	what are stast that correlate to good fantasy football production? This is a broad question. To start we will focus on Wide Recievers and Tight Ends, broadly known as pass catchers. The type of league can have a huge impact on the fantasy output as well. The main 3 types of scoring format are standard, half points per reception, and full points per reception, and full points per reception (PPR). For standard, a fantasy football score is determined by yards and TDs. For half-PPR and full PPR leagues, a fantasy tootball score is determined by yards, TDs, and receptions. This is an example for a WF/TE ex: Standard - if a player has 3 receptions, 55 yards, and 1 touchdown they will have 5.5 (1 point per 10 yards) + 6 points (6 points per TD) + 1.5 points + Half PPR - if a player has 3 receptions, 55 yards, and 1 touchdown they will have 5.5 (1 point per 10 yards) + 6 points (6 points per TD) + 3 (1 point per reception) = 14.5 points + Full PPR - if a player has 3 receptions, 55 yards, and 1 touchdown they will have 5.5 (1 point per 10 yards) + 6 points (6 points per TD) + 3 (1 point per reception) = 14.5 points
In [55]:	To start lets gather the top scrimmage yard leaders in the NFL #### #### #### #### ##### ##########
In [57]: In [58]:	#ESPN data
In [59]:	<pre>mfl_soup infl_table infl_soup.find('table', id='receiving.and_rushing') mcode obsolete stantasy_soup = BeautifulSoup(fantasy_page.text, 'lxml') efantasy_soup afantasy_soup.find('table') efantasy_soup.find('table') efantasy_soup.find('table') efantasy_table infl_reference headers in table infl_leaders in table infl_leaders infl</pre>
In [60]: In [61]:	nfl_headers[a] = Recvds' nfl_headers[a] = Recvds' nfl_headers[a] = Recvds' nfl_headers[a] = Recut nfl_headers[a] = Recut nfl_headers[a] = Resut nfl_headers[a] = Resut nfl_headers[a] = Resut nfl_headers[a] = Restho' nfl_headers[a] = Recvds' nfl_he
In [62]:	for ji mfiltable.find all('tr')[i:]: row_data_tr = j.find_all('tr')[i:] row_data_tr = j.find_all('tr') row_data_tr =
In [63]:	<pre>Comp still players (RB, WR, TE) with over 10 yards will qualify for my table ### comparison of the data for Index, row in nft_data.iterrows():</pre>
	<pre>elif int(row['6']) < 3: nfl_data.drop(index, inplace=True) if len(row['Feb']) == 0: rfl_data = nfl_data.replace(row['Feb'], '0') if len(row['Rec']) == 0: rfl_data = nfl_data.rese_index(orw['Rec'], '0') if row['RefD'].issignit(): nfl_data = nfl_data.rese_index(orw['RefD']), int(row['RefD'])) anfl_data = nfl_data.rese_index(orge=True) mfl_data.co.string() pot.set.opin('display.max_rows', None) anfl_data[0]</pre>
Out[63]:	
In [64]:	9 10 Davante Adams LVR 30 WR 17 17 180 100 1516 15.2 14 65 60 5.9 88.2 556% 8.4 3 -1 0 0 4 -0.3 -0.1 0.2 103 14.7 1515 14 1 Since all 3 types of scoring are widely used, I will use the middle ground for our data (half PPR). Calculating the data myself will have a few flaws. First off, any obsecure way a player gained fantasy points will not be included (for example, scoring on a 2pt conversion, or scoring on a punt/kickoff return). In addition, the 18 week of the regular season is usually a very inaccurate week to look at for stats as many teams are not playing their starters 100% as they tank for the draft or get ready for the playoffs. It is all very subjective, but I think calculating myself to remove outliers is the best way to have fair data. ***ecalculate fantasy points per game and total fantasy points. Add both columns to table. **final [] [[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[
