

certain form of push-down parsing program from a bounded context grammar, and Earley (1964) independently developed a somewhat similar method which was applicable to a rather large number of LR(1) languages but had several important omissions. Floyd (1964a) also introduced the more general notion of a *bounded right context* grammar; in our terminology, this is an LR(k) grammar in which one knows whether or not $X_{r+1} \cdots X_n$ is the handle by examining only a given *finite* number of characters immediately to the left of X_{r+1} , as well as knowing $X_{n+1} \cdots X_{n+k}$. At that time it seemed plausible that a bounded right context grammar was the natural way to formalize the intuitive notion of a grammar by which one could translate from left to right without backing up or looking ahead by more than a given distance; but it was possible to show that Earley's construction provided a parsing method for some grammars which were *not* of bounded right context, although intuitively they should have been, and this led to the above definition of an LR(k) grammar (in which the *entire* string to the left of X_{r+1} is known).

It is natural to ask if we can in fact always parse the strings corresponding to an LR(k) grammar by going from left to right. Since there are an infinite number of strings $X_1 \cdots X_{n+k}$ which must be used to make a parsing decision, we might need infinite wisdom to be able to make this decision correctly; the definition of LR(k) merely says a correct decision *exists* for each of these infinitely many strings. But it will be shown in Section II that only a finite number of essential possibilities really exist.

Now we will present a few examples to illustrate these notions. Consider the following two grammars:

$$S \rightarrow aAc, A \rightarrow bAb, A \rightarrow b. \quad (6)$$

$$S \rightarrow aAc, A \rightarrow Abb, A \rightarrow b. \quad (7)$$

Both of these are unambiguous and they define the same language, $\{ab^{2n+1}c\}$. Grammar (6) is not LR(k) for any k , since given the partial string ab^m there is no information by which we can replace any b by A ; parsing must wait until the " c " has been read. On the other hand grammar (7) is LR(0), in fact it is a bounded context language; the sentential forms are $\{aAb^{2n}c\}$ and $\{ab^{2n+1}c\}$, and to parse we must reduce a substring ab to aA , a substring Abb to A , and a substring aAc to S . This example shows that LR(k) is definitely a property of the *grammar*, not of the