

Study 1 (Gender NPR Study)

December 19, 2025

Items

Variable Names	2
Demographics	3
Primary Analysis	4
Robustness	5
Secondary Analysis: Other Attributes	7
Figure 3A	9
System of Simultaneous Equations	10

Variable Names

Variable	Description
treatment	Binary indicator of whether a participant was randomly assigned to treatment condition (shown women feedback).
set_num	Indicator of which feedback set was shown (1 or 2, with different percentage values).
women_feedback	Binary indicator of whether women feedback was shown to participant.
women_count	Count of women selected across the three choices (0-3).
women_proportion	Proportion of women selected (DV: ranges from 0 to 1).
age_feedback	Binary indicator of whether age feedback was shown.
age_proportion	Proportion of experts under 50 years old selected.
location_feedback	Binary indicator of whether location feedback was shown.
location_proportion	Proportion of experts based on West Coast selected.
university_feedback	Binary indicator of whether university feedback was shown.
university_proportion	Proportion of experts working at a university selected.
choice-1 to choice-3	The selected AI experts
gender	Self-selected gender.
race	Self-selected race.
age	Self-entered age.
gender_code	Dummy code for gender (male = 1).
race_code	Dummy code for race (white = 1).

Demographics

Excluded Participants: 495

##		Percentage	gender
## 1		Woman	55.21
## 2		Man	43.89
## 3		Non-binary	0.90
## 4	Another gender not listed here:		0.00

##		Percentage	Race
## 1	American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.80	
## 2	Asian / Pacific Islander	7.21	
## 3	Black or African American	13.13	
## 4	Hispanic / Latinx	6.51	
## 5	White / Caucasian	72.34	

A tibble: 1 x 2
mean_age sd_age
<dbl> <dbl>
1 43.8 13.2

Treatment condition: 50 %

Control condition: 50 %

Set 1: 52 %

Set 2: 48 %

Mean proportion of women selected: 0.399

SD proportion of women selected: 0.268

Treatment × Set interaction: B = 0.041, p = 0.219

Main effect of stimulus set: B = 0.001, p = 0.948

Primary Analysis

```
# Primary model: Effect of treatment on proportion of women selected  
# As preregistered: includes treatment (gender feedback) and Set1 indicator  
r1 <- lm(women_proportion ~ treatment + set_num, data=d0)
```

```
# Display the summary with robust standard errors  
robust_summary(r1)
```

```
##  
## Call:  
## lm(formula = women_proportion ~ treatment + set_num, data = d0)  
##  
## Residuals:  
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max   
## -0.47288 -0.13955 -0.01445  0.19378  0.67401   
##  
## Coefficients:  
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)      
## (Intercept)  0.30420    0.02638  11.530 < 2e-16 ***  
## treatment    0.12510    0.01650   7.580 7.87e-14 ***  
## set_num      0.02179    0.01654   1.317  0.188      
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1  
##  
## Residual standard error: 0.2608 on 997 degrees of freedom  
## Multiple R-squared:  0.05577,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.05387   
## F-statistic: 29.44 on 2 and 997 DF,  p-value: 3.778e-13
```

```
robust_confint(r1)
```

```
##              2.5 %      97.5 %  
## (Intercept)  0.25242392 0.35597448  
## treatment    0.09271652 0.15748842  
## set_num      -0.01067624 0.05425673
```

Robustness

```
## Model 2: Which feedback was shown with women, remove constant due to collinearity
r2 <- lm(women_proportion ~ women_feedback + age_feedback + location_feedback +
  ↪ university_feedback - 1, data=d0)
```

```
# Display the summary with robust standard errors
robust_summary(r2)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = women_proportion ~ women_feedback + age_feedback +
##     location_feedback + university_feedback - 1, data = d0)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.46970 -0.13636 -0.00333  0.19697  0.66333
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## women_feedback      0.23440    0.01476  15.881 < 2e-16 ***
## age_feedback        0.11945    0.01892   6.315 4.07e-10 ***
## location_feedback    0.11584    0.01947   5.950 3.70e-09 ***
## university_feedback  0.10137    0.01660   6.107 1.46e-09 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.2611 on 996 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.7061, Adjusted R-squared:  0.705
## F-statistic: 598.4 on 4 and 996 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
robust_confint(r2)
```

```
##              2.5 %    97.5 %
## women_feedback  0.20543707 0.2633632
## age_feedback    0.08233186 0.1565759
## location_feedback 0.07763895 0.1540469
## university_feedback 0.06879546 0.1339442
```

```
## Model 3: Robust to demographic controls
r3 <- lm(women_proportion ~ women_feedback + gender_code + race_code + age, data=d0)
```

```
# Display the robust_summary with robust standard errors
robust_summary(r3)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = women_proportion ~ women_feedback + gender_code +
##     race_code + age, data = d0)
##
```

```
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.51243 -0.16417 -0.04477  0.16924  0.72109
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   3.949e-01  3.307e-02  11.942 < 2e-16 ***
## women_feedback 1.196e-01  1.635e-02   7.318 5.19e-13 ***
## gender_code   -9.763e-02  1.647e-02  -5.927 4.24e-09 ***
## race_code     -1.522e-02  1.850e-02  -0.823   0.411
## age          -4.289e-05  6.469e-04  -0.066   0.947
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.2565 on 993 degrees of freedom
## (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared:  0.08684,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.08317
## F-statistic: 23.61 on 4 and 993 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

