Usability review (Español) [Enter product name] Score Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the N/A = not applicableoverall user experience. or can't be assessed **Features & functionality** Las características y la funcionalidad cumplen con las metas y objetivos comunes del usuario. Good Las características y la funcionalidad son compatibles con los flujos de trabajo deseados por los usuarios. Good Las tareas de uso frecuente están fácilmente disponibles (por ejemplo, fácilmente accesibles desde la página de inicio) y están bien soportadas Good (por ejemplo, los accesos directos están disponibles).

Moderate

Moderate

Poor

Los usuarios reciben un apoyo adecuado según su nivel de experiencia (por ejemplo, atajos para usuarios expertos, ayuda e instrucciones para

Las llamadas a las acciones (por ejemplo, registrarse, agregar a la cesta, enviar) son claras, están bien etiquetadas y aparecen como cliqueables.

La página de inicio proporciona una instantánea clara y una descripción general del contenido, las características y la funcionalidad disponible.

usuarios novatos).

Homepage / starting page

7	La página de inicio es eficaz para orientar y dirigir a los usuarios a la información y las tareas deseadas.	Moderate
8	El diseño de la página de inicio es clara y ordenada con suficiente "espacio en blanco".	Poor
Nav	rigation	
9	Los usuarios pueden acceder fácilmente al sitio o la aplicación (por ejemplo, la URL es predecible y es devuelta por los motores de búsqueda).	Good
10	El esquema de navegación (por ejemplo, el menú) es fácil de encontrar, intuitivo y consistente.	Good
11	La navegación tiene la flexibilidad suficiente para permitir que los usuarios naveguen por los medios deseados (por ejemplo, búsqueda, navegación por tipo, navegación por nombre, más reciente, etc.).	Moderate
12	La estructura del sitio o la aplicación es clara, fácil de entender y aborda objetivos comunes del usuario.	Moderate
13	Los enlaces son claros, descriptivos y están bien etiquetados.	Good
14	Las funciones estándar del navegador (por ejemplo, 'atrás', 'adelante', 'marcador') son compatibles.	Good
15	La ubicación actual está claramente indicada (por ejemplo, ruta de navegación, elemento de menú resaltado).	Good

16	Los usuarios pueden volver fácilmente a la página de inicio o a un punto de inicio relevante.	Good
17	Se proporciona un mapa del sitio o índice claro y bien estructurado (cuando sea necesario)	Very poor
Sea	arch	
18	Una función de búsqueda consistente, fácil de encontrar y fácil de usar está disponible en todas partes (cuando sea conveniente)	Good
19	La interfaz de búsqueda es adecuada para cumplir los objetivos del usuario (por ejemplo, parámetros múltiples, resultados priorizados, filtrado de resultados de búsqueda)	Very poor
20	El servicio de búsqueda se ocupa de las búsquedas comunes (por ejemplo, muestra la mayoría de resultados populares), faltas de ortografía y abreviaturas.	Very poor
21	Los resultados de búsqueda son relevantes, exhaustivos, precisos y se muestran bien	Poor
Coi	ntrol & feedback	
22	Se proporciona una respuesta rápida y apropiada (por ejemplo, después de una acción exitosa o no exitosa).	Good
23	Los usuarios pueden fácilmente deshacer, volver atrás y cambiar o cancelar acciones; o al menos tienen la oportunidad de confirmar una acción antes de cometer (por ejemplo, antes de realizar un pedido)	Poor

Los usuarios pueden enviar comentarios (por ejemplo, por correo electrónico o mediante un formulario de comentarios / contacto en línea) Good **Forms** Los formularios y los procesos complejos se dividen en pasos y secciones fácilmente comprensibles. Cuando se utiliza un proceso, hay un indicador Good de progreso con números claros o etapas con nombre. Se solicita una cantidad mínima de información y, cuando se proporciona la justificación necesaria para solicitar información (por ejemplo, fecha de Good nacimiento, número de teléfono) Los campos de formulario requeridos y opcionales están claramente indicados Good Se utilizan los campos de entrada apropiados (por ejemplo, el calendario para la selección de la fecha, el menú desplegable para la selección) y se Good indican los formatos requeridos Se proporcionan ayuda e instrucciones (como ejemplos, información reguerida) donde sea necesario. Very poor **Errors** Los errores son claros, fácilmente identificables y aparecen en la ubicación apropiada (por ejemplo, adyacente al campo de entrada de **Moderate** datos, advacente al formulario, etc.). Los mensajes de error son concisos, están escritos en un lenguaje fácil de entender y describen qué ocurrió y qué acción es necesaria **Moderate**

