## Mathematics for Machine Learning

Linear Algebra: Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors, Eigenspaces, Cholesky
 Decomposition & Diagonalization

#### Joseph Chuang-Chieh Lin

Department of Computer Science & Information Engineering, Tamkang University

Fall 2023

#### Credits for the resource

- The slides are based on the textbooks:
  - Marc Peter Deisenroth, A. Aldo Faisal, and Cheng Soon Ong: Mathematics for Machine Learning. Cambridge University Press. 2020.
  - Howard Anton, Chris Rorres, Anton Kaul: Elementary Linear Algebra. Wiley. 2019.
- We could partially refer to the monograph: Francesco Orabona: A Modern Introduction to Online Learning. https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.13213

• Matrix decomposition or matrix factorization.

- Matrix decomposition or matrix factorization.
- Tree matrix decompositions will be introduced.

### Outline

- Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors
- Cholesky Decomposition
- 3 Eigendecomposition & Diagonalization

### Outline

- Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors
- Cholesky Decomposition
- 3 Eigendecomposition & Diagonalization

## Characteristic Polynomial

#### Characteristic Polynomial

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and a square matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) := \det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I})$$

$$= (-1)^{n}(\lambda - \lambda_{1}) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_{n})$$

$$= c_{0} + c_{1}\lambda + \cdots + c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + (-1)^{n}\lambda^{n},$$

for  $c_0, \ldots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ , is called the characteristic polynomial of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ .

#### Note that

- $c_0 = \det(A)$ .
- $c_{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}).$





## Eigenvalue Equation

#### Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a square matrix. Then

- $oldsymbol{\circ}$   $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenvalue of  $oldsymbol{A}$  and
- $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is the corresponding eigenvector of A

if  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ .

#### Equivalent statements:

- $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .
- There exists an  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  with  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  (i.e.,  $(\mathbf{A} \lambda \mathbf{I}_n) = \mathbf{0}$ ) that can be solved non-trivially (i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ).
- $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{A} \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_n) < n$ .
- $\bullet \ \det(\boldsymbol{A} \lambda \boldsymbol{I}_n) = 0.$

### Remark

Eigenvectors are NOT unique.

#### Remark

Eigenvectors are NOT unique.

Suppose  ${\bf x}$  is an eigenvector of  ${\bf A}$  w.r.t. eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then for any  $c\in\mathbb{R}\setminus{\bf 0}$ 

$$\mathbf{A}(c\mathbf{x}) = c\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = c\lambda\mathbf{x} = \lambda(c\mathbf{x}).$$

## Theorems (or Definitions)

#### **Theorem**

 $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is a root of the characteristic polynomial  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

## Theorems (or Definitions)

#### **Theorem**

 $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is a root of the characteristic polynomial  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

#### Algebraic Multiplicity

Let a square matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$  have an eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . The algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$  is the number of times the root appears in the characteristic polynomial.

## Theorems (or Definitions)

#### Theorem

 $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is a root of the characteristic polynomial  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

### Algebraic Multiplicity

Let a square matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$  have an eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . The algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$  is the number of times the root appears in the characteristic polynomial.

#### Eigenspace

For  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the set of all eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  spans the eigenspace of  $\mathbf{A}$  (denoted by  $E_{\lambda}$ ).

The set of all eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{A}$  is called the eigenspectrum (or spectrum) of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ .

## The Case of the Identity Matrix

### The Case of the Identity Matrix

For  $I_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,

- what is  $p_I(\lambda)$ ?
- What are its eigenvalues and the associated eigenvectors?
- What are the eigenspaces?

ullet  $oldsymbol{A}$  and  $oldsymbol{A}^ op$  possess the same eigenvalues

•  ${\bf A}$  and  ${\bf A}^{ op}$  possess the same eigenvalues but not necessarily the same eigenvectors.

- ${\bf A}$  and  ${\bf A}^{ op}$  possess the same eigenvalues but not necessarily the same eigenvectors.
- The eigenspace  $E_{\lambda}$  is null( $\mathbf{A} \lambda \mathbf{I}$ ).

- ullet A and  $oldsymbol{A}^{ op}$  possess the same eigenvalues but not necessarily the same eigenvectors.
- The eigenspace  $E_{\lambda}$  is null( $\mathbf{A} \lambda \mathbf{I}$ ).

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \lambda\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
  
 $\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \ker(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I}).$ 

- ullet A and  $oldsymbol{A}^{ op}$  possess the same eigenvalues but not necessarily the same eigenvectors.
- The eigenspace  $E_{\lambda}$  is null( $\mathbf{A} \lambda \mathbf{I}$ ).

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \lambda\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
  
 $\Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \ker(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I}).$ 

 Symmetric, positive definite matrices always have positive, real eigenvalues.

