# Search Costs Field Experiment

# 2025-06-20

# Contents

T	Sun	nmary Statistics	4
	1.1	Overall Summary Statistics	4
		1.1.1 Seminar Speaker Demographics	4
		1.1.2 Department Faculty Demographics	4
	1.2	Summary Statistics by Discipline	4
		1.2.1 Seminar Speaker Demographics by Discipline	4
		1.2.2 Department Faculty Demographics by Discipline	5
	1.3	Summary Statistics by Semester	5
2	Ma	in Effects Analysis	6
	2.1	Main Question 1: URM Speaker Representation	6
	2.2	Main Questions 2a-2c: Effects on Speaker Counts	7
	2.3	Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) Analysis	7
3	Der	mographic Subgroup Analysis	8
	3.1	Black Speakers	8
	3.2	Hispanic Speakers	8
	3.3	Female Speakers	8
	3.4	URM Female	9
	3.5	Black Female	9
	3.6	Black Male	9
	3.7	Hispanic Female	10
	3.8	Hispanic Male	10
4	Dis	cipline Subgroup Analysis	11
		4.0.1 Chemistry (N=270)	11
		4.0.2 Mathematics (N=812)	12
		4.0.3 Physics (N=349)	12
		4.0.4 Computer Science (N=142)	13
		4.0.5 Mechanical Engineering (N=81)	14
	4.1	Testing for Significant Moderation Across Disciplines	15

5	Sem	nester-Specific Analysis	16
	5.1	Fall Semester	16
	5.2	Spring Semester	17
6	Het	erogeneity Analysis	18
	6.1	Moderation by Department Ranking	18
	6.2	URM Speakers	18
	6.3	BLACK Speakers	18
	6.4	HISPANIC Speakers	19
	6.5	Moderation by Total Faculty Size	20
	6.6	URM Speakers	20
	6.7	BLACK Speakers	20
	6.8	HISPANIC Speakers	21
	6.9	Moderation by URM Faculty in Peer Departments	22
	6.10	URM Speakers	22
	6.11	BLACK Speakers	22
	6.12	HISPANIC Speakers	23
	6.13	Moderation by $\%$ Female Email Recipients	24
	6.14	URM Speakers	24
	6.15	BLACK Speakers	24
	6.16	HISPANIC Speakers	25
	6.17	Moderation by % URM Email Recipients	26
	6.18	URM Speakers	26
	6.19	BLACK Speakers	26
	6.20	HISPANIC Speakers	27
	6.21	Moderation by % URM Faculty in Department	28
	6.22	URM Speakers	28
	6.23	BLACK Speakers	28
	6.24	HISPANIC Speakers	29
	6.25	Moderation by $\%$ Women Faculty in Department	30
	6.26	URM Speakers	30
	6.27	BLACK Speakers	30
	6.28	HISPANIC Speakers	31
	6.29	Moderation by % URM Faculty in Department - Black Speakers Only $\ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$	32
	6.30	Moderation by Number of Distinct Seminars	33
	6.31	URM Speakers	33
	6.32	BLACK Speakers	33

7	Sun	nmary of All Significant Results	37
	6.38	Hispanic Speakers by Career Stage	36
	6.37	Black Speakers by Career Stage	35
	6.36	URM Speakers by Career Stage	35
	6.35	Seniority Data Coverage	35
		6.34.1 Analysis: Treatment effects on representation by speaker career stage $\dots \dots$	35
	6.34	Junior vs Senior Speaker Analysis	35
	6.33	HISPANIC Speakers	34

### 1 Summary Statistics

### 1.1 Overall Summary Statistics

### 1.1.1 Seminar Speaker Demographics

Table 1: Overall Seminar Statistics

Statistic	Value
Number of seminars	1654
Number of unique departments	527
Total speakers across all seminars	23219
Mean speakers per seminar	14.04
SD speakers per seminar	9.90
Min speakers in a seminar	1
Max speakers in a seminar	76

Table 2: Seminar Speaker Demographics (Across All Seminars)

Demographic Group	Mean $\%$	SD $\%$	Mean Count	SD Count	Pct. Any
URM	7.40	11.09	1.00	1.28	54.0
Black	2.22	5.91	0.32	0.69	23.3
Hispanic	5.16	9.52	0.68	1.01	42.6
Female	16.91	16.10	2.40	2.47	76.2

Note: N=1654 seminars. Percentages calculated among speakers with demographic data available. 'Pct. Any' indicates the percentage of seminars that have at least one speaker from that demographic group.

### 1.1.2 Department Faculty Demographics

Table 3: Department Faculty Demographics

Statistic	Mean	SD
Total faculty per department	34.1	18.1
% URM faculty	4.09	4.41
% Women faculty	20.40	7.59

Note: N = 527 unique departments. Department faculty demographics based on 2024 coding.

### 1.2 Summary Statistics by Discipline

### 1.2.1 Seminar Speaker Demographics by Discipline

Table 4: Seminar Statistics by Discipline

Discipline	N Seminars	N Depts	Mean Speakers	SD Speakers
Chemistry	270	122	14.5	10.9
Computer Science	142	82	13.2	10.3
Mathematics	812	134	13.3	9.1
Mechanical Engineering	81	65	13.0	10.2
Physics	349	124	15.9	10.4

Table 5: Seminar Speaker Demographics by Discipline: URM

Discipline	N Seminars	Mean $\%$	SD $\%$	Mean Count	Pct. Has Any
Chemistry	270	8.88	10.48	1.27	64.4
Computer Science	142	4.48	8.21	0.54	36.6
Mathematics	812	6.99	10.67	0.93	49.8
Mechanical Engineering	81	8.20	9.17	1.12	61.7
Physics	349	8.22	13.45	1.12	61.0

Note: Statistics are for seminar speakers. 'Pct. Has Any' indicates percentage of seminars with at least one URM speaker.

Table 6: Seminar Speaker Demographics by Discipline: Other Groups

	Bl	ack	Hispanic		Female	
Discipline	Mean $\%$	Pct. Any	Mean $\%$	Pct. Any	Mean $\%$	Pct. Any
Chemistry	4.24	39.6	4.53	45.2	23.52	86.7
Computer Science	1.55	17.6	2.93	24.6	19.21	78.2
Mathematics	1.76	19.5	5.21	40.5	13.94	70.7
Mechanical Engineering	2.95	28.4	5.25	46.9	19.87	77.8
Physics	1.83	20.9	6.40	51.9	17.10	79.9

Note: Statistics are for seminar speakers. 'Pct. Any' indicates percentage of seminars with at least one speaker from that group.

### 1.2.2 Department Faculty Demographics by Discipline

Table 7: Department Faculty Demographics by Discipline

		Faculty	y Size	% URM	I Faculty	% Wome	en Faculty
Discipline	N Depts	Mean	SD	Mean	$^{\mathrm{SD}}$	Mean	SD
Chemistry	122	28.6	11.9	4.76	4.47	24.40	7.18
Computer Science	82	43.5	25.0	2.79	3.27	20.12	7.28
Mathematics	134	33.9	16.2	3.63	3.54	19.82	7.67
Mechanical Engineering	65	36.4	19.1	5.57	5.48	19.70	7.61
Physics	124	32.1	16.5	4.02	4.91	17.62	6.54

Note: Department faculty demographics based on 2024 coding.