32	Los errores de usuario comunes (por ejemplo, campos faltantes, formatos no válidos, selecciones no válidas) se han tenido en cuenta y, en la medida de lo posible, se han prevenido.	Good
33	Los usuarios pueden recuperarse fácilmente (es decir, no tienen que comenzar de nuevo) de los errores	Moderate
Coi	ntent & text	
34	El contenido disponible (por ejemplo, texto, imágenes, video) es apropiado y suficientemente relevante, y detallado para cumplir con los objetivos del usuario	Moderate
35	Los enlaces a otros contenidos útiles y relevantes (por ejemplo, páginas relacionadas o sitios web externos) están disponibles y se muestran en contexto	Good
36	El lenguaje, la terminología y el tono utilizados son apropiados y son fácilmente comprensibles para el público objetivo	Good
37	Los términos, el idioma y el tono utilizados son consistentes (por ejemplo, el mismo término se usa en todo)	Good
38	El texto y el contenido son legibles y escaneables, con buena tipografía y contraste visual	Moderate
Hel	р	
39	Se proporciona ayuda en línea y contextual y es adecuada para la base de usuarios (por ejemplo, está escrita en un lenguaje fácil de entender y solo usa términos reconocidos).	Very poor

40	La ayuda en línea es concisa, fácil de leer y escrita en un lenguaje fácil de entender	N/A
41	El acceso a la ayuda en línea no impide a los usuarios (es decir, pueden reanudar el trabajo donde lo dejaron después de acceder a la ayuda)	N/A
42	Los usuarios pueden obtener más ayuda fácilmente (por ejemplo, teléfono o dirección de correo electrónico)	Moderate
Per	formance	
43	El rendimiento del sitio o la aplicación no inhibe la experiencia del usuario (por ejemplo, descargas lentas de páginas, retrasos prolongados)	Good
44	Los errores y problemas de confiabilidad no inhiben la experiencia del usuario	Good
45	Se admiten posibles configuraciones de usuario (por ejemplo, navegadores, resoluciones, especificaciones de computadora)	Excellent
Ov	erall usability score (out of 100) *	65

* Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to com

Plantilla extraida del artículo: A guide to carrying out usabilhttp://www.uxforthemasses.com/

^{*} Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete sc

^{*} Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the user ex

^{*} Good (between 69 and 89) - Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vast m

^{*} Excellent (more than 89) - This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all impo

Comments

Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users.

Se informan de las tarifas, el tema de alquiler de salas e incluso se detalla sobre algunos trabajadores de la empresa, en genera se muestran todas las características y funcionalidades del entorno.

Un usuario cuando ingrese en la página querrá informarse acerca de las tarifas, el alquiler de las salas, información de la empresa etc. Toda esta información aparece en la página luego cumple con el requisito.

Consta de una barra de navegación con la información necesaria sin llegar a convertirse excesiva, además consta de un buscador lo cual ayudará bastante a los usuarios.

No he sido capaz de encontrar ninguna sección de ayuda, pero si consta de un formulario por si queremos contactar con ellos.

En principio la opción de comunicación del formulario es bastante sencilla de emplear, no considero que un usuario encuentre problema en escribir un mensaje, pero si a la hora de reservar una tarifa se redirige a la zona de contacto, luego entiendo que no se puede realizar una reserva online, sino que

Las imágenes empleadas en la página principal son de poca calidad, no se aprecia con claridad el el texto que contiene la imagen y además se aprecia perfectamente que la calidad de la imagen es baja.

Out of	Score		Weighting ratio	Weighting (out of 5)
5	4	4	100 %	5
5	4	4	100 %	5
4	3,2	4	80 %	4
3	1,8	3	60 %	3
3	1,8	3	60 %	3
3	1,2	2	60 %	3

En general considero que sí, ya que consta de una buena barra en la que las secciones se describen claramente, además existe la información necesaria sin llegar a ser excesiva.

Al ser la página principal debe contener más información, como imágenes o videos del lugar con el objetivo de atraer a los usuarios, ya que al ser la página principal será la primera impresión que tenga un usuario al visitarla, luego elaborar una buena página principal es importante.