#### Theorem (4.13)

The eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  of a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with n distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are linearly independent.

#### Theorem (4.14)

Given a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , we can always obtain a symmetric, positive semidefinite matrix  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  by defining

$$S := A^{\top}A.$$

If  $rank(\mathbf{A}) = n$ , then  $S := \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}$  is symmetric, positive definite.

#### Theorem

If  $\boldsymbol{A}$  is symmetric, then eigenvectors to different eigenvalues are orthogonal.

#### Proof.

- Assume that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w} = \mu\mathbf{w}$  for two eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$  corresponding to eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda \neq \mu$ .
- $\begin{array}{lll} ^{\bullet} & \lambda \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle & = & \langle \lambda \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v})^{\top} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{w} = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{w} \rangle \\ & = & \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mu \mathbf{w} \rangle = \mu \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle. \end{array}$

The equalities hold only if  $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$ .



### Theorem (4.15; Spectral Theorem)

If  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric, then there exists an orthonormal basis, consisting of eigenvectors of A, of the corresponding vector space V, and each eigenvalue is real.

### Theorem (4.15; Spectral Theorem)

If  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric, then there exists an orthonormal basis, consisting of eigenvectors of A, of the corresponding vector space V, and each eigenvalue is real.

### Theorem (4.16)

For a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we have  $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

### Theorem (4.15; Spectral Theorem)

If  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric, then there exists an orthonormal basis, consisting of eigenvectors of A, of the corresponding vector space V, and each eigenvalue is real.

### Theorem (4.16)

For a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we have  $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

#### Theorem (4.17)

For a matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , we have  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i$ , where  $\lambda_i$ 's are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  recall?

### A Practical Example

- Google uses the eigenvector corresponding to the maximal eigenvalue of a matrix **A** to determine the rank of a page for search.
  - The PageRank algorithm was developed at Stanford University by Larry Page and Sergey Brin in 1996.

## A Practical Example

- Google uses the eigenvector corresponding to the maximal eigenvalue of a matrix **A** to determine the rank of a page for search.
  - The PageRank algorithm was developed at Stanford University by Larry Page and Sergey Brin in 1996.
- Websites are represented as a huge directed graph (pages: vertices; links: edges).
- Compute the weight (importance)  $x_i \ge 0$  for a website  $a_i$  and get  $\mathbf{x}$ .
  - The number of pages pointing to  $a_i$ .
- A transition matrix **A** (prob.): modeling the navigation behavior of a user.
- Goal: x, Ax, A<sup>2</sup>x, ..., x\*

## A Practical Example

- Google uses the eigenvector corresponding to the maximal eigenvalue of a matrix **A** to determine the rank of a page for search.
  - The PageRank algorithm was developed at Stanford University by Larry Page and Sergey Brin in 1996.
- Websites are represented as a huge directed graph (pages: vertices; links: edges).
- Compute the weight (importance)  $x_i \ge 0$  for a website  $a_i$  and get  $\mathbf{x}$ .
  - The number of pages pointing to  $a_i$ .
- A transition matrix **A** (prob.): modeling the navigation behavior of a user.
- Goal:  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{x}$ , ...,  $\mathbf{x}^* \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}^* \Rightarrow \text{Turning to probabilities (normalization)}$ .

#### Outline

- Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors
- Cholesky Decomposition
- 3 Eigendecomposition & Diagonalization

## Cholesky Decomposition

#### Cholesky Decomposition

A symmetric, positive definite matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  can be factorized into a product  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^{\top}$ , where  $\mathbf{L}$  is a lower-triangular matrix with positive diagonal elements.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \\ \\ \end{array}\right]$$

## Example of Cholesky Factorization

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{array} \right] = \boldsymbol{L} \boldsymbol{L}^\top = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & \ell_{22} & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & \ell_{33} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_{11} & \ell_{21} & \ell_{31} \\ 0 & \ell_{22} & \ell_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & \ell_{33} \end{array} \right].$$

We have

$$\mathbf{A} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \ell_{11}^2 & \ell_{21}\ell_{11} & \ell_{31}\ell_{11} \\ \ell_{21}\ell_{11} & \ell_{21}^2 + \ell_{22}^2 & \ell_{31}\ell_{21} + \ell_{32}\ell_{22} \\ \ell_{31}\ell_{11} & \ell_{31}\ell_{21} + \ell_{32}\ell_{22} & \ell_{31}^2 + \ell_{32}^2 + \ell_{33}^2 \end{array} \right]$$

Finally, solve  $\ell_{11}, \ldots, \ell_{33}$ .

