# Search Costs Field Experiment

## 2025-06-24

## Contents

1	Sun	nmary Statistics	4
	1.1	Overall Summary Statistics	4
		1.1.1 Seminar Speaker Demographics	4
		1.1.2 Department Faculty Demographics	4
	1.2	Summary Statistics by Discipline	4
		1.2.1 Seminar Speaker Demographics by Discipline	4
		1.2.2 Department Faculty Demographics by Discipline	5
	1.3	Summary Statistics by Semester	5
2	Mai	n Effects Analysis	6
	2.1	Main Question 1: URM Speaker Representation	6
	2.2	Main Questions 2a-2c: Effects on Speaker Counts	7
	2.3	Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) Analysis	7
3	Der	nographic Subgroup Analysis	8
	3.1	Black Speakers	8
	3.2	Hispanic Speakers	8
	3.3	Female Speakers	8
	3.4	URM Female	9
	3.5	Black Female	9
	3.6	Black Male	9
	3.7	Hispanic Female	10
	3.8	Hispanic Male	10
4	Disc	cipline Subgroup Analysis	11
		4.0.1 Chemistry (N=270)	11
		4.0.2 Mathematics (N=812)	12
		4.0.3 Physics (N=349)	12
		4.0.4 Computer Science (N=142)	13
		4.0.5 Mechanical Engineering (N=81)	14
	4.1	Testing for Significant Moderation Across Disciplines	15

<b>5</b>	Sem	nester-Specific Analysis	16
	5.1	Fall Semester	16
	5.2	Spring Semester	17
6	Het	erogeneity Analysis	18
	6.1	Moderation by Department Ranking	18
	6.2	URM Speakers	18
	6.3	BLACK Speakers	18
	6.4	HISPANIC Speakers	19
	6.5	Moderation by Total Faculty Size	20
	6.6	URM Speakers	20
	6.7	BLACK Speakers	20
	6.8	HISPANIC Speakers	21
	6.9	Moderation by URM Faculty in Peer Departments	22
	6.10	URM Speakers	22
	6.11	BLACK Speakers	22
	6.12	HISPANIC Speakers	23
	6.13	Moderation by $\%$ Female Email Recipients	24
	6.14	URM Speakers	24
	6.15	BLACK Speakers	24
	6.16	HISPANIC Speakers	24
	6.17	Moderation by % URM Email Recipients $\ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$	25
	6.18	URM Speakers	25
	6.19	BLACK Speakers	25
	6.20	HISPANIC Speakers	25
	6.21	Moderation by % URM Faculty in Department	26
	6.22	URM Speakers	26
	6.23	BLACK Speakers	26
	6.24	HISPANIC Speakers	27
	6.25	Moderation by % Women Faculty in Department	28
	6.26	URM Speakers	28
	6.27	BLACK Speakers	28
	6.28	HISPANIC Speakers	29
	6.29	Moderation by Number of Distinct Seminars	30
	6.30	URM Speakers	30
	6.31	BLACK Speakers	30
	6.32	HISPANIC Speakers	30

7	Summary of All Significant Results	33
	6.37 Hispanic Speakers by Career Stage	32
	6.36 Black Speakers by Career Stage	31
	6.35 URM Speakers by Career Stage	31
	6.34 Seniority Data Coverage	31
	6.33.1 Analysis: Treatment effects on representation by speaker career stage $\dots \dots \dots$	31
	6.33 Junior vs Senior Speaker Analysis	31

## 1 Summary Statistics

### 1.1 Overall Summary Statistics

### 1.1.1 Seminar Speaker Demographics

Table 1: Overall Seminar Statistics

Statistic	Value
Number of seminars	1654
Number of unique departments	527
Total speakers across all seminars	23202
Mean speakers per seminar	14.03
SD speakers per seminar	9.90
Min speakers in a seminar	1
Max speakers in a seminar	76

Table 2: Seminar Speaker Demographics (Across All Seminars)

Demographic Group	Mean $\%$	SD $\%$	Mean Count	SD Count	Pct. Any
URM	7.48	11.37	1.00	1.27	54.0
Black	2.20	5.90	0.31	0.68	23.2
Hispanic	5.25	9.87	0.68	1.01	42.7
Female	16.84	16.00	2.39	2.47	76.2

Note: N=1654 seminars. Percentages calculated among speakers with demographic data available. 'Pct. Any' indicates the percentage of seminars that have at least one speaker from that demographic group.

### 1.1.2 Department Faculty Demographics

Table 3: Department Faculty Demographics

<u>-</u>		
Statistic	Mean	SD
Total faculty per department	34.1	18.1
% URM faculty	4.09	4.41
% Women faculty	20.40	7.59

Note: N = 527 unique departments. Department faculty demographics based on 2024 coding.

### 1.2 Summary Statistics by Discipline

### 1.2.1 Seminar Speaker Demographics by Discipline

Table 4: Seminar Statistics by Discipline

			<i>y</i> 1	
Discipline	N Seminars	N Depts	Mean Speakers	SD Speakers
Chemistry	270	122	14.5	10.9
Computer Science	142	82	13.1	10.3
Mathematics	812	134	13.3	9.1
Mechanical Engineering	81	65	13.0	10.2
Physics	349	124	15.9	10.4

Table 5: Seminar Speaker Demographics by Discipline: URM

Discipline	N Seminars	Mean $\%$	SD $\%$	Mean Count	Pct. Has Any
Chemistry	270	8.92	10.48	1.27	64.8
Computer Science	142	4.45	8.22	0.54	35.9
Mathematics	812	7.15	11.26	0.92	49.8
Mechanical Engineering	81	8.20	9.17	1.12	61.7
Physics	349	8.20	13.45	1.11	61.0

Note: Statistics are for seminar speakers. 'Pct. Has Any' indicates percentage of seminars with at least one URM speaker.