La url es el nombre de la empresa, luego se encontrará sin ningún problema.

Sí, la barra de navegación se encuentra en la parte superior y se aprecia de forma correcta cada una de las secciones de las que está formado.

Todas las secciones tienen un nombre descriptivo lo cual ayudará bastante a los usuarios a comprender el contenido de esas secciones, pero hay un sección llamada "La pecera comunicación" que puede confundir a los usuarios ya que no saben lo que es, en lugar de llamarlo así le pondría un nombre como

En general tiene una estructura clara y facil de entender, aunque algunas secciones como la página principal se podrían mejorar bastante.

Sí, se diferencia perfectamente aunque un poco más de contraste con los demás colores de la página mejoraría la experiencia de usuario de aquellas personas que tienen algún tipo de problema visual.

Sí, cada una de las interacciones de navegación mediante el navegador funcionan correctamente con la página.

Hay una sección en la página principal que te indica la ubicación del lugar, lo cual viene muy bien ya que es una información bastante útil y a la que se puede acceder rápido sin tener que realizar búsquedas complejas en la página.

4	80 %	3	2,4	4
3	60 %	2	1,2	3
2	40 %	4	1,6	2
4	80 %	4	3,2	4
3	60 %	3	1,8	3
5	100 %	3	3	5
3	60 %	4	2,4	3
4	80 %	4	3,2	4
2	40 %	4	1,6	2

Sí, a través del logo en la parte superior izquierda se puede acceder a la página principal siempre que se desee.					
principal siempre que se desee.	2	40 %	4	1,6	2
No he sido de encontrar un sitemap de la web.					
	1	20 %	1	0,2	1
Se encuentra en la barra de navegación, luego siempre que se quiera utilizar					
estará disponible.	4	80 %	4	3,2	4
No consta de ningún parámetro de búsqueda, más que introducir una cadena, entiendo que en función de la cadena se obtienen los resultados.					
	4	80 %	1	0,8	4
Los resultados obtenidos son en relación a la cadena introducida, y en ellos no aparece un orden de ningún criterio, por ejemplo, el de búsquedas populares, etc.	2	40 %	1	0,4	2
Los resultados que se muestran tienen mucha información, es decir, cada uno	_	40 70	Τ.	0,4	_
de los resultados contiene bastante información acerca del resultado, si se realiza una búsqueda que tiene muchos resultados, será difícil ver y analizar los diferentes resultados ya que cada uno de ellos ocupa bastante espacio en la	4	80 %	2	1,6	4
Durante todas las pruebas que he realizado, he obtenido respuestas en tiempos relativamente bajos.					
No dispone de opciones de realizar pedidos, ni nada similar, todo se realiza con	4	80 %	4	3,2	4
el formulario de contacto.	3	60 %	2	1,2	3

En la sección de blog se pueden enviar comentarios mediante un formulario bastante claro y estructurado de forma correcta.	1	L	20 %	4	0,8	1
Los formularios que he encontrado en la página no tienen ninguna complejidad, no requieren ni pasos complejos ni operaciones complejas.	3	3	60 %	4	2,4	3
Cada vez que se dispone a rellenar un formulario se solicita información acerca del usuario, lo cual es bueno pues se dispone información del usuario por si se quiere contactar con él en el futuro.	2	2	40 %	4	1,6	2
Se detalla claramente la función de cada campo y el contenido que se debe introducir. Sí, se utilizan nombres apropiados que describen para que sirve cada una de	2	2	40 %	4	1,6	2
las secciones del formulario. No consta de una sección de ayuda en la página, solo existe la posibilidad de	3	3	60 %	4	2,4	3
contactar.	3	3	60 %	1	0,6	3
Sí, se muestra al usuario cada vez que hay un error, por ejemplo, si se quiere enviar un formulario vacío se remarcan los cuadros en rojo y además aparece un mensaje aunque en inglés indicando que campos debes rellenar.	2	1	80 %	3	2,4	4
Sí aparecen mensaje de error, pero en inglés, por ejemplo al rellenar el mensaje de contacto salen mensajes de error en inglés.	3	3	60 %	3	1,8	3