## Motivations of Using Cholesky Decomposition

- Symmetric positive definite matrices require frequent manipulation.
  - E.g., Covariance matrix of a multivariate Gaussian variable.
  - The Cholesky factorization of the covariance matrix allows us to generate samples from a Gaussian distribution.
- Computing gradients in deep stochastic models such as variational auto-encoder (VAE).

## Motivations of Using Cholesky Decomposition

- Symmetric positive definite matrices require frequent manipulation.
  - E.g., Covariance matrix of a multivariate Gaussian variable.
  - The Cholesky factorization of the covariance matrix allows us to generate samples from a Gaussian distribution.
- Computing gradients in deep stochastic models such as variational auto-encoder (VAE).
- Compute determinants efficiently.
  - $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{L}) \det(\mathbf{L}^{\top}) = \det(\mathbf{L})^2$ .
  - Note:  $\det(\mathbf{L})$  can be computed efficiently (:: triangular).

#### Outline

- 1 Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors
- 2 Cholesky Decomposition
- 3 Eigendecomposition & Diagonalization

## Motivation of Diagonalization

 Diagonalization is an important application of basis change and eigenvalues.

### Motivation of Diagonalization

- Diagonalization is an important application of basis change and eigenvalues.
- Diagonalization allow fast computation of determinants, powers and inverses of matrices.

### Motivation of Diagonalization

- Diagonalization is an important application of basis change and eigenvalues.
- Diagonalization allow fast computation of determinants, powers and inverses of matrices. A diagonal matrix is like

$$\mathbf{D} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} c_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & c_n \end{array} \right].$$

### Motivation of Diagonalization

- Diagonalization is an important application of basis change and eigenvalues.
- Diagonalization allow fast computation of determinants, powers and inverses of matrices. A diagonal matrix is like

$$\mathbf{D} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} c_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & c_n \end{array} \right].$$

• Question: What are the determinant, cubic, and inverse of D?

## Similarity

#### Similarity

Two matrices  $\boldsymbol{A}$  and  $\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are similar if there exists an invertible matrix  $\boldsymbol{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{S}$ .

# Similarity

#### Similarity

Two matrices  $\boldsymbol{A}$  and  $\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are similar if there exists an invertible matrix  $\boldsymbol{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{S}$ .

#### Diagonalizable

A matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable if it is *similar* to a *diagonal* matrix..

### Similarity

#### Similarity

Two matrices A and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are similar if there exists an invertible matrix  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that  $A = S^{-1}BS$ .

#### Diagonalizable

A matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable if it is similar to a diagonal matrix...

•  $\exists \mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , such that  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}$ .

### Eigenvectors & Diagonalization

- Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be a set of scalars.
- Let  $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n$  be a set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

We can show that

$$AP = PD$$
.

if and only if  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{A}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_n$  are the corresponding eigenvectors of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ .

We can see that

$$\textbf{\textit{AP}} = \textbf{\textit{A}}[\textbf{\textit{p}}_1, \dots, \textbf{\textit{p}}_n] = [\textbf{\textit{A}}\textbf{\textit{p}}_1, \dots, \textbf{\textit{A}}\textbf{\textit{p}}_n],$$

and

We can see that

$$AP = A[p_1, \dots, p_n] = [Ap_1, \dots, Ap_n],$$

and

$$PD = [\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

We can see that

$${\boldsymbol{A}}{\boldsymbol{P}} = {\boldsymbol{A}}[{\boldsymbol{p}}_1,\dots,{\boldsymbol{p}}_n] = [{\boldsymbol{A}}{\boldsymbol{p}}_1,\dots,{\boldsymbol{A}}{\boldsymbol{p}}_n],$$

and

$$PD = [\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = [\lambda_1 \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \lambda_n \mathbf{p}_n].$$

We can see that

$$AP = A[p_1, \dots, p_n] = [Ap_1, \dots, Ap_n],$$

and

$$PD = [\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = [\lambda_1 \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \lambda_n \mathbf{p}_n].$$

Thus.

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{p}_1$$
 $\vdots$ 
 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_n = \lambda_n\mathbf{p}_n$ 

Therefore, the columns of  $\boldsymbol{P}$  are eigenvectors of  $\boldsymbol{A}$ .

### Eigendecomposition

#### Theorem [Eigendecomposition]

A square matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  can be factored into

$$A = PDP^{-1}$$
,

where  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and D is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are the eigenvalues of A

if and only if

the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Put it concisely

#### **Theorem**

For a square matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- A is diagonalizable.
- **A** has *n* linearly independent eigenvectors.

#### Remark

The spectral theorem tells us that:

We can find an orthonormal basis of the corresponding vector space consisting of eigenvectors of of a symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

#### Remark

The spectral theorem tells us that:

We can find an orthonormal basis of the corresponding vector space consisting of eigenvectors of of a symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

A symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  can be always diagonalized.