Table 6: Seminar Speaker Demographics by Discipline: Other Groups

	Black		His	panic	Female	
Discipline	Mean $\%$	Pct. Any	Mean $\%$	Pct. Any	Mean $\%$	Pct. Any
Chemistry	4.21	39.3	4.61	45.6	23.52	86.7
Computer Science	1.55	17.6	2.89	23.9	19.23	78.2
Mathematics	1.73	19.2	5.40	40.6	13.80	70.7
Mechanical Engineering	2.95	28.4	5.25	46.9	19.87	77.8
Physics	1.82	20.9	6.37	51.9	17.06	79.7

Note: Statistics are for seminar speakers. 'Pct. Any' indicates percentage of seminars with at least one speaker from that group.

### 1.2.2 Department Faculty Demographics by Discipline

Table 7: Department Faculty Demographics by Discipline

		Faculty	y Size	% URM	I Faculty	% Wome	en Faculty
Discipline	N Depts	Mean	SD	Mean	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$	Mean	SD
Chemistry	122	28.6	11.9	4.76	4.47	24.40	7.18
Computer Science	82	43.5	25.0	2.79	3.27	20.12	7.28
Mathematics	134	33.9	16.2	3.63	3.54	19.82	7.67
Mechanical Engineering	65	36.4	19.1	5.57	5.48	19.70	7.61
Physics	124	32.1	16.5	4.02	4.91	17.62	6.54

Note: Department faculty demographics based on 2024 coding.

### 1.3 Summary Statistics by Semester

Table 8: Summary Statistics by Semester

Hispanic	
Pct. Any	
28.9	
29.4	
-	
-	

#### Main Effects Analysis $\mathbf{2}$

## Main Question 1: URM Speaker Representation

Table 9: Main Question 1: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.806	0.777	0.104	0.083	0.021	0.015
	(0.531)	(0.519)	(0.066)	(0.064)	(0.025)	(0.023)
Constant	7.399***	3.161	1.050***	0.143	0.553***	$0.194^{+}$
	(1.652)	(2.109)	(0.168)	(0.275)	(0.067)	(0.115)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.010	0.016	0.030	0.039	0.029	0.042

#### Main Questions 2a-2c: Effects on Speaker Counts 2.2

Table 10: Main Questions 2a-2c: Effects on Speaker Counts

	% Count (1)	% Count (2)	Count Count (3)	Count Count (4)	Any Count (5)	Any Count (6)
Treatment	-0.448 (0.548)	-0.425 (0.546)	0.104 (0.066)	0.083 (0.064)	-0.552 (0.522)	-0.508 (0.521)
Constant	17.051*** (1.288)	$13.669^{***}$ $(2.446)$	1.050*** (0.168)	0.143 (0.275)	16.001*** (1.198)	13.526*** (2.282)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.032	Extended 1,654 0.055	Simple 1,654 0.030	Extended 1,654 0.039	Simple 1,654 0.031	Extended 1,654 0.056

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### 2.3 Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) Analysis

Table 11: SUR Analysis: Testing Substitution Between URM and Non-URM Speakers

Outcome	Coefficient	SE
URM Speakers Non-URM Speakers	0.1043 -0.5520	(0.0628) (0.4601)
Sum of Effects	-0.4477	<u> </u>

Wald Test: H0: Treatment effect on URM + Treatment effect on Non-URM = 0

Note: SUR estimation with simple controls allows for correlation between equation errors. The Wald test examines whether the treatment effect represents a pure substitution (increasing URM speakers while decreasing non-URM speakers by the same amount).

#### Demographic Subgroup Analysis 3

#### **Black Speakers** 3.1

Table 12: Effect on Black Speakers

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.671*	0.658*	0.085*	0.084*	0.057*	0.056*
	(0.305)	(0.289)	(0.039)	(0.038)	(0.023)	(0.022)
Constant	2.495** (0.758)	$\stackrel{\circ}{0.714}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{(1.276)}$	0.415*** (0.100)	0.095 (0.166)	0.274*** (0.059)	0.042 (0.104)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.027	0.032	0.048	0.056	0.036	0.045

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### 3.2 **Hispanic Speakers**

Table 13: Effect on Hispanic Speakers

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	0.149	0.125	0.019	-0.004	-0.014	-0.024
	(0.479)	(0.484)	(0.049)	(0.048)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	4.692**	2.230	0.610***	0.012	0.414***	0.145
	(1.538)	(1.908)	(0.141)	(0.214)	(0.069)	(0.106)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.004	0.005	0.014	0.020	0.020	0.025

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### Female Speakers 3.3

Table 14: Effect on Female Speakers

		1							
	% Female (1)	% Female (2)	Count Female (3)	Count Female (4)	Any Female (5)	Any Female (6)			
Treatment	-0.034 (0.830)	-0.511 (0.820)	-0.070 (0.128)	-0.134 (0.127)	0.002 (0.022)	-0.002 (0.022)			
Constant	21.549*** (2.110)	$13.522^{***}$ $(3.859)$	3.600*** (0.334)	2.200*** (0.588)	0.874*** (0.061)	0.714*** (0.099)			
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended			
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654			
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.054	0.061	0.084	0.099	0.016	0.024			

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### 3.4 **URM** Female

Table 15: Effect on URM Female Speakers

	% URM Female	% URM Female	Count URM Female	Female Fe	Any URM Female	Any URM Female (6)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Treatment	-0.027	-0.084	0.018	0.012	0.011	0.006
	(0.175)	(0.187)	(0.018)	(0.019)	(0.016)	(0.016)
Constant	1.647**	0.002	0.178**	-0.011	0.148**	-0.022
	(0.597)	(0.577)	(0.059)	(0.090)	(0.047)	(0.075)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.012	0.017	0.037	0.046	0.038	0.045

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### **Black Female** 3.5

Table 16: Effect on Black Female Speakers

	% Black Female	% Black Female	Count Black Female	Count Black Female	Any Black Female	Any Black Female
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.137*	0.147*	0.011	0.012	0.013	$0.014^{+}$
	(0.067)	(0.070)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Constant	0.436* <sup>*</sup>	0.014	$0.054^{*}$	0.008	$0.042^{*}$	0.008
	(0.145)	(0.266)	(0.024)	(0.042)	(0.020)	(0.036)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	$1,6\bar{5}4$	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.032	0.036	0.020	0.024	0.017	0.021