Sí, se realizan comprobaciones, por ejemplo introducir un email inválido etc.					
	3	60 %	4	2,4	3
Sí, toda la información que se introduce correctamente permanece almacenada.					
	3	60 %	3	1,8	3
Consta de imágenes aunque de poca calidad y el texto que hay en estas imágenes son poco legibles.					
	5	100 %	3	3	5
Sí, cada uno de los enlaces se diferencia correctamente del contenido de la página y en cada una de las secciones hay enlaces disponibles.					
	2	40 %	4	1,6	2
En general se emplea un lenguaje y un tono apropiado.					
	4	80 %	4	3,2	4
	3	60 %	4	2,4	3
El contraste entre el contenido textual de algunas páginas tienen poco contraste con el fondo y a una persona con problemas visuales le puede resultar difícil informarse acerca de la empresa.	3	60 %	3	1,8	3
No existe la ayuda en línea.					
	4	80 %	1	0,8	4

	3	60 %	0	0	0
	3	60 %	0	0	0
Existe un formulario de contacto, quizás en el se podrán enviar dudas acerca de la empresa.	2	40 %	3	1,2	2
Las página cargan en un tiempo considerablemente bajo sin llevar a ser lento.	4	80 %	4	3,2	4
No he encontrado ningún punto que puedan generar desconfianza en los usuarios.	4	80 %	4	3,2	4
La página se adapta correctamente a dispositivos móviles, de escritorio etc.	3	60 %	5	3	3
Moderate	5			89,8	138

plete a significant number of important tasks.

ome important tasks.

operience could be significantly improved.

najority of important tasks.

ortant tasks on the site or system.

asses.com/usability-reviews/ com/wp-content/uploads/2011/02/Usability-review-template.xls

Usability review

[Enter product name]

Score



Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the overall user experience.

N/A = not applicable or can't be assessed

Features & functionality

1 Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives.

Enter score

2 Features and functionality support users desired workflows.

Enter score

Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available).

Enter score

Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users).

Enter score

Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable.

Enter score

Homepage / starting page

The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available.

Enter score

7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Enter score
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Enter score
Nav	rigation	
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Enter score
10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Enter score
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Enter score
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Enter score
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	Enter score
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Enter score
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Enter score

16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Enter score
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Enter score
Sea	arch	
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Enter score
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi-parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Enter score
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Enter score
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Enter score
Coi	ntrol & feedback	
22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Enter score
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Enter score

Forms 25 Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	
and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with	
A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone number).	Enter score
27 Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated.	Enter score
Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated.	Enter score
Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary.	Enter score
Errors	
30 Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.).	Enter score
Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary.	Enter score

Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented. **Enter score** Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors. **Enter score Content & text** Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals. **Enter score** Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context. **Enter score** Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience. **Enter score** Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout). **Enter score** Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast. **Enter score** Help Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms). Where **Enter score** appropriate contextual help is provided.

40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Enter score
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	Enter score
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).	Enter score
Per	formance	
43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).	Enter score
44	Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.	Enter score
45	Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.	Enter score

Overall usability score (out of 100) *

* Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to com

^{*} Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete so

^{*} Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks, however the user expenses the complete most important tasks.

^{*} Good (between 69 and 89) - Users should be able to use this site or system with relative ease and should be able to complete the vast m

^{*} Excellent (more than 89) - This site or system provides an excellent user experience for users. Users should be able to complete all impc

Comments

Optional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users.

 Weighting (out of 5)	Weighting ratio	Rating (0 - 5)	Score	Out of
5	100 %	0	0	0
5	100 %	0	0	0
4	80 %	0	0	0
3	60 %	0	0	0
3	60 %	0	0	0
3	60 %	0	0	0

4	80 %	0	0	0
3	60 %	0	0	0
2	40 %	0	0	0
4	80 %	0	0	0
3	60 %	0	0	0
5	100 %	0	0	0
3	60 %	0	0	0
4	80 %	0	0	0
2	40 %	0	0	0

2	40 %	0	0	0
1	20 %	0	0	0
4	80 %	0	0	0
4	80 %	0	0	0
2	40 %	0	0	0
4	80 %	0	0	0
А.	90.04	0	0	0
3		0	0	0

	1	20 %	0	0	0
	3	60 %	0	0	0
	2	40 %	0	0	0
	2	40 %	0	0	0
	3	60 %	0	0	0
	3	60 %	0	0	0
	4	80 %	0	0	0
	3	60 %	0	0	0

