

# Mathematics for Machine Learning

## — When Models Meet Data

### Probabilistic Modeling & Inference

Joseph Chuang-Chieh Lin

Department of Computer Science & Engineering,  
National Taiwan Ocean University

Fall 2025

## Credits for the resource

- The slides are based on the textbooks:
  - *Marc Peter Deisenroth, A. Aldo Faisal, and Cheng Soon Ong: Mathematics for Machine Learning. Cambridge University Press. 2020.*
  - *Arnold J. Insel, Lawrence E. Spence, Stephen H. Friedberg: Linear Algebra, 4th Edition. Prentice Hall. 2013.*
  - *Howard Anton, Chris Rorres, Anton Kaul: Elementary Linear Algebra, 12th Edition. Wiley. 2019.*
- We could partially refer to the monograph:  
*Francesco Orabona: A Modern Introduction to Online Learning.*  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.13213>

# Outline

- 1 Probabilistic Models & Bayesian Inference
- 2 Latent-Variable Models

# Motivation

- We are concerned with prediction of future events and decision making.
- We build models that describe the **generative process** that generates the observed data.
- For example, consider the outcome of a coin-flip experiment (“heads” or “tails”).

# Motivation

- We are concerned with prediction of future events and decision making.
- We build models that describe the **generative process** that generates the observed data.
- For example, consider the outcome of a coin-flip experiment (“heads” or “tails”).
  - Define a parameter  $\mu$  which describes the probability of “heads” (the parameter of a Bernoulli distribution).

# Motivation

- We are concerned with prediction of future events and decision making.
- We build models that describe the **generative process** that generates the observed data.
- For example, consider the outcome of a coin-flip experiment (“heads” or “tails”).
  - Define a parameter  $\mu$  which describes the probability of “heads” (the parameter of a Bernoulli distribution).
  - Then, we can sample an outcome  $x \in \{\text{head}, \text{tail}\}$  from the Bernoulli distribution  $p(x \mid \mu) = \text{Ber}(\mu)$ .

# Motivation

- We are concerned with prediction of future events and decision making.
- We build models that describe the **generative process** that generates the observed data.
- For example, consider the outcome of a coin-flip experiment (“heads” or “tails”).
  - Define a parameter  $\mu$  which describes the probability of “heads” (the parameter of a Bernoulli distribution).
  - Then, we can sample an outcome  $x \in \{\text{head}, \text{tail}\}$  from the Bernoulli distribution  $p(x \mid \mu) = \text{Ber}(\mu)$ .
- **Note:**  $\mu$  is **unknown** in advance and can **never be observed directly**.

# Motivation

- We are concerned with prediction of future events and decision making.
- We build models that describe the **generative process** that generates the observed data.
- For example, consider the outcome of a coin-flip experiment (“heads” or “tails”).
  - Define a parameter  $\mu$  which describes the probability of “heads” (the parameter of a Bernoulli distribution).
  - Then, we can sample an outcome  $x \in \{\text{head}, \text{tail}\}$  from the Bernoulli distribution  $p(x \mid \mu) = \text{Ber}(\mu)$ .
- **Note:**  $\mu$  is **unknown** in advance and can **never be observed directly**.
- We need mechanisms to learn something about  $\mu$  given observed outcomes of coin-flip.



# Probabilistic Models

- The benefit of using probabilistic models:
  - A unified and consistent set of tools from probability theory for modeling, inference, prediction, and model selection.
- $p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ : the joint distribution of the observed variables  $\mathbf{x}$  and the hidden parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

# Probabilistic Models

- The benefit of using probabilistic models:
  - A unified and consistent set of tools from probability theory for modeling, inference, prediction, and model selection.
- $p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ : the joint distribution of the observed variables  $\mathbf{x}$  and the hidden parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . It encapsulates the information:
  - The prior and the likelihood.
  - The marginal likelihood  $p(\mathbf{x})$  (though integrating out the parameters is required.)
  - The posterior (obtained by dividing the joint by the marginal likelihood).

# Probabilistic Models

- The benefit of using probabilistic models:
  - A unified and consistent set of tools from probability theory for modeling, inference, prediction, and model selection.
- $p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ : the joint distribution of the observed variables  $\mathbf{x}$  and the hidden parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . It encapsulates the information:
  - The prior and the likelihood.
  - The marginal likelihood  $p(\mathbf{x})$  (though integrating out the parameters is required.)
  - The posterior (obtained by dividing the joint by the marginal likelihood).
- Therefore, a probabilistic model is specified by the joint distribution of **all** its random variables.

