*

$$i = 1, \dots, n \quad \mathbf{Y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j \mathbf{X}_i^{(j)} + \varepsilon_i \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.). Moreover,  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have that  $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i] = 0$  and each  $\varepsilon_i$  is independent of all of the  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Instead of seeing each observation individually we can deal with all of them together by expressing the linear model in matrix notation

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad (2.2)$$

**Definition 2.2.**

(a)  $\mathbf{X}$  is called the **design matrix**. It has dimension  $n \times p$ .  $\mathbf{X}$  consists of stacking the vectors relative to each observation inside of a matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} - & X_1^T & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & X_n^T & - \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is called the **parameter vector**. It has dimension  $p \times 1$ .

(c)  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is called the **error vector**. It has dimension  $n \times 1$ .

(d)  $\mathbf{Y}$  is called the **response vector**. It has dimension  $n \times 1$ .

## 2.2 The least squares method

We define the objective function  $S(\boldsymbol{\beta})$  as follows

$$S(\boldsymbol{\beta}) := \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad (2.3)$$

which may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} S(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

The least squares method aims at finding the vector  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  minimizing  $S$ , that is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} := \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} S(\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

We find  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  by differentiating  $S$  with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and setting the result to 0.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} S(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= 0 \\ \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} \left( \mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y} - 2\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right) &= 0 \\ \implies -2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} + 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= 0 \\ \implies \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where equation (2.4) is called the least squares normal equations.

If we assume that  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  is invertible, then (2.4) yields that our least squares estimator  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y} \quad (2.5)$$

Now, we can verify that our estimator has some fundamental properties. Namely, we want to make sure that it is unbiased, *i.e.*  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}] = \beta$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{X}\beta + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\beta + (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right] \\ &= \beta\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we want to take a look at the estimator's variance. For this purpose we will use the covariance matrix. Let  $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , recall that the covariance matrix is defined as

$$\text{Cov}(U, V) := \mathbb{E}\left[(U - \mathbb{E}(U))(V - \mathbb{E}(V))^T\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times p}(\mathbb{R})$$

where  $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $\text{Cov}(U, V)_{ij}$  is the covariance between  $U_i$  and  $V_j$ . In the particular case  $U = V$ , the diagonal of the covariance matrix is nothing else than the variance of  $U$ , that is

$$\text{Var}(U)_i = \text{Cov}(U, U)_{ii} \quad i = 1, \dots, p$$

Therefore the variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) &= \text{Var}\left[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}\right] \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) \left[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T\right]^T \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Now that we confirmed the above properties of  $\hat{\beta}$ , we are interested in estimating the quality of our prediction. The residuals can help us do that.

**Definition 2.3** (Residuals). *For a given set of observations  $\mathbf{Y}$ , the **residuals** (or **vector of residuals**) is the difference between the prediction of our model and the observed value, that is*

$$X(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

We would like a measure that indicates how far our predictions are from the measurements. We will use the prediction error for that purpose.

**Definition 2.4** (Prediction error). *For a given set of observations  $\mathbf{Y}$ , the **prediction error** is the squared  $\ell^2$ -norm of the difference between the prediction of our model and the observed value, that is*

$$\|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta)\|_2^2$$



# Chapter 3

## Theory for LASSO in high dimensions

### 3.1 Assuming the truth is linear

In this section, we assume that there exists some “true value” that would make the parameter  $\beta$  fit the observations to the predictions perfectly. We call this ideal parameter vector  $\beta^0$ . However, we work with an underdetermined system: there are more variables than equations, or in our context, there are more parameters than observations (*i.e.*  $p > n$ ).

