

**Table S1. Replication results.**

Study	Ref.	Original			Replication			Replicated	Relative	
		P-value	Effect Size ( <i>r</i> )	N*	P-value	Effect Size ( <i>r</i> )	N*		Effect Size ( <i>r</i> ) <sup>#</sup>	
Abeler et al. (AER 2011)	33	0.046	0.18	120	0.160	0.08	318	No	0.43	(0.36)
Ambrus and Greiner (AER 2012)	34	0.057	0.31	117	0.012	0.23	357	Yes	0.74	(0.69)
Bartling et al. (AER 2012)	35	0.007	0.72	216	0.001	0.66	360	Yes	0.91	(1.21)
Charness and Dufwenberg (AER 2011)	36	0.010	0.38	162	0.003	0.36	264	Yes	0.95	(0.93)
Chen and Chen (AER 2011)	37	0.033	0.84	72	0.571	0.17	168	No	0.20	(0.22)
de Clippel et al. (AER 2014)	38	0.001	0.12	158	<0.001	0.27	156	Yes	2.26	(3.21)
Duffy and Puzzello (AER 2014)	39	0.010	0.76	54	0.674	-0.12	96	No	-0.15	(-0.19)
Dulleck et al. (AER 2011)	40	<0.001	0.72	168	0.001	0.73	128	Yes	1.01	(0.94)
Ericson and Fuster (QJE 2011)	41	0.030	0.21	112	0.055	0.12	262	No	0.58	(0.69)
Fehr et al. (AER 2013)	42	0.011	0.45	60	0.026	0.31	102	Yes	0.69	(0.84)
Friedman and Oprea (AER 2012)	43	<0.001	0.64	78	0.004	0.44	40	Yes	0.68	(0.68)
Fudenberg et al. (AER 2012)	44	0.001	0.30	124	<0.001	0.33	128	Yes	1.08	(0.96)
Huck et al. (AER 2011)	45	0.004	0.83	120	0.142	0.37	160	No	0.44	(0.43)
Ifcher and Zarghamee (AER 2011)	46	0.031	0.28	58	0.933	-0.01	131	No	-0.02	(-0.02)
Kessler and Roth (AER 2012)	47	<0.001	0.49	288	0.016	0.34	48	Yes	0.71	(0.62)
Kirchler et al (AER 2012)	48	0.016	0.66	120	0.010	0.53	220	Yes	0.80	(0.30)
Kogan et al. (AER 2011)	49	<0.001	0.32	126	0.001	0.30	90	Yes	0.94	(0.93)
Kuziemko et al. (QJE 2014)	50	0.070	0.28	42	0.154	-0.12	144	No	-0.42	(-0.39)

\* N is the number of participants in the study. For the replications it is the actual rather than the planned number in the four replications where the actual sample size was somewhat higher than the planned sample size.

<sup>#</sup> For completeness we report the relative non-standardized effect sizes in parenthesis. See the replication reports for more details.