Mathematics for Machine Learning
— Continuous Optimization
Preliminary Convex Optimization

Joseph Chuang-Chieh Lin

Department of Computer Science & Engineering,
National Taiwan Ocean University

Fall 2025

Credits for the resource

- The slides are based on the textbooks:

  - *Marc Peter Deisenroth, A. Aldo Faisal, and Cheng Soon Ong: Mathematics for Machine Learning. Cambridge University Press. 2020.*
  - *Howard Anton, Chris Rorres, Anton Kaul: Elementary Linear Algebra. Wiley. 2019.*

- We could partially refer to the monograph:
  Francesco Orabona: A Modern Introduction to Online Learning. https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.13213

Outline

1. Convex Programming

2. Linear Programming

3. Quadratic Programming

Outline

Our Focus & Motivation

Convex Optimization.

- A class of optimization problems where we can guarantee global optimality.

  $f(\cdot)$ is a convex function.

  The constraints $g(\cdot)$ and $h(\cdot)$ form convex sets.

Convex Sets & Functions

Convex set

A set $\mathcal{C}$ is convex if for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\forall \alpha \in [0,1], \alpha \mathbf{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Convex function

A function $f \colon \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\forall \alpha \in [0,1], f((1 - \alpha)\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{y}) \leq (1 - \alpha)f(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha f(\mathbf{y}).$$

Equivalently, if f is differentiable (i.e., $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ exists for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$), then f is convex if and only if for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})^{\top}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}).$$

An Example of Convex Functions

$$y = 3x^2 - 5x + 2$$

Remark

- If $f(\mathbf{x})$ is twice differentiable (i.e., the Hessian exists for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$), then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is convex} \iff \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is positive semidefinite.}$$

Example

Example

Show that $f(x) = x \lg x$ is convex for $x > 0$.

Example

Example

Show that $f(x) = x \lg x$ is convex for $x > 0$.

- Note: $\lg x := \log_2 x$ and $\ln x := \log_e x$.

Example

Example

Show that $f(x) = x \lg x$ is convex for $x > 0$.

- Note: $\lg x := \log_2 x$ and $\ln x := \log_e x$.

- Compute $\nabla_x f(x)$.

Example

Example

Show that $f(x) = x \lg x$ is convex for $x > 0$.

- Note: $\lg x := \log_2 x$ and $\ln x := \log_e x$.

- Compute $\nabla_x f(x)$.

- Say given $x = 2, y = 4$, compute $f(x) + \nabla_x f(x)^\top (y - x)$.

Example

Example (Theorem)

Theorem

Given a nonnegative real $\alpha \geq 0$ and two convex functions f_1 and f_2, then $\alpha \cdot f_1 + (1 - \alpha)f_2$ is still convex.

Example (Theorem)

Theorem

Given a nonnegative real $\alpha \geq 0$ and two convex functions f_1 and f_2, then $\alpha \cdot f_1 + (1 - \alpha)f_2$ is still convex.

- By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1(\alpha \mathbf{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{y}) &\leq \alpha f_1(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f_1(\mathbf{y}) \\
f_2(\alpha \mathbf{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{y}) &\leq \alpha f_2(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f_2(\mathbf{y}).
\end{aligned}
$$

- Summing up:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f_1(\alpha \mathbf{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{y}) + f_2(\alpha \mathbf{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{y}) \\
&\leq \alpha f_1(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f_1(\mathbf{y}) + \alpha f_2(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \alpha)f_2(\mathbf{y}) \\
&\alpha(f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{x})) + (1 - \alpha)(f_1(\mathbf{y}) + f_2(\mathbf{y})).
\end{aligned}
$$

Outline

1. Convex Programming

2. **Linear Programming**

3. Quadratic Programming

Linear Programming

- Consider the special case that all the preceding functions are linear.

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \quad \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}.$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Linear Programming + Lagrangian (1/2)

- The Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top (\boldsymbol{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})$$

  where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the vector of non-negative Lagrange multipliers.

Linear Programming + Lagrangian (1/2)

- The Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top (\boldsymbol{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})$$

  where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the vector of non-negative Lagrange multipliers.

- Rearranging the terms:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Linear Programming $+$ Lagrangian (1/2)

- The Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top (\boldsymbol{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})$$

  where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the vector of non-negative Lagrange multipliers.

