

Thesis Title

A subtitle of your thesis

Author name



Thesis submitted for the degree of
Master in Master's Program Name <change at
main.tex>
60 credits

Department Name <change at main.tex>
Faculty name <change in duoforside.tex>

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Spring 2022

Thesis Title

A subtitle of your thesis

Author name

© 2022 Author name

Thesis Title

<http://www.duo.uio.no/>

Printed: Reprosentralen, University of Oslo

Abstract

Contents

1	Introduction	1
I	Theory	3
2	High-Entropy alloys	4
2.1	Fundamentals	4
2.2	Core effects	6
2.3	Physical properties	7
3	Special quasi-random Structures	8
3.1	The concept and fundamentals of SQS - Write proper later .	8
3.2	Mathematical formulation: Might need to expand on the definition and meaning behind terms such as clusters, figures, correlation functions etc (Add in post!)	9
3.3	Results and Advances in SQS and application to high-entropy alloys	12
4	Density-Functional Theory	13
4.1	Fundamentals of DFT	13
4.2	Short-comings of DFT	13
4.3	Extensions of DFT	13
4.3.1	GGA	13
4.3.2	SCAN	13
4.3.3	Hybrid Functionals	13
II	Methodology and Implementation	14
5	Applied DFT	15
5.1	Introduction to VASP	15
5.1.1	Work-flow	15
5.2	SQS implementation - TDEP	15
5.3	Parameters	15
5.3.1	Convergence	15

6	Material	16
6.1	welcome the new high-entropy silicides	16
6.1.1	Fe ₂ Si, FeSi ₂ , MnSi ₁₇₅ , Cr ₂ Si etc	16
6.1.2	The alloys	16
III	Results and Discussion	17
7	Results of SQS	18
7.1	Something	18
8	Band gap results	19
8.1	Compare and anlayze band gaps	19
IV	Conclusion	20

List of Figures

List of Tables

Preface

Chapter 1

Introduction

The technological revolution the last decades have been based largely on the discovery and application of new materials and alloys. Alloying materials reach back into the old civilization. But the difference today is the method of which we can apply to research and produce materials. One of which is high-entropy alloys, a novel class of materials based on alloying multiple components as opposed to the more traditional binary alloys. This offers many new possibilities, and recently there have been discovered HEA with unexpected and superior properties from the original components, such as increased strength and tear resistance **cite**. Along with the recent advancements in material informatics and numerical methods such as density functional theory, this makes for a promising foundation for researching this new class of materials. **More on the use of DFT and developments in the field.**

In this thesis, we will mainly look at the physical and electrical properties of high-entropy alloys. The primary goal of this thesis could be formulated as a search for semiconducting high-entropy alloys. Semiconductors have w wide range of applications in today's world, ranging from optical and electrical devices to renewable energy sources such as solar and thermoelectricity. Given the economic and sustainable factors concerning silicon, in addition to its role in relevant applications such as microelectronics and solar power. Silicon emerges as a natural selection to build our alloys around **Point to Mari's master and the article on HEA silicide**. Furthermore, the development and research on both high entropy alloys and metal silicides have been heavily centered around 3d transition metals. Keeping in line with the economic and environmental properties of silicon, we will thus continue this direction in this study by focusing on high entropy stabilized 3d metal silicides. Adding to this, the alloys will be based on the well known and researched silicides CrSi₂, FeSi₂, Fe₂Si and MnSi₁₇₅, as these offer a decent range of different starting properties, such as band gap, crystal structure and metal to silicon ratios.

An additional point is that most of the recent progress and studies on high-entropy alloys have been solely directed at the mechanical properties, while the physical landscape is mostly left unexplored. Building on this idea comes the overarching philosophy behind this thesis, as an attempt to

employ the technology and methods we have developed today to explore the unknown, and in that image, explore materials that does not fall under any known material class.

Another key aspect of this thesis, will be to trial the ability and progress of ab initio and other numerical methods to perform qualitative studies of such complex materials. This is a key contributor to why high-entropy alloys have only emerged the last decade or so, without the aid of computational methods, would make the research of complex disordered structures very challenging. Mainly, we will observe the applicability of DFT to perform accurate measure of the band gap and structure, which historically have been a shortcoming of this theory. In addition we will apply a method called special quasi-random structures, an algorithm based on Monte Carlo simulations, to correctly model these disordered materials. And evaluate both the accuracy, reliability and the computational feasibility of this method and ab initio simulations in general.