### 1.3 Summary Statistics by Semester

Table 8: Summary Statistics by Semester

	URM				Black		Hispanic	
Semester (N)	Mean $\%$	Mean Count	Pct. Any	Mean $\%$	Pct. Any	Mean $\%$	Pct. Any	
Fall (1448)	7.08	0.53	36.3	1.72	11.7	5.34	28.9	
Spring (1388)	7.49	0.64	41.4	2.65	18.4	4.82	29.3	
	Female			Total S	Speakers			
Semester	Mean $\%$	Mean Count	Pct. Any	Mean	SD			
Fall	16.14	1.27	62.0	7.75	5.50		-	
Spring	17.64	1.53	65.0	8.65	6.86			

#### Main Effects Analysis $\mathbf{2}$

# Main Question 1: URM Speaker Representation

Table 9: Main Question 1: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.788	0.759	0.104	0.082	0.021	0.015
	(0.522)	(0.514)	(0.066)	(0.064)	(0.025)	(0.023)
Constant	7.770***	3.403	1.098***	0.197	0.562***	$0.208^{+}$
	(1.658)	(2.098)	(0.168)	(0.273)	(0.069)	(0.116)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.011	0.017	0.030	0.039	0.029	0.042

#### Main Questions 2a-2c: Effects on Speaker Counts 2.2

Table 10: Main Questions 2a-2c: Effects on Speaker Counts

	% Count (1)	% Count (2)	Count Count (3)	Count Count (4)	Any Count (5)	Any Count (6)
Treatment	-0.472 (0.545)	-0.438 (0.544)	0.104 (0.066)	0.082 (0.064)	-0.576 (0.518)	-0.520 (0.518)
Constant	17.123*** (1.256)	$13.824^{***}$ $(2.405)$	1.098*** (0.168)	0.197 (0.273)	16.025*** (1.174)	13.626*** (2.250)
Controls N	Simple 1,654	Extended 1.654	Simple 1,654	Extended 1,654	Simple 1,654	Extended 1,654
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.032	0.056	0.030	0.039	0.031	0.056

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### 2.3 Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR) Analysis

Table 11: SUR Analysis: Testing Substitution Between URM and Non-URM Speakers

Outcome	Coefficient	SE
URM Speakers Non-URM Speakers	0.1042 -0.5763	(0.0630) $(0.4599)$
Sum of Effects	-0.4721	_

Wald Test: H0: Treatment effect on URM + Treatment effect on Non-URM = 0

Note: SUR estimation with simple controls allows for correlation between equation errors. The Wald test examines whether the treatment effect represents a pure substitution (increasing URM speakers while decreasing non-URM speakers by the same amount).

#### Demographic Subgroup Analysis 3

#### **Black Speakers** 3.1

Table 12: Effect on Black Speakers

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.670*	0.661*	0.084*	0.084*	0.056*	0.056*
	(0.306)	(0.290)	(0.040)	(0.038)	(0.023)	(0.023)
Constant	2.709***	0.977	0.434***	0.118	0.293***	0.065
	(0.790)	(1.300)	(0.103)	(0.168)	(0.061)	(0.105)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.026	0.031	0.048	0.056	0.035	0.044

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### 3.2 **Hispanic Speakers**

Table 13: Effect on Hispanic Speakers

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	0.132	0.104	0.020	-0.004	-0.014	-0.024
	(0.456)	(0.470)	(0.048)	(0.048)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	4.849**	2.208	0.638***	0.043	0.415***	0.151
	(1.517)	(1.867)	(0.136)	(0.208)	(0.070)	(0.107)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.006	0.006	0.015	0.021	0.021	0.025

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### Female Speakers 3.3

Table 14: Effect on Female Speakers

	% Female (1)	% Female (2)	Count Female (3)	Count Female (4)	Any Female (5)	Any Female (6)
Treatment	0.266 (0.829)	-0.240 (0.819)	-0.062 (0.127)	-0.127 (0.127)	0.005 (0.022)	0.001 (0.022)
Constant	21.961*** (2.069)	13.684*** (3.902)	3.656*** (0.338)	2.260*** (0.593)	0.875*** (0.061)	0.708*** (0.100)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.051	0.059	0.084	0.099	0.017	0.025

#### **URM** Female 3.4

Table 15: Effect on URM Female Speakers

	% URM Female	% URM Female	Count URM Female	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Count~URM} \\ {\rm Female} \end{array}$	Any URM Female	Any URM Female
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.022	-0.040	0.020	0.013	0.013	0.007
	(0.171)	(0.183)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.017)	(0.017)
Constant	1.772**	-0.024	0.199***	0.004	0.169***	-0.007
	(0.603)	(0.578)	(0.060)	(0.091)	(0.049)	(0.078)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.013	0.019	0.037	0.046	0.037	0.045

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### **Black Female** 3.5

Table 16: Effect on Black Female Speakers

	% Black Female	% Black Female	Count Black Female	Count Black Female	Any Black Female	Any Black Female
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) $(5)$	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.134*	0.144*	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.014+
	(0.068)	(0.070)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Constant	0.471* <sup>*</sup>	0.056	$0.054^{*}$	0.008	$0.042^{*}$	0.008
	(0.149)	(0.268)	(0.024)	(0.042)	(0.020)	(0.036)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.032	0.037	0.020	0.024	0.017	0.021

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### 3.6 Black Male

Table 17: Effect on Black Male Speakers

				•		
	% Black Male	% Black Male	Count Black Male	Count Black Male	Any Black Male	Any Black Male
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.536*	0.516*	0.074*	0.073*	0.059**	0.059**
	(0.263)	(0.247)	(0.034)	(0.033)	(0.023)	(0.022)
Constant	2.238**	$0.922^{'}$	0.372***	0.106	0.284***	0.049
	(0.709)	(1.166)	(0.092)	(0.144)	(0.061)	(0.103)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.019	0.022	0.041	0.048	0.035	0.043

#### Hispanic Female 3.7

Table 18: Effect on Hispanic Female Speakers

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanic
	Female	Female	Female	Female	Female	Female
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	-0.117	-0.191	0.006	-0.000	0.003	-0.003
	(0.160)	(0.175)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.012)
Constant	1.276* (0.588)	-0.123 (0.487)	0.061 (0.044)	-0.052 (0.066)	0.055 $(0.035)$	-0.055 (0.057)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.001	Extended 1,654 0.004	Simple 1,654 0.006	Extended 1,654 0.013	Simple 1,654 0.005	Extended 1,654 0.011

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### Hispanic Male 3.8

Table 19: Effect on Hispanic Male Speakers

				*		
	% Hispanic Male	% Hispanic Male	Count Hispanic Male	Count Hispanic Male	Any Hispanic Male	Any Hispanic Male
	(1)	(2)    (3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Treatment	0.248	0.295	0.013	-0.004	-0.015	-0.024
	(0.389)	(0.398)	(0.044)	(0.043)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	3.574**	2.331	0.571***	0.093	0.412***	0.165
	(1.334)	(1.636)	(0.113)	(0.178)	(0.070)	(0.107)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	0.008	0.007	0.015	0.021	0.022	0.026

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

# Discipline Subgroup Analysis

### 4.0.1 Chemistry (N=270)

Table 20: Chemistry: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Count URM} \\ (4) \end{array}$	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.643 (1.177)	-0.106 (1.169)	-0.089 (0.165)	-0.157 (0.166)	0.027 (0.052)	0.005 (0.057)
Constant	6.081* (2.655)	-2.012 (5.785)	0.908* (0.408)	-0.764 (0.777)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.197 \\ (0.130) \end{array} $	-0.304 (0.266)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 270 -0.022	Extended 270 -0.018	Simple 270 0.103	Extended 270 0.110	Simple 270 0.111	Extended 270 0.119

