



World Expert Meeting in Arthroplasty 2024

Should Urinary Catheters Be Used During Routine Primary Knee or Hip Arthroplasty?



Atthakorn Jarusriwanna, MD ^{a,*}, Kerem Başarır, MD ^b, Daniele De Meo, MD ^c, William A. Jiranek, MD ^d, Jacobus D. Jordaan, MBChB, HDipOrth (SA) ^e, Deiary F. Kader, MBChB, MFSEM (UK) ^f, Gökhan Kaynak, MD ^g, Gabriele Tucci, MD ^h

^a Department of Orthopaedics, Faculty of Medicine, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok, Thailand

^b Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Faculty of Medicine, Haliç University, Istanbul, Türkiye

^c Department of Anatomical, Histological, Forensic Medicine and Orthopaedics Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy and Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

^d Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina

^e Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa

^f South West London Elective Orthopaedic Centre, Epsom, UK

^g Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Medicine, İstanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, İstanbul, Türkiye

^h Ospedale dei Castelli, Ariccia, Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 September 2024

Received in revised form

7 October 2024

Accepted 8 October 2024

Available online 18 October 2024

Keywords:

total knee arthroplasty

total hip arthroplasty

urinary catheter

urinary retention

urinary tract infection

periprosthetic joint infection

Should urinary catheters be used during routine primary knee or hip arthroplasty?

Response/Recommendation: Routine use of urinary catheters, which can increase the risk of urinary tract infections, is not required in patients undergoing primary unilateral total joint arthroplasty.

Level of evidence: Moderate.

Expert vote: Agree: 85.1%, Disagree: 11.5%, Abstain: 3.5%.

Rationale

It is controversial whether using urinary catheters in routine primary knee or hip arthroplasty can help prevent urinary retention after surgery. However, perioperative use of urinary catheters might delay postoperative mobilization and increase hospital stay [1,2]. Several studies have shown that the use of urinary catheters is

associated with an increased risk of urinary tract infections (UTIs) [3,4] and results in higher hospital costs [5,6].

The inclusion criteria for this review were English-language original articles including both prospective and retrospective studies on patients who underwent primary unilateral total knee or hip arthroplasty, in which the use of urinary catheters was described along with associated complications (urinary retention, UTIs, and periprosthetic joint infections (PJs)). Patients who have a preoperative requirement for a urinary catheter (e.g., permanently retained catheter) were excluded. A comprehensive search was conducted on PubMed, Scopus, and the CINAHL database, resulting in 1,202 abstracts. After screening, 55 manuscripts with a total number of 52,369 patients were included in the final review. The majority of studies (29 studies) did not use perioperative urinary catheters. The definition of postoperative urinary retention (POUR)

No author associated with this paper has disclosed any potential or pertinent conflicts which may be perceived to have impending conflict with this work. For full disclosure statements refer to <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2024.10.044>.

* Address correspondence to: Atthakorn Jarusriwanna, MD, Department of Orthopaedics, Faculty of Medicine, Naresuan University, 99 Moo 9 Phitsanulok-Nakhon Sawan Road, Tha Pho, Mueang Phitsanulok, Phitsanulok, Thailand 65000.

varies including the inability to voluntarily empty the bladder, causing bladder overload, or the inability to void that requires the placement of a urinary catheter [7]. It also includes specific cut-off values for bladder volumes as measured by bladder scanning, ranging from 300 to 600 mL in cases of inability to void [8–12]. The overall rate of POUR in patients who did not have use of urinary catheters was 15.9%, while patients who had perioperative placement of urinary catheters had an overall POUR rate of 5.6% after catheter removal. The perioperative use of urinary catheters was associated with reduced odds of POUR (odds ratio (OR) 0.32; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.28 to 0.35). Patients who had POUR in both groups were treated with either intermittent or temporary indwelling urinary catheterization, but none were discharged with a urinary catheter. However, perioperative use of urinary catheters revealed a higher risk of UTIs with an odds ratio of 1.19 (95% CI 1.02 to 1.40), and these UTIs in arthroplasty patients were linked to an increased risk of PJs [13]. Nevertheless, using perioperative urinary catheters was not directly associated with a significant increase in the risk of PJs (OR 1.21; 95% CI 0.38 to 3.81).

The type of anesthesia is another factor related to the risk of POUR. General anesthesia was associated with decreased odds of POUR compared to regional anesthesia (OR 0.84; 95% CI 0.74 to 0.95). In patients who underwent spinal anesthesia, lower intraoperative fluid volumes decreased the risk of POUR, as did the use of short-acting anesthetics [11,14]. Men over 65 years of age who underwent spinal anesthesia with intrathecal morphine were identified as a high-risk group for POUR [15], as the prevalence and progression of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is increased in older men. Additionally, patients who have symptomatic BPH had an increased risk of developing POUR (OR 2.64; 95% CI 1.93 to 3.61), UTIs (OR 6.24; 95% CI 2.25 to 17.35), and PJs (OR 6.85; 95% CI 1.87 to 25.08) [16]. For patients who have BPH, using the International Prostate Symptom Score to evaluate the risk of POUR before surgery could be a useful tool to determine the necessity of prophylactic catheterization [17]. The International Prostate Symptom Score is a scoring system comprised of questionnaires that ask patients about urinary symptoms they have experienced within the past month. Higher scores are associated with a higher risk of POUR and a greater need for catheterization [18]. In patients who developed POUR, intermittent catheterization may be required to manage the condition, and it has demonstrated a lower risk of UTIs compared to indwelling urinary catheters [19].