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### 3.6 Black Male

Table 17: Effect on Black Male Speakers

				-		
	% Black Male	% Black Male	Count Black Male	Count Black Male	Any Black Male	Any Black Male
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.534*	0.511*	0.075*	0.073*	0.060**	0.059**
	(0.262)	(0.246)	(0.034)	(0.032)	(0.022)	(0.022)
Constant	2.060**	0.700	0.353***	0.083	0.264***	0.026
	(0.681)	(1.144)	(0.088)	(0.140)	(0.058)	(0.102)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.019	0.023	0.041	0.049	0.035	0.044

#### Hispanic Female 3.7

Table 18: Effect on Hispanic Female Speakers

	% Hispanic Female (1)	% Hispanic Female (2)	Count Hispanic Female (3)	Count Hispanic Female (4)	Any Hispanic Female (5)	Any Hispanio Female (6)
Treatment	-0.169	-0.238	0.005	-0.001	0.002	-0.003
Constant	$(0.166)$ $1.187^*$	(0.180) -0.056	(0.013) $0.060$	(0.013) -0.048	$(0.012) \\ 0.054$	(0.012) $-0.051$
	(0.586)	(0.490)	(0.045)	(0.066)	(0.035)	(0.057)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.001	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Extended} \\ 1,654 \\ 0.002 \end{array}$	Simple 1,654 0.006	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Extended} \\ 1,654 \\ 0.011 \end{array}$	Simple $1,654 \\ 0.005$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Extended} \\ 1,654 \\ 0.010 \end{array}$

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### Hispanic Male 3.8

Table 19: Effect on Hispanic Male Speakers

				*		
	% Hispanic Male	% Hispanic Male	Count Hispanic Male	Count Hispanic Male	Any Hispanic Male	Any Hispanio Male
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.318	0.363	0.016	-0.001	-0.010	-0.020
	(0.410)	(0.412)	(0.044)	(0.043)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	3.505**	2.286	0.547***	0.052	0.409***	0.148
	(1.356)	(1.677)	(0.117)	(0.184)	(0.069)	(0.107)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.006	0.007	0.014	0.021	0.021	0.026

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

## Discipline Subgroup Analysis

### 4.0.1 Chemistry (N=270)

Table 20: Chemistry: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.543	-0.167	-0.092	-0.160	0.021	0.002
	(1.161)	(1.163)	(0.164)	(0.165)	(0.052)	(0.057)
Constant	5.885*	-2.063	0.890*	-0.765	0.193	-0.307
	(2.654)	(5.826)	(0.406)	(0.775)	(0.131)	(0.266)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 270 -0.022	Extended 270 -0.018	Simple 270 0.103	Extended 270 0.108	Simple 270 0.104	Extended 270 0.113

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 21: Chemistry: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.776	0.413	0.025	0.025	0.094	0.063
	(1.054)	(0.847)	(0.121)	(0.100)	(0.065)	(0.059)
Constant	2.137	-8.825*	0.301	-1.600***	0.107	-0.960***
	(2.554)	(3.937)	(0.243)	(0.533)	(0.155)	(0.296)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 270 -0.033	Extended 270 -0.015	Simple 270 0.043	Extended 270 0.080	Simple 270 0.046	Extended 270 0.097

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 22: Chemistry: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanio (6)
Treatment	-0.168 (0.972)	-0.539 (1.005)	-0.130 (0.115)	-0.206 (0.126)	-0.096 (0.062)	-0.129* (0.064)
Constant	2.915 (1.784)	4.555 (4.914)	0.557 (0.359)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.576 \\ (0.548) \end{array} $	0.232 (0.160)	0.241 (0.306)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 270 -0.017	Extended 270 -0.018	Simple 270 0.049	Extended 270 0.073	Simple 270 0.040	Extended 270 0.057

### 4.0.2 Mathematics (N=812)

Table 23: Mathematics: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Count URM} \\ (4) \end{array}$	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	1.141	1.423 <sup>+</sup>	0.181 <sup>+</sup>	0.185 <sup>+</sup>	0.027	0.021
	(0.782)	(0.815)	(0.094)	(0.101)	(0.034)	(0.032)
Constant	5.177***	4.628	0.740***	-0.052	0.456***	0.156
	(1.374)	(4.326)	(0.125)	(0.526)	(0.061)	(0.187)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	812	812	812	812	812	812
	-0.005	-0.004	0.001	0.000	-0.011	-0.003

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

Table 24: Mathematics: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.209	0.510	0.081	0.120*	0.022	0.034
	(0.384)	(0.422)	(0.050)	(0.055)	(0.029)	(0.027)
Constant	0.664 (0.483)	1.430 (2.383)	0.186** (0.069)	0.331 (0.262)	0.160* <sup>*</sup> (0.050)	0.042 $(0.150)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	812	812	812	812	812	812
	0.012	0.023	0.011	0.025	0.006	0.021

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

Table 25: Mathematics: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	0.950	0.917	0.104	0.066	0.033	0.019
	(0.707)	(0.714)	(0.072)	(0.069)	(0.033)	(0.036)
Constant	4.437*** (1.293)	3.043 (3.512)	0.536*** (0.103)	-0.420 (0.390)	0.367*** (0.069)	0.148 $(0.185)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	812	812	812	812	812	812
	-0.009	-0.005	-0.001	0.003	-0.004	-0.003

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^**p < 0.01; \ ^***p < 0.001$ 

### 4.0.3 Physics (N=349)

Table 26: Physics: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.352 (1.192)	0.238 (1.150)	0.176 (0.132)	0.154 (0.130)	0.002 (0.060)	0.003 (0.052)
Constant	13.468*** (2.392)	7.147 (13.731)	1.252*** (0.170)	1.565 (1.444)	0.408*** (0.073)	0.880 $(0.564)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 349 0.005	Extended 349 -0.001	Simple 349 0.005	Extended 349 0.005	Simple 349 0.004	Extended 349 0.026

Table 27: Physics: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	1.482* (0.606)	1.606** (0.615)	0.176* (0.068)	0.184** (0.070)	0.124* (0.048)	0.129* (0.050)
Constant	-0.213 (0.409)	-0.041 (4.611)	0.032 $(0.082)$	$0.246 \\ (0.648)$	0.001 (0.052)	0.029 $(0.444)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 349 0.001	Extended 349 -0.003	Simple 349 0.020	Extended 349 0.009	Simple 349 0.012	Extended 349 0.014