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 21: Chemistry: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.744 (1.057)	0.405 (0.848)	0.021 (0.121)	0.024 (0.100)	0.090 (0.065)	0.062 (0.059)
Constant	(2.245) $(2.564)$	-8.846* (3.920)	0.314 (0.246)	-1.603** (0.535)	0.120 (0.155)	-0.963** (0.295)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 270 -0.033	Extended 270 -0.014	Simple 270 0.045	Extended 270 0.084	Simple 270 0.045	Extended 270 0.098

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 22: Chemistry: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	-0.035 (0.976)	-0.470 (1.009)	-0.123 (0.116)	-0.202 (0.127)	-0.089 (0.062)	-0.125 <sup>+</sup> (0.064)
Constant	$3.004^{+}$ $(1.766)$	4.627 (4.893)	0.562 (0.358)	0.579 (0.546)	0.236 (0.159)	0.245 (0.303)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 270 -0.022	Extended 270 -0.022	Simple 270 0.049	Extended 270 0.074	Simple 270 0.038	Extended 270 0.057

### 4.0.2 Mathematics (N=812)

Table 23: Mathematics: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	1.096 (0.728)	1.398 <sup>+</sup> (0.798)	0.183 <sup>+</sup> (0.093)	0.189 <sup>+</sup> (0.101)	0.025 (0.034)	0.020 (0.033)
Constant	5.536*** (1.420)	4.759 $(4.201)$	0.786*** (0.131)	0.035 (0.519)	0.470*** (0.063)	0.174 $(0.187)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 812 0.001	Extended 812 -0.001	Simple 812 0.001	Extended 812 0.000	Simple 812 -0.008	Extended 812 0.001

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

Table 24: Mathematics: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.221	0.523	0.081	0.120*	0.023	0.035
	(0.386)	(0.421)	(0.050)	(0.054)	(0.029)	(0.027)
Constant	0.826 $(0.513)$	1.703 (2.402)	0.198** (0.072)	0.346 (0.263)	0.173*** (0.052)	0.066 (0.151)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	812	812	812	812	812	812
	0.011	0.021	0.010	0.024	0.005	0.020

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.

Table 25: Mathematics: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	0.894	0.879	0.107	0.070	0.031	0.017
	(0.632)	(0.681)	(0.071)	(0.069)	(0.033)	(0.036)
Constant	$4.634^{***}$ $(1.291)$	2.904 (3.230)	$0.570^{***}$ $(0.102)$	-0.348 (0.378)	$0.374^{***}$ $(0.070)$	0.154 $(0.185)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	812	812	812	812	812	812
	-0.004	-0.003	-0.000	0.004	-0.001	-0.001

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

### 4.0.3 Physics (N=349)

Table 26: Physics: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.257	0.137	0.164	0.140	-0.001	-0.000
	(1.190)	(1.150)	(0.130)	(0.128)	(0.058)	(0.051)
Constant	13.454***	7.788	1.251***	1.652	0.407***	$0.922^{+}$
	(2.392)	(13.711)	(0.169)	(1.433)	(0.073)	(0.555)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 349 0.004	Extended 349 -0.002	Simple 349 0.005	Extended 349 0.008	Simple 349 0.004	Extended 349 0.030

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

p < 0.1; p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.01; p < 0.001

Table 27: Physics: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	1.488* (0.605)	1.612** (0.615)	0.176* (0.068)	0.184** (0.070)	0.124* (0.048)	0.129* (0.050)
Constant	-0.215 (0.409)	-0.025 $(4.614)$	0.032 $(0.082)$	$0.246 \\ (0.648)$	0.001 (0.052)	0.029 $(0.444)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 349 0.001	Extended 349 -0.003	Simple 349 0.020	Extended 349 0.009	Simple 349 0.012	Extended 349 0.014

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 28: Physics: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	-1.230 (1.178)	-1.475 (1.174)	-0.012 (0.113)	-0.043 (0.115)	-0.063 (0.063)	-0.066 (0.058)
Constant	13.669*** (2.391)	7.813 (12.631)	1.219*** (0.152)	1.406 (1.181)	0.435*** (0.080)	0.827 $(0.571)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 349 -0.001	Extended 349 -0.006	Simple 349 -0.007	Extended 349 0.007	Simple 349 0.001	Extended 349 0.010

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

### 4.0.4 Computer Science (N=142)

Table 29: Computer Science: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	2.148	2.645	0.073	0.063	0.110	0.109
	(1.370)	(1.775)	(0.162)	(0.212)	(0.091)	(0.094)
Constant	7.104***	16.416	1.378***	4.200**	0.882***	2.540***
	(1.952)	(13.370)	(0.329)	(1.453)	(0.186)	(0.674)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	142	142	142	142	142	142
Adjusted $R^2$	0.031	0.076	0.067	0.058	0.081	0.100

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

Table 30: Computer Science: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.203	-0.178	-0.057	-0.065	-0.018	-0.042
	(0.698)	(0.713)	(0.064)	(0.072)	(0.055)	(0.067)
Constant	$\stackrel{ ext{4.152}^{*'*}}{(1.454)}$	3.617 (9.859)	0.703*** (0.207)	$2.104^{*}$ $(0.917)$	0.603*** (0.155)	$1.437^{*}$ $(0.696)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	142	142	142	142	142	142
	-0.041	-0.076	0.042	0.027	0.051	0.026

Table 31: Computer Science: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	1.945	2.823	0.131	0.128	0.136	0.156 <sup>+</sup>
	(1.458)	(1.754)	(0.145)	(0.182)	(0.096)	(0.089)
Constant	2.952	12.798	0.675*	$2.097^{+}$	0.589**	2.245**
	(2.209)	(11.268)	(0.305)	(1.089)	(0.224)	(0.704)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	142	142	142	142	142	142
	0.039	0.101	0.029	0.018	0.066	0.088

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.

### 4.0.5 Mechanical Engineering (N=81)

Table 32: Mechanical Engineering: Effect on URM Speaker Representation

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	3.859 <sup>+</sup>	2.959	0.665*	0.731 <sup>+</sup>	0.113	0.107
	(2.026)	(2.002)	(0.300)	(0.370)	(0.116)	(0.130)
Constant	17.140***	0.793	2.618**	1.814	0.792* <sup>*</sup>	0.316
	(5.984)	(11.347)	(0.963)	(2.267)	(0.279)	(0.597)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	81	81	81	81	81	81
Adjusted $R^2$	0.039	0.055	0.033	0.133	-0.002	-0.009

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^**p < 0.01; \ ^***p < 0.001$ 

Table 33: Mechanical Engineering: Effect on Black Speaker Representation

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	3.942***	2.942**	0.646***	0.551*	0.346***	0.310**
	(0.999)	(0.937)	(0.185)	(0.210)	(0.090)	(0.097)
Constant	8.540**	6.694	0.966***	0.498	0.818***	0.679
	(2.526)	(5.016)	(0.149)	(1.711)	(0.174)	(0.466)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	81	81	81	81	81	81
	0.153	0.170	0.129	0.185	0.157	0.196

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.

