- (1) (a) *Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be an upper bound of B. Since  $A \subseteq B$ , then it follows that for all  $a \in A$ , then  $a \leq \beta$ . Then every upper bound of B is an upper bound of A. In particular,  $\sup(B)$  is an upper bound of A. By definition of a supremum, then  $\sup(A) \leq \sup(B)$ .
  - (b) *Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a lower bound of B. Since  $A \subseteq B$ , then it follows that for all  $a \in A$ , then  $\beta \le a$ . Then every lower bound of B is a lower bound of A. In particular,  $\inf(B)$  is a lower bound of A. By definition of an infimum, then  $\inf(B) \le \inf(A)$ .
  - (c) *Proof.* Since  $a \le b$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , then each b is an upper bound of A so that  $\sup(A) \le b$ . Furthermore each a is a lower bound of B so that  $a \le \inf(B)$ . Then  $\sup(A) \le \inf(B)$ .
  - (d) *Proof.* Since there is some b such that for all  $a \in A$  we have that  $a \le b$ , then  $\sup A \le b$ . By definition,  $b \le \sup(B)$ . Then  $\sup(A) \le \sup(B)$ .
  - (e) *Proof.* Since there is some b such that for all  $a \in A$  we have that  $a \ge b$ . Then  $b \le \inf(A)$ . Since  $b \ge \inf(B)$  for  $b \in B$ , then  $\inf(B) \le \inf(A)$ .
- (2) (a) Observe that

$$m_k = \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = \inf\{0, 1\} = 1$$
  
 $M_k = \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = \sup\{1\} = 1$ 

Then

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \Delta x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 0 \Delta x_k = 0$$
$$U(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_k \Delta x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1 \Delta x_k = 1$$

Then

$$L(f) = \sup\{L(f, P) : P \in \Pi\} = \sup\{0\} = 0$$
  
 $U(f) = \inf\{L(f, P) : P \in \Pi\} = \inf\{1\} = 1$ 

Since  $L(f) \neq U(f)$ , then f is not Riemann integrable.

(3) (a) Consider the equipartition  $(P_n)$  of [0,1]. Then

$$m_k = \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = \frac{(k-1)^2}{n^2}$$

$$M_k = \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = \frac{k^2}{n^2}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} L(f,P_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \Delta x_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(k-1)^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^3} \left( \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} - n(n+1) + n \right) \\ &= \frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 + n}{6n^3} \\ U(f,P_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \Delta x_k = \sum k = 1^n \frac{k^2}{n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^3} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \end{split}$$

(b) It's clear that  $L(f, P_n) \to 1/3$  and  $U(f, P_n) \to 1/3$ . Then

$$\int_0^1 x^2 \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{3}$$

- (4) (a) *Proof.* Let  $q \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of irrational numbers such that  $a_n \to q$ . Then  $f(a_n) = f(0) \not\to 1 = f(q)$ . Then g is discontinuous on  $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ .
  - (b) Since g is continuous on  $[0,1] \cap \{\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}\}$  and discontinuous on  $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a countable set of points, then g is Riemann integrable on [0,1].
  - (c)  $(f \circ g)(c) = f(g(c)) = f(1/n) = 1$ , where  $c \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $c \in [0,1] \cap \{\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}\}$ , then  $(f \circ g)(c) = f(g(c)) = f(0) = 0$ .  $f \circ g$  is the Dirichlet function.
- (5) *Proof.* By definition,  $L(f) = \sup\{L(f, P) : P \in \Pi\}$  and  $U(f) = \inf\{L(f, P) : P \in \Pi\}$ . Similarly,  $L(g) = \sup\{L(g, P) : P \in \Pi\}$  and  $U(g) = \inf\{U(g, P) : P \in \Pi\}$ . Furthermore, define the quantities

$$\begin{split} m_{k,f} &= \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} \\ M_{k,f} &= \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} \\ m_{k,g} &= \inf\{g(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} \\ M_{k,g} &= \sup\{g(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} \end{split}$$

Then  $m_{k,f} \le m_{k,g}$  and  $M_{k,f} \le M_{k,g}$  by  $f \le g$  on [a,b]. Then

$$L(f) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k,f} \Delta x_k : P \in \Pi \right\} \le \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_{k,g} \Delta x_k : P \in \Pi \right\} = L(g)$$

A similar line of reasoning shows that  $U(f) \leq U(g)$ .

(6) Since  $0 \to 0$  trivially, and  $2r_n(b-a) \to 0$  since  $r_n \to 0$ . then Squeeze Theorem asserts that  $U(f) - L(f) \to 0 \implies U(f) = L(f)$ .