Compute the eigendecomposition of 
$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Compute the eigendecomposition of 
$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \det\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{5}{2} - \lambda & -1 \\ -1 & \frac{5}{2} - \lambda \end{array}\right]\right) =$$

Compute the eigendecomposition of 
$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

$$\det(\mathbf{A}-\lambda\mathbf{I})=\det\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{5}{2}-\lambda & -1\\ -1 & \frac{5}{2}-\lambda\end{array}\right]\right)=\left(\lambda-\frac{7}{2}\right)\left(\lambda-\frac{3}{2}\right).$$

Set 
$$\lambda_1 = \frac{7}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{3}{2}$$
.

② Solving  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_1 = \frac{7}{2}\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_2 = \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{p}_2$ .

Compute the eigendecomposition of 
$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

$$\det(\textbf{\textit{A}}-\lambda\textbf{\textit{I}}) = \det\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{5}{2}-\lambda & -1 \\ -1 & \frac{5}{2}-\lambda \end{array}\right]\right) = \left(\lambda - \frac{7}{2}\right)\left(\lambda - \frac{3}{2}\right).$$

Set 
$$\lambda_1 = \frac{7}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{3}{2}$$
.

② Solving  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_1 = \frac{7}{2}\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_2 = \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{p}_2$ .

$$\textbf{p}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right], \ \ \textbf{p}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Compute the eigendecomposition of  $\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} 
ight], \ \mathbf{p}_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} 
ight].$$

**3** Check for independency of  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2\}$ .  $\Longrightarrow$ 

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} 
ight], \ \mathbf{p}_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} 
ight].$$

- $\textbf{ § Check for independency of } \{\textbf{p}_1,\textbf{p}_2\}. \Longrightarrow \checkmark$
- Construct P:

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ -1 \end{array} 
ight], \ \mathbf{p}_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight].$$

- **3** Check for independency of  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2\}$ .  $\Longrightarrow$   $\checkmark$
- **3** Construct  $P: \Longrightarrow P = [\mathbf{p}_1, \ \mathbf{p}_2] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
  - $\star$  Note that  $\{\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2\}$  forms an orthonormal basis

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ -1 \end{array} 
ight], \ \mathbf{p}_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight].$$

- **3** Check for independency of  $\{\mathbf{p}_1,\mathbf{p}_2\}$ .  $\Longrightarrow$   $\checkmark$
- **3** Construct  $P: \Longrightarrow P = [\mathbf{p}_1, \ \mathbf{p}_2] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
  - \* Note that  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2\}$  forms an orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{P}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}^{\top}$ . (Exercise)

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ -1 \end{array} 
ight], \ \mathbf{p}_2 = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight].$$

- **3** Check for independency of  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2\}$ .  $\Longrightarrow$   $\checkmark$
- **3** Construct  $P: \Longrightarrow P = [\mathbf{p}_1, \ \mathbf{p}_2] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
  - \* Note that  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2\}$  forms an orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{P}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}^{\top}$ . (Exercise)
    - Finally we obtain  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ .

• 
$$A^k = (PDP^{-1})^k$$

• 
$$A^k = (PDP^{-1})^k = (PDP^{-1})(PDP^{-1})\cdots(PDP^{-1})$$

• 
$$A^k = (PDP^{-1})^k = (PDP^{-1})(PDP^{-1})\cdots(PDP^{-1}) = PD^kP^{-1}$$
.

• 
$$A^k = (PDP^{-1})^k = (PDP^{-1})(PDP^{-1})\cdots(PDP^{-1}) = PD^kP^{-1}$$
.

$$\bullet \ \det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1})$$

• 
$$\mathbf{A}^k = (\mathbf{PDP}^{-1})^k = (\mathbf{PDP}^{-1})(\mathbf{PDP}^{-1}) \cdots (\mathbf{PDP}^{-1}) = \mathbf{PD}^k \mathbf{P}^{-1}$$
.

$$\bullet \ \det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}) = \det(\mathbf{P})\det(\mathbf{D})\det(\mathbf{P}^{-1})$$

- $\mathbf{A}^k = (\mathbf{PDP}^{-1})^k = (\mathbf{PDP}^{-1})(\mathbf{PDP}^{-1}) \cdots (\mathbf{PDP}^{-1}) = \mathbf{PD}^k \mathbf{P}^{-1}$ .
- $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}^{-1}) = \det(\mathbf{P})\det(\mathbf{D})\det(\mathbf{P}^{-1}) = \det(\mathbf{D}) = \prod_i d_{ii}$ .

# **Discussions**