Table 34: Mechanical Engineering: Effect on Hispanic Speaker Representation

		0	0		*	
	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	-0.083 (1.897)	0.017 (1.900)	0.019 (0.227)	0.181 (0.244)	-0.034 (0.122)	0.006 (0.132)
Constant	$8.600^{+}$ $(4.526)$	-5.901 (11.026)	$1.652^{+}$ $(0.926)$	1.316 (1.569)	$0.585^*$ $(0.291)$	0.036 $(0.645)$
Controls N	Simple 81	Extended 81	Simple 81	Extended 81	Simple 81	Extended 81
Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	-0.053	-0.041	0.025	0.067	-0.015	0.001

p < 0.1; p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.01; p < 0.001

p < 0.1; p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.01; p < 0.001

### 4.1 Testing for Significant Moderation Across Disciplines

F-test for Treatment × Discipline Interactions (Black Speakers): F-statistic: 3.583 p-value: 0.0065 Degrees of freedom: 4

The treatment effect on Black speaker representation varies significantly across disciplines (p < 0.05). This indicates that the diversity intervention has heterogeneous effects depending on the academic field.

F-test for Treatment × Discipline Interactions (URM Speakers): F-statistic: 0.63 p-value: 0.6413 Degrees of freedom: 4

\textbf{F-test for Treatment  $\times$  Discipline Interactions (% Black Speakers):} F-statistic: 2.466 p-value: 0.0432 Degrees of freedom: 4

F-test for Treatment  $\times$  Discipline Interactions (Total Black Speakers): F-statistic: 4.132 p-value: 0.0025 Degrees of freedom: 4

Individual Interaction Effects (Black Speakers): Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) treatment:disc\_mathematics -0.0567 0.0605 -0.9369 0.3490 treatment:disc\_physics 0.0242 0.0685 0.3538 0.7236 treatment:disc\_computer\_science -0.1065 0.0879 -1.2117 0.2258 treatment:disc\_mechanical\_engineering 0.2798 0.1059 2.6421 0.0083

#### Semester-Specific Analysis **5**

#### 5.1 Fall Semester

Table 35: Fall: Effect on URM Speakers

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.944	0.853	0.078 <sup>+</sup>	0.049	0.033	0.020
	(0.694)	(0.687)	(0.046)	(0.044)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	6.369**	-2.473	0.544***	-0.276	0.366***	-0.058
	(2.448)	(3.058)	(0.145)	(0.212)	(0.070)	(0.111)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448
	0.017	0.026	0.023	0.040	0.023	0.035

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 36: Fall: Effect on Black Speakers

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.472	0.432	0.053*	0.050*	0.043**	0.042*
	(0.331)	(0.332)	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.017)	(0.017)
Constant	2.819**	-1.717	0.224***	-0.075	0.172***	-0.067
	(0.985)	(1.425)	(0.061)	(0.097)	(0.046)	(0.080)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448	1,448
	0.023	0.037	0.033	0.046	0.028	0.041

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^**p < 0.01; \ ^***p < 0.001$ 

Table 37: Fall: Effect on Hispanic Speakers

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanio (6)
Treatment	0.455 (0.636)	0.390 (0.659)	0.024 (0.038)	-0.003 (0.038)	0.011 (0.024)	-0.004 (0.025)
Constant	3.408 (2.362)	-0.999 (2.932)	$0.307^*$ $(0.134)$	-0.224 (0.188)	0.238** (0.073)	-0.063 (0.109)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,448 0.011	Extended 1,448 0.010	Simple 1,448 0.020	Extended 1,448 0.029	Simple 1,448 0.022	Extended 1,448 0.028

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### Spring Semester 5.2

Table 38: Spring: Effect on URM Speakers

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.771	0.905	0.030	0.037	-0.004	-0.001
	(0.753)	(0.798)	(0.055)	(0.056)	(0.028)	(0.028)
Constant	6.932***	7.323*	0.876***	0.794***	0.498***	0.403***
	(1.697)	(2.897)	(0.141)	(0.241)	(0.071)	(0.121)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,388	1,388	1,388	1,388	1,388	1,388
Adjusted $R^2$	0.007	0.007	0.025	0.022	0.027	0.029

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001$ 

Table 39: Spring: Effect on Black Speakers

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.891 <sup>+</sup>	0.926*	0.038	0.042	0.028	0.031
	(0.456)	(0.430)	(0.035)	(0.034)	(0.023)	(0.023)
Constant	$1.923^{+}$ $(1.023)$	1.818 (1.704)	0.322*** (0.088)	0.319* (0.148)	0.237*** (0.059)	$0.190^{+}$ (0.102)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,388	1,388	1,388	1,388	1,388	1,388
	0.012	0.012	0.031	0.034	0.022	0.022

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 40: Spring: Effect on Hispanic Speakers

	% Hispanic (1)	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic (4)	Any Hispanic (5)	Any Hispanic (6)
Treatment	-0.099 (0.641)	-0.007 (0.703)	-0.007 (0.040)	-0.005 (0.040)	-0.027 (0.026)	-0.026 (0.027)
Constant	4.786*** (1.364)	5.278* $(2.477)$	0.536*** (0.096)	$0.453^{**}$ $(0.170)$	0.373*** (0.065)	0.310** (0.103)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,388 -0.002	Extended 1,388 -0.003	Simple 1,388 0.008	Extended 1,388 0.009	Simple 1,388 0.015	Extended 1,388 0.017

#### 6 Heterogeneity Analysis

#### Moderation by Department Ranking 6.1

#### 6.2 **URM Speakers**

Table 41: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by Department Ranking

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.744	0.748	0.104	0.075	0.023	0.013
	(0.514)	(0.511)	(0.065)	(0.063)	(0.025)	(0.023)
Constant	8.970***	4.961*	1.057***	0.251	0.506***	$0.193^{+}$
	(1.666)	(1.961)	(0.176)	(0.249)	(0.074)	(0.110)
Department Ranking	0.016 (0.013)	0.029* (0.013)	-0.003* (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002* <sup>*</sup> * (0.001)	-0.001 (0.001)
Treatment $\times$ Department Ranking	0.009	0.007	0.005*	0.005**	0.001	$0.001^{+}$
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.013	0.017	0.034	0.043	0.033	0.043

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.3

Table 42: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by Department Ranking

	-	*	-	_	
% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
0.653*	0.656*	0.082*	0.081*	0.057*	0.055*
(0.305)	(0.288)	(0.039)	(0.037)	(0.023)	(0.023)
3.180***	1.559	0.486***	0.182	0.266***	0.063
(0.839)	(1.166)	(0.115)	(0.152)	(0.066)	(0.098)
0.006	0.011	-0.000	0.001	-0.001*	-0.000
(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.001)
0.004	0.003	0.002*	0.002*	0.001	0.001
(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
0.027	0.031	0.052	0.059	0.037	0.045
	(1)  0.653* (0.305) 3.180*** (0.839) 0.006 (0.007) 0.004 (0.009)  Simple 1,654	(1) (2)  0.653* 0.656* (0.305) (0.288) 3.180*** 1.559 (0.839) (1.166) 0.006 0.011 (0.007) (0.008) 0.004 0.003 (0.009) (0.009)  Simple Extended 1,654 1,654	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} (1) & (2) & (3) \\ \hline 0.653^* & 0.656^* & 0.082^* \\ (0.305) & (0.288) & (0.039) \\ 3.180^{***} & 1.559 & 0.486^{***} \\ (0.839) & (1.166) & (0.115) \\ 0.006 & 0.011 & -0.000 \\ (0.007) & (0.008) & (0.001) \\ 0.004 & 0.003 & 0.002^* \\ (0.009) & (0.009) & (0.001) \\ \hline \text{Simple} & \text{Extended} & \text{Simple} \\ 1,654 & 1,654 & 1,654 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 43: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by Department Ranking

