

Computational tools for problem solving
Lab list 2

Fermat and Miller-Rabin primality tests.

The following are two compositeness tests which use binary exponentiation all the time.

Problem 1. Fermat's Little Theorem says: if n is prime and a is any integer not divisible by n then

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

This is not an “if and only if” statement, and counterexamples n exist and are called Carmichael numbers.

Nevertheless, Fermat's little Theorem allows for a simple test to detect compositeness: if for some $1 < a < n$ we find $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ then n is not a prime number.

- i) Show Fermat's little Theorem for $n = 17$ and any a in $\{1, 2, \dots, 16\}$.
- ii) Show what happens if $n = 124$ and $a = 3$. And if $n = 124$ and $a = 5$? We say 124 is a Fermat pseudoprime in base 5.
- iii) Show what happens for $n = 561$ and any $a \in \{1, \dots, 560\}$ such that $\gcd(a, 561) = 1$. We say 561 is a pseudoprime in any base, or a *Carmichael number*.
- iv) Find the first (composite) n which is a Fermat pseudoprime in base $a = 2$.
- v) Find the first (composite) n which is a Fermat pseudoprime in base $a = 3$.
- vi) Find all Carmichael numbers less than 10000.
- vii) Show 323, 90.751 are not prime numbers using Fermat's little Theorem.

Another well known test for compositeness is Miller-Rabin test. This is based on the fact that there are just 2 square roots of 1 modulo a prime p :

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p} &\iff x^2 - 1 = (x-1)(x+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \iff p \mid (x-1) \text{ or } p \mid (x+1) \\ &\iff x \equiv 1, -1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

Using this, Miller-Rabin takes the reciprocal of a further implication of n being a prime. Take $n - 1$ and write it as

$$n - 1 = 2^s d$$

where s is the largest possible. Notice that the square root of 2^s is 2^{s-1} . The implication is the following. Assume n is prime and take $1 < a < n$. Then by Fermat's little theorem we have

$$a^{2^s d} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

and the procedure is to take repeated square roots modulo n at each side of the equivalence. Two exclusive options may happen:

- a) there is some $0 \leq r \leq s-1$ such that $a^{2^r d} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$.
- b) $a^{2^r d} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $0 \leq r \leq s-1$. This implies $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

The reciprocal of this implication is Miller-Rabin test.

Miller-Rabin test (probabilistic). Choose some integer $1 < a < n$. Let $2^s d = n-1$ where d is odd. If $a^d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $a^{2^r d} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ for all $1 \leq r \leq s-1$ then n is composite.

Notice the direct implication is not true for composite n , so in these cases the hypotheses of the test might not hold for some a . If this is the case, one changes a and repeats the test. Because the amount of good a is known to be at least $\frac{3}{4}$, the probability that we hit a liar in k repeats of the test is about 4^{-k} , so if we repeat the test k times for different a 's and the hypotheses of the test do not allow to conclude compositeness, then probably n is prime.

Example 1: let $n = 221$ and take $a = 137$. We have $n-1 = 220 = 2^2 55$, so $s = 2, d = 55$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^d \pmod{n} &= 137^{55} \pmod{221} \equiv 188 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{221} \\ a^{2^0 d} \pmod{n} &= 137^{55} \pmod{221} \equiv 188 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{221} \\ a^{2^1 d} \pmod{n} &= 137^{110} \pmod{221} \equiv 205 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{221} \end{aligned}$$

So 137 is a witness that 221 is a composite number.

Example 2: let $n = 221$ and take $a = 174$. We have $n-1 = 220 = 2^2 55$, so $s = 2, d = 55$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^d \pmod{n} &= 174^{55} \pmod{221} \equiv 47 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{221} \\ a^{2^0 d} \pmod{n} &= 174^{55} \pmod{221} \equiv 47 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{221} \\ a^{2^1 d} \pmod{n} &= 174^{110} \pmod{221} \equiv 220 \equiv -1 \pmod{221} \end{aligned}$$

So 174 is a liar.

Example 3: let $n = 1973$ and take $a = 51$. We have $n - 1 = 1972 = 2^2 * 493$, so $s = 2, d = 493$. Then

$$a^d \pmod{n} = 51^{493} \pmod{1973} \equiv 1714 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{1973}$$

$$a^{2^0 d} \pmod{n} = 51^{493} \pmod{1973} \equiv 1714 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{1973}$$

$$a^{2^1 d} \pmod{n} = 51^{2*493} \pmod{1973} \equiv 1972 \equiv -1 \pmod{1973}$$

So 51 is a liar or 1973 is a prime. We would take another a and repeat.

Problem 2.

- i) Write a program for the Miller - Rabin test. Try it for 1.000.009, 15.772.929 and the Mersenne numbers $M_{19} = 2^{19} - 1, M_{31} = 2^{31} - 1$.