We define  $\hat{\beta}$  as follows

$$\hat{\beta} := \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\beta\|_1 \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

**Lemma 3.1** (Basic Inequality).

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 \leq 2 \frac{\varepsilon^T \mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)}{n} + \lambda \|\beta^0\|_1$$

*Proof.* By definition of  $\hat{\beta}$ , we have that

$$\forall \beta \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\beta\|_1$$

In particular for  $\beta = \beta^0$  we have

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta^0\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\beta^0\|_1$$

We now replace  $\mathbf{Y}$  using equation (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\|(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^0 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^0 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\langle \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^0 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{2\langle \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0), \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{2\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_1
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let

$$\mathcal{T} := \left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} 2 \frac{|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{X}^{(j)}|}{n} \leq \lambda_0 \right\}$$

**Lemma 3.2** (Lemma 6.2.). *Suppose  $\forall j = 1, \dots, p, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_j^2 = 1$  and for all  $t > 0$  and*

$$\lambda_0 := 2\boldsymbol{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{t^2 + 2 \log p}{n}}$$

*we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}) \geq 1 - 2 \exp[-t^2/2]$$

*Proof.* We define

$$V_j := \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{X}^{(j)}}{\sqrt{n\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2}}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} 2 \frac{|\varepsilon^T \mathbf{X}^{(j)}|}{n} \leq 2\sigma \sqrt{\frac{t^2 + 2 \log p}{n}}\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} \left| \frac{\varepsilon^T \mathbf{X}^{(j)}}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} \right| \leq \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \log p}\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |V_j| \leq \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \log p}\right) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |V_j| > \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \log p}\right) \\
&= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^p \left\{|V_j| > \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \log p}\right\}\right) \\
&\geq 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \mathbb{P}\left(|V_j| > \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \log p}\right) \\
&\geq 1 - p \mathbb{P}\left(|V_j| > \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \log p}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us define  $\zeta := \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \log p}$ . Since  $V_j$  is  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ -distributed and  $\zeta > 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(V_j > \zeta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/2} dy \\
&< \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \frac{y}{\zeta} e^{-y^2/2} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\zeta \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} y e^{-y^2/2} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\zeta \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\zeta^2/2}
\end{aligned}$$

We note that  $p \geq 2 \implies \zeta \sqrt{2\pi} \geq 1$  therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(V_j > \zeta) < e^{-\zeta^2/2}$$

Moreover by symmetry of the  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  distribution,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(|V_j| > \zeta) &= 2\mathbb{P}(V_j > \zeta) \\
&< 2e^{-\zeta^2/2}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this result into (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{T}) &\geq 1 - p \mathbb{P}\left(|V_j| > \sqrt{t^2 + 2 \log p}\right) \\ &\geq 1 - p \frac{2}{p} \exp\left[\frac{-t^2}{2}\right] \\ &= 1 - 2 \exp\left[\frac{-t^2}{2}\right]\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.3** (Consistency of the LASSO). *Assume  $\sigma^2 = 1$  for all  $j$ . We define the regularization parameter as*

$$\lambda = 4\hat{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\frac{t^2 + 2 \log p}{n}}$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}$  is some estimator of  $\sigma$ .

Then with probability at least  $1 - \alpha$ , where  $\alpha := 2 \exp(-t^2/2) + \mathbb{P}(\hat{\sigma} \leq \sigma)$  we have

$$2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} \leq 3\lambda \|\beta^0\|_1$$

**Lemma 3.4** (Lemma 6.3.). *We have on  $\mathcal{T}$ , with  $\lambda \geq 2\lambda_0$ ,*

$$2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1 \leq 3\lambda \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0} - \beta_{S_0}^0\|_1$$

*Proof.* We start with the Basic Inequality

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 \leq 2 \frac{\varepsilon^T \mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)}{n} + \lambda \|\beta^0\|_1$$

Now since we are on  $\mathcal{T}$  and since  $2\lambda_0 \leq \lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 &\leq \lambda_0 \|\hat{\beta} - \beta^0\|_1 + \lambda \|\beta^0\|_1 \\ 2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + 2\lambda \|\hat{\beta}\|_1 &\leq \lambda \|\hat{\beta} - \beta^0\|_1 + 2\lambda \|\beta^0\|_1\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\beta_{j,S} := \beta_j 1\{j \in S\}$ . We use the triangle inequality on the left hand side