```
robust_confint(r3)
```

```
##              2.5 %      97.5 %
## (Intercept)   0.330039664  0.459830682
## women_feedback 0.087555376  0.151719828
## gender_code   -0.129955492 -0.065308997
## race_code     -0.051534867  0.021088453
## age          -0.001312263  0.001226475
```

Secondary Analysis: Other Attributes

```
## Effect of age feedback:

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = age_proportion ~ age_feedback, data = d0)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.1788 -0.1788 -0.1532  0.1545  0.8212
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   0.15323    0.01854   8.265 4.42e-16 ***
## age_feedback  0.02562    0.01982   1.293   0.196
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.2069 on 998 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.001665, Adjusted R-squared:  0.0006649
## F-statistic: 1.665 on 1 and 998 DF, p-value: 0.1973

##              2.5 %    97.5 %
## (Intercept)   0.11684568 0.18960593
## age_feedback -0.01327099 0.06450583

##
## Effect of location feedback:

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = location_proportion ~ location_feedback, data = d0)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.25866 -0.25866  0.07467  0.07467  0.74134
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   0.21393    0.01889  11.325 <2e-16 ***
## location_feedback 0.04473    0.02063   2.168  0.0304 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.2405 on 998 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.004007, Adjusted R-squared:  0.003009
## F-statistic: 4.015 on 1 and 998 DF, p-value: 0.04535

##              2.5 %    97.5 %
## (Intercept)   0.176862344 0.2509984
## location_feedback 0.004251318 0.0852090
```

```
##
## Effect of university feedback:

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = university_proportion ~ university_feedback, data = d0)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.24670 -0.24670  0.08663  0.08663  0.81680
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)      0.18320    0.01515  12.096 < 2e-16 ***
## university_feedback 0.06351    0.01769   3.589 0.000348 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.2478 on 998 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.01192,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.01093
## F-statistic: 12.04 on 1 and 998 DF,  p-value: 0.0005417

##              2.5 %    97.5 %
## (Intercept)      0.15347514 0.21291604
## university_feedback 0.02878294 0.09822957
```


Figure 3A

System of Simultaneous Equations

SUR Model Coefficients:

=====

Women feedback effect: 0.0956

Age feedback effect: 0.0378

Location feedback effect: 0.0275

University feedback effect: 0.033

##

##

Wald Tests for Cross-Equation Comparisons:

=====

Test 1: Women Feedback Effect vs. Age Feedback Effect

Linear hypothesis test (Theil's F test)

##

Hypothesis:

$women_{eq_women_feedback} - age_{eq_age_feedback} = 0$

##

Model 1: restricted model

Model 2: sur_model

##

##	Res.Df	Df	F	Pr(>F)
----	--------	----	---	--------

## 1	3989			
------	------	--	--	--

## 2	3988	1	6.629	0.01007 *
------	------	---	-------	-----------

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

##

##

Test 2: Women Feedback Effect vs. Location Feedback Effect

Linear hypothesis test (Theil's F test)

##

Hypothesis:

$women_{eq_women_feedback} - location_{eq_location_feedback} = 0$

##

Model 1: restricted model

Model 2: sur_model

```

##
##   Res.Df Df       F   Pr(>F)
## 1    3989
## 2    3988   1 8.3509 0.003876 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

##
##
## Test 3: Women Feedback Effect vs. University Feedback Effect

## -----

## Linear hypothesis test (Theil's F test)
##
## Hypothesis:
## womeneq_women_feedback - universityeq_university_feedback = 0
##
## Model 1: restricted model
## Model 2: sur_model
##
##   Res.Df Df       F   Pr(>F)
## 1    3989
## 2    3988   1 12.841 0.0003431 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

##
##
## Summary of Wald Tests:

## =====

##               Test F_Statistic P_Value Significant
##      Women vs. Age Feedback      6.63 0.010069      Yes
##      Women vs. Location Feedback    8.35 0.003876      Yes
##      Women vs. University Feedback  12.84 0.000343      Yes

```