	<pre>fpc.total.append(fantasy_points) fpgg.append(fantasy_points) fpgg.append(fantasy_points) fpgg.append(fantasy_points) ffl_data["FPF"] = fp_total for index, row in nfl_data.iterrows(): nfl_data = nfl_data.replace(row("FPF"), pp.round(row("FPF"), 2)) nfl_data = nfl_data.replace(row("FPF"), pp.round(row("FPF"), 2)) if out of the file of the following for the following f</pre>
	8 8 8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
In [65]:	9 21 Trews Recie KAN 33 TE 17 17 152 110 1338 122 12 78 52 65 787 72.4% 8.8 2.0 50 0.0 1.0 4.0 2.5 0.3 0.1 112 120 1343 12.0 1 1525 259.3 ### Create tables for Recievers and Runningbacks rec_data = nfl_data.copy(deepTrue) for index, row in rec_data.iterrows(): if row[Pos'] == 'We.'' if is.float.ceptic(row['Y/R'])): rec_data.replace(row['Y/R']), float(row['Y/R'])): rec_data.replace(row['Y/R']), float(row['Y/R'])): rec_data.replace(row['Y/R'])): rec_data = rec_data.replace(row['Y/R']), float(row['Y/R'])): rec_data = rec_data.replace(row['Y/R']), float(row['Y/R'])): rec_data =
	Comparison of the properties
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
In [66]: In [67]: In [68]:	Second, we will pull data from Pro Fantasy Football. This website focuses on the fantasy aspect of football. This allows us to get the total fantasy points of an individual player based on Half PPR scoring . This is the official number of points a player scored for half PPR. #### Official data to compare fantasy score outputs (response 200) ##################################
In [69]: In [70]: In [71]:	### it is a support of the support o
Out[71]:	16 17 Davante Adams WR MIN 34.9 VR MIN 34.
In [72]:	22 2 Serion Diggs WR 8UF 222 388 109 82 202 288 8VE 198 118 128 177 187 52 82 36 36 199 157 2662 22 20 Travis Kede TE KC 221 7.6 159 197 30 152 128 8VE 156 171 325 137 56 91 155 143 7.8 68 154 2613 23 players = list(rec_data[:S]['Player']) my_data = list(rec_data[:S]['FPT']) controlled to the state of
	plt.vlite(Fintary Output of First 10 NFL Players for my fantasy point calculation vs the official calculations (half PPR)') plt.vlite(Fintary Output of First 10 NFL Players for my fantasy point calculation vs the official calculations (half PPR)') plt.vlite(Fintary Output of First 10 NFL Players for my fantasy point calculation vs the official calculations (half PPR) Fantasy Output of First 10 NFL Players for my fantasy point calculation vs the official calculations (half PPR) **My Data** **X** Official Data** **A Officia
	The state of the s
In [73]:	As you can see from comparing both tables and the graph, while there are some annonolies that slightly change the the Fantasy points per game / fantasy point total, it is relatively similar. This is a relatively small example of just 5 players. If we look at other cases, some players came out with the exact same score, while others had a lower official score compared to my calculations by a small margin. Now that we understand withy we are using an objective calculation of fantasy output rather than the official numbers. Lets take a look at statistic that we expected to be heavily correlated with fantasy point output, total touchdowns (This will include all skill players). ### Some data by Fantasy points per game. I can allows players who got injured for some part of the year to be included where they would be projecting a full season infl. data = infl.data.sort.values(by=['FPPG*], ascending=False) ### Infl.data = infl.data.sort.values(by=['FPPG*], ascending=False) ### Infl.data = infl.data.sort.values(by=['FPPG*], ascending=False) ### Infl.data = infl.data.sort.values(by=['FPPG*], ascending=False)
Out[73]:	Find Find Find Find Find Find Find Find
Out[74]:	######################################