 1				
3	60 (% 0	0	0
3	60 (% O	0	0
l				
5	100 9	% 0	0	0
2	40 (% 0	0	0
4	80 (% 0	0	0
3	60 (% 0	0	0
3	60 (% 0	0	0
4	80 (% 0	0	0

	_				
	3	60 %	0	0	0
	3	60 %	0	0	0
	2	40 %	0	0	0
	4	80 %	0	0	0
	4	80 %	0	0	0
	3	60 %	0	0	0
plete a significant number of important tasks.	5			0	0
piete a significant namber of important tasks.					

ome important tasks.

najority of important tasks.

ortant tasks on the site or system.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{cperience}}$ could be significantly improved.

Usability guidelines

Importance

Features & functionality

1	Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives Key and common user goals and objectives (e.g. carry out some transaction, find some information, carry out some research etc) should have been identified and addressed. Ideally the site or application should allow users to meet all of their key goals and objectives.	Very high
2	Features and functionality support users desired workflows The site or application should support or at least be compatible with the way that users wish to work. For example, users might want to be able to carry out bulk transactions or be able to save and return to their work.	Very high
3	Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from the homepage) and well supported For example short cuts and a login to retrieve details might be provided to speed up the completion of frequently carried out tasks.	High
4	Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise For example, novice users are given help and instructions and features are progressively disclosed (e.g. advanced features not being shown by default).	Medium
5	Calls to action (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable Possible actions should always be clear and the primary call to action (i.e. the most common or desirable user action) should stand out on the page or screen.	Medium

Homepage / starting page

6	The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of the content, features and functionality available For example, an introduction and overview of the site is provided together with section snapshots and example content.	Medium
7	The homepage / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks Users should be able to work out where they need to go to complete a given task (e.g. carry out some research, complete a transaction).	High
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space' Users should be able to quickly scan the homepage and make sense of both the content available and of how the site is structured.	Medium

Navigation

9	Users can easily access the site or application For example, the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines. If a user attempts to find the site via a search engine, it should ideally be returned on the first page of search results for likely queries.	Low
10	The navigational scheme is easy to find, intuitive and consistent Users should be able to very easily locate and use the navigational scheme (e.g. left hand menu, top menu, tabbed menu), and it should not be significantly different across the site or application (unless a decision has been made to specifically differentiate a given section or area).	High
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means For example a user might want to be able to search for an item or browse by size, name or type. Although not all user preferences can or indeed should be addressed, the most useful and common navigational means should be supported.	Medium
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals For example, gathering information, submitting data, carrying out research. Users should be able to work out where they need to go to carry out common user goals and be able to quickly gain an understanding of how the site or application is structured.	Very high
13	Links are clear, descriptive and well labelled Links should be clearly 'clickable' (e.g. underlined or colourised) and it should be clear to users where any given link goes to. Non-descriptive links such as 'click here' should be avoided and any links going to an external website or opening a new window should be identified as such.	Medium
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported Users should be able to bookmark a page (or be presented with a URL to use) and go back and forth without breaking the site or losing any information they have entered.	High
15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item) Users should always know where they are in the site or application.	Low
16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point For example, a homepage link might be part of the breadcrumb or a home link might be available as part of the header.	Low
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary) The sitemap might be part of the header or footer and should ideally be available from every page on the site.	Very low

Search

18	A consistent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout The search function (where required) should be directly available from most pages on the site or application and should be consistently positioned (e.g. top left, top right or top centre).	High
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals For example users are able to filter search results, an advanced search is available (if necessary) and common search conventions such as quotation marks (") and natural language searches are handled.	High

20	The search facility deals well with common searches, misspellings and abbreviations Ideally synonyms (e.g. 'coat' should also match 'jacket') should mean that logical and appropriate search results are returned for common user queries. Popular search results (e.g. top matches) should also be identified for common queries.	Low
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed It should be easy for users to see what has been returned, to work out why something has been returned and to determine how many results there are.	High

Control & feedback

22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given For example, a confirmation message is shown following a successful transaction, input errors are promptly highlighted and it's made clear to users when a page has been updated.	High
23	Users can easily undo, go back and change, or cancel actions If an action can not be undo then users should at least be given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order). For example, users can return to a step and change their options or dynamically change a value without having to start again. Where an action can't be undone (e.g. a deletion), this should be made clear to users.	Medium
24	Users can easily give feedback For example, via email or an online feedback / contact us form. There should be an indication of how long users can expect to wait for a response if a query has been made.	Very low

