

Ex. 3 p. 146

$$X_1, \dots, X_m \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

you want to estimate the .95 percentile
 $\bar{\tau}$: $P\{X_i < \bar{\tau}\} = .95$

a) fixed $\hat{\bar{\tau}}_{\text{MLE}}$

Answer: $P\{X_i < \bar{\tau}\} = P\left\{ \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma} < \frac{\bar{\tau} - \mu}{\sigma} \right\}$
 $\Rightarrow .95 = P\left\{ \frac{\bar{\tau} - \mu}{\sigma} < \frac{\bar{\tau} - \mu}{\sigma} \right\}$ (Z ~ \mathcal{N}(0,1))

$\Phi\left(\frac{\bar{\tau} - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$ where Φ is
the cdf of a $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$

Recall: $\Phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\bar{\tau} - \mu}{\sigma} = \Phi^{-1}(0.95) \Rightarrow \bar{\tau} = \mu + \sigma \Phi^{-1}(0.95)$$

$$\hat{\bar{\tau}}_{\text{MLE}} = \hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{\text{MLE}}}{\sigma} \Phi^{-1}(0.95)$$

b) Find a 1- α CI (approx.) for $\bar{\tau}$.

Here $\Theta = \{(\mu, \sigma)\}$

Recall: A vector $\underline{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}$ of random variables,
 ↳ called random vector.

$$\text{iii) } E(\underline{z}) := \begin{pmatrix} E(z_1) \\ E(z_2) \\ \vdots \\ E(z_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{i) } \text{Var}(\underline{z}) := E[\underbrace{(z - E(z))}_{n \times 1} \underbrace{(z - E(z))^T}_{1 \times n}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\underline{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \quad \text{and}$$

$$[\text{Var}(\underline{z})]_{ij} = \text{Cov}(z_i, z_j)$$

$$\text{Our } \bar{\tau} = \mu + \sigma \Phi^{-1}(0.95) =: g(\mu, \sigma)$$

$$\text{So } \hat{\bar{\tau}}_{\text{ML}} = g(\hat{\mu}_{\text{ML}}, \hat{\sigma}_{\text{ML}}) \quad (\text{equivariance of ML})$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\bar{\tau}}_{\text{ML}-\bar{\tau}} = g(\hat{\mu}_{\text{ML}}, \hat{\sigma}_{\text{ML}}) - g(\mu, \sigma)$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} \nabla g(\mu, \sigma) [\hat{(\mu, \sigma)}_{\text{ML}} - (\mu, \sigma)]$$

$$\text{Now: } (\hat{\mu}_{\text{ML}}, \hat{\sigma}_{\text{ML}}) - (\mu, \sigma) \stackrel{?}{=} \nabla g(\mu, \sigma) \bar{\tau}_{\text{ML}} - \bar{\tau}$$

$$\hat{\bar{\tau}}_{\text{ML}-\bar{\tau}} \stackrel{?}{=} \nabla g(\mu, \sigma) \bar{\tau}_{\text{ML}} - \nabla g(\mu, \sigma) \bar{\tau}$$

Last time I showed you that

$$I_n(\mu, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2}{\delta^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2n}{\delta^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\Rightarrow I_n(\mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n\sigma^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note * it follows that

$$\left[\hat{\tau}_{ML} \pm 2 \cdot \sqrt{\nabla g(\mu, \sigma)} \right] \stackrel{\text{for } T}{\rightarrow} \text{asymptotic } 1-\alpha$$

$$g(\mu, \sigma) = \mu + \sigma \Phi^{-1}(0.95)$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla g(\mu, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \Phi^{-1}(0.95) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{sd}(\hat{\tau}_{ML}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \left[\sigma^2 + \left[\Phi^{-1}(0.95) \right]^2 \right] \frac{\sigma^2}{2}}$$

Since σ is unknown we say

$$\text{sd}(\hat{\tau}_{ML}) = \left[\frac{1}{n} \left(\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 + \left[\Phi^{-1}(0.95) \right]^2 \right) \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2}{2} \right]^{1/2}$$

Linear Regression Model

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon \quad (\text{1})$$

you have N individuals

$$\Rightarrow Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{Response vector})$$

and p features collected into the design matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p}$:

for the i -th individual, you observe features $(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{ip})$, the i -th row of X

From (1):

$$y_i = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} + \epsilon_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix}$$

unknown parameters

$$\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_N \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{is the residual vector}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\epsilon_i) &= 0 \\ \text{Var}(\epsilon_i) &= \sigma^2 \\ \epsilon_i &\perp \epsilon_j \end{aligned}$$

Additional tips:

i) $X^T X$ is invertible ($\text{Rank}(X) = p$)

ii) $\epsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$

Best forecast is:

~~β_0, β_1~~ are unknown and should be estimated

For a given $\hat{\beta}$, your guess of \hat{y} will be $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = y - X\hat{\beta} \sim \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i^2 = \text{mse } \hat{\sigma}^2$$

idea: $\min_{\hat{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i^2 = \text{mse } \hat{\sigma}^2$

$$\Rightarrow \min_{\hat{\beta}} \|y - X\hat{\beta}\|^2 = \text{mse } (y - X\hat{\beta})^T (y - X\hat{\beta})$$

$$= \text{mse } \left[\hat{y}^T \hat{y} + \hat{\beta}^T X^T X \hat{\beta} - 2 \hat{\beta}^T X^T y \right]$$

$\underbrace{\hat{y}^T \hat{y}}$

$$\nabla_{\beta} Q(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} X^T X \beta - X^T y = 0_{RP}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Leftrightarrow & X^T X \beta = X^T y \\ \Leftrightarrow & \beta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y\end{aligned}$$