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

Table 28: Physics: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	-1.129 (1.179)	-1.368 (1.175)	0.000 (0.114)	-0.030 (0.117)	-0.060 (0.064)	-0.062 (0.059)
Constant	13.680*** (2.389)	7.188 (12.651)	1.221*** (0.151)	1.319 (1.192)	0.436*** (0.080)	0.785 $(0.579)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 349 -0.000	Extended 349 -0.006	Simple 349 -0.007	Extended 349 0.004	Simple 349 0.002	Extended 349 0.007

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

### 4.0.4 Computer Science (N=142)

Table 29: Computer Science: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	2.222	2.694	0.087	0.072	0.124	0.118
	(1.368)	(1.770)	(0.162)	(0.212)	(0.090)	(0.093)
Constant	7.242***	15.917	1.405***	4.106**	0.908***	2.446***
	(1.945)	(13.334)	(0.327)	(1.445)	(0.184)	(0.658)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	142	142	142	142	142	142
Adjusted $R^2$	0.035	0.082	0.078	0.069	0.107	0.132

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

Table 30: Computer Science: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.203	-0.178	-0.057	-0.065	-0.018	-0.042
	(0.698)	(0.713)	(0.064)	(0.072)	(0.055)	(0.067)
Constant	4.152**	3.617	0.703***	2.104*	0.603***	1.437*
	(1.454)	(9.859)	(0.207)	(0.917)	(0.155)	(0.696)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	142	142	142	142	142	142
	-0.041	-0.076	0.042	0.027	0.051	0.026

Table 31: Computer Science: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	2.020	2.872	0.145	0.137	0.150	0.165 <sup>+</sup>
	(1.459)	(1.749)	(0.144)	(0.182)	(0.096)	(0.089)
Constant	3.091	12.299	0.702*	$2.002^{+}$	0.615**	2.150**
	(2.198)	(11.220)	(0.302)	(1.068)	(0.221)	(0.690)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	142	142	142	142	142	142
	0.045	0.110	0.044	0.035	0.102	0.128

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.

### 4.0.5 Mechanical Engineering (N=81)

Table 32: Mechanical Engineering: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	3.859 <sup>+</sup>	2.959	0.665*	0.731 <sup>+</sup>	0.113	0.107
	(2.026)	(2.002)	(0.300)	(0.370)	(0.116)	(0.130)
Constant	17.140***	0.793	2.618**	1.814	0.792**	0.316
	(5.984)	(11.347)	(0.963)	(2.267)	(0.279)	(0.597)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	81	81	81	81	81	81
	0.039	0.055	0.033	0.133	-0.002	-0.009

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^**p < 0.01; \ ^***p < 0.001$ 

Table 33: Mechanical Engineering: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	3.942***	2.942**	0.646***	0.551*	0.346***	0.310**
	(0.999)	(0.937)	(0.185)	(0.210)	(0.090)	(0.097)
Constant	8.540**	6.694	0.966***	0.498	0.818***	0.679
	(2.526)	(5.016)	(0.149)	(1.711)	(0.174)	(0.466)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	81	81	81	81	81	81
	0.153	0.170	0.129	0.185	0.157	0.196

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.

Table 34: Mechanical Engineering: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

		0	0		*	
	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	-0.083 (1.897)	0.017 (1.900)	0.019 (0.227)	0.181 (0.244)	-0.034 (0.122)	0.006 (0.132)
Constant	$8.600^{+}$ $(4.526)$	-5.901 (11.026)	$1.652^{+}$ $(0.926)$	1.316 (1.569)	$0.585^*$ $(0.291)$	0.036 $(0.645)$
Controls N	Simple 81	Extended 81	Simple 81	Extended 81	Simple 81	Extended 81
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	-0.053	-0.041	0.025	0.067	-0.015	0.001

p < 0.1; p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.01; p < 0.001

p < 0.1; p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.01; p < 0.001

### 4.1 Testing for Significant Moderation Across Disciplines

F-test for Treatment × Discipline Interactions (Black Speakers): F-statistic: 3.707 p-value: 0.0052 Degrees of freedom: 4

The treatment effect on Black speaker representation varies significantly across disciplines (p < 0.05). This indicates that the diversity intervention has heterogeneous effects depending on the academic field.

F-test for Treatment × Discipline Interactions (URM Speakers): F-statistic: 0.583 p-value: 0.6753 Degrees of freedom: 4

\textbf{F-test for Treatment  $\times$  Discipline Interactions (% Black Speakers):} F-statistic: 2.528 p-value: 0.039 Degrees of freedom: 4

F-test for Treatment  $\times$  Discipline Interactions (Total Black Speakers): F-statistic: 4.104 p-value: 0.0026 Degrees of freedom: 4

Individual Interaction Effects (Black Speakers): Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) treatment:disc\_mathematics -0.0659 0.0603 -1.0923 0.2749 treatment:disc\_physics 0.0183 0.0683 0.2673 0.7893 treatment:disc\_computer\_science -0.1134 0.0876 -1.2945 0.1957 treatment:disc\_mechanical\_engineering 0.2740 0.1055 2.5961 0.0095

#### Semester-Specific Analysis **5**

#### 5.1 Fall Semester

Table 35: Fall: Effect on URM Speakers

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.944	0.853	0.078 <sup>+</sup>	0.049	0.033	0.020
	(0.694)	(0.687)	(0.046)	(0.044)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	6.369**	-2.473	0.544***	-0.276	0.366***	-0.058
	(2.448)	(3.058)	(0.145)	(0.212)	(0.070)	(0.111)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448
	0.017	0.026	0.023	0.040	0.023	0.035

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 36: Fall: Effect on Black Speakers

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.472	0.432	0.053*	0.050*	0.043**	0.042*
	(0.331)	(0.332)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.017)	(0.017)
Constant	2.819**	-1.717	0.224***	-0.075	0.172***	-0.067
	(0.985)	(1.425)	(0.061)	(0.097)	(0.046)	(0.080)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448
	0.023	0.037	0.033	0.046	0.028	0.041

