Randomized Algorithms

# Discrete Random Variables and Expectation

Joseph Chuang-Chieh Lin

Dept. CSE,
National Taiwan Ocean University

Review

- Why randomized algorithms?
- Types of randomized algorithms.

Randomized algorithms

random bits

input → ALGORITHM → output

Why?

- Randomized algorithms are
  - often much ***simpler*** than the best known deterministic ones.
  - often much ***more efficient*** (faster or using less space) than the best known deterministic ones.

Two types of randomized algorithms

- The accuracy is guaranteed.
  - Las Vegas algorithms.

Mike Boening@mbphotography

- The running time is guaranteed.
  - Monte Carlo algorithms.


Pasquale Iovino@pask_07

Min-Cut

$|V| = n, |E| = m$

- A graph $G = (V, E)$ and its two "cuts".

  - **Cut**: a partition of the vertices in V into two non-empty, disjoint sets S and T such that
  - $S \cup T = V$

- The **cutset** of a cut:

  - $\{uv \in E \mid u \in S, v \in T\}.$

- The size of the cut:

  - the cardinality of its cutset.

Edge contraction

$$e = (u, v)$$

$$G \rightarrow G/e$$

Randomized Algorithms, CSE, NTOU, Taiwan

Karger's edge-contraction algorithm (1993)

Procedure contract ($G = (V, E)$):

while $|V| > 2$:

  choose $e \in E$ uniformly at random

  $G \leftarrow G/e$

return the only cut in G

Time complexity: $O(m)$ or $O(n^2)$.

By Thore Husfeldt - Created in python using the networkx library for graph manipulation, neato for layout, and TikZ for drawing., CC BY-SA 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=21103489

Analysis

- *C*: a specific cut of *G*.

- *k*: the size of the cut *C*.

- The minimum degree of *G* must be ≥ *k*. (WHY?)

  - So, $|E| \geq nk/2$.

- The probability that the algorithm picks an edge from *C* to contract is

$$\frac{k}{|E|} \leq \frac{k}{nk/2} = \frac{2}{n}.$$

Analysis (contd.)

- Let p_n be the probability that the algorithm on an *n*-vertex graph avoids *C*.

- Then,
$$p_n \geq \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \cdot p_{n-1}$$

- The recurrence can be expanded as
$$p_n \geq \prod_{i=0}^{n-3} \left(1 - \frac{2}{n-i}\right) = \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \frac{2}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}.$$

Analysis (contd.)

- Repeat the contract algorithm for $T = \binom{n}{2} \ln n$ times, and then choose the minimum of them.

- The probability of NOT finding a min-cut is $\left[1 - \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \right]^{T} \leq \frac{1}{e^{\ln n}} = \frac{1}{n}.$

- Let's take a look at the exponential function e^x.

Facts on e^x

$$e^x := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \cdots$$

$$\therefore e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = \binom{n}{0}1 + \binom{n}{1}\frac{1}{n} + \binom{n}{2}\frac{1}{n^2} + \binom{n}{3}\frac{1}{n^3} + \cdots + \binom{n}{n}\frac{1}{n^n}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e$$

Probability basics

A *random variable X* on a sample space Ω is a real-valued function. That is,

$$X : \Omega \mapsto \mathbf{R}$$

A *discrete random variable* is a random variable that takes on only a finite or countably infinite number of values.

Kolmogorov axioms

- 1. For any event $A \subset S$, $P(A) \geq 0$.

- 2. $\Pr[S] = 1$.

- 3. If A_1, A_2, … are mutually exclusive events, then
  $$\Pr[A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots] = \Pr[A_1] + \Pr[A_2] + \dots$$

Useful theorems

- $\Pr[\varnothing] = 0$ for any experiment.

- For any event $A \subseteq S$, $\Pr[A] = 1 - \Pr[\overline{A}]$.

- If $A \subseteq S$, $B \subseteq S$ are any two events, then
  $$\Pr[A \cup B] = \Pr[A] + \Pr[B] - \Pr[A \cap B].$$

- If $A \subset B$, then $\Pr[A] \leq \Pr[B]$.

Conditional probability

- In an experiment with sample space S, let B be any event such that $\Pr[B] > 0$.
- Then the conditional probability of A occurring, given that B has occurred, is

$$\Pr[A \mid B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}$$

for any $A \subset S$.

Example

- Suppose you are going to buy milk in a supermarket.
  - There are a total of 40 boxes for you to choose from.
  - 10 of them are corrupted (not visible on the outside).
  - ➢ Then, you are asked to buy two boxes of milk.

    What is the probability that both boxes are good?

Example (contd.)

- *A*: the event that the first box you choose is good.

  B: the event that the second box you choose is good.