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanic
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.107	0.097	0.023	-0.007	-0.013	-0.025
	(0.453)	(0.468)	(0.049)	(0.048)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	5.514***	$3.119^{+}$	0.536***	0.025	0.377***	0.146
	(1.548)	(1.796)	(0.145)	(0.198)	(0.074)	(0.101)
Department Ranking	0.009	0.017	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001 <sup>+</sup>	-0.000
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Treatment × Department Ranking	0.004	0.005	$0.002^{+}$	0.003*	0.001	0.001
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.006	0.006	0.018	0.023	0.022	0.026

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### Moderation by Total Faculty Size 6.5

#### **URM Speakers** 6.6

Table 44: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by Total Faculty

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				0.00000	0 0 00000	·	Any URM (6)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Treatment			0.00.			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Constant						
	Total Faculty						
N 1,654 1,654 1,654 1,654 1,654 1,654	Treatment $\times$ Total Faculty						
-144144144144144144-	Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
Adjusted $R^2$ 0.012 0.017 0.030 0.038 0.029 0.041		1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	Adjusted $R^2$	0.012	0.017	0.030	0.038	0.029	0.041

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.7

Table 45: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by Total Faculty

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.768*	0.655*	0.092*	0.080*	0.056*	0.054*
	(0.308)	(0.287)	(0.041)	(0.037)	(0.023)	(0.023)
Constant	2.805***	0.336	0.469***	0.046	0.309***	0.027
	(0.796)	(1.326)	(0.103)	(0.171)	(0.065)	(0.105)
Total Faculty	-0.015	-0.014	-0.000	-0.000	0.001	-0.000
	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Treatment × Total Faculty	$0.003 \\ (0.015)$	-0.004 $(0.014)$	-0.002 $(0.002)$	$-0.003^+$ $(0.002)$	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.027	Extended 1,654 0.031	Simple 1,654 0.048	Extended $1,654$ $0.057$	Simple 1,654 0.035	Extended 1,654 0.045

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 46: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by Total Faculty

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanic
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.237	0.133	-0.007	-0.002	-0.024	-0.022
	(0.467)	(0.466)	(0.048)	(0.048)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	4.673**	1.795	0.590***	0.133	0.381***	$0.183^{+}$
	(1.490)	(1.763)	(0.149)	(0.203)	(0.071)	(0.107)
Total Faculty	-0.026	-0.020	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.000
	(0.025)	(0.026)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Treatment $\times$ Total Faculty	0.026	0.021	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002
	(0.027)	(0.026)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1.654	1.654	1.654	1.654	1.654	1.654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.005	0.006	0.018	0.021	0.024	0.025

#### Moderation by URM Faculty in Peer Departments 6.9

#### 6.10 **URM Speakers**

Table 47: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by Peer URM Faculty

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.791 (0.518)	0.734 (0.515)	0.108 <sup>+</sup> (0.064)	0.080 (0.063)	0.023 (0.024)	0.014 (0.023)
Constant	7.619*** (1.589)	6.997*** (1.814)	1.052*** (0.163)	0.784*** (0.221)	0.542***	0.410*** (0.098)
Peer URM Faculty	0.068 (0.054)	0.141** (0.054)	0.017** (0.005)	0.021*** (0.006)	0.007** (0.002)	0.007** (0.002)
Treatment $\times$ Peer URM Faculty	-0.067 $(0.072)$	-0.055 (0.071)	-0.005 (0.008)	-0.006 (0.008)	-0.001 (0.003)	-0.001 (0.003)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	$1,654 \\ 0.011$	$1,654 \\ 0.017$	$1,654 \\ 0.037$	1,654 $0.038$	1,654 $0.037$	1,654 0.041

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.11

Table 48: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by Peer URM Faculty

		-				
	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.673* (0.303)	0.662* (0.288)	0.085* (0.039)	0.084* (0.037)	0.058* (0.023)	0.058* (0.023)
Constant	2.675*** (0.783)	2.386* (1.005)	0.425*** (0.103)	0.368* (0.144)	0.283*** (0.062)	0.233** (0.086)
Peer URM Faculty	0.013 (0.023)	0.040 (0.029)	0.003	0.007 (0.004)	0.003	0.003 (0.002)
Treatment $\times$ Peer URM Faculty	-0.004 (0.037)	0.004 $(0.037)$	$0.000 \\ (0.005)$	0.001 (0.005)	0.002 (0.003)	0.003 $(0.003)$
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.025	0.031	0.048	0.056	0.040	0.044

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 49: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by Peer URM Faculty

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanio
	(1)	(2)		(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.133	0.077	0.023	-0.007	-0.013	-0.024
	(0.454)	(0.472)	(0.047)	(0.048)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	4.728**	4.309*	0.601***	0.370*	0.402***	0.285**
	(1.446)	(1.700)	(0.130)	(0.182)	(0.069)	(0.087)
Peer URM Faculty	0.057	$0.099^{+}$	0.014***	0.014**	0.005*	$0.005^{+}$
·	(0.049)	(0.051)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.003)
Treatment × Peer URM Faculty	-0.064	-0.060	-0.005	-0.007	-0.000	-0.001
v	(0.063)	(0.063)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.006	0.006	0.022	0.021	0.024	0.025

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### Moderation by % Female Email Recipients 6.13

#### 6.14 **URM Speakers**

Table 50: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by % Female Recipients

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.003	0.027	0.039	0.018	-0.010	-0.013
	(0.671)	(0.659)	(0.084)	(0.082)	(0.031)	(0.030)
Constant	8.203*** (1.687)	$3.905^{+}$ $(2.071)$	1.161*** (0.177)	0.254 (0.277)	0.594*** (0.070)	0.234* (0.116)
% Female Recipients	-1.219	-1.227	-0.213	-0.219	$-0.114^{+}$	-0.102
	(1.322)	(1.326)	(0.159)	(0.161)	(0.065)	(0.064)
Treatment $\times$ % Female Recipients	4.030* (1.933)	$3.735^{+}$ $(1.926)$	0.310 $(0.227)$	0.298 (0.224)	$0.142^{+}$ (0.086)	0.126 $(0.084)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.012	0.018	0.030	0.039	0.030	0.042

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.15

Table 51: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by % Female Recipients

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.355	0.407	0.077	0.085 <sup>+</sup>	0.043	0.048 <sup>+</sup>
	(0.387)	(0.384)	(0.050)	(0.049)	(0.029)	(0.029)
Constant	2.811**	1.100	0.450***	0.121	0.298***	0.069
	(0.856)	(1.304)	(0.111)	(0.169)	(0.064)	(0.106)
% Female Recipients	-0.187	0.028	-0.062	-0.032	-0.010	0.006
	(0.847)	(0.844)	(0.099)	(0.101)	(0.060)	(0.061)
Treatment $\times$ % Female Recipients	1.691	1.419	0.024	-0.014	0.071	0.049
	(1.388)	(1.379)	(0.133)	(0.132)	(0.086)	(0.084)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.027	0.032	0.047	0.055	0.035	0.043

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 52: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by % Female Recipients