Something on the structure of the project and what topics will be covered. Write in particular, that this thesis will assume the reader is somewhat familiar with materials science and semiconductor physics, thus not include it in the theory section. I think? Maybe I will add it later More on recent research and findings in both silicides and HEA, as well DFT based studies, basically just the introduction of many articles placed here! Also mention the use of VASP and the term "compositional complex alloys", as this make the latter sections more sensible

Part I

Theory

Chapter 2

High-Entropy alloys

To begin this project, we will start by giving a brief description of high-entropy alloys. This will include an introduction covering the basics and definitions, as well some more advanced topics relating to the physical properties of HEA's. This section will be largely based on the fantastic description of HEA's in "High-Entropy Alloys - Fundamentals and Application" [1] and the references therein, it's an excellent read.

2.1 Fundamentals

High-Entropy Alloys are a quickly emerging field in materials science due to the infinitely many possibilities and the fascinatingly observed properties. Since it's original discovery by Jin in 2004, as of 2015 there have been over 1000 published journal articles on the field. In its simplicity, a high-entropy alloy can be compared to a smoothie. By combining an assortment of fresh fruit and vegetables one can produce unique combinations of flavors and nutritional values based on both the properties of the distinct items, and their interplay in the mixture. In materials science, this exact procedure can be applied to generate a large range of materials with tunable properties depending on the intended application. In the topic of HEA's, this can be increased strength or ductility, corrosive resistance or lowered thermal conductivity, all of which have been observed in actual researched high-entropy alloys. Moving on from the rather banal fruit analogy, a high-entropy alloy typically falls under the two conditions.

1. The material consist of at least 5 distinct elements, where each element contribute between 5-35% of the composition
2. The total configurational entropy is greater than $1.5R$, where R is the gas constant.

The latter in particular is an especial case for high-entropy alloys. Typically the configurational entropy, eq .. for a solid solution increases with a higher number of components in the mix. For example, the ideal configurational entropy of binary alloy is $0.69R$, while a 5-component alloy is $1.61R$ **ref**

book. If we neglect other factors that influence the formation of solid solutions (will be covered later), from Gibbs free energy (eq ..) the two primary factors in impacting the formation of solid solution is the mixing enthalpy, which is the driving force to form compounds, and the mixing entropy which is the driving force to form random solid solutions. At elevated temperatures especially, it's clear that the energy term related to the entropy of the system becomes comparative to the mixing enthalpy and contribute to the overall equation. In summary, the overall concept of high-entropy alloys is that through alloying a greater number of elements, the increase in the configurational entropy of the system prohibit formation of intermetallic compounds in favor of a random solid solution. The random term simply relate to the various components occupying lattice positions based on probability. All though the mixing entropy as mentioned above plays a central role in the formation, there are many more factors that may oppose the formation of a single disordered phase. One of these is the atomic size effect which is related to the discrepancy in atomic sizes between the various elements in the alloy. **The book** points to a study, and figure illustrating the relationship between ΔH_{mix} and δ , indicating that for very small values of δ , ie similar atomic sizes. The elements have an equal probability to occupy lattice sites to form solid solutions, but the mixing enthalpy is not negative enough to promote formation of solid solution. Increasing δ does result in greater ΔH_{mix} , but leads to a higher degree of ordering. In conclusion, the formation of solid solution high-entropy alloys lie in a narrow range of δ value to satisfy both the enthalpy of mixing and the disordered state. To define this range of formation of HEA, Yang and Zhang introduced the parameter Ω as

$$\Omega = \frac{T_m \delta S_{\text{mix}}}{|\Delta H_{\text{mix}}|} \quad (2.1)$$