# Bayesian Inference (1/3)

- We have already learnt two ways of estimating model parameters  $\theta$ :
  - Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)
  - Maximum a posteriori estimation (MAP)
- We can then obtain a *single-best* value of  $\theta$  (solving an optimization problem), then we can use them to make predictions.
- Having the full posterior distribution around can be useful and leads to more robust decisions.

## Bayesian Inference (2/3)

- Bayesian inference: finding such a posterior distribution.
- For a dataset  $\mathcal{X}$ , a parameter prior  $p(\theta)$ , and a likelihood function, the posterior

$$p(\theta | \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \text{ where } p(\mathcal{X}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)d\theta,$$

is obtained by applying Bayes' theorem,

# Bayesian Inference (2/3)

- Bayesian inference: finding such a posterior distribution.
- For a dataset  $\mathcal{X}$ , a parameter prior  $p(\theta)$ , and a likelihood function, the posterior

$$p(\theta | \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \text{ where } p(\mathcal{X}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)d\theta,$$

is obtained by applying Bayes' theorem,

- Propagate uncertainty from the parameters to the data. Specifically, with a distribution  $p(\theta)$ , our predictions will be

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \int p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)p(\theta)d\theta$$

# Bayesian Inference (2/3)

- Bayesian inference: finding such a posterior distribution.
- For a dataset  $\mathcal{X}$ , a parameter prior  $p(\theta)$ , and a likelihood function, the posterior

$$p(\theta | \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \text{ where } p(\mathcal{X}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)d\theta,$$

is obtained by applying Bayes' theorem,

- Propagate uncertainty from the parameters to the data. Specifically, with a distribution  $p(\theta)$ , our predictions will be

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \int p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)p(\theta)d\theta = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)],$$

which no longer depend on the model parameters  $\theta$ .

## Bayesian Inference (2/3)

- Bayesian inference: finding such a posterior distribution.
- For a dataset  $\mathcal{X}$ , a parameter prior  $p(\theta)$ , and a likelihood function, the posterior

$$p(\theta | \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \text{ where } p(\mathcal{X}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)d\theta,$$

is obtained by applying Bayes' theorem,

- Propagate uncertainty from the parameters to the data. Specifically, with a distribution  $p(\theta)$ , our predictions will be

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \int p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)p(\theta)d\theta = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}[p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)],$$

which no longer depend on the model parameters  $\theta$ .

- It has been marginalized/integrated out.



# Bayesian Inference (3/3)

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \int p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})],$$

- The prediction becomes an average over all plausible values of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .
  - The plausibility is encapsulated by the distribution  $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ .

# Computational Issues

- MLE or MAP yields a consistent point estimate  $\theta^*$  of the parameters.
  - Key computational problem: optimization.
  - Prediction: straightforward.
- Bayesian inference yields a **distribution**.
  - Key computational problem: integration.
  - Prediction: solving another integration problem.

# Outline

- 1 Probabilistic Models & Bayesian Inference
- 2 Latent-Variable Models

# Latent Variables

- Sometimes it is useful to have additional variable (besides  $\theta$ ) as part of the model.
  - We call them **latent variables**.
  - They do not parametrize the model explicitly.
  - E.g., mixture of  $K$  Gaussians.
- Latent variables can
  - Describe the data-generation process.
  - Increase the interpretability of the model.
  - Simplify the structure of the model.

# In the Data Generation Process

Denote data by  $\mathbf{x}$ , the model parameter by  $\theta$  and the **latent variables** by  $\mathbf{z}$ , we obtain the conditional distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \theta).$$

## In the Data Generation Process

Denote data by  $\mathbf{x}$ , the model parameter by  $\theta$  and the **latent variables** by  $\mathbf{z}$ , we obtain the conditional distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \theta).$$

⇒ Generate data for any model parameter and latent variables.

## In the Data Generation Process

Denote data by  $\mathbf{x}$ , the model parameter by  $\theta$  and the **latent variables** by  $\mathbf{z}$ , we obtain the conditional distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \theta).$$

⇒ Generate data for any model parameter and latent variables.

- We place a prior  $p(\mathbf{z})$  on the given latent variables  $\mathbf{z}$ .

# In the Data Generation Process

Denote data by  $\mathbf{x}$ , the model parameter by  $\theta$  and the **latent variables** by  $\mathbf{z}$ , we obtain the conditional distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \theta).$$

⇒ Generate data for any model parameter and latent variables.