In [75]:	5 15 Section Diggs BUF 29 WR 16 16 154 108 1479 132 11 74 53 6.8 893 7014 93 1 1629 2606 6 21 Taxis Kebe KAN 3 TE 17 17 152 110 1338 122 1 1 74 53 6.8 893 7014 93 1 1525 293 7 11 A. Berwin PH 125 WR 17 16 145 88 1496 170 11 59 78 52 880 80.7% 13 2 1504 2556 8 16 Ceecbectamb DAL 23 WR 17 17 155 107 1359 127 9 67 39 6.3 79.9 68.0% 87 0 1459 2481 9 287 Michael Thomas 900 2 9 WR 3 0.0 2 2 16 17 10.7 3 1 3 1 2 1 5 3 77.0 72.7% 78 0 1437 43.1 ### 40 analysis -> Linear regards not total tounchdowns scored and fantasy point output Tri_data['TDPG'] = nfl_data.apply(lambda x: np. round(int(x['RRTD']) / int(x['G']), 4), axis = 1) X = nfl_data['TDPG'] value: reshape('1, 1) Y = nfl_data['TDPG'] value: reshape('1, 1)
In [76]:	<pre>model = LinearRegression() model.fit(x, y) coef = model.coef_[0] intercept_</pre>
Out[76]: In [77]:	plt.plot(x.ravel(), y_pred, color='blue', label='LinReg line: y = ' + str(np.round(coef, 3)) + 'x + ' + str(np.round(intercept, 3))) plt.plot(Y.ravel(), y_pred, color='blue', label='LinReg line: y = ' + str(np.round(coef, 3)) + 'x + ' + str(np.round(intercept, 3))) plt.plot(Y.ravel(), y_pred, color='blue', label='LinReg line: y = ' + str(np.round(coef, 3)) + 'x + ' + str(np.r
	<pre>## Create a scatter plant with hover lands is some conficients using Numbey polyfit slope, intercept = np.polyfit(df('Tbs'), df('Fbs'), 1)</pre> ## Create a scatter plant with hover lands is some conficients using Numbey polyfit slope, intercept = np.polyfit(df('Tbs'), df('Fbs'), 1) ### Calculate the linear regression coefficients using Numbey polyfit slope, intercept = np.polyfit(df('Tbs'), df('Fbs'), 1)
	# Calculate the residuals (differences between actual FPts and predicted FPts) # Calculate the residuals = df['EPts'] - predicted_fpts # Define a threshold to identify outliers (example: 2 standard deviations from the residuals mean) # Define a threshold to identify outliers (example: 2 standard deviations from the residuals mean) # Coultiers = df[abs(residuals) > threshold * residuals.std()] fig.add_trace(go.Scatter(x=outliers['Tos'], x=outliers['Tos'], mode='markers', residuals = differences between actual FPts and predicted FPts) # Update the layout to add axis labels and a title # Update the layout to add axis labels and a title
	fig. update_layout{ xxxxx.title="Top Per Game", yxxxx.title="Top Per Game", title="Top Per Game", height = 900, witht = 2000 } # Show the interactive plot fig. show() TDs Per Game vs. Fpts Per Game O
	AFR PUC Came
	0 02 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 TDs Per Game
[n [78]:	To per Game Its very interesting to book at this cata, is may opinion. Tibs are very random in any given season, but heavily impact featings upque. This is shown in the PP2 value of .65. It doesn't have an exteremely strong correlation, although it does have some. Overall, To's includ food some weight for featings valiput, but it's ready hard to know more much they can be used to predict future output. For example, belowing at an outline above the regression line, you can see Districts and provided in the provided output featings output to the provided output featings output featin
In [78]:	The sept tensor give late a fix of the 1r spyrous. These vary rother is any power rother, but language rother, but
In [78]:	To produce the stand for space and space and stand for space and s
In [78]:	Table 10 Description of protein factors from the content of the c
In [78]:	The first of the control of the cont
In [78]:	The state of the control of the cont
In [78]:	
In [78]:	TO THE TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE TOP TO
In [78]:	To the control of the
In [78]:	
In [78]:	The state of the s
In [79]:	

Brain storming: topic - fantasy football projections