, and found that the formation of single disordered solid solution is found for $\Omega \geq 1.1$ and $\delta \leq 6.6\%$. While compounds such as intermetallics form for greater values of δ and lesser values of Ω . Similarly, replacing the atomic size effect constant for the number of elements result in an equivalent condition. Another factor that is strongly related to the crystal structure and physical properties of high-entropy alloys is the total number of electrons VEC (Valence electron concentration). VEC is an important parameter that is strongly related to the crystal structure of the material. For example, in Co_3V , originally a hexagonal structure. Can by increasing the VEC by alloying with Ni transform to a tetragonal structure, or a cubic structure by reducing the VEC with Fe. Altering the crystal structure had a massive impact on the properties of the material, seeing as hexagonal alloys tend to be brittle, while cubic alloys are more ductile. In fact, Mizutani **book, cite?** obtained a clear relationship between physical properties in 3d transition metals and the VEC. Derived from the work of Guo et al. on the phase stability of a $\text{Al}_x\text{CrCuFeNi}_2$ HEA, the VEC can be directly related to the crystal structure of high-entropy alloys. A lower VEC stabilize the BCC phase, while higher values stabilize FCC. In between is a mixture of the two. Specifically values greater than 8.0 stabilize FCC, and values bellow

6.87 favor BCC. However, these boundaries is not rigid when including elements outside of transition metals, exceptions has also been found for high-entropy alloys containing "Mn". All though a heavy majority of reported high-entropy alloys that form solid solutions are either FCC or BCC, recent studies have observed HEA's in orthorombic structure like $Ti_{35}Zr_{27.5}Hf_{27.5}Ta_5Nb_5$ and hcp structures, for example $CoFeNiTi$

2.2 Core effects

Next, we will summarize the discussion above into four core elements that distinctly describe high-entropy alloys and their implications on the functional properties. The first of these is the "high-entropy effect", related to the increased configurational entropy due to the amount of elements, that can inhibit the formation of strongly ordered structures. The high-entropy effect is most prominent in Gibbs energy of a system, where the balance between entropy and enthalpy is central and the effects are further emphasized at elevated temperatures, responsible for the high-temperature stability in high-entropy alloys. **This needs work!**. Secondly is "severe lattice distortion effect", that originates from the fact that every element in a high-entropy structure is surrounded by non-homogeneous elements, thus leading to lattice strain and stress. The overall lattice distortion is additionally attributed to the differences in atomic size, bonding energies and crystal structure tendencies between the components. Therefore the total lattice distortion observed in HEA's are significantly greater than that of conventional alloys. This effect mostly affect the strength and conductivity of the material, such that a higher degree of distortion yields greater strength and greatly reduces the electronic and thermal conductivity due to increased electron and phonon scattering. An upside to this is that the scattering and following properties become less temperature dependent given that it originates from the lattice rather than thermal vibrations. The two remaining effects, "sluggish diffusion" and "cocktail effect" can be summarized swiftly. The first is a direct consequence of the multi-component layout of high-entropy alloys, that result in significantly slowed diffusion and phase transformation because of the number of different elements that is demanded in the process. The most notable relation from this effect is an increased creep resistance. Lastly we have the cocktail effect, which is identical to the smoothie analogy mentioned previously, in that the resultant characteristics of such a mixture is a combination of both the elements and their interaction. This is possible the most promising concept behind high-entropy alloys, which fuels researchers with ambition to discover highly optimized materials by meticulously combining and predicting properties from different elements. Examples of this can be the refractory HEA's developed by "Air Force Research Laboratory" severely exceeding the melting points and strength of previous Ni or Co-based superalloys by alloying specifically refractory elements such as Mo, Nb and W. Or the research conducted by Zhang et al. on the high-entropy system $FeCoNi(AlSi_{0-0.8})$ in the intent of unveiling

the optimal combination of magnetic, electric and mechanical properties. Resulting in an excellent soft magnet.