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^**p < 0.01; \ ^***p < 0.001$ 

Table 37: Fall: Effect on Hispanic Speakers

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanio (6)			
Treatment	0.455 (0.636)	0.390 (0.659)	0.024 (0.038)	-0.003 (0.038)	0.011 (0.024)	-0.004 (0.025)			
Constant	3.408 (2.362)	-0.999 (2.932)	$0.307^*$ $(0.134)$	-0.224 (0.188)	0.238** (0.073)	-0.063 (0.109)			
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,448 0.011	Extended 1,448 0.010	Simple 1,448 0.020	Extended 1,448 0.029	Simple 1,448 0.022	Extended 1,448 0.028			

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### Spring Semester 5.2

Table 38: Spring: Effect on URM Speakers

			~			
	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.666	0.811	0.028	0.035	-0.005	-0.002
	(0.768)	(0.804)	(0.055)	(0.056)	(0.028)	(0.028)
Constant	6.394***	7.010*	0.808***	0.715**	0.483***	0.379**
	(1.627)	(2.849)	(0.135)	(0.235)	(0.068)	(0.118)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390
Adjusted $R^2$	0.008	0.009	0.025	0.022	0.027	0.030

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001$ 

Table 39: Spring: Effect on Black Speakers

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.887 <sup>+</sup>	0.918*	0.039	0.042	0.029	0.031
	(0.454)	(0.428)	(0.035)	(0.034)	(0.023)	(0.023)
Constant	$1.614^{+}$ $(0.971)$	1.420 (1.651)	0.297*** (0.083)	$0.287^*$ $(0.143)$	0.212*** (0.053)	0.159 $(0.097)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390
	0.013	0.013	0.032	0.034	0.024	0.024

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 40: Spring: Effect on Hispanic Speakers

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	-0.200	-0.093	-0.010	-0.007	-0.029	-0.028
	(0.672)	(0.719)	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.027)	(0.027)
Constant	4.557** (1.387)	$5.362^*$ $(2.532)$	0.493*** (0.102)	0.406* (0.173)	0.358*** (0.067)	0.292** (0.105)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390	1,390
	-0.004	-0.002	0.006	0.007	0.014	0.016

#### 6 Heterogeneity Analysis

#### Moderation by Department Ranking 6.1

#### 6.2 **URM Speakers**

Table 41: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by Department Ranking

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.757	0.770	0.104	0.075	0.022	0.013
	(0.519)	(0.515)	(0.065)	(0.063)	(0.025)	(0.023)
Constant	8.877***	4.995*	1.017***	0.203	0.502***	$0.183^{+}$
	(1.644)	(1.961)	(0.174)	(0.245)	(0.072)	(0.107)
Department Ranking	$0.022^{+}$	0.035**	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.001
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Treatment $\times$ Department Ranking	$0.006 \\ (0.016)$	$0.005 \\ (0.016)$	0.005** (0.002)	0.005** (0.002)	$0.001^{+}$ $(0.001)$	$0.001^{+} \\ (0.001)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.013	0.016	0.035	0.044	0.033	0.043

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.3

Table 42: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by Department Ranking

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.655*	0.652*	0.083*	0.081*	0.058*	0.055*
	(0.303)	(0.286)	(0.039)	(0.037)	(0.022)	(0.022)
Constant	2.960***	1.284	0.466***	0.158	0.246***	0.038
	(0.801)	(1.129)	(0.111)	(0.147)	(0.063)	(0.095)
Department Ranking	0.005	0.010	-0.000	0.000	-0.001*	-0.000
	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.001)
${\it Treatment}  \times  {\it Department}   {\it Ranking}$	0.006 (0.009)	0.004 (0.009)	0.001) 0.003* (0.001)	0.002* (0.001)	$0.001^{+}$ $(0.001)$	$0.001^{+}$ $(0.001)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.027	Extended 1,654 0.032	Simple 1,654 0.052	Extended 1,654 0.060	Simple 1,654 0.038	Extended 1,654 0.046

#### **HISPANIC Speakers** 6.4

Table 43: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by Department Ranking

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanic
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.118	0.124	0.021	-0.008	-0.013	-0.025
	(0.472)	(0.482)	(0.049)	(0.048)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	5.640***	$3.427^{+}$	0.515***	0.001	0.380***	0.145
	(1.564)	(1.841)	(0.150)	(0.201)	(0.073)	(0.100)
Department Ranking	0.016	0.024*	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001 <sup>+</sup>	-0.000
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Treatment × Department Ranking	0.000	0.001	$0.002^{+}$	0.003*	0.001	0.001
	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.005	0.005	0.017	0.022	0.021	0.025

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### Moderation by Total Faculty Size 6.5

#### **URM Speakers** 6.6

Table 44: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by Total Faculty

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	1.043 <sup>+</sup> (0.537)	0.816 (0.514)	0.088 (0.068)	0.080 (0.064)	0.015 (0.025)	0.016 (0.023)
Constant	7.211*** (1.537)	2.085 (2.020)	1.033*** (0.176)	0.146 (0.281)	0.531*** (0.067)	0.171 (0.110)
Total Faculty	$-0.051^{+}$ (0.026)	-0.040 (0.026)	0.002 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.000 (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)
Treatment $\times$ Total Faculty	$0.042 \\ (0.029)$	0.028 $(0.029)$	-0.000 (0.003)	-0.002 (0.003)	0.001 (0.001)	0.001 (0.001)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.011	0.016	0.030	0.038	0.030	0.042

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.7

Table 45: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by Total Faculty

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.767*	0.652*	0.092*	0.080*	0.057*	0.054*
	(0.307)	(0.285)	(0.041)	(0.037)	(0.023)	(0.022)
Constant	2.584***	0.074	0.449***	0.024	0.289***	0.005
	(0.761)	(1.300)	(0.100)	(0.169)	(0.062)	(0.103)
Total Faculty	-0.014	-0.014	-0.000	-0.000	0.001	-0.000
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Treatment × Total Faculty	$0.003 \\ (0.014)$	-0.004 $(0.014)$	-0.002 $(0.002)$	$-0.003^+$ $(0.002)$	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.027	Extended $1,654$ $0.032$	Simple 1,654 0.048	Extended $1,654$ $0.057$	Simple 1,654 0.036	Extended 1,654 0.046

