

## Research Meeting & Communication Plan<sup>1</sup>:

### A. Items As of Last Meeting:

1. Want to adjust our regression method to account for idling judges.
2. Wanted to try taking mins at each step.
3. Wanted to try using the expected utilization to calculate idleness.

### B. Meeting Agenda

1. Utilization method
2. Mins method
3. Fixed Effects Model

---

<sup>1</sup> Please save the document as RMC\_Template\_lastname\_firstname\_MM\_DD\_YY.pdf

### C. Executive Summary

#### a. Key Findings/Results

1. All three models we tried seem to yield plausible results. In general, they estimate 3-6 days for trials and 9-14 pleas per day.
2. Both iterative models converge after 2 iterations.
3. The utilization model ended up with an R squared of 1, I think it's because our transformation ended up making the relationship between days and pleas/trials very close to linear, and so the model was able to fit it well. Just wanted to flag it as something to look into or be wary of.

#### b. Key Insights

1. Conceptually, I like the fixed effects model because it allows us to 'clean our hands' of judge and county level heterogeneity, my only worry is our relatively small sample size.

### D. Specific Questions to Discuss During the Meeting

1. Thoughts on the results?

E. Challenges Slowing Our Progress

1. Challenge 1
2. Challenge 2
3. Challenge 3

F. Your Proposed Action Items Until Next Week

1. Item 1
2. Item 2
3. Item 3

G. Miscellaneous

a. Attached Documents<sup>2</sup>

- |                         |   |   |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| 1. Slide Deck:          | Y | N |
| 2. Research Report:     | Y | N |
| 3. Updated Paper Draft: | Y | N |

b. Other Issues/Items<sup>3</sup>

1. Issue 1
2. Issue 2

---

<sup>2</sup> For the documents you provided, please provide a 1-2 sentence description of the (new) substance.

<sup>3</sup> Please state anything we didn't cover above that you think we should discuss