2.3 Physical properties

In the discussion above of the core effects regarding high-entropy alloys, especially the lattice distortion and cocktail effect are responsible for the physical properties because of the former's effect on scattering and the latter on combining properties from various elements. The initial study on the physical properties on high-entropy alloys was conducted on the H-x alloy, referring to the system $Al_xCoCrFeNi$ with $0 \leq x \leq 2$. It was found that the electrical resistivity was higher than that of conventional alloys, and that the conductivity generally decreased with increasing amounts of Al. Going back to the section on lattice distortion, we also know that these properties are relatively temperature insensitivity since the lattice effects outweigh the thermal effects in terms of electron and phonon scattering. To further justify this point, the H-x compound mentioned above exhibited noteworthy low carrier mobility compared to conventional alloys. Similar findings have also been made for the $FeCoNi(AlSi)_x$ system. **Write a small part on magnetic and relate to the cocktail effect, then very briefly conclude by mentioning findings of superconductivity, corrosion resistance, hydrogen storage and other properties/applications.**

Chapter 3

Special quasi-random Structures

There are numerous difficulties involved with modeling of high-entropy alloys, or more general multi-component random alloys. **These are ...** Today, the most popular approaches are the coherent potential approximation (CPA), the virtual crystal approximation (VCA), the cluster expansion method, and special quasi-random structure (SQS). For this project, we exclusively used the latter primarily for it's direct and easy VASP implementation. Compared to the other approaches mentioned above, SQS reliably outperforms MC/MD simulations in terms of DOS calculations, and are significantly easier to implement and interpret. All though especially CPA is considered superior in simulating the electronic character of random alloys, combination with VASP is cumbersome and thus we opted for SQS as the building block of this thesis. **More on this? Cite relevant references on this, and restructure the paragraph to include more specif information and sources.**

3.1 The concept and fundamentals of SQS - Write proper later

Before the arrival of SQS and CPA methods, the common approach of modeling random alloys was to distribute the numerous elements randomly over the lattice sites. This was a costly operation, which either involved averaging a great number of possible configurations, or infeasible large supercells considering the computational efforts required. In the original paper on SQS published in 1990 [3] **Replace this article with the bigger SQS article from 1990**, it was proposed a selective occupation strategy (originally intended at random binary alloys) to design special periodic quasi-random structures that exceed previous methods in accuracy and cost. The key concept was to create a periodic unit cell of the various components in a finite N lattice site single configuration such that the structure most closely resemble the configuration average of an infinite perfect random alloy. In an attempt to work withing the

50 lattice sites boundary of ab initio methods at that time. The working theory was that if one can resemble an infinite perfect random alloy by a periodic finite N cell, also the electronic properties would be similar between the two. The solution to this model was that for each N, ie lattice site, to minimize the difference of structural correlation function between the approximated cell and the perfect random alloy. There are obviously errors involved with approximating a random alloy by a periodic cell, but by the hierarchical relation to the properties of the material, interactions between distant sites only offer a negligible small contribution to the total energy of the system. Thus the aim of the SQS method is focused around optimizing the correlations within the first few shells of a given site. To follow is a review of the mathematics related to the SQS method.

3.2 Mathematical formulation: Might need to expand on the definition and meaning behind terms such as clusters, figures, correlation functions etc (Add in post!)

Before describing the SQS approach, we begin by reviewing the concepts of topics such as cluster expansions, statistics and superposition of periodic structures. These topics are widely covered in the literature, we will simply focus on the summarizing the description given in [3]. Thus, we opt readers to refer to the original article or similar literature for a more comprehensive description of these topics. On a side note regarding the following mathematical derivation, the original concept was devolved for random binary alloys, but the theory have later been successfully extended to multi-component alloys as well.

The different possible atomic arrangements are denoted as "configurations" σ . The various physical properties of a given configuration is $E(\sigma)$, and $\langle E \rangle$ is the ensemble average over all configurations σ . In practice, this quantity is unfeasible in terms of computational cost, seeing as the average require calculations and relaxations of all possible configurations, for a binary alloy this is 2^N for a fixed N number of lattice sites. A solution to this is to use the theory of cluster expansions and discretize each configuration into "figures" f . A figure in the lattice is defined in terms of the number of atoms it include k , distance in terms of neighbors m , and position in the lattice l . Further we assign spin values for each lattice site i in the figure to denote which element it holds (+1,-1 for a binary alloy). By defining the spin product of spin variables in a figure at lattice position l as $\Pi_f(l, \sigma)$, we can write the average of all locations in the lattice of a given figure f as

$$\bar{\Pi}_f(\sigma) = \frac{1}{ND_f} \sum_l \Pi_f(l, \sigma) \quad (3.1)$$

where D_f is the number of equivalent figures f per site. The brilliance of this notation is that we now can express the physical property $E(\sigma)$ in terms

of the individual contributions ϵ_f of a figure f .