- Rearranging the terms:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

- Taking the derivate w.r.t. $\mathbf{x}$:

$$\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Linear Programming + Lagrangian (1/2)

- The Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top (\boldsymbol{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})$$

  where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the vector of non-negative Lagrange multipliers.

- Rearranging the terms:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

- Taking the derivate w.r.t. $\mathbf{x}$:

$$\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{0}.$$

- Thus, the dual Lagrangian is $\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}$.

Linear Programming $+$ Lagrangian (2/2)

- Recall that we would like to maximize $\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and the constraint that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

- The dual optimization problem is

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \quad -\mathbf{b}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

  which is also a linear program but with m variables.

Linear Programming + Lagrangian (2/2)

- Recall that we would like to maximize $\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and the constraint that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

- The dual optimization problem is

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \quad -\mathbf{b}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

  which is also a linear program but with m variables.

⋆ Solve the primal or the dual program depending on whether m (i.e., # constraints) or d (i.e., # variables) is larger.

Example

Consider the linear program

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2} - \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}^\top \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

subject to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 \\ -2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} 33 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ -1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Outline

1. Convex Programming

2. Linear Programming

3. Quadratic Programming

Quadratic Programming

Consider the case of a convex quadratic objective function, where the constraints are affine:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d} \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad \boldsymbol{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b},$$

where

- $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times d}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- $\boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$: a positive definite matrix.

 d variables and m linear constraints.

Example

Consider the quadratic program

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array} \right]^\top \left[\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{array} \right]^\top \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array} \right]$$
$$+ \left[\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 3 \end{array} \right]^\top \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array} \right]$$

subject to

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array} \right] \leq \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Quadratic Programming (1/3)

Consider the case of a convex quadratic objective function, where the constraints are affine:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^\top \mathbf{x}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad \boldsymbol{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b},$$

Quadratic Programming (1/3)

Consider the case of a convex quadratic objective function, where the constraints are affine:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d} \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^\top\mathbf{x}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad \boldsymbol{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b},$$

The Lagrangian is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^\top\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top(\boldsymbol{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top\boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top\mathbf{b}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Quadratic Programming (2/3)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Quadratic Programming (2/3)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Taking the derivative w.r.t. $\mathbf{x}$ and setting it to zero:

Quadratic Programming (2/3)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Taking the derivative w.r.t. $\mathbf{x}$ and setting it to zero:

$$\boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Quadratic Programming (2/3)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Taking the derivative w.r.t. $\mathbf{x}$ and setting it to zero:

$$\boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is invertible

Quadratic Programming (2/3)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Taking the derivative w.r.t. $\mathbf{x}$ and setting it to zero:

$$\boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is invertible ($\because$ positive definite $\Rightarrow$ nonzero eigenvalues $\Rightarrow$ nonzero determinant), then

$$\mathbf{x} = -\boldsymbol{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

(Thanks to Yo-Cheng Chang)

Quadratic Programming (2/3)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Taking the derivative w.r.t. $\mathbf{x}$ and setting it to zero:

$$\boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is invertible ($\because$ positive definite $\Rightarrow$ nonzero eigenvalues $\Rightarrow$ nonzero determinant), then

$$\mathbf{x} = -\boldsymbol{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

(Thanks to Yo-Cheng Chang)
Substituting it back to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, we get the dual Lagrangian

Quadratic Programming (2/3)

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Taking the derivative w.r.t. $\mathbf{x}$ and setting it to zero:

$$\boldsymbol{Q}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{Q}$ is invertible ($\because$ positive definite $\Rightarrow$ nonzero eigenvalues $\Rightarrow$ nonzero determinant), then

$$\mathbf{x} = -\boldsymbol{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

(Thanks to Yo-Cheng Chang)
Substituting it back to $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, we get the dual Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}.$$

Quadratic Programming (3/3)

Therefore, the dual optimization problem is given by

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \quad -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\top \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{c} + \boldsymbol{A}^\top \boldsymbol{\lambda}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^\top \mathbf{b}$$
$$\text{subject to} \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

- **Heads up:** Application in Support Vector Machine (SVM).

Discussions