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanic
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	-0.328	-0.364	-0.039	-0.069	-0.022	-0.035
_	(0.598)	(0.605)	(0.063)	(0.062)	(0.032)	(0.033)
Constant	5.156***	2.574	0.683***	0.096	0.434***	0.165
	(1.556)	(1.850)	(0.144)	(0.212)	(0.073)	(0.108)
% Female Recipients	-0.942	-1.183	-0.142	-0.182	-0.072	-0.083
	(1.074)	(1.108)	(0.122)	(0.123)	(0.066)	(0.068)
Treatment × % Female Recipients	2.305	2.278	0.290	$0.314^{+}$	0.029	0.037
•	(1.721)	(1.714)	(0.185)	(0.184)	(0.091)	(0.093)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.005	0.006	0.015	0.022	0.021	0.025

#### Moderation by % URM Email Recipients 6.17

#### 6.18 **URM Speakers**

Table 53: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by % URM Recipients

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.274	0.305	0.103	0.084	0.022	0.017
	(0.565)	(0.562)	(0.074)	(0.072)	(0.028)	(0.026)
Constant	8.006*** (1.661)	$3.617^{+}$ $(2.074)$	1.099*** (0.171)	0.195 (0.279)	0.560*** (0.070)	$0.204^{+}$ $(0.119)$
% URM Recipients	0.464	0.788	-0.005	0.010	0.021	0.043
	(2.109)	(2.045)	(0.226)	(0.225)	(0.101)	(0.100)
Treatment $\times$ % URM Recipients	7.348* (3.596)	$\stackrel{\circ}{6.527^{+}}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{(3.550)}$	0.016 (0.348)	-0.022 (0.343)	-0.009 (0.142)	-0.029 (0.136)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.016	0.021	0.029	0.037	0.028	0.041

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.19

Table 54: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by % URM Recipients

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.267	0.270	0.066	0.068	0.044 <sup>+</sup>	0.045 <sup>+</sup>
	(0.317)	(0.307)	(0.043)	(0.042)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Constant	2.971***	1.293	0.453***	0.140	0.306***	0.081
	(0.795)	(1.289)	(0.103)	(0.170)	(0.060)	(0.105)
% URM Recipients	-1.068	-1.061	-0.185	-0.164	-0.121	-0.116
	(1.102)	(1.081)	(0.125)	(0.122)	(0.082)	(0.077)
Treatment × % URM Recipients	5.572* (2.645)	5.315* (2.669)	0.242 $(0.213)$	(0.191) $(0.207)$	0.155 (0.133)	0.142 (0.124)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.033	Extended $1,654$ $0.037$	Simple 1,654 0.048	Extended $1,654$ $0.056$	Simple 1,654 0.036	Extended 1,654 0.044

Table 55: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by % URM Recipients

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic (2)	Count Hispanic (3)	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanio
	(1)			(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.016	0.032	0.036	0.012	-0.011	-0.020
	(0.511)	(0.525)	(0.055)	(0.054)	(0.028)	(0.028)
Constant	4.826**	2.116	0.621***	0.022	0.411***	0.143
	(1.545)	(1.893)	(0.137)	(0.210)	(0.072)	(0.109)
% URM Recipients	1.506 (1.772)	1.789 (1.755)	0.167 $(0.171)$	0.156 (0.176)	0.059 $(0.092)$	0.077 (0.095)
Treatment $\times$ % URM Recipients	1.841	1.321	-0.204	-0.186	-0.028	-0.037
	(3.163)	(3.115)	(0.253)	(0.256)	(0.144)	(0.144)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.006	0.007	0.014	0.020	0.020	0.025

#### Moderation by % URM Faculty in Department 6.21

#### 6.22 **URM Speakers**

Table 56: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by % URM Faculty

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	-0.299	-0.143	-0.018	-0.033	0.012	0.004
	(0.748)	(0.745)	(0.094)	(0.093)	(0.035)	(0.033)
Constant	7.795*** (1.683)	$3.756^{+}$ $(2.080)$	1.104*** (0.172)	0.243 (0.272)	0.563*** (0.070)	$0.213^{+}$ $(0.117)$
% URM Faculty	-5.202	-3.999	-0.698	-0.887	-0.096	-0.272
	(10.019)	(9.951)	(1.219)	(1.159)	(0.554)	(0.515)
Treatment $\times$ % URM Faculty	$28.196^{+}$ (15.711)	24.989 $(15.532)$	3.186 (1.966)	$3.209^{+}$ $(1.942)$	$0.241 \\ (0.671)$	$0.302 \\ (0.656)$
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.013	0.018	0.032	0.040	0.028	0.041

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.23

Table 57: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by % URM Faculty

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.024 (0.366)	0.087 (0.366)	-0.001 (0.051)	0.005 (0.051)	0.020 (0.031)	0.021 (0.031)
Constant	2.681*** (0.813)	1.201 (1.315)	0.439*** (0.107)	0.149 (0.166)	0.293*** (0.063)	0.079 $(0.105)$
% URM Faculty	-1.036 (4.661)	-1.005 (4.668)	-0.579 (0.633)	-0.618 (0.618)	-0.139 (0.424)	-0.254 (0.402)
Treatment × % URM Faculty	16.486* (8.372)	15.893 <sup>+</sup> (8.323)	2.240* (1.089)	2.193* (1.030)	0.928 $(0.586)$	$0.972^{+}$ (0.567)
Controls N	Simple 1,654	Extended 1,654	Simple 1,654	Extended 1,654	Simple 1,654	Extended 1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.030	0.033	0.051	0.059	0.037	0.045

Table 58: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by % URM Faculty

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispani
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	-0.331	-0.243	-0.025	-0.047	0.009	-0.003
	(0.731)	(0.734)	(0.080)	(0.080)	(0.037)	(0.036)
Constant	4.899**	2.344	0.639***	0.060	0.416***	0.142
	(1.541)	(1.861)	(0.139)	(0.210)	(0.070)	(0.108)
% URM Faculty	-4.116	-3.027	-0.207	-0.369	0.051	-0.026
	(8.713)	(8.539)	(0.972)	(0.932)	(0.520)	(0.501)
Treatment × % URM Faculty	12.279	9.633	1.155	1.211	-0.583	-0.592
·	(15.100)	(14.969)	(1.710)	(1.741)	(0.673)	(0.666)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.005	0.006	0.014	0.021	0.020	0.025

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### Moderation by % Women Faculty in Department 6.25

#### **URM Speakers** 6.26

Table 59: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by % Women Faculty

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	1.587 (1.526)	1.959 (1.493)	0.173 (0.199)	0.134 (0.198)	0.097 (0.074)	0.073 (0.070)
Constant	6.036** (1.943)	3.089 (2.143)	0.966*** (0.218)	0.184 (0.277)	0.481*** (0.086)	0.193 (0.119)
% Women Faculty	$8.483^{+}$ $(5.034)$	$8.753^{+}$ $(4.962)$	0.655 (0.727)	0.669 (0.711)	0.428 (0.284)	$0.466^{+}$ (0.268)
Treatment $\times$ % Women Faculty	-4.628 $(7.405)$	-6.091 (7.145)	-0.392 (1.011)	-0.261 (0.983)	-0.409 (0.358)	-0.297 $(0.339)$
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.012	0.017	0.030	0.038	0.030	0.042