$$E(\sigma) = \sum_{f,l} \Pi_f(l, \sigma) \epsilon_f(l) \quad (3.2)$$

The quantity ϵ_f is called the "effective cluster property" and is defined as (for a random binary alloy $A_{1-x}B_x$)

$$\epsilon_f(l) = 2^{-N} \sum_{\sigma} \Pi_f(l, \sigma) E(\sigma) \quad (3.3)$$

Inserting the equation for $\vec{\Pi}_f$ into that of $E(\sigma)$ we can describe the the previous cluster expansion of $E(\sigma)$ as

$$E = N \sum_f D_f < \vec{P}_{i_f} > \epsilon_f \quad (3.4)$$

And obtain a simplified expression for $< E(\sigma) >$ in eq 1? Thus we have successfully managed to reduce the expensive task of sampling all $E(\sigma)$ into calculating the effective cluster properties and summing over all types of figures. Remembering that $E(\sigma)$ can relate to many physical properties, the most common and applied case is that $E(\sigma)$ is the total energy, while ϵ_f is many body interaction energies. The cluster expansion above converge rather quickly with increasing number of figures, an effective method is thus to select a set of configurations to evaluate the effective cluster properties. Don't know how to write this, but the next step is to select a finite largest figure denoted F , and "specialize" the cluster expansion to a set of N_s periodic structures $\sigma = s$ to obtain the two expressions for $E(s)$ and ϵ_f using matrix inversion to obtain the result for ϵ_f

$$E(s) = N \sum_f^F D_f \vec{\Pi}_f(s) \epsilon_f \quad (3.5)$$

$$\epsilon_f = \frac{1}{ND} \sum_s^{N_s} [\vec{\Pi}_f(s)] - 1 E(s) \quad (3.6)$$

Assuming now that the sum of figures F and N_s periodic structures are well converged, $E(\sigma)$ can be rewritten as a superposition of $E(s)$

$$E(\sigma) = \sum_s^{N_s} \zeta_s(\sigma) E(s) \quad (3.7)$$

$$\zeta_s(\sigma) = \sum_f^F [\vec{\Pi}_f(s)]^{-1} \vec{\Pi}_f(\sigma) \quad (3.8)$$

where ζ is the weights. Thus we have effectively reduced the problem to a convergence problem of the number of figures F and structures N_s . This can be easily solved given that we are dealing with periodic crystal structures s that can employ the general applications of ordered structures from ab initio methods, and increasing F until the truncation error falls

bellow a desired threshold. However, this approach requires that the variance of the observable property is much lower than the sample mean, otherwise one would have to employ a much bigger sample size to reach statistical convergence. Don't how to write this part nicely, but: Because of the different relationship between various physical properties and the correlation functions, one observe different convergence depending on the meaning of E . The idea behind SQS was therefore to design single special structures with correlation functions $\vec{\Pi}_f(s)$ that most accurately match those of the ensemble average of a random alloy $\langle \vec{\Pi}_f \rangle_R$.

The correlation functions of an perfect random infinite alloy, denoted as R is defined bellow

$$\vec{\Pi}_{k,m}(R) = \langle \vec{\Pi}_{k,m} \rangle_R = (2x - 1)^l \quad (3.9)$$

with k, m defined as before and x being the composition ratio of the alloy. In the case of an eqvimolar alloy ($x = \frac{1}{2}$), the functions equal 0 for all k except $\langle \vec{\Pi}_{0,1} \rangle_R = 1$. If we now randomly assign either atom A or B to every lattice site, for a sufficiently large value of N , the goal is then to create a single configuration that best match the random alloy. Keeping with the $x = \frac{1}{2}$ case, the problem is now that even though the average correlation functions of a large set of these structures approaches zero, like for the random alloy. The variance of the average is nonzero meaning that a selected structure of the sample is prone to contain errors. The extent of these errors can be evaluated from the standard deviations

$$\nu_{k,m}(N) = | \langle \vec{\Pi}_{k,m}^2 \rangle - \langle \vec{\Pi}_{k,m} \rangle^2 |^{\frac{1}{2}} = (D_{k,m}N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.10)$$

Given the computational aspects, it's obvious that economical structures with small N are prone to large errors. In fact, in some cases these errors can result in correlation functions centering around 1, as opposed to 0 for a perfect random alloy.