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### **HISPANIC Speakers** 6.8

Table 46: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by Total Faculty

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanic
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.281	0.168	-0.006	-0.002	-0.023	-0.021
	(0.487)	(0.480)	(0.049)	(0.048)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	4.421**	1.834	0.555****	0.093	0.378***	0.175
	(1.497)	(1.781)	(0.152)	(0.208)	(0.070)	(0.107)
Total Faculty	-0.035	-0.025	0.003	0.001	0.000	-0.000
	(0.026)	(0.026)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Treatment $\times$ Total Faculty	0.037 $(0.027)$	0.030 (0.027)	0.002 (0.003)	0.001 (0.003)	0.002 (0.001)	0.002 (0.001)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.004	0.006	0.017	0.020	0.023	0.025

#### Moderation by URM Faculty in Peer Departments 6.9

#### **URM Speakers** 6.10

Table 47: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by Peer URM Faculty

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.808 (0.528)	0.759 (0.520)	0.108 <sup>+</sup> (0.064)	0.080 (0.063)	0.022 (0.024)	0.014 (0.023)
Constant	7.283*** (1.591)	6.890*** (1.819)	1.004*** (0.162)	0.739*** (0.223)	0.534*** (0.064)	0.400*** (0.096)
Peer URM Faculty	0.052 (0.054)	0.135* (0.054)	0.017** (0.005)	0.021*** (0.006)	0.007** (0.002)	0.007** (0.002)
Treatment $\times$ Peer URM Faculty	(0.051)	-0.038 (0.071)	-0.005 (0.008)	-0.006 (0.008)	-0.001 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.003)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.009	0.016	0.036	0.039	0.037	0.041

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.11

Table 48: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by Peer URM Faculty

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.674* (0.302)	0.659* (0.286)	0.086* (0.039)	0.085* (0.037)	0.058** (0.023)	0.058* (0.022)
Constant	2.460** (0.751)	2.126* (0.967)	0.406*** (0.099)	$0.345^*$ $(0.140)$	0.263*** (0.059)	0.209* (0.083)
Peer URM Faculty	0.013 (0.023)	0.041 (0.029)	0.003	0.007 <sup>+</sup> (0.004)	0.003 (0.002)	0.003 (0.002)
Treatment $\times$ Peer URM Faculty	-0.005 (0.037)	0.003 (0.037)	0.000 (0.005)	0.001 $(0.005)$	0.002 (0.003)	0.003 (0.003)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.026	Extended 1,654 0.032	Simple 1,654 0.048	Extended 1,654 0.056	Simple 1,654 0.041	Extended 1,654 0.045

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### **HISPANIC Speakers** 6.12

Table 49: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by Peer URM Faculty

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanio
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.149	0.105	0.021	-0.007	-0.012	-0.024
	(0.478)	(0.487)	(0.048)	(0.048)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	4.608** (1.477)	4.461* (1.736)	0.572*** (0.135)	$0.349^{+}$ $(0.188)$	0.401***	0.284** (0.086)
Peer URM Faculty	0.040	0.093 <sup>+</sup>	0.014***	0.014**	0.005*	0.005 <sup>+</sup>
	(0.050)	(0.052)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.003)
Treatment $\times$ Peer URM Faculty	-0.047	-0.043	-0.005	-0.006	-0.000	-0.001
	(0.063)	(0.064)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.003	0.005	0.021	0.020	0.024	0.024

## 6.13 Moderation by % Female Email Recipients

## 6.14 URM Speakers

**Error**: Moderator variable 'pct\_female\_recipients' not found in dataset.\\

## 6.15 BLACK Speakers

 $\textbf{Error} : \ \, \textbf{Moderator variable `pct\_female\_recipients' not found in dataset.} \, \backslash \, \,$ 

## 6.16 HISPANIC Speakers

**Error**: Moderator variable 'pct\_female\_recipients' not found in dataset.\\

## 6.17 Moderation by % URM Email Recipients

## 6.18 URM Speakers

**Error**: Moderator variable 'pct\_urm\_recipients' not found in dataset.\\

## 6.19 BLACK Speakers

 $\textbf{Error} \colon \textbf{Moderator variable 'pct\_urm\_recipients' not found in dataset.} \setminus$ 

## 6.20 HISPANIC Speakers

 $\textbf{Error} \colon \textbf{Moderator variable 'pct\_urm\_recipients' not found in dataset.} \backslash \backslash$ 

#### Moderation by % URM Faculty in Department 6.21

#### 6.22 **URM Speakers**

Table 50: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by % URM Faculty

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	-0.425	-0.255	-0.025	-0.040	0.009	0.001
	(0.746)	(0.738)	(0.093)	(0.092)	(0.034)	(0.033)
Constant	7.478*** (1.655)	$3.562^{+}$ $(2.074)$	1.057*** (0.170)	0.191 (0.272)	0.556*** (0.067)	$0.199^{+}$ (0.116)
% URM Faculty	-8.383	-6.528	-0.794	-0.982	-0.146	-0.320
	(9.756)	(9.705)	(1.213)	(1.156)	(0.546)	(0.508)
Treatment $\times$ % URM Faculty	32.301 <sup>*</sup> (15.556)	$(28.599^{+})$ (15.306)	$3.379^{+}$ (1.965)	$3.406^{+}$ $(1.938)$	0.310 (0.664)	0.367 (0.647)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.012	0.018	0.032	0.041	0.028	0.042

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.23

Table 51: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by % URM Faculty

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.013	0.074	-0.001	0.005	0.020	0.021
	(0.361)	(0.361)	(0.050)	(0.050)	(0.031)	(0.030)
Constant	2.465**	0.941	0.420***	0.126	0.273***	0.056
	(0.774)	(1.286)	(0.103)	(0.164)	(0.059)	(0.104)
% URM Faculty	-0.958	-0.954	-0.562	-0.603	-0.124	-0.245
	(4.641)	(4.652)	(0.629)	(0.615)	(0.425)	(0.402)
Treatment $\times$ % URM Faculty	16.768* (8.342)	$16.191^{+}$ $(8.278)$	2.255* (1.086)	2.208* (1.024)	0.946 (0.586)	$0.994^{+}$ (0.565)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.031	0.034	0.051	0.059	0.037	0.046