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.27

Table 60: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by % Women Faculty

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.206	0.420	0.047	0.055	0.037	0.040
	(0.785)	(0.749)	(0.112)	(0.108)	(0.068)	(0.067)
Constant	2.338**	1.040	0.380**	0.125	0.259***	0.070
	(0.884)	(1.331)	(0.121)	(0.168)	(0.075)	(0.107)
% Women Faculty	1.259	1.612	0.207	0.259	0.133	0.182
	(2.759)	(2.613)	(0.383)	(0.354)	(0.250)	(0.233)
Treatment $\times$ % Women Faculty	2.164 (4.344)	1.219 (3.972)	0.167 $(0.614)$	0.148 $(0.568)$	0.085 (0.343)	0.085 $(0.331)$
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.026	0.031	0.047	0.056	0.035	0.043

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \,^*p < 0.05; \,^{**}p < 0.01; \,^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 61: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by % Women Faculty

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanio		
	$(1) \qquad (2)$	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			
Treatment	1.342	1.509	0.122	0.075	0.091	0.056		
	(1.348)	(1.354)	(0.147)	(0.148)	(0.073)	(0.074)		
Constant	$3.436^{+}$	1.841	0.545**	0.022	0.343***	0.130		
	(1.773)	(1.913)	(0.172)	(0.217)	(0.082)	(0.109)		
% Women Faculty	$7.406^{+}$	$7.322^{+}$	0.509	0.469	0.417	0.398		
v	(4.220)	(4.195)	(0.535)	(0.547)	(0.257)	(0.263)		
Treatment × % Women Faculty	-6.550	-7.132	-0.546	-0.398	-0.547	-0.404		
·	(6.205)	(6.161)	(0.698)	(0.697)	(0.339)	(0.343)		
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended		
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654		
Adjusted $R^2$	0.006	0.006	0.014	0.021	0.022	0.025		

#### Moderation by % URM Faculty in Department - Black Speakers Only 6.29

Note: Our dataset contains combined URM faculty percentages (Black, Latino, and Native American) but not Black-specific faculty percentages. This analysis examines whether the treatment effect on Black speaker representation is moderated by the overall URM faculty percentage in the department. While not a perfect measure, departments with higher URM faculty percentages likely have higher Black faculty representation as well.

Table 62: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by % URM Faculty

	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.024	0.087	-0.001	0.005	0.020	0.021
	(0.366)	(0.366)	(0.051)	(0.051)	(0.031)	(0.031)
Constant	2.681***	1.201	0.439***	0.149	0.293****	0.079
	(0.813)	(1.315)	(0.107)	(0.166)	(0.063)	(0.105)
% URM Faculty	-1.036 (4.661)	-1.005 (4.668)	-0.579 (0.633)	-0.618 (0.618)	-0.139 (0.424)	-0.254 $(0.402)$
Treatment $\times$ % URM Faculty	16.486* (8.372)	$15.893^{+}$ $(8.323)$	2.240* (1.089)	2.193* (1.030)	0.928 $(0.586)$	$0.972^{+}$ (0.567)
Controls N Adjusted $\mathbb{R}^2$	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
	0.030	0.033	0.051	0.059	0.037	0.045

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

#### Moderation by Number of Distinct Seminars 6.30

#### **URM Speakers** 6.31

Table 63: Effect on URM Speakers: Moderation by Number of Seminars

	% URM (1)	% URM (2)	Count URM (3)	Count URM (4)	Any URM (5)	Any URM (6)
Treatment	0.760	0.661	0.102	0.068	0.021	0.010
	(0.516)	(0.514)	(0.066)	(0.064)	(0.025)	(0.024)
Constant	11.157***	5.432*	1.040***	0.273	0.435***	0.167
	(2.735)	(2.715)	(0.286)	(0.322)	(0.121)	(0.162)
Number of Seminars	-0.235 (0.189)	-0.157 (0.177)	0.012 (0.022)	-0.000 (0.021)	0.013 (0.009)	0.007 (0.010)
Treatment $\times$ Number of Seminars	-0.087	-0.102	-0.010	-0.020 <sup>+</sup>	-0.003	-0.008 <sup>+</sup>
	(0.085)	(0.089)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.004)	(0.005)
Controls N Adjusted $R^2$	Simple 1,654 0.012	Extended 1,654 0.017	Simple 1,654 0.029	Extended 1,654 0.039	Simple 1,654 0.029	Extended 1,654 0.042

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p<0.1;\,^*p<0.05;\,^{**}p<0.01;\,^{***}p<0.001$ 

#### **BLACK Speakers** 6.32

Table 64: Effect on Black Speakers: Moderation by Number of Seminars

		-				
	% Black (1)	% Black (2)	Count Black (3)	Count Black (4)	Any Black (5)	Any Black (6)
Treatment	0.661*	0.651*	0.083*	0.083*	0.056*	0.054*
	(0.301)	(0.283)	(0.038)	(0.037)	(0.022)	(0.022)
Constant	1.094	-1.157	0.079	-0.314	0.029	-0.188
	(1.258)	(1.744)	(0.147)	(0.192)	(0.097)	(0.119)
Number of Seminars	0.191*	$0.227^{*}$	0.039***	0.046***	0.029***	0.028***
	(0.087)	(0.097)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Treatment × Number of Seminars	-0.070 <sup>+</sup>	-0.070	-0.011*	-0.013*	-0.008*	-0.010**
	(0.041)	(0.043)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.027	0.033	0.053	0.063	0.043	0.051

Clustered standard errors at department level in parentheses.  $^+p < 0.1; \ ^*p < 0.05; \ ^{**}p < 0.01; \ ^{***}p < 0.001$ 

Table 65: Effect on Hispanic Speakers: Moderation by Number of Seminars

	% Hispanic	% Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Count Hispanic	Any Hispanic	Any Hispanic
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Treatment	0.113	0.013	0.019	-0.016	-0.014	-0.028
	(0.445)	(0.471)	(0.048)	(0.047)	(0.025)	(0.026)
Constant	9.947***	6.552*	0.954***	0.584*	0.471***	0.282*
	(2.339)	(2.609)	(0.200)	(0.241)	(0.106)	(0.139)
Number of Seminars	-0.436**	-0.401*	-0.030 <sup>+</sup>	-0.049**	-0.006	-0.012
	(0.157)	(0.165)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.008)	(0.009)
Treatment × Number of Seminars	-0.015	-0.031	0.003	-0.006	0.002	-0.002
	(0.075)	(0.079)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.004)	(0.005)
Controls	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended	Simple	Extended
N	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654	1,654
Adjusted $R^2$	0.009	0.008	0.015	0.025	0.020	0.025

### 6.34 Junior vs Senior Speaker Analysis

#### 6.34.1 Analysis: Treatment effects on representation by speaker career stage

This analysis examines whether the treatment differentially affects the representation of junior versus senior speakers. We define: - **Junior speakers**: Those below the median years since PhD completion - **Senior speakers**: Those above the median years since PhD completion

We analyze treatment effects on three types of outcomes for each demographic group (URM, Black, Hispanic):
1. **Percentage**: What percentage of speakers from a demographic group are junior (or senior)? 2. **Count**: How many speakers from a demographic group are junior (or senior)? 3. **Binary**: Does the seminar have any junior (or senior) speakers from this demographic group?

