

# JudgeShopping

Can Wang

July 2019

## 1 Value function when $v = r$

When  $v = r$ , i.e., the defendant can observe all available choices when entering the system, the integrated value function is

$$V(\mathbf{h}, r) = -\log \left( \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \exp(-id - u_d(h_i)) \right).$$

In the following we first show that  $V(\mathbf{h}, r)$  is bounded.

Since  $u_d(h_i) \geq 0$ ,

$$V(\mathbf{h}, r) \leq -\log \left( \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \exp(-id) \right) = -\log \left( \frac{1 - \exp(-rd)}{1 - \exp(-d)} \right),$$

then for any  $\mathbf{h}$ ,  $V(\mathbf{h}, r)$  is upper bounded by  $-\log \left( \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-d)} \right)$  as  $r$  goes to infinity. For a given sequence  $\mathbf{h}$ , the quantity  $V(\mathbf{h}, r)$  increases in  $r$ . Thus  $V(\mathbf{h}, r)$  converges when  $r$  goes to infinity but the limit depends on  $\mathbf{h}$ .

We consider the special cases when  $h$  is a repeating sequence.

Suppose the elements of  $\mathbf{h}$  are all identical and have the value  $h$ , then we have

$$V(\mathbf{h}, r) = -\log \left( \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \exp(-id - u_d(h)) \right) = u_d(h) - \log \left( \frac{1 - \exp(-rd)}{1 - \exp(-d)} \right).$$

The probability that the defendant chooses the judge from the  $i^{th}$  week is

$$p_{i,v,r}(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{\exp(-id - u_d(h))}{\exp(-u_d(h)) \left( \frac{1 - \exp(-rd)}{1 - \exp(-d)} \right)} = \frac{\exp(-id)(1 - \exp(-d))}{1 - \exp(-rd)},$$

which shows that when the judges in different weeks are equivalent, the probability of choosing a judge decreases exponentially with rate  $d$  (delay cost) as waiting time (the number of weeks) increases. Moreover, the probability of choosing the first week decreases with  $r$  since greater  $r$  means more available choices. When  $r$  goes to infinity, the probability of choosing the first week is  $1 - \exp(-d)$ , which increases with the delay cost, and becomes 1 when the delay cost is infinity.

Suppose  $r = mn$  and  $\mathbf{h} = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1})_m$ , which represents that the sequence  $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n$  is repeated for  $m$  times, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathbf{h}, r) &= -\log \left( \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \exp(-(jn + i)d - u_d(h_i)) \right) \\ &= -\log \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \exp(-id - u_d(h_i)) \right) - \log \left( \frac{1 - \exp(-md)}{1 - \exp(-d)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The probability that the defendant chooses the judge from the  $(jn + i)^{th}$  week is

$$\begin{aligned} p_{jn+i,v,r}(\mathbf{h}) &= \frac{\exp(-(jn+i)d - u_d(h_i))}{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \exp(-kd - u_d(h_k))\right) \left(\frac{1-\exp(-md)}{1-\exp(-d)}\right)} \\ &= \frac{\exp(-id - u_d(h_i))}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \exp(-kd - u_d(h_k))} \frac{\exp(-jnd)(1 - \exp(-d))}{1 - \exp(-md)}, \end{aligned}$$

which has similar properties to the case of the identical sequence as  $m$  increases and goes to infinity.

When  $v = r = 1$ ,

$$V(\mathbf{h}, r) = V(\mathbf{h}, 1) = -\log(\exp(-u_d(h_0))) = u_d(h_0)$$

and the defendant chooses his only choice with probability 1.

When  $v = r = 2$ ,

$$V(\mathbf{h}, r) = V(\mathbf{h}, 2) = -\log(\exp(-u_d(h_0)) + \exp(-u_d(h_1)))$$

The percentage reduction in  $V(\mathbf{h}, r)$  is

$$\frac{V(\mathbf{h}, 1) - V(\mathbf{h}, 2)}{V(\mathbf{h}, 1)} = \frac{\log(1 + \exp(u_d(h_0) - u_d(h_1)))}{u_d(h_0)}.$$

By Taylor expansion,

$$\log(1 + \exp(x)) \approx \log(2) + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{8}x^2.$$

Then when  $u_d(h_0)$  and  $u_d(h_1)$  are not too much different, the percentage reduction is approximately

$$\frac{V(\mathbf{h}, 1) - V(\mathbf{h}, 2)}{V(\mathbf{h}, 1)} \approx \frac{\log(2) + \frac{1}{2}(u_d(h_0) - u_d(h_1)) + \frac{1}{8}(u_d(h_0) - u_d(h_1))^2}{u_d(h_0)}.$$

## 2 $V(\mathbf{h}, n)$ vs. % of time judge is at home

Suppose there are  $J$  counties and each county has a home judge, where the home judge stays in the home county with probability  $p_s$  and travel in the other  $n - 1$  counties with probability  $p_t = \frac{1-p_s}{n-1}$ .

Suppose the judges in the  $J$  counties have harshness level  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_J$ . Consider the cases in the first county. They would face  $\eta_1$  with probability  $p_s$  and face any one of  $\eta_2, \dots, \eta_n$  with equal probability  $p_t$ .

Consider the case  $v = r$ , the probability that a defendant  $(\theta\tau, c_d)$  facing a judge sequence  $\mathbf{h}$  chooses the judge from the  $i^{th}$  week is

$$p_{i,v,r}(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{\exp(-id - u_d(h_i))}{\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \exp(-jd - u_d(h_j))} = \frac{\exp(-id)}{\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \exp(-jd + \Delta_u(i, j))},$$

where  $\Delta_u(i, j) = u_d(h_i) - u_d(h_j)$ .

If we choose  $u_d(h) = \min(\theta\tau + c_d, u(h))$ ,  $u(h) = h\theta\tau$  and  $l(h) = (h - \delta)\theta\tau$ , then

$$\Delta_u(i, j) = \min(\theta\tau + c_d, u(h_i)) - \min(\theta\tau + c_d, u(h_j)) = \theta\tau \left( \min(1 + \frac{c_d}{\theta\tau}, h_i) - \min(1 + \frac{c_d}{\theta\tau}, h_j) \right).$$

Denote the utility-severity ratio  $1 + \frac{c_d}{\theta\tau}$  by  $\gamma$ . Then the defendant  $(\theta\tau, \gamma)$  who chose judge  $h$  will go to trial if

$$l(h) = (h - \delta)\theta\tau > \theta\tau + c_d,$$

i.e.,

$$h > \delta + \gamma.$$