#### **HISPANIC Speakers** 6.24

Table 52: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by % URM Faculty

	% Hispanic	nic % Hispanic Count Count Any Hispanic Hispanic (2) $(3)$ $(4)$ $(5)$	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanio		
	(1)			* .	(5)	(6)
Treatment	-0.447	-0.342	-0.032	-0.054	0.007	-0.004
	(0.743)	(0.743)	(0.081)	(0.081)	(0.036)	(0.036)
Constant	4.798**	2.411	0.612***	0.031	0.416***	0.137
	(1.548)	(1.897)	(0.143)	(0.215)	(0.070)	(0.108)
% URM Faculty	-7.376	-5.611	-0.321	-0.480	0.007	-0.067
-	(8.658)	(8.457)	(0.973)	(0.937)	(0.515)	(0.496)
Treatment × % URM Faculty	16.104	12.950	1.334	1.394	-0.534	-0.548
v	(15.089)	(14.903)	(1.718)	(1.748)	(0.669)	(0.661)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.003	0.005	0.014	0.020	0.020	0.025

#### Moderation by % Women Faculty in Department 6.25

#### 6.26 **URM Speakers**

Table 53: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by % Women Faculty

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	1.495 (1.529)	1.844 (1.497)	0.184 (0.200)	0.145 (0.198)	0.106 (0.073)	0.081 (0.069)
Constant	5.751** (1.946)	2.882 (2.154)	0.915*** (0.218)	0.127 (0.279)	0.468*** (0.084)	0.176 (0.118)
% Women Faculty	8.003 (5.031)	8.087 (4.932)	0.680 $(0.726)$	0.693 (0.708)	0.457 (0.281)	$0.492^{+}$ (0.264)
Treatment $\times$ % Women Faculty	-4.051 $(7.405)$	-5.416 (7.163)	-0.447 (1.013)	-0.317 (0.982)	-0.454 $(0.356)$	-0.338 (0.336)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.010	0.016	0.030	0.038	0.030	0.042

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.27

Table 54: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by % Women Faculty

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.228 (0.782)	0.442 (0.746)	0.050 $(0.112)$	0.058 (0.107)	0.040 (0.068)	0.042 (0.067)
Constant	2.108* (0.850)	0.770 (1.305)	0.359** (0.117)	0.102 (0.166)	0.238**	0.046 (0.105)
% Women Faculty	1.350 (2.742)	1.715 (2.603)	0.220 (0.381)	0.273 (0.353)	0.144 (0.250)	0.193 (0.232)
Treatment $\times$ % Women Faculty	2.053 (4.337)	1.094 (3.966)	0.155 $(0.614)$	0.133 $(0.567)$	0.073 (0.343)	0.073 (0.331)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.026	Extended 1,654 0.032	Simple 1,654 0.047	Extended 1,654 0.056	Simple 1,654 0.036	Extended 1,654 0.045

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### **HISPANIC Speakers** 6.28

Table 55: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by % Women Faculty

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispani
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	1.228 (1.366)	1.372 (1.373)	0.130 (0.149)	0.082 (0.149)	0.099 (0.073)	0.063 (0.073)
Constant	$3.379^{+}$ $(1.809)$	1.904 (1.956)	0.515** (0.177)	-0.011 (0.223)	0.337*** (0.081)	0.122 (0.109)
% Women Faculty	6.834 (4.339)	6.551 (4.266)	0.520 (0.537)	0.479 (0.547)	$0.446^{+}$ (0.255)	0.425 (0.260)
Treatment $\times$ % Women Faculty	-5.861 (6.261)	-6.330 (6.223)	-0.588 (0.703)	-0.438 (0.699)	$-0.589^{+}$ (0.337)	-0.442 (0.340)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.004	Extended 1,654 0.005	Simple 1,654 0.014	Extended 1,654 0.020	Simple 1,654 0.021	Extended 1,654 0.025

## 6.29 Moderation by Number of Distinct Seminars

### 6.30 URM Speakers

**Error**: Moderator variable 'num\_distinct\_seminars' not found in dataset.\\

## 6.31 BLACK Speakers

 $\textbf{Error} : \ \, \textbf{Moderator variable `num\_distinct\_seminars' not found in dataset.} \, \backslash \, \,$ 

## 6.32 HISPANIC Speakers

 $\textbf{Error} : \ \, \textbf{Moderator variable `num\_distinct\_seminars' not found in dataset.} \, \backslash \backslash$ 

### 6.33 Junior vs Senior Speaker Analysis

### 6.33.1 Analysis: Treatment effects on representation by speaker career stage

This analysis examines whether the treatment differentially affects the representation of junior versus senior speakers. We define: - **Junior speakers**: Those below the median years since PhD completion - **Senior speakers**: Those above the median years since PhD completion

We analyze treatment effects on three types of outcomes for each demographic group (URM, Black, Hispanic):
1. **Percentage**: What percentage of speakers from a demographic group are junior (or senior)? 2. **Count**: How many speakers from a demographic group are junior (or senior)? 3. **Binary**: Does the seminar have any junior (or senior) speakers from this demographic group?

### 6.34 Seniority Data Coverage

Total seminars analyzed:  $1654 \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Seminars with junior speakers:  $1518 \cdot (91.8\%) \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Seminars with senior speakers:  $1537 \cdot (92.9\%) \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Mean junior speakers per seminar:  $5.99 \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Mean senior speakers per seminar:  $5.88 \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Median years since PhD (cutoff):  $12.0 \setminus [0.5\text{em}]$ 

### 6.35 URM Speakers by Career Stage

Table 56: Treatment Effects on Junior and Senior URM Speakers

	Junior	Speakers	Senior Sp	eakers
Outcome	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
% of speakers	0.9617	0.5704	0.6797	0.8575
Count	0.0634	0.0454	-0.0236	-0.0207
Any (0/1)	0.0247	0.0133	-0.0117	-0.0068
Mean (Control)				
% of speakers	6.775		5.946	
Count	0.406		0.400	
Any $(0/1)$	0.299		0.285	

Note: + p<0.1; \* p<0.05; \*\*\* p<0.01; \*\*\* p<0.001. Standard errors clustered at the department level. Junior speakers are defined as those below the median years since PhD; senior speakers are above the median. Model 1 includes baseline controls; Model 2 adds extended controls.