### 6.35 Seniority Data Coverage

Total seminars analyzed:  $1654 \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Seminars with junior speakers:  $1518 \cdot (91.8\%) \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Seminars with senior speakers:  $1535 \cdot (92.8\%) \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Mean junior speakers per seminar:  $5.99 \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Mean senior speakers per seminar:  $5.88 \setminus [0.3\text{em}]$  Median years since PhD (cutoff):  $12.0 \setminus [0.5\text{em}]$ 

### 6.36 URM Speakers by Career Stage

Table 66: Treatment Effects on Junior and Senior URM Speakers

	Junior	Speakers	Senior Speakers			
Outcome	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2		
% of speakers	0.9850	0.5776	0.6141	0.8026		
Count	0.0624	0.0444	-0.0239	-0.0211		
Any $(0/1)$	0.0249	0.0135	-0.0116	-0.0063		
Mean (Control)						
% of speakers	6.766		5.899			
Count	0.407		0.400			
Any $(0/1)$	0.299		0.284			

Note: + p<0.1; \* p<0.05; \*\*\* p<0.01; \*\*\* p<0.001. Standard errors clustered at the department level. Junior speakers are defined as those below the median years since PhD; senior speakers are above the median. Model 1 includes baseline controls; Model 2 adds extended controls.

### 6.37 Black Speakers by Career Stage

Table 67: Treatment Effects on Junior and Senior Black Speakers

	Junior	Speakers	Senior Speakers		
Outcome	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	
% of speakers	0.8051 +	0.6486	0.4364	0.4963	
Count	0.0445*	0.0447*	0.0067	0.0066	
Any $(0/1)$	0.0402*	0.0407*	0.0139	0.0143	
Mean (Control)					
% of speakers	2.013		1.275		
Count	0.110		0.110		
Any (0/1)	0.095		0.087		

Note: + p<0.1; \* p<0.05; \*\*\*  $\overline{\text{p}}$ <0.01; \*\*\* p<0.001. Standard errors clustered at the department level. Junior speakers are defined as those below the median years since PhD; senior speakers are above the median. Model 1 includes baseline controls; Model 2 adds extended controls.

## 6.38 Hispanic Speakers by Career Stage

Table 68: Treatment Effects on Junior and Senior Hispanic Speakers

	Junior	Speakers	Senior Speakers		
Outcome	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	
% of speakers	0.1516	-0.1173	0.1777	0.3063	
Count	0.0165	-0.0036	-0.0306	-0.0277	
Any $(0/1)$	-0.0079	-0.0209	-0.0170	-0.0132	
Mean (Control)					
% of speakers	4.739		4.624		
Count	0.294		0.290		
Any $(0/1)$	0.233		0.222		

Note: + p < 0.1; \* p < 0.05; \*\*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001. Standard errors clustered at the department level. Junior speakers are defined as those below the median years since PhD; senior speakers are above the median. Model 1 includes baseline controls; Model 2 adds extended controls.

7 Summary of All Significant Results

Table 69: All Significant Results (p < 0.1) from All Analyses

Analysis		Outcome	Variable		Model	Coef.	SE	t-stat	p-value	Sig.
Career Stage A	nalysis									
Junior/Senior	Black	Junior Any $(0/1)$	Treatment		Simple	0.0402	0.0159	2.521	0.0118	*
Speakers	DI I		<b></b>		C: 1	0.0445	0.0001	0.010	0.00=1	*
Junior/Senior	Black	Junior Count	Treatment		Simple	0.0445	0.0201	2.212	0.0271	*
Speakers	D1I-	T								
Junior/Senior Speakers	Black	Junior								
Demographic S	ubgrauns	3								
			T		E-+1-1	0.000	0.0002	0.076	0.0230	*
Demographic Sub Demographic Sub		% Black % Black Female	Treatment Treatment		Extended Extended	0.6606 $0.1443$	0.2903 $0.0701$	2.276 $2.058$	0.0230 $0.0397$	*
Demographic Sub		% Black Male	Treatment		Extended	0.1443 $0.5163$	0.0701 $0.2473$	2.038	0.0397 $0.0370$	*
Demographic Sub		Any Black	Treatment		Extended	0.0564	0.2473 $0.0226$	2.500	0.0370 $0.0125$	*
~ -	-	Any Black Fe-								
Demographic Sub	group	male	Treatment		Extended	0.0143	0.0081	1.771	0.0768	+
Demographic Sub	group	Any Black Male	Treatment		Extended	0.0592	0.0223	2.659	0.0079	**
Demographic Sub		Count Black	Treatment		Extended	0.0839	0.0377	2.227	0.0261	*
Demographic Sub		Count Black	Treatment		Extended	0.0729	0.0326	2.235	0.0256	*
	0 - 1	Male								
Discipline Anal	ysis	Any Hispanic	Treatment		Extended	-0.1253	0.0644	-1.945	0.0529	+
Computer Science	,	Any Hispanic	Treatment		Extended	0.1556	0.0892	1.745	0.0836	+
Mathematics		% URM	Treatment		Extended	1.3983	0.7982	1.752	0.0802	+
Mathematics		Count Black	Treatment		Extended	0.1203	0.0544	2.211	0.0273	*
Mathematics		Count URM	Treatment		Simple	0.1832	0.0935	1.960	0.0503	+
Mechanical Engin	eering	% Black	Treatment		Simple	3.9420	0.9989	3.946	0.0002	***
Mechanical Engin	eering	% URM	Treatment		Simple	3.8590	2.0259	1.905	0.0613	+
Mechanical Engin	eering	Any Black	Treatment		Simple	0.3462	0.0898	3.855	0.0003	***
Mechanical Engin	-	Count Black	Treatment		Simple	0.6458	0.1853	3.486	0.0009	***
Mechanical Engin	eering	Count URM	Treatment		Simple	0.6652	0.2998	2.219	0.0301	*
Physics	Ü	% Black	Treatment		Extended	1.6116	0.6154	2.619	0.0092	**
Physics		Any Black	Treatment		Simple	0.1244	0.0480	2.589	0.0100	*
Physics		Count Black	Treatment		Extended	0.1840	0.0695	2.646	0.0085	**
Heterogeneity A	Analysis									
Department Rank	ing	Any URM	Treatment $\times$	Depart-	Extended	0.0011	0.0006	1.764	0.0780	+
D		G . F1 .	ment Ranking	ъ .	a	0.600:	0.0015	0.0=5	0.00==	J.
Department Rank	ing	Count Black	Treatment ×	Depart-	Simple	0.0024	0.0012	2.079	0.0378	*
Domontos t D: 1		Count II::	ment Ranking	Dont	Dark a 1 . 1	0.0000	0.0010	0.151	0.0916	*
Department Rank	ing	Count Hispanic	Treatment ×	Depart-	Extended	0.0026	0.0012	2.151	0.0316	-1-
Donortmont Do-1	ing	Count HDM	ment Ranking	Donant	Parton do d	0.0051	0.0019	9 000	0.0047	**
Department Rank	ınıg	Count URM	Treatment × ment Ranking	Depart-	Extended	0.0051	0.0018	2.828	0.0047	****
Number of Semina	orc	% Black	Treatment × N	umber of	Simple	0.0600	0.0413	1 609	0.0007	1
number of Semina	ars	/0 DIACK		umper of	Simple	-0.0699	0.0413	-1.693	0.0907	+
Number of Com	0.20	Any Dlool-	Seminars Treetment × N	umber et	Parton do d	0.0000	0.0000	2 610	0.0001	**
Number of Semina	ars	Any Black	Treatment × N	umper of	Extended	-0.0099	0.0038	-2.610	0.0091	
Number of Semina	ore	Any IIBM	Seminars Treatment × N	umber of	Extended	0.0001	0.0046	1 775	0.0761	1
avanuer or semina	ais	Any URM	Treatment $\times$ N	amper or	Dabiiara	-0.0061	0.0046	-1.110	0.0761	+