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Atthakorn Jarusriwanna: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Kerem Başarır:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Danielle De Meo:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization. **William A. Jiranek:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Jacobus D. Jordaan:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Deiary F. Kader:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Gökhan Kaynak:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Gabriele Tucci:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization.

References

- [1] Ripoll S-MJ, Aldecoa CS, Fernández-García AR, Varela-Durán M, Arcila-Escoda N, García A-R, et al. Early mobilization after total hip or knee arthroplasty: a substudy of the POWER2 study. *Braz J Anesthesiol* 2023;73:54–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjane.2021.05.008>.
- [2] Carlock KD, Mills ZD, Geiger KW, Manner PA, Fernando ND. Routine indwelling urinary catheterization is not necessary during total hip arthroplasty performed under spinal anesthesia. *Arthroplast Today* 2022;16:68–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2022.04.015>.
- [3] Bjerregaard LS, Homilius M, Bagi P, Hansen TB, Kehlet H. Indwelling urinary catheterisation may increase risk of complications in hip and knee arthroplasty. *Dan Med J* 2019;66:A5538.
- [4] Halawi MJ, Gronbeck C, Metersky ML, Wang Y, Eckenrode S, Mathew J, et al. Time trends in patient characteristics and in-hospital adverse events for primary total knee arthroplasty in the United States: 2010–2017. *Arthroplast Today* 2021;11:157–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2021.08.010>.
- [5] Iorio R, Healy WL, Patch DA, Appleby D. The role of bladder catheterization in total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2000;380:80–4. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00003088-20001000-00001>.
- [6] Iorio R, Whang W, Healy WL, Patch DA, Najibi S, Appleby D. The utility of bladder catheterization in total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2005;432:148–52. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.blo.0000149823.57513.00>.
- [7] Mathew M, Ragsdale TD, Pharr ZK, Rider CM, Mihalko WM, Toy PC. Risk factors for prolonged time to discharge in total hip patients performed in an ambulatory surgery center due to complaints of the inability to void. *J Arthroplasty* 2021;36:3681–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2021.06.010>.
- [8] Magaldi RJ, Strecker SE, Nissen CW, Witmer DK, Carangelo RJ. Preoperative bladder scanning can predict postoperative urinary retention following total joint arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2024;106:569–74. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.23.00841>.
- [9] Weintraub MT, Yang J, Nam D, Greenspoon JA, DeBenedetti A, Karas V, et al. Short-term indwelling Foley catheters do not reduce the risk of postoperative urinary retention in uncomplicated primary THA and TKA: a randomized controlled trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2023;105:312–9. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.22.00759>.
- [10] Crain NA, Goharderkhshan RZ, Reddy NC, Apfel AM, Navarro RA. The role of intraoperative urinary catheters on postoperative urinary retention after total joint arthroplasty: a multi-hospital retrospective study on 9,580 patients. *Arch Bone Jt Surg* 2021;9:480–6. <https://doi.org/10.22038/abjs.2020.49205.2441>.
- [11] Lawrie CM, Ong AC, Hernandez VH, Rosas S, Post ZD, Orozco FR. Incidence and risk factors for postoperative urinary retention in total hip arthroplasty performed under spinal anaesthesia. *J Arthroplasty* 2017;32:3748–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2017.07.009>.
- [12] David M, Arthur E, Dhuck R, Hemmings E, Dunlop D. High rates of post-operative urinary retention following primary total hip replacement performed under combined general and spinal anaesthesia with intrathecal opiate. *J Orthop* 2015;12(Suppl 2):S157–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2015.10.020>.
- [13] Wang C, Huang W, Gu Y, Xiong J, Ye Z, Yin D, et al. Effect of urinary tract infection on the risk of prosthetic joint infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surgeon* 2021;19:175–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2020.04.010>.
- [14] Thiengwittayaporn S, Uthaitas P, Hongku N, Tunyasuwanakul R, Limphunudom P, Leelachiewchankul F. Indwelling urinary catheterization was unnecessary in non-drainage total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2021;141:469–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-020-03677-z>.
- [15] Dana E, Ben-Zur O, Dichtwald S, Feigin G, Brin N, Markushevich M, et al. Postoperative urinary retention following hip or knee arthroplasty under spinal anaesthesia with intrathecal morphine: a retrospective cohort study. *Singapore Med J* 2023. <https://doi.org/10.4103/singaporemedj.SMJ-2022-108>.
- [16] Jiang B, Xu H, Ding Z, Lai Y, Yuan M, Zhou Z. Symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia: an optimizable risk factor for periprosthetic joint infection after elective primary total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2023;38:2142–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2023.04.067>.
- [17] Scholten R, Kremers K, van de Groes SAW, Somford DM, Koëter S. Incidence and risk factors of postoperative urinary retention and bladder catheterization in patients undergoing fast-track total joint arthroplasty: a prospective observational study on 371 patients. *J Arthroplasty* 2018;33:1546–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2017.12.001>.
- [18] Kieffer WK, Kane TP. Predicting postoperative urinary retention after lower limb arthroplasty. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2012;94:356–8. <https://doi.org/10.1308/003588412X13171221591691>.
- [19] Garbarino LJ, Gold PA, Anis H, Sodhi N, Burshtein J, Burshtein A, et al. The effect of bladder catheterization technique on postoperative urinary tract infections after primary total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2020;35:S325–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.01.039>.