### 6.36 Black Speakers by Career Stage

Table 57: Treatment Effects on Junior and Senior Black Speakers

	Junior	Speakers	Senior Sp	eakers
Outcome	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
% of speakers	0.8015 +	0.6425	0.4454	0.5024
Count	0.0449*	0.0450*	0.0076	0.0071
Any (0/1)	0.0399*	0.0401*	0.0147	0.0148
Mean (Control)				
% of speakers	2.007		1.261	
Count	0.110		0.109	
Any (0/1)	0.094		0.086	

Note: + p<0.1; \* p<0.05; \*\*\*  $\overline{\text{p}}$ <0.01; \*\*\* p<0.001. Standard errors clustered at the department level. Junior speakers are defined as those below the median years since PhD; senior speakers are above the median. Model 1 includes baseline controls; Model 2 adds extended controls.

## 6.37 Hispanic Speakers by Career Stage

Table 58: Treatment Effects on Junior and Senior Hispanic Speakers

	Junior	Speakers	Senior Sp	Senior Speakers			
Outcome	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2			
% of speakers	0.1317	-0.1187	0.2343	0.3551			
Count	0.0170	-0.0029	-0.0312	-0.0278			
Any $(0/1)$	-0.0077	-0.0205	-0.0178	-0.0134			
Mean (Control)							
% of speakers	4.755		4.686				
Count	0.294		0.292				
Any (0/1)	0.233		0.224				

Note: + p < 0.1; \* p < 0.05; \*\*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001. Standard errors clustered at the department level. Junior speakers are defined as those below the median years since PhD; senior speakers are above the median. Model 1 includes baseline controls; Model 2 adds extended controls.

7 Summary of All Significant Results

Table 59: All Significant Results (p < 0.1) from All Analyses

Analysis		Outcome	Variable		Model	Coef.	SE	t-stat	p-value	Sig.
Career Stage Ana	alysis									
Junior/Senior	Black	Junior Any $(0/1)$	Treatment		Simple	0.0399	0.0159	2.502	0.0125	*
Speakers Junior/Senior	Black	Junior Count	Treatment		Simple	0.0449	0.0201	2.232	0.0257	*
Speakers Junior/Senior Speakers	Black	Junior								
Demographic Sul	groups	5								
Demographic Subgr	oup	% Black	Treatment		Extended	0.6576	0.2888	2.277	0.0229	*
Demographic Subgr		% Black Female	Treatment		Extended	0.1465	0.0698	2.098	0.0360	*
Demographic Subgr		% Black Male	Treatment		Extended	0.5111	0.2461	2.077	0.0380	*
Demographic Subgr		Any Black	Treatment		Extended	0.0565	0.0224	2.517	0.0119	*
Demographic Subgr	-	Any Black Fe-	Treatment		Extended	0.0144	0.0081	1.778	0.0756	+
Demographic Subgr	oup	male	Heatment		Extended	0.0144	0.0001	1.776	0.0750	
Demographic Subgr	oup	Any Black Male	Treatment		Simple	0.0601	0.0224	2.682	0.0074	**
Demographic Subgr	oup	Count Black	Treatment		Extended	0.0844	0.0375	2.249	0.0247	*
Demographic Subgr	-	Count Black	Treatment		Extended	0.0733	0.0324	2.261	0.0239	*
8F8-	F	Male				0.0,00	0.00		0.0200	
Discipline Analys	is									
Chemistry		Any Hispanic	Treatment		Extended	-0.1288	0.0641	-2.009	0.0456	*
Computer Science		Any Hispanic	Treatment		Extended	0.1648	0.0886	1.860	0.0655	+
Mathematics		% URM	Treatment		Extended	1.4230	0.8152	1.746	0.0813	+
Mathematics		Count Black	Treatment		Extended	0.1199	0.0546	2.195	0.0284	*
Mathematics		Count URM	Treatment		Simple	0.1806	0.0939	1.923	0.0548	+
Mechanical Enginee	ring	% Black	Treatment		Simple	3.9420	0.9989	3.946	0.0002	***
Mechanical Enginee	_	% URM	Treatment		Simple	3.8590	2.0259	1.905	0.0613	+
Mechanical Enginee	-	Any Black	Treatment		Simple	0.3462	0.0898	3.855	0.0003	***
Mechanical Enginee	_	Count Black	Treatment		Simple	0.6458	0.1853	3.486	0.0009	***
Mechanical Enginee		Count URM	Treatment		Simple	0.6652	0.1093 $0.2998$	2.219	0.0301	*
Physics Physics	img	% Black	Treatment		Extended	1.6060	0.2336	2.609	0.0095	**
Physics		Any Black	Treatment		Simple	0.1244	0.0133	2.589	0.0100	*
Physics		Count Black	Treatment		Extended	0.1244 $0.1840$	0.0480 $0.0695$	2.646	0.0100	**
	. a levata	Count black	reatment		Extended	0.1840	0.0095	2.040	0.0089	
Heterogeneity Ar										
Department Rankin	g	Any Black	Treatment × ment Ranking	Depart-	Simple	0.0010	0.0006	1.733	0.0833	+
Department Rankin	g	Any URM	Treatment ×	Depart-	Extended	0.0012	0.0006	1.876	0.0608	+
	_	v	ment Ranking	<b>1</b>						•
Department Rankin	g	Count Black	Treatment × ment Ranking	Depart-	Simple	0.0025	0.0012	2.184	0.0291	*
Department Rankin	g	Count Hispanic	Treatment × ment Ranking	Depart-	Extended	0.0027	0.0012	2.245	0.0249	*
Department Rankin	g	Count URM	Treatment $\times$	Depart-	Extended	0.0053	0.0018	2.975	0.0030	**
Total Faculty		Count Black	ment Ranking Treatment × 7	Total Fac-	Extended	-0.0031	0.0018	-1.692	0.0908	+