Forms

25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections For example, a checkout process might be broken up in to 'address', 'delivery options', 'payment' and 'confirmation'. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where necessary justification is given for asking for information For example a site might outline that a telephone number is required in case there is an issue with a transaction. Users shouldn't be asked for extraneous information and where possible information should be auto populated (e.g. postcode lookup, code lookup) to keep input to a minimum.	
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated (e.g. using text or '*') Where most fields are required the optional fields should be identified and when most fields are optional the required fields should be identified.	
28	Appropriate input fields are used and required formats are indicated Appropriate input fields might include calendar for date selection, drop downs for selection and radio button for small selections. Text might be used to indicate the required format or an example might be provided. Field lengths should correspond to the expected input so for example an email input field should be long, where as an initials input field should be very short.	

29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary	Medium
	Where input is non trivial or is likely to require some explanation this should be provided. Where a-lot of explanation is necessary	
	a link to a page outlining what is required should be provided.	

Errors

30	Errors are clear, easily identified and appear in appropriate locations Errors should be immediately apparent to users and ideally be located close to the offending input or function (e.g. adjacent to an input entry field). Inputs causing an error should be highlighted, together with an explanation for the error.	High
31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary Errors should avoid using very technical terms or jargon and should be written from the user's perspective.	Medium
32	Common user errors have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented Common user errors might be missing fields, invalid formats and invalid selections. For example, fields might limit input to particular a format (e.g. numbers only) or only become available once certain criteria have been met. JavaScript might also be utilised to provide immediate feedback for common formatting errors or errors caused by missing fields.	Medium
33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors For example, users might be able to re-edit and resubmit a form or enter a different value.	Medium

Content & text

34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video, audio) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals	
	Content should also be appropriately formatted, so for example videos and audio should be directly playable (i.e. shouldn't need to be downloaded to be played) and images should be of a sufficient quality.	
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages, external websites or documents) are available and shown in context For example there might be links from an article to related articles, related content or related external websites.	Low
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience Jargon should be kept to a minimum and plain language should be used where ever possible.	High
37	Terms, language and tone used are consistent (e.g. the same term is used throughout) Capitalisation (e.g. 'Main title'; 'MAIN TITLE') and grammar should be consistent, together with the use of formal or informal terms (e.g. could not vs couldn't; what's vs what is etc).	Medium
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast Users should be able to quickly scan headers and body text, in order to get an overview of what's available.	Medium

39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base Help should be written in easy to understand language and only uses recognised terms. Users should be able to easily find and access help and where appropriate contextual help should be available, such as help for a specific page, feature or process.	High
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language Help should cover the essentials without providing excessive detail and shouldn't use jargon or technical terminology that isn't likely to be understood by users.	Medium
41	Accessing online help does not impede users Users should be able to resume work where they left off after accessing help. Ideally help should be available directly on a page or using a new window. If help is provided in the form of a document, it should be formatted for the web (e.g. PDF, rather than a Word document).	Medium
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address) If a telephone help number is provided the hours of operation should be shown. If an email address or online form is provided, an indication should be given of how long a response is likely to take (e.g. within the next 24 hrs).	Low

Performance

Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays) Web page downloads shouldn't take longer than 5 seconds and on page interactions (e.g. using an application or AJAX functionality) shouldn't take any longer than 1 second to respond. Interactions taking longer than 1 second to respond should provide suitable feedback to show that something is taking place (e.g. an hour glass or swirling graphic).		High
44	Errors and reliability issues don't inhibit the user experience Sites and applications should be free of bugs and shouldn't have any broken links.	Medium
Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported Websites should be usable at a 800x600 screen resolution and should work with the most common browsers (IE, Firefox, Open Chrome etc). Applications should be usable with common computer specifications (operation system, memory, available dis space) and screen resolutions (e.g. 800x600, 1025x768).		Medium

Rating below	Rating	Rating ranges		
0				
1	Very Poor	less than	29	
29	Poor	between	29 and	49
49	Moderate	between	49 and	69
69	Good	between	69 and	89
89	Excellent	more than	89	