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\beta}\|_1 &= \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0}\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1 \\ &= \|\beta_{S_0}^0 - \beta_{S_0}^0 + \hat{\beta}_{S_0}\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1 \\ &\geq \|\beta_{S_0}^0\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0} - \beta_{S_0}^0\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1\end{aligned}$$



whereas on the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^0\|_1 &= \|(\hat{\beta}_{S_0} + \hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}) - (\beta_{S_0}^0 + \underbrace{\beta_{S_0^c}^0}_{=0})\|_1 \\ &= \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0} - \beta_{S_0}^0\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1\end{aligned}$$

Injecting these two results, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}& 2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + 2\lambda\|\hat{\beta}\|_1 \leq \lambda\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^0\|_1 + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1 \\ \implies & 2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + 2\lambda \left( \|\beta_{S_0}^0\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0} - \beta_{S_0}^0\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1 \right) \\ & \leq \lambda \left( \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0} - \beta_{S_0}^0\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1 \right) + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1 \\ \implies & 2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + 2\lambda \underbrace{\|\beta_{S_0}^0\|_1}_{=\beta^0} + \lambda\|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1 \leq 3\lambda\|\hat{\beta}_{S_0} - \beta_{S_0}^0\|_1 + 2\lambda\|\beta^0\|_1 \\ \implies & 2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\beta}_{S_0^c}\|_1 \leq 3\lambda\|\hat{\beta}_{S_0} - \beta_{S_0}^0\|_1\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.5** (Compatibility condition). *We say that the compatibility condition is met for the set  $S_0$ , if for some  $\phi_0 > 0$ , and for all  $\beta$  satisfying  $\|\beta_{S_0^c}\|_1 \leq 3\|\beta_{S_0}\|_1$ , it holds that*

$$\|\beta_{S_0}\|_1^2 \leq \left( \beta^T \hat{\Sigma} \beta \right) \frac{s_0}{\phi_0^2} \quad (3.3)$$

**Theorem 3.6** (Theorem 6.1.). *Suppose the compatibility condition holds for  $S_0$ . Then on  $\mathcal{T}$ , we have for  $\lambda \geq 2\lambda_0$ ,*

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^0\|_1 \leq 4\lambda^2 \frac{s_0}{\phi_0^2}$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3.4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0\|_1 \\
&= 2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} + \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0^c} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0^c}^0}_{=0}\|_1 \\
&= 2 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0\|_1 + \lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0^c}\|_1 \quad (\text{by lemma 3.4}) \\
&\leq 4\lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0\|_1 \\
&= 4\lambda \sqrt{\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0\right)^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0\right) s_0 / \phi_0^2} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0\right)^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0\right)} \frac{4\lambda \sqrt{s_0}}{\phi_0 \sqrt{n}} \\
&\leq \|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0)\|_2 \frac{4\lambda \sqrt{s_0}}{\phi_0 \sqrt{n}} \\
&\leq \|\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_0} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_0}^0)\|_2^2 + \frac{4\lambda^2 s_0}{\phi_0^2 n}
\end{aligned}$$

Where the last inequality follows from  $4uv \leq u^2 + 4v^2$ . □

## 3.2 Linear approximation of the truth

Now  $\mathbf{Y} := \mathbf{f}^0 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , therefore  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}] := \mathbf{f}^0$ .

**Lemma 3.7** (New version of the Basic Inequality).  $\forall \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \in \mathbb{R}^p$  we have

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{2\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^*)}{n} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* By definition of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , we have that

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\beta} \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_1$$

In particular for  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^*$  we have

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \quad \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1$$

Since  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{f}^0 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\|(\mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{\|(\mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\langle (\mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, (\mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \\
& \leq \frac{\langle (\mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, (\mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\|\mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \\
& \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|_2^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{f}^0 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^*, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{2\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^*)}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.8** (New version of Lemma 6.3.). *We have on  $\mathcal{T}$ , with  $\lambda \geq 4\lambda_0$ ,*

$$\frac{4\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + 3\lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_*^c}\|_1 \leq 5\lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_*} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_*}^*\|_1 + \frac{4\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} \quad (3.5)$$

where  $S_* := \{j : \beta_j^* \neq 0\}$ .