I don't know how to write the prelude to this part! (see section IIIA in [3]). The degree to which a structure s fails to reproduce the property E of the ensemble-averaged property of the random alloy can be described by a hierarchy of figures, see eq .. bellow

$$\langle E \rangle - E(s) = \sum_{k,m}^l D_{k,m} [(2x - 1)^k - \vec{\Pi}_{k,m}(s)] \epsilon_{k,m} \quad (3.11)$$

, the prime is meant symbolize the absence of the value 0, 1 for k, m . The contribution from the figure property ϵ reduces for larger figures. In general, for disordered systems, the physical property "E" at a given point R falls of exponentially as $|R - R'|/L$, where L is a characteristic length scale relating to the specific property. Using this, the approach of SQS is to specify a set of correlation functions that hierarchically mimic the correlation functions of the random alloy. Meaning that it prioritize the nearest neighbor interactions. With the set of functions decided on, the objective it finally to locate the structures that correspond to the selected structures.

Finished :) Write a final conclusion on this SQS derivation and motivate the incredibly bored reader for the coming sections.

3.3 Results and Advances in SQS and application to high-entropy alloys

A big part of this is related to the use of periodic crystal structures, that can employ standard ab initio methods; see article [3]. **Write later, Begin with results of original SQS proposed in 1990, move on to mcsqs and finally mcsqs for HEA and results in that field. For references see: see ch 10 book HEA, mcsqs article and later sections in [3]**

Following the 1990 paper, in 2013 a team of researchers further developed the concept of SQS to the current method used today, by the addition of monte-carlo algorithms [2]. **Write later**

Today SQS have been successfully applied to study formation energies, elastic properties, bond lengths, density of states, band gaps, plus more for a number of disordered structures, including semiconductors, metals, and high-entropy alloys. **Last section of 10.1, maybe include references from this section?** However, a large portion of SQS studies relate to binary and ternary alloys, with a very limited amount of literature on SQS on high-entropy alloys.

Chapter 4

Density-Functional Theory

4.1 Fundamentals of DFT

4.2 Short-comings of DFT

4.3 Extensions of DFT

4.3.1 GGA

4.3.2 SCAN

4.3.3 Hybrid Functionals

Part II

**Methodology and
Implementation**

Chapter 5

Applied DFT

5.1 Introduction to VASP

5.1.1 Work-flow

5.2 SQS implementation - TDEP

5.3 Parameters

5.3.1 Convergence

Chapter 6

Material

6.1 welcome the new high-entropy silicides

6.1.1 Fe₂Si, FeSi₂, MnSi₁₇₅, Cr₂Si etc

6.1.2 The alloys

Part III

Results and Discussion

Chapter 7

Results of SQS

7.1 Something

Compare the results from different SQS in terms of stability and total energy: Factors to include can be bond length, nearest neighbors, density, structure, element, size of SQS, initial magnetic settings. ETC

Chapter 8

Band gap results

8.1 Compare and analyze band gaps

Results here can be a table of the band gaps for different SQS both within one cell, or compare multiple cells. Other results include Density of States, Local DOS, bandgap from eigenval without impurity states. Compare different methods, GGA, SCAN and hybrid. The CHGCAR to evaluate bonding in terms of metallic or covalent. Possibly band-unfolding?

Part IV

Conclusion

Bibliography

- [1] Michael C Gao et al. *High-Entropy Alloys*. 1st ed. Springer, Cham, 2016. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27013-5>.
- [2] A. van de Walle et al. 'Efficient stochastic generation of special quasirandom structures'. In: *Calphad* 42 (2013), pp. 13–18. ISSN: 0364-5916. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.calphad.2013.06.006>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0364591613000540>.
- [3] S.-H. Wei et al. 'Electronic properties of random alloys: Special quasirandom structures'. In: *Phys. Rev. B* 42 (15 Nov. 1990), pp. 9622–9649. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.42.9622. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.9622>.