  Then

  $$\Pr[A] = \frac{30}{40}$$

  $$\Pr[B \mid A] = \frac{29}{39}$$

  $$\Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A] \cdot \Pr[B \mid A] = \frac{30}{40} \cdot \frac{29}{39} = \frac{87}{156}.$$

Theorem of total probability

- If $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$ is a partition of S, and B is any event, then

$$\Pr[B] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr[B \mid A_i] \Pr[A_i].$$

Bayes' theorem

- From the theorem of total probability, and granted that $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$ is a partition of S, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pr[A_i \mid B] &= \frac{\Pr[A_i \cap B]}{\Pr[B]} \\
&= \frac{\Pr[A_i] \cdot \Pr[B \mid A_i]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr[A_i] \cdot \Pr[B \mid A_i]}
\end{aligned}
$$

- This result is known as *Bayes' theorem*.

Example

- Assuming a jury selected to participate in a criminal trial.
- Whether the defendant is guilty or not, there is a 95% chance of making the correct verdict.
- It is also assumed that the local police law enforcement is very strict, such that 99% of the people being tried are actually guilty.
- **If a jury is known to sentence a defendant not guilty**, **what is the probability that the defendant is really not guilty?**

Example (contd.)

- $A_{1:}$ the defendant is guilty
- $A_2 = \bar{A}_1$: the defendant is not guilty.

- Let B be the event that the defendant is sentenced to unguilty.

- We want to know **$\Pr[A_2 \mid B]$.**

Example (contd.)

$$\Pr[A_2 \mid B] = \frac{\Pr[A_2] \Pr[B \mid A_2]}{\Pr[A_1] \Pr[B \mid A_1] + \Pr[A_2] \Pr[B \mid A_2]}$$

$$= \frac{(0.01)(0.95)}{(0.99)(0.05) + (0.01)(0.95)}$$

$$= 0.161 \ .$$

- Before the sentence, this defendant is supposed to be unguilty with probability 1%.
- After the sentence of unguilty, the probability is increased to be **16.1%**.

Independent events

- If $A \subset S$ and $B \subset S$ are any two events with nonzero probabilities,

- A and B are called independent if and only if $\Pr[A \cap B] = \Pr[A] \cdot \Pr[B]$

    That is, $\Pr[A] = \Pr[A \mid B]$ and $\Pr[B] = \Pr[B \mid A]$.

Independent trials

- An experiment is said to consist of *n **independent*** trials if and only if

  - $S = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_n.$

  - For every $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in S,$

    $\Pr[\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\}] = \Pr[\{x_1\}] \cdot \Pr[\{x_2\}] \cdots \Pr[\{x_n\}],$

   where $\Pr[\{x_i\}]$ is the probability of $x_i \in T_i$ occurring on trial $i.$

Expectation

- The expectation of a discrete random variable X, denoted by $\mathbf{E}[X]$, is

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \sum_i i \cdot \Pr[X = i]$$

- Example: Let X denote the sum of of dices:

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \frac{1}{36} \cdot 2 + \frac{2}{36} \cdot 3 + \frac{3}{36} \cdot 4 + \cdots + \frac{1}{36} \cdot 12 = 7.$$

Adam Fejes@adamfjs

Linearity of Expectation

- For any finite collection of discrete random variables X_1, X_2, ..., X_n with finite expectations,

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}[X_i].$$

- For any constant c and discrete random variable X,

$$\mathbf{E}[cX] = c \cdot \mathbf{E}[X].$$

- Why is it useful?

Example

- Consider the dice-throwing example again.

  - X_1 : the outcome of die 1

  - X_2 : the outcome of die 2

$$\mathbf{E}[X_1] = \mathbf{E}[X_2] = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{6} j = \frac{7}{2}$$

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \mathbf{E}[X_1 + X_2] = 7.$$

Adam Fejes@adamfjs

Bernoulli random variable

- Suppose we run an experiment that succeeds with probability p and fails with probability $1-p$.

$$Y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the experiment succeeds,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Wikipedia

JAC. BERNOULLI, MATH.P.P.

- Y: Bernoulli random variable.

  – or *indicator random variable*.

Binomial random variable

- A binomial random variable X with parameters n and p, denoted by $B(n, p)$, is defined as

$$\Pr[X = j] = \binom{n}{j} p^j (1 - p)^{n-j}.$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

- <u>Exercise</u>: Show that $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \Pr[X = j] = 1.$

Binomial random variable (contd.)

- $$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}[X] &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} j \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n} j \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{n!}{(j-1)!(n-j)!} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \\
&= np \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)!}{(j-1)!((n-1)-(j-1))!} p^{j-1} (1-p)^{(n-1)-(j-1)} \\
&= np \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{k!((n-1)-k)!} p^k (1-p)^{(n-1)-k} \\
&= np \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{(n-1)-k} \\
&= np.
\end{aligned}$$

Binomial random variable (contd.)

- $$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}[X] &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} j \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n} j \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{n!}{(j-1)!(n-j)!} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \\
&= np \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(n-1)!}{(j-1)!((n-1)-(j-1))!} p^{j-1} (1-p)^{(n-1)-(j-1)} \\
&= np \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{k!((n-1)-k)!} p^k (1-p)^{(n-1)-k} \\
&= np \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{(n-1)-k} \\
&= np.
\end{aligned}$$

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k}.$$

Let's make it simpler!

- Denote a set of n Bernoulli random variables $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$.

  - $X_i = 1$ if the ith trial is successful and 0 otherwise.

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}[X_i] = np.$$