*Proof.* We start with the Basic Inequality

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{2\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^*)}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n}$$

Now since we are on  $\mathcal{T}$  and since  $4\lambda_0 \leq \lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \frac{2\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^*)}{n} + \lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} \\
\Rightarrow & 4\frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + 4\lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 \leq \lambda\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 + 4\lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_1 + 4\frac{\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

We use the triangle inequality on the left hand side

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\|_1 &= \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_*}\|_1 + \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_*^c}\|_1 \\
&= \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_*}^* - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_*}^* + \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_*}\|_1 + \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_*^c}\|_1 \\
&\geq \|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_*}^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_*} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{S_*}^*\|_1 + \|\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{S_*^c}\|_1
\end{aligned}$$

whereas on the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned}\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_1 &= \|(\hat{\beta}_{S_*} + \hat{\beta}_{S_*^c}) - (\beta_{S_*}^* + \underbrace{\beta_{S_*^c}^*}_{=0})\|_1 \\ &= \|\hat{\beta}_{S_*} - \beta_{S_*}^*\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_*^c}\|_1\end{aligned}$$

Injecting these two results, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}& 4 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + 4\lambda\|\hat{\beta}\|_1 \leq \lambda\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_1 + 4\lambda\|\beta^*\|_1 + 4 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\beta^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} \\ \implies & 4 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + 4\lambda \left( \|\beta_{S_*}^*\|_1 - \|\hat{\beta}_{S_*} - \beta_{S_*}^*\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_*^c}\|_1 \right) \\ & \leq \lambda \left( \|\hat{\beta}_{S_*} - \beta_{S_*}^*\|_1 + \|\hat{\beta}_{S_*^c}\|_1 \right) + 4\lambda\|\beta^*\|_1 + 4 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\beta^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} \\ \implies & 4 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + 4\lambda \underbrace{\|\beta_{S_*}^*\|_1}_{=\beta^*} + 3\lambda\|\hat{\beta}_{S_*^c}\|_1 \\ & \leq 5\lambda\|\hat{\beta}_{S_*} - \beta_{S_*}^*\|_1 + 4\lambda\|\beta^*\|_1 + 4 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\beta^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} \\ \implies & 4 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + 3\lambda\|\hat{\beta}_{S_*^c}\|_1 \leq 5\lambda\|\hat{\beta}_{S_*} - \beta_{S_*}^*\|_1 + 4 \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\beta^* - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n}\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 3.9** (Compatibility condition for general sets). *We say that the compatibility condition holds for the set  $S$ , if for some constant  $\phi(S) > 0$ , and for all  $\beta$ , with  $\|\beta_{S^c}\|_1 \leq 3\|\beta_S\|_1$ , one has*

$$\|\beta_S\|_1^2 \leq (\beta^T \hat{\sigma} \beta) \frac{|S|}{\phi^2(S)}$$

We define  $\mathcal{S}$  as the collection of sets  $S$  for which the compatibility condition holds.

**Definition 3.10** (The oracle). *We define the oracle  $\beta^*$  as*

$$\beta^* = \arg \min_{\beta: S_\beta \in \mathcal{S}} \left\{ \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\beta - \mathbf{f}^0\|_2^2}{n} + \frac{4\lambda^2 s_\beta}{\phi^2(S_\beta)} \right\}$$

where  $S_\beta := \{j : \beta_j \neq 0\}$ ,  $s_\beta := |S_\beta|$  denotes the cardinality of  $S_\beta$  and the factor 4 in the right hand side comes from choosing  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ .