



World Expert Meeting in Arthroplasty 2024

Does Surgical Approach Impact Outcomes in Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty?



Saad Tarabichi, MD ^{a,*}, Jens T. Verhey, MD ^a, Pietro S. Randelli, MD ^b, Ernesto Guerra-Farfan, MD ^c, Ernesto Muñoz-Mahamud, MD ^d, Khalid Merghani, MD ^e, Michele D'Apuzzo, MD ^f, Wadih Y. Matar, MD ^g, Ruwais Binlaksar, MD ^h, Mohammad Ayati Firoozabadi, MD ⁱ, Francesco Falez, MD ^j, Mark J. Spangehl, MD ^a, Joshua S. Bingham, MD ^a

^a Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona

^b Istituto Ortopedico Gaetano Pini, Università di Milano, Milan, Italy

^c Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

^d Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^e Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Midland Regional Hospital Tullamore, Tullamore, Ireland

^f Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, Florida

^g Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, CISSS de l'Outaouais, Gatineau, Quebec, Canada

^h Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Seiyun University College of Medicine, Seiyun, Yemen

ⁱ Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^j Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ospedale Santo Spirito in Sassia, Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 September 2024

Received in revised form

7 October 2024

Accepted 8 October 2024

Available online 18 October 2024

Keywords:

primary total hip arthroplasty

surgical approach

direct anterior

direct lateral

posterior

outcomes

Does Surgical Approach Impact Outcomes in Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty?

Recommendation: Based on data spanning several years, it appears that all surgical approaches for total hip arthroplasty (THA) are safe and demonstrate excellent results. In addition to accounting for individual patient characteristics, surgeons should also consider their proficiency with the technique when selecting a surgical approach in patients undergoing primary THA.

Vote Results: Agree 91.7%, Disagree 7.9%, and Abstain 0.4%

Strength of Recommendation: Moderate.

Rationale

Over the years, a number of surgical approaches for primary THA have been described [1]. Although the optimal surgical

One or more of the authors of this paper have disclosed potential or pertinent conflicts of interest, which may include receipt of payment, either direct or indirect, institutional support, or association with an entity in the biomedical field which may be perceived to have potential conflict of interest with this work. For full disclosure statements refer to <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2024.10.036>.

* Address correspondence to: Saad Tarabichi, MD, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic Arizona 5777 E Mayo Blvd, Phoenix, AZ 85054.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2024.10.036>

0883-5403/© 2024 Elsevier Inc. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

approach in this setting is determined by individual surgeon training and proficiency, it is important to note that different approaches have been shown to offer unique advantages [2,3]. For instance, several studies have demonstrated that the posterior approach (PA) has the lowest complication rates in patients when larger femoral heads or advanced soft tissue repair are used [4]. Alternatively, the direct lateral (DL) approach has been suggested to have the lowest rate of dislocations after surgery [5]. Most recently, the direct anterior (DA) approach has gained traction in this setting following reports that it results in the shortest recovery time after surgery [6]. Notwithstanding, the optimal approach in patients undergoing primary THA remains a contentious issue.

In a recent meta-analysis of 63 randomized controlled trials that included 4,859 patients, the authors found no difference in outcomes between primary THA patients who received PA, DA, or DL surgical approaches [7]. However, the DL approach was associated with lower improvement in the hip score and greater intra-operative blood loss. In another study by Ang et al., although patients undergoing THA via the DA approach had a greater improvement in early patient-reported outcome scores, they were also more likely to experience longer operative times [8]. Of note, there was no difference in risk of dislocations, periprosthetic fractures, or venous thromboembolic events between the different approaches. Similarly, Shohat et al. found that there was no difference in PJI rates between patients who received the DA approach when compared to those who received the DL approach (0.9 versus 1.3%, $P = 0.068$) [9]. In another study, Marratt et al. reported on a propensity score-matched cohort of 2,147 patients and found that while there was no difference in dislocation rates between the DA (0.84%) and PA (0.79%) approaches, patients in the DA group had a higher risk of periprosthetic fracture and hematoma formation [10]. Notwithstanding, several studies in the literature have now demonstrated that the DA approach can be associated with an increase in the risk of periprosthetic femur fracture, especially when the surgeon is first adopting the technique [11–13]. In a study by Fleischman et al., the DA approach was associated with the highest risk of developing mechanical complications at 2 years (hazard ratio [HR], 2.4; 95% CI, 1.4 to 2.7) when compared to the PA and DL approaches [14]. It is also important to recognize that there are certain scenarios that may necessitate the use of a specific approach. For instance, there is data to suggest that the DA approach may not be suitable in patients who have a large pannus, as it can increase the risk of wound complications and infection [15]. In addition to this, Huebschmann et al. found that the PA approach increased the risk of dislocation in patients who have a prior lumbar spinal fusion when compared to the DA and DL approaches [16].

Instability, infection, and periprosthetic fracture remain major causes of morbidity and mortality following primary THA, regardless of the surgical approach that is utilized. Although the DA approach was initially believed to have superior outcomes when compared to the more conventional PA and DL approaches, it is now well established that all three surgical approaches are safe and have excellent results in this patient population. Currently, the choice of surgical approach should depend on both individual patient characteristics and surgeon proficiency.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Saad Tarabichi: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization. **Jens T. Verhey:** Conceptualization. **Pietro S. Randelli:** Conceptualization. **Ernesto Guerra-Farfan:** Conceptualization. **Ernesto Muñoz-Mahamud:** Conceptualization. **Khalid Merghani:** Conceptualization. **Michele D'Apuzzo:** Conceptualization. **Wadih Y. Matar:** Conceptualization. **Ruwais Binlaksar:**

Conceptualization. **Mohammad Ayati Firoozabadi:** Conceptualization. **Francesco Falez:** Conceptualization. **Mark J. Spangehl:** Conceptualization. **Joshua S. Bingham:** Conceptualization.

References

- [1] Patel N, Golwala P. Approaches for Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. *Cureus* 2023;15:e34829. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.34829>.
- [2] Yi C, Agudelo JF, Dayton MR, Morgan SJ. Early complications of anterior supine intermuscular total hip arthroplasty. *Orthopedics* 2013;36:e276–81. <https://doi.org/10.3928/01477447-20130222-14>.
- [3] Goytia RN, Jones LC, Hungerford MW. Learning curve for the anterior approach total hip arthroplasty. *J Surg Orthop Adv* 2012;21:78–83. <https://doi.org/10.3113/jsoa.2012.0078>.
- [4] Aggarwal VK, Iorio R, Zuckerman JD, Long WJ. Surgical approaches for primary total hip arthroplasty from charnley to now: the quest for the best approach. *JBJS Reviews* 2020;8:e0058. <https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.RVW.19.00058>.
- [5] Masonis JL, Bourne RB. Surgical approach, abductor function, and total hip arthroplasty dislocation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2002;405:46.
- [6] Trousdale WH, Taunton MJ, Mabry TM, Abdel MP, Trousdale RT. Patient perceptions of the direct anterior hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2017;32:1164–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2016.10.006>.
- [7] Yan L, Ge L, Dong S, Saluja K, Li D, Reddy KS, et al. Evaluation of comparative efficacy and safety of surgical approaches for total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* 2023;6:e2253942. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.53942>.
- [8] Ang JJM, Onggo JR, Stokes CM, Ambikaipalan A. Comparing direct anterior approach versus posterior approach or lateral approach in total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 2023;33:2773–92. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00590-023-03528-8>.
- [9] Shohat N, Goswami K, Clarkson S, Chisari E, Breckenridge L, Gursay D, et al. Direct anterior approach to the hip does not increase the risk for subsequent periprosthetic joint infection. *J Arthroplasty* 2021;36:2038–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2021.02.016>.
- [10] Marratt JD, Gagnier JJ, Butler PD, Hallstrom BR, Urquhart AC, Roberts KC. No difference in dislocation seen in anterior vs posterior approach total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2016;31:127–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2016.02.071>.
- [11] De Geest T, Vansintjan P, De Loore G. Direct anterior total hip arthroplasty: complications and early outcome in a series of 300 cases. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2013;79:166–73.
- [12] Jewett BA, Collis DK. High complication rate with anterior total hip arthroplasties on a fracture table. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2011;469:503–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1568-1>.
- [13] Anterior Total Hip Arthroplasty Collaborative Investigators, Bhandari M, Matta JM, Dodgin D, Clark C, Kregor P, et al. Outcomes following the single-incision anterior approach to total hip arthroplasty: a multicenter observational study. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2009;40:329–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocl.2009.03.001>.
- [14] Fleischman AN, Tarabichi M, Magner Z, Parvizi J, Rothman RH. Mechanical complications following total hip arthroplasty based on surgical approach: a large, single-institution cohort study. *J Arthroplasty* 2019;34:1255–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2019.02.029>.
- [15] Di Martino A, Stefanini N, Brunello M, Bordini B, Pilla F, Geraci G, et al. Is the direct anterior approach for total hip arthroplasty effective in obese patients? Early clinical and radiographic results from a retrospective comparative study. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2023;59:769. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina59040769>.
- [16] Huebschmann NA, Lawrence KW, Robin JX, Rozell JC, Hepinstall MS, Schwarzkopf R, et al. Does surgical approach affect dislocation rate after total hip arthroplasty in patients who have prior lumbar spinal fusion? A retrospective analysis of 16,223 cases. *J Arthroplasty* 2024;S0883-5403:00312–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2024.03.068>.