- We place a prior  $p(\mathbf{z})$  on the given latent variables  $\mathbf{z}$ .

## A Two-Step Procedure for Parameter Learning & Inference

- 1 Compute the likelihood  $p(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta)$  (not depending on  $\mathbf{z}$ ).
- 2 Use the likelihood for parameter estimation or Bayesian inference.



# Likelihood in Terms of Marginal Distribution

What we already have: a conditional distribution

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

We need to marginalize out the latent variables to have the predictive distribution of the data given the model parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ :

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z},$$

# Likelihood in Terms of Marginal Distribution

What we already have: a conditional distribution

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

We need to marginalize out the latent variables to have the predictive distribution of the data given the model parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ :

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z},$$

Note that  $p(\mathbf{z})$  is a prior,

# Likelihood in Terms of Marginal Distribution

What we already have: a conditional distribution

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

We need to marginalize out the latent variables to have the predictive distribution of the data given the model parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ :

$$p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z},$$

Note that  $p(\mathbf{z})$  is a prior, and  $p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$  does not depend on  $\mathbf{z}$ .

# Bayesian Inference in a Latent Variable Model

- MAP estimation is also straightforward:

# Bayesian Inference in a Latent Variable Model

- MAP estimation is also straightforward:
  - Place a prior  $p(\theta)$  and use Bayes' theorem to obtain

# Bayesian Inference in a Latent Variable Model

- MAP estimation is also straightforward:
  - Place a prior  $p(\theta)$  and use Bayes' theorem to obtain

$$p(\theta | \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{X})}$$

# Bayesian Inference in a Latent Variable Model

- MAP estimation is also straightforward:
  - Place a prior  $p(\theta)$  and use Bayes' theorem to obtain

$$p(\theta \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{X})}$$

⇒ a posterior distribution over the model parameters given a dataset  $\mathcal{X}$ .

# Bayesian Inference in a Latent Variable Model

- MAP estimation is also straightforward:
  - Place a prior  $p(\theta)$  and use Bayes' theorem to obtain

$$p(\theta \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{X})}$$

- ⇒ a posterior distribution over the model parameters given a dataset  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- $p(\mathcal{X} \mid \theta)$  requires the marginalization of latent variables  $\mathbf{z}$ .



# Bayesian Inference in a Latent Variable Model

- MAP estimation is also straightforward:
  - Place a prior  $p(\theta)$  and use Bayes' theorem to obtain

$$p(\theta | \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{X})}$$

⇒ a posterior distribution over the model parameters given a dataset  $\mathcal{X}$ .

- $p(\mathcal{X} | \theta)$  requires the marginalization of latent variables  $\mathbf{z}$ .

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = \int p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z}, \theta)p(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z},$$

- Resort to approximation.

# A Posterior on the Latent Variables

Similarly we can have

$$p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \quad p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\boldsymbol{\theta},$$

## A Posterior on the Latent Variables

Similarly we can have

$$p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \quad p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\boldsymbol{\theta},$$

$p(\mathbf{z})$ : the prior on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;  $p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})$  requires us to integrate out  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

## A Posterior on the Latent Variables

Similarly we can have

$$p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \quad p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\boldsymbol{\theta},$$

$p(\mathbf{z})$ : the prior on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;  $p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})$  requires us to integrate out  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

- It may be difficult to solve the integrals analytically.
- Marginalizing out both the latent variables and the model parameters at the same time is not possible in general.

## A Posterior on the Latent Variables

Similarly we can have

$$p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \quad p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\boldsymbol{\theta},$$

$p(\mathbf{z})$ : the prior on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;  $p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})$  requires us to integrate out  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

- It may be difficult to solve the integrals analytically.
- Marginalizing out both the latent variables and the model parameters at the same time is not possible in general.
- An easier quantity to compute:

## A Posterior on the Latent Variables

Similarly we can have

$$p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathcal{X}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathcal{X})}, \quad p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}) = \int p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})d\boldsymbol{\theta},$$

$p(\mathbf{z})$ : the prior on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;  $p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z})$  requires us to integrate out  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

- It may be difficult to solve the integrals analytically.
- Marginalizing out both the latent variables and the model parameters at the same time is not possible in general.
- An easier quantity to compute:

$$p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathcal{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})}.$$

- $p(\mathbf{z})$ : the prior on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;  $p(\mathcal{X} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ : given.

# Discussions